

1 E. KATE PATCHEN (NYRN 4104634)
2 MICAH L. WYATT (CSBN 267465)
3 MANISH KUMAR (CSBN 269493)
4 U.S. Department of Justice
5 Antitrust Division
6 450 Golden Gate Avenue
7 Box 36046, Room 10-0101
8 San Francisco, CA 94102
9 Telephone: (415) 934-5300
10 micah.wyatt@usdoj.gov

11 Attorneys for the United States

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14 OAKLAND DIVISION

15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

16 v.

17 GARRY WAN,

18 Defendant.

) Criminal No. **CR14-00604**
)
) **INFORMATION**
)
) VIOLATIONS: 15 U.S.C. § 1 –
) Bid Rigging (Count One);
) 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to
) Commit Mail Fraud (Count Two)

ORIGINAL FILED
DEC - 22014
RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

JD

19
20 The United States of America, acting through its attorneys, charges:

21 GARRY WAN,

22 the defendant herein, as follows:

23 BACKGROUND

24 1. At all times relevant to this Information, when California homeowners defaulted
25 on their mortgages, mortgage holders could institute foreclosure proceedings and sell the
26 properties through non-judicial public real estate foreclosure auctions (“public auctions”). These
27 public auctions were governed by California Civil Code, Section 2924, *et seq.* Typically, a
28 trustee was appointed to oversee the public auctions. These public auctions usually took place at

1 or near the courthouse of the county in which the properties were located. The auctioneer, acting
2 on behalf of the trustee, sold the property to the bidder offering the highest purchase price.
3 Proceeds from the sale were then used to pay the mortgage holders, other holders of debt secured
4 by the property, and, in some cases, the defaulting homeowner (collectively, "beneficiaries").

5 COUNT ONE: 15 U.S.C. § 1 – Bid Rigging

6 THE COMBINATION AND CONSPIRACY

7 2. Beginning as early as May 2008 and continuing until in or about January 2011,
8 the defendant, GARRY WAN, and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination
9 and conspiracy to suppress and restrain competition by rigging bids to obtain selected properties
10 offered at public auctions in Alameda County in the Northern District of California, in
11 unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce, in violation of the Sherman Act, Title
12 15, United States Code, Section 1.

13 3. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement,
14 understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and his co-conspirators to suppress
15 competition by agreeing to refrain from or stop bidding against each other to purchase selected
16 properties at public auctions in Alameda County at non-competitive prices.

17 4. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and
18 conspiracy, the defendant and his co-conspirators did those things that they combined and
19 conspired to do, including, among other things:

20 a. agreeing not to compete to purchase selected properties at public auctions
21 in Alameda County;

22 b. designating which conspirator would win the selected properties at the
23 public auctions for the group of conspirators; and

24 c. refraining from or stopping bidding for the selected properties at the
25 public auctions.

26 //

27 //

28 //

