1 2	Presented to the Court by the foreman Grand Jury in open Court, in the prese the Grand Jury and FILED in the DISTRICT COURT at Seattle, Washir	ence of U.S.
3 4 5	October 23 20. WILLIAM M. MCCOOL,	19
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT	COURT FOR THE
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9		
10 11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO CR19-210 RAJ
12	Plaintiff,	INDICTMENT
13	v.	
14	ALLAN B. THOMAS and JOANN E. THOMAS,	
15 16	Defendants.	
17	The Grand Jury charges that:	
18 19	<u>COUNT 1</u> (Mail Fraud))
20	Beginning in or before 2012, and continuing	through on or after January 2, 2019,
21	at Enumclaw, within the Western District of Washi	ngton, ALLAN B. THOMAS and
22	JOANN E. THOMAS (collectively, the THOMAS)	ES), with the intent to defraud, devised
23	and intended to devise, a scheme and artifice to def	fraud and to obtain money and
24	property by means of materially false and fraudule	nt pretenses, representations, and
25	promises.	
26	//	
27	//	
28		

A. Background

- 1. In Washington State, drainage districts are governmental entities; a type of special district established by the Revised Code of Washington. Drainage districts are governed by three-person boards of commissioners, which are responsible for the construction and maintenance of drainage systems, including drains and ditches, within the district. Each drainage district board is required annually to provide the county where it is located with an estimate of the cost of maintenance and repair for the district for the following year. The county then uses the estimate to determine and assess each landowner's property taxes within the district. After work is performed, the board of drainage district commissioners issues warrants to the treasurer of the county to pay for maintenance and repair work done on behalf of the drainage district.
- B. The Essence of the Scheme and Artifice to Defraud
- 2. The object of the scheme was for ALLAN B. THOMAS and JOANN E. THOMAS to exploit ALLAN B. THOMAS' position as a commissioner for King County Drainage Districts 5 and 5A (collectively, "DD5") by: (1) causing King County to levy taxes on DD5's residents; (2) submitting fraudulent warrants for a company controlled by ALLAN B. THOMAS and JOANN E. THOMAS and, later, a company that paid kickbacks to the THOMASES; and (3) diverting the taxpayer funds used to pay those warrants to the THOMASES' personal benefit.
- C. The Manner and Means of the Scheme and Artifice to Defraud
- 3. It was part of the scheme and artifice that ALLAN B. THOMAS and JOANN E. THOMAS caused Alexander Thomas, ALLAN B. THOMAS' son, to register a business called A C Services with the State of Washington Department of Revenue.¹
- 4. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that JOANN E. THOMAS and Alexander Thomas opened a checking (and associated savings) account in the name of A C Services at White River Credit Union on which both JOANN E. THOMAS and

¹ The THOMASES also, at times, used the names "AC Services," "A CONSERVATION SERVICES," and "A. CONSERVATION SERVICES."

Alexander Thomas were authorized signers. However, Alexander Thomas performed only two jobs for DD5 under the name of A C Services, both occurring in or about the year 2012, and otherwise had no involvement in the finances of A C Services.

- 5. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that between 2012 and 2017, the THOMASES submitted approximately 36 fraudulent warrant requests to the King County Treasurer requesting the issuance of checks to pay for work projects supposedly done by A C Services on behalf of DD5.
- 6. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that the THOMASES, despite issuing the warrants described above, knew that little to no work was performed on behalf of DD5 during this five-year period.
- 7. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that, in submitting these fraudulent requests, the THOMASES knowingly misrepresented that work projects had taken place and that the checks requested from the King County Treasurer's Office were to pay for services purportedly rendered.
- 8. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that the THOMASES deposited all of the King County checks made payable to "AC Services" into the A C Services bank account for which JOANN E. THOMAS was an authorized signer, with the exception of one check which was split between the A C Services bank account and the THOMASES' personal bank account.
- 9. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that, after each check was deposited into the A C Services bank account, JOANN E. THOMAS transferred, withdrew, or paid the majority of the money from the account for the benefit of the THOMASES, including to support the operation of their dairy farm.
- 10. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that, in 2018, after they became aware of an investigation of their actions, the THOMASES submitted two warrant requests to the King County Treasurer for the issuance of checks to pay for work projects supposedly done by an entity called City Biz on behalf of DD5.

8

11

12

10

13

15

14

17 18

16

19

20

21 22

24

23

25 26

27

28

Indictment - 4 United States v. Allan Thomas & Joann Thomas

- 11. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that, within days after each check was deposited into a business bank account registered to City Biz, City Biz paid the majority of the money to ALLAN B. THOMAS directly or to the THOMASES' dairy farm.
- 12. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that between 2012 and 2019 ALLAN B. THOMAS and JOANN E. THOMAS defrauded DD5 and the taxpayers residing in DD5 of \$468,165 in payments to A C Services and City Biz.

D. Execution

13. On or about December 19, 2017, at Enumclaw, in the Western District of Washington, ALLAN B. THOMAS and JOANN E. THOMAS, for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice described above, caused to be transmitted by means of United States Mail a check for \$9,528.01 from the King County Treasurer's Office made out to "AC Services."

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341.

ASSET FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

The allegations contained in Count 1 of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C). Upon conviction of the offense alleged in Count 1 of the Indictment, each Defendant shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) (by way of Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c)), any property that constitutes proceeds of the offense. This property includes, but is not limited to, a sum of money of at least \$234,082, reflecting the proceeds each Defendant obtained as a result of the offense.

Substitute Assets. If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of the Defendants,

- cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; 1.
- 2. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with a third party;
- 3. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;

1	4.	has been substantially diminished	l in value; or,
2	5.	has been commingled with other	property which cannot be divided without
3		difficulty;	
4	it is the inter	nt of the United States, pursuant to	Title 21, United States Code, Section
5	853(p), to se	ek the forfeiture of any other prope	erty of the Defendants up to the value of
6	the above-de	escribed forfeitable property.	
7			
8			A TRUE BILL:
9			DATED: October 23, 2014
10			Signature of foreperson redacted
11			pursuant to the policy of the Judicial Conference of the United States
12			
13			FOREPERSON
14	77	,	and the second s
15	Knu		
16	BRIAN T. M		
17	United State	s Attorney	
18			
19	<u></u>	TENTED (A)	_
20	ANDREW C Assistant Un	C. FRIEDMAN nited States Attorney	
21		- 100	
22		Ta) (U.U	
23	JUSTIN W.	ARNOLD	_
24	Assistant Un	nited States Attorney	
25			
26			
27			
28			

DEFENDANT STATUS SHEET

(One for each defendant)

I. CASE STATUS

i. Case states
Name of Defendant: Allan Thomas
Has defendant had initial appearance in this case? ✓ Yes No
MJ 19-457 BAT CR
II. CUSTODIAL STATUS
If defendant had initial appearance, please check one of the following:
✓ Continue Conditions of Release
Continue Detention
Temporary Detention, a detention hearing has been scheduled for
III. ARRAIGNMENT
Warrant to Issue (If so, please complete Defendant Arrest Warrant Info Sheet)
Summons to be Issued for Appearance on
Defendant's Address:
Letter to Defense Counsel for Appearance on October 31, 2019
Defense Attorney's Name and address: John Henry Browne, 801 Second Avenue, Seattle, WA

The estimated trial time is 7 days.

DEFENDANT STATUS SHEET

(One for <u>each</u> defendant)

I. CASE STATUS

Name of Defendant: Joann Thomas
Has defendant had initial appearance in this case? ✓ Yes No
MJ 19-457 BAT CR
II. CUSTODIAL STATUS
If defendant had initial appearance, please check one of the following:
✓ Continue Conditions of Release
Continue Detention
Temporary Detention, a detention hearing has been scheduled for
III. ARRAIGNMENT
Warrant to Issue (If so, please complete Defendant Arrest Warrant Info Sheet)
Warrant to Issue (If so, please complete Defendant Arrest Warrant Info Sheet) Summons to be Issued for Appearance on
Summons to be Issued for Appearance on
Summons to be Issued for Appearance on Defendant's Address:
Summons to be Issued for Appearance on Defendant's Address: ✓ Letter to Defense Counsel for Appearance on October 31, 2019
Summons to be Issued for Appearance on Defendant's Address: ✓ Letter to Defense Counsel for Appearance on October 31, 2019

(Revised March 2018)