IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,)	
V.)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
FIRST UNITED BANK,)	
Defendant.)	
)	

COMPLAINT

The United States of America alleges:

- 1. This action is brought by the United States to enforce the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1691-1691f ("ECOA").
- 2. From at least 2008 to 2012, Defendant First United Bank ("First United" or "the Bank") engaged in a pattern or practice of discrimination on the basis of national origin because it charged hundreds of Hispanic borrowers higher interest rates on unsecured consumer loans compared to the rates charged to similarly-situated non-Hispanic borrowers.
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345 and 15 U.S.C. § 1691e(h).
- 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) because Defendant's principal place of business is in the Northern District of Texas and because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this action occurred in the Northern District of Texas.

- 5. Defendant First United is a state-chartered bank based in Dimmitt, Texas. First United operates fifteen locations in Texas, in Lubbock, Amarillo, Wichita Falls and surrounding towns. First United is a subsidiary of Plains Bancorp, Inc., a holding company.
- 6. First United offers a variety of loan products, including consumer loans, mortgage loans, commercial loans, and agricultural loans. The Bank originates its loans through loan officers and other employees operating at one or more of its branches.
- 7. As of September 30, 2014, the Bank had total assets of approximately \$1.18 billion. First United is subject to the regulatory authority of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC").
- 8. First United is subject to federal laws governing fair lending, including ECOA and the regulations promulgated thereunder. ECOA prohibits financial institutions from discriminating on the basis of, *inter alia*, national origin in their lending practices. Charging higher prices for loans on the basis of national origin, including charging higher rates of interest, is one of the discriminatory lending practices prohibited by ECOA. First United is a "creditor" within the meaning of section 702(e) of ECOA, 15 U.S.C. § 1691a(e).
- 9. Beginning in August 2012, the FDIC conducted an examination of the lending practices of First United to evaluate compliance with ECOA. Based on analysis of the average rates of interest that the Bank charged on unsecured consumer loans made between August 11, 2011, and August 10, 2012, the FDIC found reason to believe that First United had engaged in a pattern or practice of discrimination on the basis of national origin against Hispanic borrowers.
- 10. On April 12, 2013, following its examination, the FDIC referred the lending practices of First United to the United States Department of Justice pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1691e(g).

- 11. After receiving the referral from the FDIC, the United States analyzed the interest rates that First United charged for unsecured consumer loans originated between January 1, 2008 and December 31, 2012. The United States also reviewed and evaluated the Bank's loan policies, procedures, and practices for that time period.
- 12. Prior to May 1, 2013, First United did not use a uniform pricing system such as a matrix or rate sheet and did not have specific pricing guidelines for unsecured consumer loans; loan officers had broad subjective discretion to set interest rates.
- 13. Between January 1, 2008, and December 31, 2012, First United made approximately 560 unsecured consumer loans to Hispanic borrowers. During this period, First United charged interest rates to Hispanic borrowers for unsecured consumer loans that were 205 basis points¹ higher, on average, than the rates charged to non-Hispanic borrowers. This disparity is statistically significant.
- 14. Between January 1, 2008, and December 31, 2012, the average interest rate charged to non-Hispanic borrowers was 10.6 percent, and the average interest rate charged to Hispanic borrowers was 12.6 percent.
- 15. After accounting for credit risk factors such as loan term, credit score, loan amount, geographic market and default behavior, First United charged interest rates to Hispanic borrowers between January 1, 2008, and December 31, 2012, that were 142 basis points higher, on average, than the rates charged to similarly-situated non-Hispanic borrowers.
- 16. The higher rates of interest that First United charged to Hispanic borrowers for unsecured consumer loans are a result of First United's policy or practice of giving its employees broad subjective discretion in setting the interest rate for unsecured consumer loan transactions.

¹ One basis point represents one hundredth of a percentage point (0.01%). **Complaint – Page 3**

Information as to each applicant's national origin was available and known to the Bank's loan officers, who personally handled each loan transaction at one of Bank's branch offices. First United did not properly instruct its loan officers regarding their obligation to treat prospective customers without regard to national origin, and the Bank failed to supervise or monitor the performance of its loan officers to ensure compliance with fair lending laws.

- 17. First United's policy or practice of giving its employees broad subjective discretion in handling every aspect of the unsecured consumer loan transaction had a disparate detrimental impact on Hispanic borrowers compared to similarly-situated non-Hispanic borrowers and is not justified by business necessity or legitimate business interests.
- 18. First United's actions, policies, and practices, as alleged herein, constitute discrimination against applicants with respect to credit transactions on the basis of national origin in violation of ECOA.
- 19. First United's actions, policies, and practices, as alleged herein, constitute a pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of rights secured by ECOA.
- 20. Persons who have been victims of First United's discriminatory actions, policies and practices are affected persons as defined in ECOA, 15 U.S.C. § 1691e, and have suffered injury and damages as a result of First United's violation of ECOA.
- 21. First United's pattern or practice of discrimination has been intentional, willful, and implemented with reckless disregard for the rights of Hispanic borrowers.

WHEREFORE, the United States prays that the Court enter an ORDER that:

1. Declares that the policies and practices of the Defendant constitute violations of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1691-1691f;

Case 3:15-cv-00144-L Document 1 Filed 01/15/15 Page 5 of 6 PageID 5

2. Enjoins the Defendant, its agents, employees, successors, and assigns, and all

other persons in active concert or participation with it, from:

Discriminating on the basis of national origin against any person with a.

respect to any aspect of a credit transaction;

Failing or refusing to take such affirmative steps as may be necessary to b.

restore, as nearly as practicable, the victims of the Defendant's unlawful conduct

to the position they would have been in but for the discriminatory conduct; and

Failing or refusing to take such actions as may be necessary to prevent the c.

recurrence of any such discriminatory conduct in the future.

3. Awards equitable relief and monetary damages to all the victims of the

Defendant's discriminatory policies and practices for the injuries caused by the Defendant,

including direct economic costs, consequential damages, and other damages, pursuant to 15

U.S.C. § 1691e(h).

The United States further prays for such additional relief as the interests of justice may

require.

Dated: January 15, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

ERIC HOLDER Attorney General

JOHN R. PARKER Acting United States Attorney /s/ Vanita Gupta____

VANITA GUPTA Acting Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division

/s/ Steven H. Rosenbaum_

STEVEN H. ROSENBAUM

Chief

Housing and Civil Enforcement Section Civil Rights Division

/s/ T. J. Johnson

T. J. JOHNSON

Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office Northern District of Texas 1100 Commerce Street, Third Floor Dallas, Texas 75242-1699

Phone: (214) 659-8715 Email: tj.johnson@usdoj.gov Texas Bar No. 10794175 /s/ Lucy G. Carlson

COTY R. MONTAG Deputy Chief LUCY G. CARLSON Trial Attorney

Housing and Civil Enforcement Section Civil Rights Division U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Northwestern Building, 7th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20530 Phone: (202) 305-0017 Fax: (202) 514-1116

Email: lucy.carlson@usdoj.gov

Case 3:15-cv-00144-L Document 2-3 Filed 01/15/15 Page 1 of 1 PageID 7 JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States inSeptember 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			NC	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "Y"	in One Box Only)	III CITIZI	ENSHIP OF 1	PRINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	2,		versity Cases Only) I		Incorporated or Pri	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of A	Citizen of Another State				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	7 (P) (VVII) 0 P (Citizen or Su Foreign Co	-	3 0 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
CONTRACT		Only) ORTS	FORFFI	TURE/PENALTY	RAN	NKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Otl 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Company Comp	Related Seizure operty 21 USC 881 LABOR Labor Standards TMgmt. Relations ray Labor Act y and Medical e Act Labor Litigation Ret. Inc. ity Act IIGRATION alization Application as Corpus - Detainee oner Petition) Immigration	□ 422 Appe □ 423 With 28 U PROPEI □ 820 Copy □ 830 Pater □ 840 Trade □ 861 HIA □ 862 Blace □ 863 DIW □ 864 SSIE □ 865 RSI (FEDER □ 870 Taxe or D □ 871 IRS- 26 U	eal 28 USC 158 drawal JSC 157 RTY RIGHTS vrights at emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
□ 1 Original □ 2 Rei	n "X" in One Box Only) moved from □ 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	1 4 Reinstated Reopened		sferred from her district	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	j	atute under which you as	re filing (Do not	cite jurisdictional s	tatutes unless d	liversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION . 23	N DEMAN	ND \$		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	ET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF REG	CORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY								
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE	