

---

**From:** Raman, Sujit (ODAG)  
**Sent:** Monday, June 25, 2018 4:41 PM  
**To:** Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)  
**Cc:** O'Callaghan, Edward C. (ODAG); Bolitho, Zachary (ODAG)  
**Subject:** Draft Cyber Task Force Report (Intro -- Chapter 6)  
**Attachments:** Introduction 6-25 135 PM.PDF; Chapter 1 6-25 135 PM.PDF; Chapter 2 6-25 210 PM.PDF; Chapter 3 6-25 213 PM.PDF; Chapter 4 6-25 213 PM.PDF; Chapter 5 6-25 215 PM.PDF; Chapter 6 6-25 215 PM.PDF; pull quotes full page 2-up RH page.jpg; pull quotes full page aligned mid-page.jpg; pull quotes sort of centered with text wrap around quotes sig 1pt smalle....jpg; pull quotes 1-COL OUTSIDE columns mid-page.jpg; Chapter 4 6-22 837 PM.PDF

**Importance:** High

Please see attached for the latest/updated versions of the substance of the CDTF report (Intro Chapter 6). The formatter provides a sense of the scheduling in her note below. Please let me know with any questions of course, I welcome all feedback.

---

**From:** Irvine, Margaret D. (JMD)  
**Sent:** Monday, June 25, 2018 3:26 PM  
**To:** Raman, Sujit (ODAG (b) (6) >  
**Cc:** Cohn, Douglas (JMD (b) (6) Scholz, Paula A (JMD (b) (6) >; Cvrkel, Marny (JMD (b) (6) >  
**Subject:** Intro, CH1-CH3, CH5-6

Hi, Sujit,

These chapters are attached and ready for your review:

- Intro
- CH1
- CH2
- CH3
- CH5
- CH6

Re: Ch 6

Attached are ideas for the two pull quotes. Hope you like what's in the chapter, because the alternatives would need to be recreated. Also, slides 2+3 looked better upright. This chapter was thick with rewrites/edits; please read very carefully (but please, please do not rewrite content).

Re: the SCHEDULE

I would LOVE to have the most attractive layout possible (meaning, I feel guilty about trying different things in CH6 because of the time I used up) and I know you want the best-written report possible. But I'm desperately concerned by the amount of work left to do. We missed the critical goal of having a clean PDF of the text on Friday of last week. Today may only seem like one day later, but between Friday and this morning, I worked 9.5 hours. So, in terms of scheduling, we are 2 days behind schedule.

**By COB tomorrow, I need you to return the chapters listed above, and the following material:**

- **CH4** (still not back for edits)
- **the Revised Cover** (I still need to do)
- **the revised Appendices layout** (I still need to do; you still owe me Acronyms list)
- **the revised Front Matter** (I still need to do )
- **the revised TOC** (I still need to do)

If you have sent me all I need to make the above elements, thank you. I'm going to dig into that next.

If I am missing any piece to any element list above, please send those pieces to me asap.

**BIG PICTURE:**

**You must provide all final material (listed above) by COB tomorrow, or this report is in danger of not being completed by Thursday night.**

Here is what must happen:

Tuesday you review what I send you

Tuesday COB you send EVERYTHING back to me

Wednesday day I make all edits to all copy\*

Wednesday COB I provide a clean proof of the Report

Thursday NOON you submit any final touch-up edits

Thursday afternoon I make the final touch-up edits, create a final PDF

\*This makes me very nervous, because I can only do Wednesday's work as project if the edits are minor. And I must finish editing by 2 pm to have time to make the layout decisions on the all pages a critical investment in making this an elegant report.

My experience with projects like this is that there is always a point where content editing (rewriting) must stop. That is where we are, right now. I cannot state that forcefully enough, even at the risk of overstepping my role in this project. Edits you make to this material now should be only to correct spelling errors in names, or egregious errors to content. Ideally, by Wednesday, each chapter will have only 5-10 edits, and no edit will change the length of the line being edited.

That is where we need to be by 8:00 a.m. Wednesday.

.

Thank you.

Peggy, in her taskmaster role

---

**Margaret Irvine, Senior Printing Specialist**

**950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Room B-634**

**Washington, D.C. 20530**

**Phone** (b) (6)

**Fax: (202) 353-7182**

**(b) (6)**

## Freeman, Lindsey (OLP)

---

**From:** Freeman, Lindsey (OLP)  
**Sent:** Monday, June 25, 2018 6:47 PM  
**To:** Freeman, Lindsey (OLP)  
**Subject:** National Security Periodic Policy Digest - June 25, 2018  
**Attachments:** 2018.06.25 OLP Natl Security Policy Digest.docx; 2018.06.25 OLP Natl Security Policy Digest.pdf

### NATIONAL SECURITY PERIODIC POLICY DIGEST – June 25, 2018

#### Data and Research

- [SC Media Encryption: U.S. Is The Most Exposed Country, report](#): A new report conducted by research company Rapid7 noted that the United States scored the highest in terms of web “exposure,” meaning the country is at “significant risk of crucial data loss in a coordinated attack.” The United States is exposed because it has the highest number of online services that “either don’t offer modern cryptographic protection or are otherwise unsuitable to offer on the increasingly hostile internet.”
- [UC Berkley Center for Long-Term Cybersecurity Privacy and the Internet of Things \(IoT\)](#): The UC Berkley Center for Long-Term Cybersecurity published a report warning of the growing dangers of privacy breaches arising from the IoT. The report urges lawmakers and tech companies to make a greater effort to stave off “hackers ... [and] protect individuals’ privacy as part of their normal operation.”
- [Harvard National Security Journal Managing National Security in an Open Economy](#): Jonathan Wakely and Andrew Indorf (Covington & Burling LLP) offer principles to guide reforming the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS), focusing on protecting national security while creating a business atmosphere welcoming to foreign investment. Congressional committees are currently debating CFIUS reform, and the article evaluates specific proposed reforms, paying particular attention to the Foreign Investment Risk Review Modernization Act, introduced in November 2017.
- [Hoover Institution Strengths Become Vulnerabilities: How A Digital World Disadvantages the United States In Its International Relations](#): Harvard Law Professor Jack Goldsmith and UC Berkley Professor Stuart Russell argue that the U.S.’s defining features such as government transparency, commitment to free speech, and regulatory skepticism create “structural downsides” that can be exploited by authoritarian adversaries. These downsides have led to severe and ongoing losses, largely due to cyber operations against the United States. According to the authors, the United States has not effectively addressed cyberattacks, which the country may be “especially ill-suited to respond to or deal with.”

#### What They’re Saying

- Just Security (Richard L. Hasen): [Will the Supreme Court’s Understanding of the First Amendment Thwart Laws Aimed at Limiting Foreign Influence in U.S. Elections?](#) (arguing that even if the Supreme Court has held that false speech gets First Amendment protection, Congress should still be able to pass “carefully drawn laws” that require search companies to provide information that consumers can use to judge the accuracy of published content).
- Council on Foreign Relations (Jason Healey): [Not the Cyber Deterrence the United States Wants](#) (claiming that evidence suggests the Obama Administration was deterred from carrying out cyberattacks in response to

Russian election interference).

- The New York Times (Alvaro M. Bedoya): [A License to Discriminate](#) (arguing that Congress should recognize privacy as a civil rights issue because user tracking is frequently used to target or exclude vulnerable groups).
- The Agenda (Marc Benioff, CEO of Salesforce): [Time for Silicon Valley to Get Behind a National Privacy Law](#) (calling for national privacy regulation, requiring the technology industry (1) to be more transparent, (2) give consumers greater control over their data, and (3) to be held accountable for “unfair or deceptive” practices).
- The Hoover Institution (Daphne Keller): [Internet Platforms: Observations on Speech, Danger, and Money](#) (arguing that there are costs – lost free expression rights, security costs, and economic costs – to private internet platforms policing free speech).
- The New York Times (David E. Sanger): [Why Hackers Aren’t Afraid of Us](#) (arguing that cyber attackers are currently not deterred because the risk of retaliation is low and the United States lacks a coherent strategy for responding to cyber threats; recommending that the United States improve its cyber defenses, clarify cyber defense priorities, and better publicize its cyber capabilities).
- National Review (Jerry Hendrix): [Space: The New Strategic Heartland](#) (arguing that the United States should establish a “U.S. Space Force” because space is becoming a “militarized domain” through which “money, information, and entertainment flow”; given recent efforts by China and Russia to develop technologies to disrupt U.S. satellites, the United States cannot afford to continue lagging behind in this realm).
- Cyber Scoop (Ryan Duffy): [Private Sector Warms to U.S. Cyber Command Carrying Out ‘Hack Backs’](#) (arguing that, despite its risks, the U.S. government – through U.S. Cyber Command – should sometimes retaliate against cyberattacks that target private companies).
- Council on Foreign Relations (Gil Baram): [The Theft and Reuse of Advanced Offensive Cyber Weapons Pose a Growing Threat](#) (arguing that, when a “vulnerability, exploit or tool” has been stolen, the relevant agency should notify other agencies or firms that may be targeted using the stolen technologies).

## **Congress**

- **June 12, 2018** *Hearing* [The Senate Judiciary Committee](#) held a hearing on election interference, with testimony by Adam Hickey (Deputy Assistant Attorney General, National Security Division, DOJ) and Matthew Masterson (National Protection and Programs Directorate, DHS).
- **June 18, 2018** *Legislation* The Senate passed its version of the [John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019](#), which includes provisions relating to cyber military operations. It will now go to conference for reconciliation with the [House version](#).
- **June 19, 2018** *Hearing* [The House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform](#) hosted a hearing focused on oversight of the FBI and DOJ actions in advance of the 2016 election.
- **June 19, 2018** *Hearing* The [Senate Subcommittee on Consumer Protection, Product Safety, Insurance, and Data Security](#) held a hearing on the data privacy risks of “Cambridge Analytica and Other Facebook Partners.”
- **June 20, 2018** *Hearing* The [Senate Committee on Rules and Administration](#) held a hearing on state and local perspectives on election security, with testimony by Jay Ashcroft (MO Secretary of State), Connie Lawson (IN Secretary of State), Steve Simon (MN Secretary of State), and Jim Condos (VT Secretary of State).
- **June 20, 2018** *Hearing* The [Senate Select Committee on Intelligence](#) held a hearing on policy responses to

Russian interference in the 2016 U.S. elections, with testimony by Michael Daniel (President, Cyber Threat Alliance), and Victoria Nuland (CEO, Center for a New American Security).

- **June 21, 2018** *Forum* Chairman of the House Homeland Security Committee Rep. Michael McCaul (R-TX), Rep. Dutch Ruppersberger (D-MD), Sen. Marco Rubio (R-FL), and Sen. Chris Coons (D-DE) presented the second annual, bipartisan [Capitol Hill National Security Forum](#) (CHNSF) to “address the state of national security and discuss ideas and policies for improving U.S. defenses at home and abroad.”

**Lindsey Freeman**

**Counsel**

Office of Legal Policy  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20530

Offic (b) (6)

Cel (b) (6)

(b) (6)

**NATIONAL SECURITY PERIODIC POLICY DIGEST – June 25, 2018**

**Data and Research**

- [SC Media Encryption: U.S. Is The Most Exposed Country, report](#): A new report conducted by research company Rapid7 noted that the United States scored the highest in terms of web “exposure,” meaning the country is at “significant risk of crucial data loss in a coordinated attack.” The United States is exposed because it has the highest number of online services that “either don’t offer modern cryptographic protection or are otherwise unsuitable to offer on the increasingly hostile internet.”
- [UC Berkley Center for Long-Term Cybersecurity Privacy and the Internet of Things \(IoT\)](#): The UC Berkley Center for Long-Term Cybersecurity published a report warning of the growing dangers of privacy breaches arising from the IoT. The report urges lawmakers and tech companies to make a greater effort to stave off “hackers ... [and] protect individuals’ privacy as part of their normal operation.”
- [Harvard National Security Journal Managing National Security in an Open Economy](#): Jonathan Wakely and Andrew Indorf (Covington & Burling LLP) offer principles to guide reforming the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS), focusing on protecting national security while creating a business atmosphere welcoming to foreign investment. Congressional committees are currently debating CFIUS reform, and the article evaluates specific proposed reforms, paying particular attention to the Foreign Investment Risk Review Modernization Act, introduced in November 2017.
- [Hoover Institution Strengths Become Vulnerabilities: How A Digital World Disadvantages the United States In Its International Relations](#): Harvard Law Professor Jack Goldsmith and UC Berkley Professor Stuart Russell argue that the U.S.’s defining features such as government transparency, commitment to free speech, and regulatory skepticism create “structural downsides” that can be exploited by authoritarian adversaries. These downsides have led to severe and ongoing losses, largely due to cyber operations against the United States. According to the authors, the United States has not effectively addressed cyberattacks, which the country may be “especially ill-suited to respond to or deal with.”

**What They’re Saying**

- Just Security (Richard L. Hasen): [Will the Supreme Court’s Understanding of the First Amendment Thwart Laws Aimed at Limiting Foreign Influence in U.S. Elections?](#) (arguing that even if the Supreme Court has held that false speech gets First Amendment protection, Congress should still be able to pass “carefully drawn laws” that require search companies to provide information that consumers can use to judge the accuracy of published content).
- Council on Foreign Relations (Jason Healey): [Not the Cyber Deterrence the United States Wants](#) (claiming that evidence suggests the Obama Administration was deterred from carrying out cyberattacks in response to Russian election interference).
- The New York Times (Alvaro M. Bedoya): [A License to Discriminate](#) (arguing that Congress should recognize privacy as a civil rights issue because user tracking is frequently used to target or exclude vulnerable groups).

- The Agenda (Marc Benioff, CEO of Salesforce): [Time for Silicon Valley to Get Behind a National Privacy Law](#) (calling for national privacy regulation, requiring the technology industry (1) to be more transparent, (2) give consumers greater control over their data, and (3) to be held accountable for “unfair or deceptive” practices).
- The Hoover Institution (Daphne Keller): [Internet Platforms: Observations on Speech, Danger, and Money](#) (arguing that there are costs lost free expression rights, security costs, and economic costs to private internet platforms policing free speech).
- The New York Times (David E. Sanger): [Why Hackers Aren’t Afraid of Us](#) (arguing that cyber attackers are currently not deterred because the risk of retaliation is low and the United States lacks a coherent strategy for responding to cyber threats; recommending that the United States improve its cyber defenses, clarify cyber defense priorities, and better publicize its cyber capabilities).
- National Review (Jerry Hendrix): [Space: The New Strategic Heartland](#) (arguing that the United States should establish a “U.S. Space Force” because space is becoming a “militarized domain” through which “money, information, and entertainment flow”; given recent efforts by China and Russia to develop technologies to disrupt U.S. satellites, the United States cannot afford to continue lagging behind in this realm).
- Cyber Scoop (Ryan Duffy): [Private Sector Warms to U.S. Cyber Command Carrying Out ‘Hack Backs’](#) (arguing that, despite its risks, the U.S. government through U.S. Cyber Command should sometimes retaliate against cyberattacks that target private companies).
- Council on Foreign Relations (Gil Baram): [The Theft and Reuse of Advanced Offensive Cyber Weapons Pose a Growing Threat](#) (arguing that, when a “vulnerability, exploit or tool” has been stolen, the relevant agency should notify other agencies or firms that may be targeted using the stolen technologies).

### Congress

- **June 12, 2018** *Hearing* [The Senate Judiciary Committee](#) held a hearing on election interference, with testimony by Adam Hickey (Deputy Assistant Attorney General, National Security Division, DOJ) and Matthew Masterson (National Protection and Programs Directorate, DHS).
- **June 18, 2018** *Legislation* The Senate passed its version of the [John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019](#), which includes provisions relating to cyber military operations. It will now go to conference for reconciliation with the [House version](#).
- **June 19, 2018** *Hearing* [The House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform](#) hosted a hearing focused on oversight of the FBI and DOJ actions in advance of the 2016 election.
- **June 19, 2018** *Hearing* The [Senate Subcommittee on Consumer Protection, Product Safety, Insurance, and Data Security](#) held a hearing on the data privacy risks of “Cambridge Analytica and Other Facebook Partners.”

- **June 20, 2018** *Hearing* The [Senate Committee on Rules and Administration](#) held a hearing on state and local perspectives on election security, with testimony by Jay Ashcroft (MO Secretary of State), Connie Lawson (IN Secretary of State), Steve Simon (MN Secretary of State), and Jim Condos (VT Secretary of State).
- **June 20, 2018** *Hearing* The [Senate Select Committee on Intelligence](#) held a hearing on policy responses to Russian interference in the 2016 U.S. elections, with testimony by Michael Daniel (President, Cyber Threat Alliance), and Victoria Nuland (CEO, Center for a New American Security).
- **June 21, 2018** *Forum* Chairman of the House Homeland Security Committee Rep. Michael McCaul (R-TX), Rep. Dutch Ruppersberger (D-MD), Sen. Marco Rubio (R-FL), and Sen. Chris Coons (D-DE) presented the second annual, bipartisan [Capitol Hill National Security Forum](#) (CHNSF) to “address the state of national security and discuss ideas and policies for improving U.S. defenses at home and abroad.”

**O'Callaghan, Edward C. (ODAG)**

---

**From:** O'Callaghan, Edward C. (ODAG)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 29, 2018 11:59 AM  
**To:** Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Hannity from last night

For reference.

Edward C. O'Callaghan

(b) (6)

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) (b) (6)" >  
**Date:** June 29, 2018 at 11:46:21 AM EDT  
**To:** "Boyd, Stephen E. (OLA) (b) (6)" "O'Callaghan, Edward C. (ODAG)"  
(b) (6), "Bolitho, Zachary (ODAG) (b) (6)" >  
**Subject:** Fwd: Hannity from last night

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Pettit, Mark T. (OPA)" (b) (6) >  
**Date:** June 29, 2018 at 11:44:11 AM EDT  
**To:** "Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) (b) (6)" >  
**Subject:** Hannity from last night

**Intro**

sean:

a real thank you to all of those in law enforcement. an 60 seconds, you can't get a better response time. they saved a lot of lives. t we turn to our opening monologue. we'll start with today's huge showdown on capitol hill. the deputy attorney general rodd rosenstein, f director christopher wray appearing before the house judiciary committee where they were grilled by members of congress. it was a beat down. we have so many highlights to bring you, including the worst moments from rod rosenstein, who i'm told is unlike me. the deputy ag is continuing to obstruct the u.s. house of representatives and their subpoenas in its effort to check and do their constitutional duty and investigate actions by the fbi and doj. now house republicans are fighting back hard, contempt, impeachment, tonight is very much on the table. also tonight, america's left is ramping up, as i predicted, their disgusting rhetoric, political tactics ahead of the 2018 midterms, and some are actually trying to forcibly shut down immigration and

customs enforcement offices across the country. others are calling for the complete abolition of i.c.e. meanwhile many in the media, well, as we predicted, a full mental psychotic breakdown over the news that justiceus anthony kennedy is retiring. it's been a very bad week for democrats. sit tight. we have all of this and so much more and we are following all the details out of annapolis,ing news opening monologue. for over a year, house republicans have desperately been trying to conduct what is their constitutional oversight duties and properly investigate how the fbi and the doj handled, well, really, mishandled the content server investigation. we know she committed felonies, obstructed justice. also the ongoing russia collusion witch hunt and the fisa abuse, lying to fisa court judges is by an opposition party candidates in an election year. sadly their efforts, their document requests, their subpoenas, they have been met with nothing but obstruction and hatred, antipathy, and animus from the deputy ag, who has a bad temper, rod rosenstein. today some of these house members had a rare opportunity to hold rosenstein accountable right to his face. it started with this powerful opening statement from house judiciary committee chairman bob goodlatte. take a look.

the recent inspector general's report revealed bias in the top echelons of the fbi during a hotly contested presidential election. it revealed that fbi agents, lawyers, and analysts hold profound biases against then candidate donald trump and in favor of his opponent, hillary clinton. it is right out of a novel with salacious, unverified dossiers, reports of informants that appear more like spies for the u.s. government, and application of the aforementioned go surveillance powers to collect on a u.s. person once associated with president trump's political campaign. it's not a novel. it's real life.

sean: real life. next, they very ill tempered rod rosenstein, who again doesn't like me, i can't imagine why, he was grilled by ohio congressman jim jordan over rosenstein's blatant effort to hide important information from house investigators. again, this is their constitutional job. oversight, separation of the powers, government. look at this tense exchange. it's amazing.

--

why are you keeping information from congress?

congressman, i'm not keeping any information from congress.

in a few minutes, mr. rosenstein, i think that house of representatives will say something different.

i don't agree with you.

i disagree. i think, in a few minutes, the house of representatives is going to go on record saying you haven't complied with requests from a separate and equal branch of government, that you haven't complied with the subpoenas, and you got seven days to get your act together. i think that is what is going to happen by that is not to

jim jordan. i think that the majority of the house of representatives. in just a few minutes, i think that's going to happen. i want to know why you won't give us what you ask for.

sir, i certainly hope that your colleagues aren't under that impression. it's not accurate, sir.

it is accurate! we have got you

mr. chairman, can we allow the witness to answer?

--

sean: the house did in fact pass a resolution demanding that rosenstein comply with the document request and seven days or they do face the possibility, first of contempt, and even impeachment. by the way, the president can demand at some point everything be handed over, and that will then be a matter of law. in terms of the president having that power, one of the issues at play here, the house investigation into possible coordination between the clinton campaign and the fbi's initiation of that so-called trump-russia, which we now know to be witch hunt. one of the small part of the scandal surrounds bruce antonelli or. look right there, 2016, nally was conducting the oppo research for the clinton campaign. fusion gps, right alongside ex-barns by, foreign national christopher steele. allst while her husband, bruce, a high-ranking doj official, was the federal government's point of contact for the same christopher steele. rod totally unaware of the connection. ti really? i don't believe that. take a look.

--

you said earlier bruce ohr was not working on the russia investigation. let me ask you

r to my knowledge.

to your knowledge. did you not know that bruce ohr was meeting with christopher steele, getting information about the dossier, and supplying that information to the fbi at the same time his wife, nellie, was working for fusion gps, that was helping hillary clinton? did you not know he was doing that for the fbi?

correct. because you did not know that?

correct.

okay. his office as a couple of doors down but you had no idea he was actually the go-between to get that information.

--

sean: another key question was asked by a florida congressman ron desantis, a question we have not been asking right hereco on the show for months. why hasn't rod rosenstein recused himself from the mueller witch hunt? notice a lot of what you are hearing tonight should be recognizable to you because we have been reporting this for a long time. it was all true. take a look.

---

you appointed mueller, you're supervising mueller, and supposedly about collusion between trump's campaign and russia and obstruction of justice, but you wrote the memo saying that comey should be fired, and you signed the fisa extension forne carter page. my questionn is do you, it seems like you should be recused from this more so than jeff

sessions, you are involved making decisions involving this investigation. why haven't you done that?

if it were appropriate for me to recused, i would be more than happy to do so, and let someone else handle it. it is my responsibility to do it. all i can tell you

how do you have obstruction of justice possibility for a president exercising his powers to failure and fbi director that you said and oh, by the way, the report makes it clear that jim comey should have been fired. by redoing this with the mueller probe?

i am not commenting on what is going on in the mueller probe.

---

sean:

here we are, 407 long days into mueller's fishing exhibition. congressman trey gowdy, south carolina, confronting rod rosenstein with what we have all been thinking. watch this from today.

---

russia attacked this country. they should be the target but russia isn't being hurt by this investigation right [now.at](https://www.nowat.com) we are. this country is being hurt by it. we are being divided. we've seen the bias. using the pies. we need to see the evidence. if you have evidence of wrongdoing by any member of the trump campaign, presented to the damn grand jury. if you have evidence that the president acted inappropriately, presented to the american people people. justice delayed is justice denied. all of us are being denied. whatever you have god, finish it the hell up. this country is being torn apart apart.

---

sean:

finish it the hell up. that's right. are you watching what you have done to the country, what you are doing to the country? rod rosenstein, really, when is this and? rod, it is your constitutional duty, checks and balances, coequal branches, to provide members of congress with the subpoenaed documents and information they need so they can do their job -- their job and check your department. in seven days from today, if the doj continues as obstruction, i urge every single member of the house to now take action to, yes, move to contempt, and he has, impeachment. enough is enough. democrats are perfectly fine with doj obstruction. their friends in the deep state have a lot to hide. meanwhile, as robert mueller's witch hunt is producing literally zero evidence that the president did anything wrong, the people on the left are now predictably beginning to unravel. f their favorite new target, the u.s. immigration and customs enforcement agency, it's doing the job that congress passed, their laws. throughout the week, hundreds of left-wingin protesters, they "occupied" fisa offices across multiple cities, forcing some to temporarily close their doors on these demonstrators blocked interventions, obstructed i.c.e. employees, attempted to tamp out in front of the building, "the hill" reported many protesters celebrated the news that their occupation actually prompted delays and some operations at the center. "this may result in some immigrants being detained for weeks longer than expected." great job. this all culminated in washington, d.c., where 575 people were arrested after literally storming the center building. multiple democratic lawmakers were in attendance, including one congresswoman from washington, who was arrested. sadly, this anti-i.c.e. rhetoric on the left, on tv, he's even farmers.

## Part 2

sean:

reacting to deputy attorney general rod rosenstein appearance on capitol hill. sara carter. see that brooke? early july. you can preorder it on [amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com) or [barnesandnoble.com](https://www.barnesandnoble.com). it will be the definitive book, the licit scheme to clear hillary clinton and frame donald trump. fox news legal knowledge gregg jarrett. we can start fromme you. i captured a lot of inconsistencies that ifr know you did.

well, one could say they were lysed by rod rosenstein. for example, he denied ever threatening members of the intelligence committee and the staff in a january 10th meeting in which he threatened to get his hands on their emails, text, and phone records.

sean:

that's a little s scary, isn't it?

attracted to people in that meeting, they use the word, "threatened," and that he said that he was threatening to obtain those texts and emails and so forth. so that did not appear to be terribly truthful by him. he also wasn't truthful when he said i'm not withholding any evidence of subpoenaed records. well, his own letters refusing to turn over the documents or evidence that he is withholding, and yet he said, i'm not withholding. there wasn't a lot of truth in rod rosenstein today.

sean:

let me get your initial reaction, sara carter.

i think that when trey gowdy, representative trey gowdy, questioned him and made his statement, saying, if you have rosenstein, let's just get it out right now. stop driving the american public. this administration, and our country, through the mud. if you have any evidence that anything happened with the trump administration for mike president trump, get it out there. let us know what it is. he never wouldn't have done that that. you look at the ig report, how he evaded and avoided questions, i tell you, gregg is 100% right. i spoke in a similar sources who have been those backroom rod rosenstein

sean:

i spoke to someone who is personally the victim of a temper tantrum. a direct conversation that was relayed to me.

rod rosenstein flat out lied. he lied to congress, and he lied to the american people. we just got a call a spade a spade. if you look at the ig report, i want to go back to that really quick. we look at strzok, i was looking at a more detailed, talking with sources, and there is one point where strzok tried to explain the insurance policy question. in august, the text message that he sent to lisa page about having an insurance policy andur talking with andrew mccabe, and he explains it away as saying, we were talking about how aggressively were going to be or how overt we were going to be with this investigation. and when i was talking to fbi sources about this, they said, that is so telling. because at that moment in time, when he left that out to horwitz, when he made that statement, he was basically saying, we are going to go after drum, even if it means going after the people around him. even if it means putting this information out there in the media, even if it means arresting

people for crimes that were committed ten years ago or maybe 1 they are not even crimes, just getting that around the president. the chaos he really has been the fbi and the people on the seventh floor at the doj, and not even so much the russians anymore. real chaos in our country is coming from them.

sean:

c rosenstein said, i'mnething. i made a short list, wrote it down before the show. we'll stop trump, they had data from everybody from a period of time, he withheld the fact that strzok of rudolph contreras, he begged up to the last minute, paul ryan, not to release but became the nunes memo which told us about fisa abuse. the doj tried to hide the fact that two of the most important investigative investigators on the hillary and russia probe were anti-trump. five months after strzok and page were shut out the door, it was the inspector general that released for strzok-page texts. that's only the short-list, gregg.

what did rosenstein say? he calls it inappropriate. it has to be the most colossal understatement of all time, especially when you consider the ig said that this is a man who had a willingness to abuse his position of power to influence an election. by gerard rosenstein, oh, it's just inappropriate.

sean:

the things that stand out, all three of us have talked to at least one person, i've talked to one, you've talked to two. how many sources do you have that he has a temper?

i have at least four sources.

sean:

you are working harder than greg and i. [laughter]

i'm not.

sean:

's actions, highly inappropriate.ac no. that would be bringing an investigatione to influence a presidential election, and as your book says, a scheme to clear hillary and frame trump. that is what happened.

rosenstein said today, if it's appropriate, i'll recuse myself. it was appropriate a long time ago. he is a witness investigator, prosecutor, judge, into one.

sean:

say that again.e,

he's a witness, key witness, investigator, supervising their prosecution, which makes him a prosecutor, also the judge and jury because he decides whether any charges would be brought.

sean:

why is the only mad at me by name and not you and sara? frankly, i'm taking all the heat.

we are feeling a little jealous.

sean:

they tried to undermine the election to favor one candidate and screw the other candidate, and why the rest of the media ignores the story, i don't know.

it matters because they weaponized our tools of law enforcement, the nsa, the cia, the fbi, and everything else. this is such an enormous investigation. we haven't even talked about the unmasking's yet. they weaponized the tools -- we need to get back to that. we need to have answers. if the united states is going to move forward, if we are going to clean up our system, our fbi and intelligence agencies, we need to know what happened. the truth needs to come out, and the people that abused it need to be removed.

one of the most striking moments occurred today when he was asked about mueller's not one but two clearing conflicts of interest that are disqualifying. rosenstein said, i don't know of any conflict of interests with mr. mueller. he apparently doesn't read newspapers because dozens and dozens of law professors and lawyers i have written a myriad of columns explaining the disqualifying conflicts of interest of robert mueller. but rosenstein is either a modern-day machiavelli and or he is the most oblivious person to hold that position.

sean:

you know what someoneio said today, i really believed them. they said, hannity, you are trying to do this because you are undermining mueller. the whole story. the more important thing they said, they don't get at. they really believe that these guys -- i'll throw this to sara -- that they actually think that they were better, smarter, and that they think they are the super patriots and that we come of the people, are stupid. they knew better which is why they had to save hillary and a undermined trump.

they undermined the american people. they undermined the system that our nation is founded on, shawn. just look at at the most disparaging comments that strzok and page it said about people that shop at walmart, the american people, people in pennsylvania.

sean:

i can smell them. i shop at walmart. can you smell me?

there is nothing more disgusting than people thinking that they know everything. that is the reason why president trump won. people were sick and tired of being told they weren't smart enough, not good enough, they don't know what to do. you know what, the american people said, we are smart enough, we are good enough, and we don't really care what you have to say because we live in this country and we will vote for who we want to vote for. if trump hadn't have won, if you would not have won this election, think about what the alternative would be. we would never know what would have happened.

sean:

please mark that sara monologue. you get the last word.

people like rosenstein and comey and strzok and lisa page and mccabe and the whole gang are a symbol of corruption and how absolute power corrupts absolutely.

sean:

we haven't been wrong on one thing yet, have we?

not yet.

sean:

is scary. the media ignored it. frankly, you guys deserve pulitzer prizes. i can't. wait for your book. good job as always. when we comedo back,