IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.

Plaintiff,

v.

TICKETMASTER ENTERTAINMENT, INC., and LIVE NATION ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,

Defendants.

Case: 1:10-cv-00139-RMC

Assigned to: Collyer, Rosemary M.

Assign. Date: 1/25/2010 Description: Antitrust

DECLARATION OF MEAGAN K. BELLSHAW

- I, Meagan K. Bellshaw, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declare as follows:
 - I am a Trial Attorney with the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of
 Justice ("Antitrust Division"). I submit this declaration in support of the United States'
 Motion to Modify Final Judgment and Enter Amended Final Judgment ("Motion"). I am
 familiar with the matters set forth herein.
 - 2. Representatives from the Antitrust Division telephonically met and conferred with counsel for the Plaintiff States regarding the Antitrust Division's proposed motions against Live Nation on December 5, 2019. Counsel for each of the nineteen Plaintiff States were invited to participate. On information and belief, counsel from each of the Plaintiff States with the exception of Arkansas were present. Representatives from the Antitrust Division subsequently met and conferred telephonically with counsel for the Plaintiff States on December 19, 2019, December 20, 2019, and January 6, 2020, regarding the Proposed Amended Final Judgment. Counsel for each of the nineteen

Plaintiff States were invited to participate. On information and belief, counsel from each of the Plaintiff States with the exception of Louisiana, Oregon and Wisconsin were present on the December 19 call; counsel from each of the Plaintiff States with the exception of Illinois, Louisiana, Nevada and Oregon were present on the December 20 call; and counsel from each of the Plaintiff States with the exception of Louisiana and Oregon were present on the January 6 call.

- 3. On January 3, 2020, I emailed counsel for the Plaintiff States requesting that each State inform the Division if that State intended to oppose entry of the latest draft of the Proposed Amended Final Judgment in court no later than January 6, 2020.
- 4. On January 6, 2020, counsel for Plaintiff States Nevada and Texas informed me via email that they do not oppose entry of the Proposed Amended Final Judgment.
- 5. On January 6 and 7, 2020, counsel for Plaintiff States Arizona, Iowa, Ohio, Tennessee, Wisconsin and Washington each separately informed me via email that the Division was not authorized to inform the Court that their States would either object or not object to the Proposed Amended Final Judgment. Counsel did not indicate whether their respective States would file an opposition to the Motion with this Court.
- 6. On January 7, 2020, counsel for Plaintiff State California informed me via email that the Division was not authorized to represent that California has no objection to the Proposed Amended Final Judgment. Counsel did not confirm whether California would file an opposition to the Motion with this Court.
- 7. On January 8, 2020, I provided to counsel for the Plaintiff States via email the final version of the Proposed Amended Final Judgment.

Case 1:10-cv-00139-RMC Document 22-2 Filed 01/08/20 Page 3 of 3

8. As of the time of this filing, the United States has not received notice that any other

Plaintiff State opposes the Motion.

9. On January 8, 2020, counsel for Defendants informed the Antitrust Division via email

that Defendants agree with the modifications set forth in the Proposed Amended Final

Judgment.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is

true and correct.

Dated: January 8, 2020

/s/ Meagan K. Bellshaw

Meagan K. Bellshaw

3