

United States Court of Appeals For the First Circuit

No. 20-1185

UNITED STATES,

Appellee,

v.

KEVIN P. MAHONEY,

Defendant - Appellant.

Before

Thompson, Kayatta and Barron,
Circuit Judges.

JUDGMENT

Entered: January 27, 2021

After a thorough review of the record and of the parties' submissions, we affirm. Given the significant amount of restitution still owed by the appellant, the denial of the motion for early termination of supervised release did not constitute an abuse of discretion. See 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553(a)(1) & (7). Further, we see no reversible error in the district court's failure to provide an explanation for its decision or to hold a hearing before rendering a decision. See United States v. Vega-Rivera, 866 F.3d 14, 20 (1st Cir. 2017) (no reversible error where court's reasoning may be readily gleaned from the record); United States v. Nonahal, 338 F.3d 668, 671 (7th Cir. 2003); Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.1(c)(1).

Affirmed. See 1st Cir. R. 27.0(c).

By the Court:

Maria R. Hamilton, Clerk

cc:

Gregory Victor Davis
Andrew E. Lelling
Donald Campbell Lockhart
Samuel Robert Lyons
Alexander Patrick Robbins
Kevin P. Mahoney