

TRADE-REGULATION 1967 U.S. Supreme Court ¶72,246, United States v. Aluminum Co. of America, et al., U.S. Supreme Court, (Oct. 23, 1967)

[Click to open document in a browser](#)

United States v. Aluminum Co. of America, et al.

In the Supreme Court of the United States. October Term, 1967, No. 271, Decided October 23, 1967

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York.

Case No. 1512 in the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice.

Clayton Act

Divestiture--Proposed Plan--Vacation of Judgment for Mootness.-- A judgment approving a proposed plan for the divestiture of a low-voltage conductor manufacturer by an aluminum company, under which a high-voltage conductor manufacturer owned by a large, diversified corporation would purchase it, was vacated for mootness and remanded for further proceedings.

Vacating judgment in 1967 Trade Cases ¶71,973.

For the appellant: Ralph S. Spritzer, Acting Solicitor General, Department of Justice, Washington, D. C.

For the appellees: Herbert A. Bergson, Howard Adler, Jr., Donald L. Hardison, of Bergson & Borkland, Washington, D. C.; William K. Unverzagt, Pittsburgh, Pa.

PER CURIAM: Upon consideration of the joint suggestion of mootness and motion to vacate, the judgment of the District Court of January 20, 1967, is vacated as moot and the case is remanded for further proceedings.

MR. JUSTICE MARSHALL took no part in the consideration or decision of this case.