

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

LOCAL 807 OF INTERNATIONAL
BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS,
CHAUFFEURS, STABLEMEN &
HELPERS OF AMERICA, *et al.*,
Defendants.

1:20-mc-00109

(Original Civil Action No. 4-423)

DECLARATION OF BARRY L. CREECH

I, Barry L. Creech, do hereby declare and state as follows:


1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in the District of Columbia. I have been a trail attorney with the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice since 1990.
2. This Declaration is being submitted in support of the United States of America's Motion to Terminate A Legacy Antitrust Judgment in the above-captioned matter.
3. The statements made in this Declaration are based on the knowledge acquired by me in the performance of my official duties and in conjunction with factual research conducted by other attorneys and staff in the Antitrust Division.
4. In early 2018, the Department of Justice Antitrust Division implemented a program to review and, when appropriate, seek termination of older antitrust judgments in which parties were subjected to some type of affirmative obligation or express prohibition that did not have an expiration date. As part of this process, the Division researched the corporate status of entities subject to these older, legacy antitrust judgments.

5. For this judgment the Antitrust Division contacted the Teamsters Union regarding Local 807 of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Stablemen & Helpers of America, Inc. The Teamsters Union, through its general counsel, had no objection to this motion to terminate the above-captioned final judgment.

6. In addition to the Teamsters Union, there were 72 individual defendants named in this judgment who were either officers or members of the Union in 1939. Given that all the individuals would have been working adults 81 years ago, it is almost certain that most, if not all, of the individual defendants have passed away. Moreover, given the age of the judgment and the passage of time, it would be highly unlikely for any of the individual defendants to still be actively engaged in the relevant activities from the judgment.

Having reviewed this Declaration, I declare, under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: February 21, 2020
Washington, D.C.



Barry L. Creech
Trial Attorney
United States Department of Justice
Antitrust Division