

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary**Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)****Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)**

- | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1. Date of Submission: | 8/17/2007 |
| 2. Agency: | Department of Justice |
| 3. Bureau: | Federal Bureau Of Investigation |
| 4. Name of this Capital Asset: | FBI Foreign Terrorist Tracking System |
| 5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.) | 011-10-02-00-01-2808-00 |
| 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) | Mixed Life Cycle |
| 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? | FY2002 |
| 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap: | |
| <p>The purpose of this investment is to provide critical IT support to the mission of the Foreign Terrorist Tracking Task Force (FTTTF) / National Security Analysis Center (NSAC) to assist FBI National Security Branch (NSB) components in the detection, identification, tracking and assessment of individuals and entities that pose threats to the United States and its interests. If not funded, the FTTTF/NSAC will be unable to support the NSB's ability to address national security threats.</p> | |
| 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? | Yes |
| a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? | 5/19/2007 |
| 10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? | Yes |
| 11. Contact information of Project Manager? | |
| Name | G Clayton Grigg, Acting Director |
| Phone Number | 703-553-7990 |
| Email | GCCGrigg@fbinet.fbi |
| a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager? | TBD |
| 12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project? | Yes |
| a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? | Yes |
| b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) | No |
| 1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment? | |
| 2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles? | |
| 3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code? | |
| 13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? | Yes |
| If "yes," check all that apply: | Expanded E-Government |
| a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? | |

Exhibit 300: FBI Foreign Terrorist Tracking System (Revision 1)

(e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)

a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?

b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?

c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

15. Is this investment for information technology? Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Level 2

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) (1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23) No

19. Is this a financial management system? No

a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMI compliance area?

1. If "yes," which compliance area:

2. If "no," what does it address?

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

| | |
|----------|----|
| Hardware | 16 |
| Software | 14 |
| Services | 69 |
| Other | 1 |

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? N/A

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

| | |
|--------------|--|
| Name | David C. Larson |
| Phone Number | 202-324-1691 |
| Title | Deputy General Counsel/FBI Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer |
| E-mail | David.Larson@ic.fbi.gov |

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? No

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? No

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full

Exhibit 300: FBI Foreign Terrorist Tracking System (Revision 1)

Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

| Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------|
| (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions) | | | | | | | | | |
| | PY-1 and earlier | PY 2007 | CY 2008 | BY 2009 | BY+1 2010 | BY+2 2011 | BY+3 2012 | BY+4 and beyond | Total |
| Planning: | 20.96 | 3.327 | 4.442 | 0.933 | | | | | |
| Acquisition: | 40.71 | 7.443 | 11.77 | 11.282 | | | | | |
| Subtotal Planning & Acquisition: | 61.67 | 10.770 | 16.212 | 12.215 | | | | | |
| Operations & Maintenance: | 40.07 | 8.762 | 9.163 | 18.286 | | | | | |
| TOTAL: | 101.74 | 19.532 | 25.375 | 30.501 | | | | | |
| Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above. | | | | | | | | | |
| Government FTE Costs | 2 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 2.7 | | | | | |
| Number of FTE represented by Costs: | 6 | 5 | 5 | 18 | | | | | |

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? Yes

a. If "yes," How many and in what year? 13 in BY2009

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

On June 28, 2005, the President issued White House Memorandum: Strengthening the ability of the Department of Justice to Meet Challenges of the Security of the Nation which directed the Attorney General to establish a "National Security Service" and combine the missions, capabilities, and resources of counterterrorism, counterintelligence, and intelligence elements of the FBI. The FBI subsequently created the National Security Branch (NSB). The NSB mission is to optimally position the FBI to protect the US against WMD, terrorist attacks, foreign intelligence operations, and espionage.

In order to meet the NSB mission, the NSB must deliver new analytical capabilities and technical products, which will provide real-time, unclassified, terrorism threat information to State, local and Tribal law enforcement agencies.

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Exhibit 300: FBI Foreign Terrorist Tracking System (Revision 1)

| 3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s): | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|---|
| Name of System | Agency/ or Contractor Operated System? | Planned Operational Date | Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems) |
| TACDCN (U) (ECCS/e-Guardian) | Government Only | 10/1/2008 | 10/1/2008 |

| 4. Operational Systems - Security Table: | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|
| Name of System | Agency/ or Contractor Operated System? | NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low) | Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N) | Date Completed: C&A | What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A) | Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing | Date the contingency plan tested |
| Platinum (FTTS/NSAS, Guardian) | Government Only | | Yes | 8/8/2005 | FIPS 200 / NIST 800-53 | 5/24/2007 | 5/24/2007 |
| TACDCN (U) (ECCS/e-Guardian) | Government Only | | Yes | 4/25/2005 | FIPS 200 / NIST 800-53 | 5/24/2007 | 5/24/2007 |

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?

a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?

a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

N/A

| 8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table: | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| (a) Name of System | (b) Is this a new system? (Y/N) | (c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N) | (d) Internet Link or Explanation | (e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N) | (f) Internet Link or Explanation |
| FTTS/STAR | Yes | Yes | This system is a national security system and therefore not subject to the PIA requirement under Section 208 of the E-Government Act of 2002; however, as a matter of privacy policy, DOJ conducts a PIA on national security systems, but does not publish the PIA due to the sensitive nature of the system | Yes | http://www.usdoj.gov/jmd/privacyact.html |
| FTTF Platinum | No | No | No PIA is required by the E-Government Act for this system | Yes | http://www.usdoj.gov/jmd/privacyact.html |
| FTTF TACDCN (U) | No | No | No PIA is required by the E-Government Act for this system | Yes | http://www.usdoj.gov/jmd/privacyact.html |

Details for Text Options:

Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.

Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.

Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the

Exhibit 300: FBI Foreign Terrorist Tracking System (Revision 1)

agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes

a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

2.a. NSB Supplemental FBI T&S Plan V2.0; 2.c. Services to Citizens - Def & Nat'l Security Ops - Anti Terrorism

b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture? No

a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

| Agency Component Name | Agency Component Description | FEA SRM Service Domain | FEA SRM Service Type | FEA SRM Component (a) | Service Component Reused Name (b) | Service Component Reused UPI (b) | Internal or External Reuse? (c) | BY Funding Percentage (d) |
|-----------------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| FTTS/NSAS | Foreign Terrorist Tracking System/National Security Analysis | Back Office Services | Data Management | Data Cleansing | | | Internal | 10 |
| Data Mart/IDW | Integration Effort with FBI Integrated Data Warehouse | Back Office Services | Data Management | Data Cleansing | | | Internal | 5 |
| ECCS | Entity Collaboration and Consolidation System | Back Office Services | Data Management | Extraction and Transformation | | | Internal | 0 |
| FTTS /NSAS | Foreign Terrorist Tracking System/National Security Analysis | Business Analytical Services | Knowledge Discovery | Data Mining | | | Internal | 15 |
| FTTS/NSAS | Foreign Terrorist Tracking System/National Security Analysis System | Digital Asset Services | Knowledge Management | Information Mapping / Taxonomy | | | Internal | 10 |
| Data Mart/IDW | Integration Effort with FBI Integrated Data Warehouse | Digital Asset Services | Knowledge Management | Information Mapping / Taxonomy | | | Internal | 5 |
| FTTS/NSAS | Foreign Terrorist Tracking System/National Security Analysis System | Digital Asset Services | Knowledge Management | Information Retrieval | | | Internal | 25 |
| Data Mart/IDW | Integration Effort with FBI Integrated Data Warehouse | Digital Asset Services | Knowledge Management | Information Retrieval | | | Internal | 10 |
| Guardian/eGuardian | Classified and Unclassified sharing of terrorist & suspicious activities | Digital Asset Services | Knowledge Management | Information Retrieval | | | Internal | 4 |
| FTTS/NSAS | Foreign Terrorist Tracking System/National Security Analysis System | Digital Asset Services | Knowledge Management | Information Sharing | | | Internal | 5 |
| Data Mart/IDW | Integration Effort with FBI Integrated Data Warehouse | Digital Asset Services | Knowledge Management | Information Sharing | | | Internal | 6 |

Exhibit 300: FBI Foreign Terrorist Tracking System (Revision 1)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
 Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

| Agency Component Name | Agency Component Description | FEA SRM Service Domain | FEA SRM Service Type | FEA SRM Component (a) | Service Component Reused Name (b) | Service Component Reused UPI (b) | Internal or External Reuse? (c) | BY Funding Percentage (d) |
|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Guardian/eGuardian | Classified and Unclassified sharing of terrorist & suspicious activities | Digital Asset Services | Knowledge Management | Information Sharing | | | Internal | 5 |
| ECCS | Entity Collaboration and Consolidation System | Process Automation Services | Tracking and Workflow | Case Management | | | Internal | 0 |

- a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.
- b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.
- c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.
- d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
 To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

| FEA SRM Component (a) | FEA TRM Service Area | FEA TRM Service Category | FEA TRM Service Standard | Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Information Retrieval | Component Framework | Data Interchange | Data Exchange | XML |
| Information Sharing | Service Access and Delivery | Access Channels | Collaboration / Communications | Microsoft Exchange |
| Information Retrieval | Service Access and Delivery | Access Channels | Web Browser | Microsoft Internet Explorer |
| Data Cleansing | Service Interface and Integration | Integration | Enterprise Application Integration | Informatica and First Logic |
| Data Mining | Service Interface and Integration | Integration | Enterprise Application Integration | SPSS Clementine |
| Case Management | Service Interface and Integration | Integration | Enterprise Application Integration | TBD COTS |
| Information Mapping / Taxonomy | Service Interface and Integration | Interoperability | Data Transformation | Informatica and First Logic |
| Extraction and Transformation | Service Interface and Integration | Interoperability | Data Transformation | Informatica and First Logic |
| Information Retrieval | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Database / Storage | Database | Oracle |
| Information Retrieval | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Web Servers | Apache |
| Information Sharing | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Support Platforms | Platform Independent | Oracle Application Server |

- a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications
 - b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.
6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)? No
- a. If "yes," please describe.

Exhibit 300: Part II: Planning, Acquisition and Performance Information

Section A: Alternatives Analysis (All Capital Assets)

Part II should be completed only for investments identified as "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed? 7/5/2007
 - b. If "no," what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?
 - c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

| 2. Alternative Analysis Results: | | * Costs in millions | |
|--|--|--|---|
| Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table: | | | |
| Alternative Analyzed | Description of Alternative | Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Costs estimate | Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits estimate |
| 2 - Transition to COTS | This alternative requires acquiring and transitioning to COTS systems to support the enhanced FTTTF and NSAC mission, and provide any additional resources needed to modify entity matching, resolution or risk assessment applications. This alternative will on rely on analytical automated solutions and take full advantage of investments from Commercial entities and reduces FTTTF?s life-cycle development costs. | | |
| Baseline | Status quo ? provide O&M support only. | | |

3. Which alternative was selected by the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee and why was it chosen?
 Alternative 2, provides the most cost effective migration path to operate and maintain existing, installed, baseline architecture, as well as to transition to COTS products.

4. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?
 The FTTTF mission is to assist in the fight against terrorism by providing information to agencies which will support the removal, exclusion, and prosecution of terrorists and their supporters. Since the number of terrorist events averted by such action can never be known, no qualitative benefit can be defined on that basis. However, other measures of qualitative benefits can be enumerated.

5. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part No
 or in-whole?
 a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment.
 b. If "yes," please provide the following information:

| List of Legacy Investment or Systems | | |
|--|------------------|-------------------------------|
| Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems | UPI if available | Date of the System Retirement |
| | | |

Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 11/11/2006

- b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? No
- c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

- a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?
- b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

FTTTF manages risks for this IT investment by following the FBI's Risk Management (RskM) Policy, Version 1.0, dated 11/14/2006. This policy outlines the designated activities to manage risk through out the LCMD, as well as documented plans, such as, Project Risk Management Plan and Project Management Plans.

Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

EVM is required only on DME portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included in the table (Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline). This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, as well as milestones in the current baseline.

- 1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard-748? No
- 2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than +/- 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100) Yes
 - a. If "yes," was it the CV or SV or both? CV
 - b. If "yes," explain the causes of the variance:

c. If "yes," describe the corrective actions:

New key Unit Chiefs are now in place, and they have developed greatly enhanced procedures for contract re-competes, as well as more accurate and complete work-breakdown-structure reporting methods. The number of IT support personnel is being increased by 50%, and CIO level oversight is ensuring FTTTF priorities are being addressed.

- 3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year? No
 - a. If "yes," when was it approved by the agency head?

Exhibit 300: FBI Foreign Terrorist Tracking System (Revision 1)

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

| Milestone Number | Description of Milestone | Initial Baseline | | Current Baseline | | | | Current Baseline Variance | | Percent Complete |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------|---------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | | Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Total Cost (\$M) Estimated | Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | | Total Cost (\$M) | | Schedule (# days) | Cost (\$M) | |
| | | | | Planned | Actual | Planned | Actual | | | |
| 1 | FTTS/NSAS: Plan to implement the LCMD | 9/30/2007 | \$0.92 | | 9/30/2007 | \$0.92 | \$0.59 | | \$0.1 | 75% |
| 2 | FTTS/NSAS: Implement project oversight (LCMD, metrics, PHA) | 9/30/2007 | \$0.4 | | 9/30/2007 | \$0.4 | \$0.37 | | \$-0.07 | 75% |
| 3 | FTTS/NSAS: Provide O&M support for components: DEEP; STAR; Q-TIP (P1 & 2); Infrastructure (Nets, DBMSs, servers); TPAU DB Appl (?) | 9/30/2007 | \$5.94 | | 9/30/2007 | \$5.94 | \$3.63 | | \$0.825 | 75% |
| 4 | FTTS/NSAS: Implement Rational for CM | 9/30/2007 | \$0.05 | | 9/30/2007 | \$0.05 | \$0 | | \$0.0375 | 75% |
| 5 | FTTS/NSAS: Improve system availability | 9/30/2007 | \$0.05 | | 9/30/2007 | \$0.05 | \$0.08 | | \$-0.0425 | 75% |
| 6 | FTTS/NSAS: Hardware/Software Maintenance | 9/30/2007 | \$2.2 | | 9/30/2007 | \$2.2 | \$1.25 | | \$0.4 | 75% |
| 7 | FTTS/NSAS: Tech refresh | 9/30/2007 | \$0.5 | | 9/30/2007 | \$0.5 | \$0.79 | | \$-0.415 | 75% |
| 8 | FTTS/NSAS: Data Ingest & Exploitation | 9/30/2007 | \$2.47 | | 9/30/2007 | \$2.47 | \$1.78 | | \$0.0725 | 75% |
| 9 | FTTS/NSAS: Implement Q-TIP P3 architecture | 9/30/2007 | \$1 | | 9/30/2007 | \$1 | \$1.59 | | \$-0.84 | 75% |

Exhibit 300: FBI Foreign Terrorist Tracking System (Revision 1)

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

| Milestone Number | Description of Milestone | Initial Baseline | | Current Baseline | | | | Current Baseline Variance | | Percent Complete |
|------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------|---------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | | Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Total Cost (\$M) Estimated | Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | | Total Cost (\$M) | | Schedule (# days) | Cost (\$M) | |
| | | | | Planned | Actual | Planned | Actual | | | |
| 10 | FTTS/NSAS: Transition licensing and maintenance agreements to utilize FBI enterprise agreements | 9/30/2007 | \$0.05 | | 9/30/2007 | \$0.05 | \$0 | | \$0.0375 | 75% |
| 11 | FTTS/NSAS: Finalize STAR 1.0 requirements | 9/30/2007 | \$1.57 | | 9/30/2007 | \$1.57 | \$0.91 | | \$0.2675 | 75% |
| 12 | FTTS/NSAS: Develop STAR 1.0 | 9/30/2007 | \$1.4 | | 9/30/2007 | \$1.4 | \$0.46 | | \$0.59 | 75% |
| 13 | FTTS/NSAS: Data mart reengineering | 9/30/2008 | \$0.5 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 14 | FTTS/NSAS: Develop STAR 1.0 | 9/30/2008 | \$2.67 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 15 | FTTS/NSAS: Develop NSAC Requirements | 9/30/2008 | \$0.5 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 16 | FTTS/NSAS: Gather DM/IDW-I requirements | 9/30/2008 | \$0.5 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 17 | FTTS/NSAS: Define IT COOP requirements | 9/30/2008 | \$0.5 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 18 | FTTS/NSAS: Design, dev, test Entity Resolution | 9/30/2008 | \$2.5 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 19 | FTTS/NSAS: Implement the LCMD | 9/30/2008 | \$0.4 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

| Milestone Number | Description of Milestone | Initial Baseline | | Current Baseline | | | | Current Baseline Variance | | Percent Complete |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|---------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | | Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Total Cost (\$M) Estimated | Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | | Total Cost (\$M) | | Schedule (# days) | Cost (\$M) | |
| | | | | Planned | Actual | Planned | Actual | | | |
| 20 | FTTS/NSAS: Continue infrastructure acquisition and rollout to NSAC | 9/30/2008 | \$0.75 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 21 | FTTS/NSAS: Tech refresh | 9/30/2008 | \$0.6 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 22 | FTTS/NSAS: Provide O&M support for components: DEEP; STAR; Q-TIP (P1 & 2); Infrastructure (Nets, DBMSs, servers); TPAU DB Appl | 9/30/2008 | \$5.76 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 23 | FTTS/NSAS: Hardware/Software Maintenance | 9/30/2008 | \$3 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 24 | FTTS/NSAS: Begin ECCS requirements gathering | 9/30/2008 | \$0.1 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 25 | FTTS/NSAS: Plan STAR 2.0 | 9/30/2008 | \$0.1 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 26 | FTTS/NSAS: Provide desktop/Helpdesk training and support | 9/30/2008 | \$0.75 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 27 | FTTS/NSAS: Improve system availability | 9/30/2008 | \$0.1 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 28 | FTTS/NSAS: Data Ingest & | 9/30/2008 | \$2.27 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

| Milestone Number | Description of Milestone | Initial Baseline | | Current Baseline | | | | Current Baseline Variance | | Percent Complete |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|---------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | | Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Total Cost (\$M) Estimated | Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | | Total Cost (\$M) | | Schedule (# days) | Cost (\$M) | |
| | | | | Planned | Actual | Planned | Actual | | | |
| | Exploitation | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | FTTS/NSAS: Provide O&M support for components: DEEP; STAR; Q-TIP (P1 & 2); Infrastructure (Nets, DBMSs, servers); TPAU DB Appl | 9/30/2009 | \$11.46 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 30 | FTTS/NSAS: Tech refresh | 9/30/2009 | \$6.2 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 31 | FTTS/NSAS: Continue ECCS requirements gathering | 9/30/2009 | \$0.5 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 32 | FTTS/NSAS: Begin COOP implementation | 9/30/2009 | \$3.76 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 33 | FTTS/NSAS: Finalize STAR 2.0 planning | 9/30/2009 | \$0.78 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 34 | FTTS/NSAS: Improve system availability | 9/30/2009 | \$0.8 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 35 | FTTS/NSAS: Hardware/Software Maintenance | 9/30/2009 | \$3.2 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 36 | FTTS/NSAS: Data Ingest & Exploitation | 9/30/2009 | \$4.56 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 37 | FTTS/NSAS: Finalize COOP purchases | | | | | | | | | |

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

| Milestone Number | Description of Milestone | Initial Baseline | | Current Baseline | | | | Current Baseline Variance | | Percent Complete |
|------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------|---------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | | Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Total Cost (\$M) Estimated | Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | | Total Cost (\$M) | | Schedule (# days) | Cost (\$M) | |
| | | | | Planned | Actual | Planned | Actual | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 70 | eGuardian: Explore concepts | 9/30/2007 | \$0.25 | | 9/30/2007 | \$0.25 | \$0.21 | | \$-0.0225 | 75% |
| 71 | eGuardian: Develop requirements | 9/30/2007 | \$0.15 | | 9/30/2007 | \$0.15 | \$0.05 | | \$0.0625 | 75% |
| 72 | eGuardian: Design e-Guardian 1.0 | 9/30/2007 | \$1.43 | | 9/30/2007 | \$1.43 | \$0.12 | | \$0.9525 | 75% |
| 73 | eGuardian: Develop & test | 9/30/2007 | \$1.2 | | 9/30/2007 | \$1.2 | \$0.15 | | \$0.75 | 75% |
| 74 | eGuardian: Implement system and integrate | 9/30/2007 | \$0.5 | | 9/30/2007 | \$0.5 | \$0 | | \$0.375 | 75% |
| 75 | eGuardian: Complete acquisition activities | 9/30/2008 | \$3.6 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 76 | eGuardian: Provide O&M support | 9/30/2008 | \$0.21 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 77 | eGuardian: Plan e-Guardian 2.0 | 9/30/2009 | \$0.32 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 78 | eGuardian: Provide O&M support for e-Guardian | 9/30/2009 | \$0.8 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

| Milestone Number | Description of Milestone | Initial Baseline | | Current Baseline | | | | Current Baseline Variance | | Percent Complete |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|---------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | | Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Total Cost (\$M) Estimated | Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | | Total Cost (\$M) | | Schedule (# days) | Cost (\$M) | |
| | | | | Planned | Actual | Planned | Actual | | | |
| | workflow rollout | | | | | | | | | |
| 96 | ECCS: Complete workflow rollout | | | | | | | | | |
| 97 | ECCS: Begin O&M | | | | | | | | | |
| 98 | ECCS: Provide O&M support for ECCS | | | | | | | | | |
| 99 | ECCS: Provide O&M support for ECCS | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | DM/IDW: Minor, except where noted in FTTS/NSAS | 9/30/2007 | \$0 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 101 | DM/IDW: Minor, except where noted in FTTS/NSAS | 9/30/2008 | \$0 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 102 | DM/IDW: Finalize planning | 9/30/2009 | \$1.11 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 103 | DM/IDW: Design, develop, & test | 9/30/2009 | \$3.99 | | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0% |
| 104 | IDM/IDW: mplement & integrate | | | | | | | | | |
| 105 | DM/IDW: Develop COOP requirements | | | | | | | | | |
| 106 | DM/IDW: Acquire hardware & software | | | | | | | | | |
| 107 | DM/IDW: | | | | | | | | | |

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

| Milestone Number | Description of Milestone | Initial Baseline | | Current Baseline | | | | Current Baseline Variance | | Percent Complete |
|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|---------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | | Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Total Cost (\$M) Estimated | Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | | Total Cost (\$M) | | Schedule (# days) | Cost (\$M) | |
| | | | | Planned | Actual | Planned | Actual | | | |
| | Acquire new data for ingest | | | | | | | | | |
| 108 | DM/IDW: Provide O&M support | | | | | | | | | |
| 109 | DM/IDW: Provide O&M support | | | | | | | | | |
| 110 | DM/IDW: Provide O&M support | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |