	Case 2:15-cr-00198-GMN-NJK Do	cument 420 Filed 03/24/21 Page 1 of 10
1	DANIEL S. KAHN Acting Chief	FILEDRECEIVED
2	WILLIAM E. JOHNSTON Assistant Chief	COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD
3	DELLA SENTILLES Trial Attorney	MAR 2 4 2021
4	Criminal Division, Fraud Section U.S. Department of Justice	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
5	1400 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005	BY:DISTRICT OF NEVADADEPUTY
6	(202) 514-0687 (Johnston) (202) 445-8793 (Sentilles)	
7	william.johnston4@usdoj.gov della.sentilles@usdoj.gov	
8	uena.senunes@usuoj.gov	• •
· 9	CHRISTOPHER CHIOU Acting United States Attorney	
10	RICHARD ANTHONY LOPEZ Assistant United States Attorney	
11	District of Nevada 501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100	
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6336	· · ·
13	tony.lopez@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States of America	
14		
15		ES DISTRICT COURT TT OF NEVADA
16		
17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) ) SUPERSEDING (DD DIAL DIDIOTENT
18	Plaintiff,	) CRIMINAL INDICTMENT ) ) 2:15-cr-00198-GMN-NJK
19	<b>v</b> .	) VIOLATIONS:
20	JUNZO SUZUKI and PAUL SUZUKI,	) Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341—
21	Defendants.	) Mail Fraud (Counts One through Seven);
22		<ul> <li>Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343—</li> <li>Wire Fraud (Counts Eight through Fifteen);</li> </ul>
23 24		<ul> <li>) Title 18, United States Code, Section 2—</li> <li>) Aiding and Abetting (Counts One through ) Fifteen).</li> </ul>
		1

The Grand Jury Charges that at all times relevant to the Superseding Indictment:

# BACKGROUND

1. Defendant Junzo SUZUKI ("J. SUZUKI") was a Japanese citizen, residing in Tokyo, Japan.

2. Defendant Paul SUZUKI ("P. SUZUKI") was a Japanese and United States citizen, residing in Tokyo, Japan.

Co-Schemer Edwin Fujinaga ("Fujinaga") was a United States citizen, residing 3. in Las Vegas, Nevada.

4. MRI International Inc. ("MRI") was a Nevada Limited Liability Corporation located and operated in Las Vegas, Nevada. Fujinaga owned and controlled MRI as its President and CEO. MRI operated a Service Center located in Tokyo, Japan. Defendant J. SUZUKI was at various times MRI's Executive Vice President (Asia Pacific), and Defendant P. SUZUKI was at various times General Manager of MRI's Japan operations.

#### THE SCHEME AND ARTIFICE TO DEFRAUD

5. From in or about April 2012 and continuing until at least in or about April 2013, J. SUZUKI, P. SUZUKI, Fujinaga, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, aided and abetted by each other, made, and caused to be made, numerous material misrepresentations designed to fraudulently induce investors to transmit money to MRI.

6. As part of the scheme and artifice, the defendants and their co-schemers represented, among other things, that MRI was engaged in the business of purchasing medical accounts receivable ("MARS"), that is, debts owed by recipients of medical services or products to the individuals or entities that provided those services. MRI purported to purchase the accounts from providers at a discounted rate and then collect on the accounts

from the patients owing money. MRI's profit from this activity, according to the defendants
 and their co-schemers, was generated from the difference between the price at which MRI
 purchased the MARS and the amount MRI collected on them due to MRI's purportedly
 superior collections capability.

5 7. As a further part of the scheme and artifice, the defendants, their co-schemers, 6 and others working with them, solicited investments in MRI by offering Certificates of 7 Investment (hereinafter "Certificates"), claiming to provide investors with consistent, predictable returns resulting from MRI's superior collections ability. The defendants and their 8 9 co-schemers marketed and sold the Certificates primarily, if not exclusively, to Japanese 10 citizens through MRI's Service Center in Tokyo. Bearing a face value equal to the amount 11 of the initial investment, the Certificates promised a series of interest payments which would 12 accrue and be paid, along with the principal, when the Certificates reached a specified 13 maturity date. When the Certificates reached maturity, investors were given the option to reinvest the total amount due and owing into a new Certificate instead of receiving a 14 15 "liquidation," or cash payment of the amount they were due.

16 8. As a further part of the scheme and artifice, the defendants and their coschemers fraudulently induced investments by knowingly publishing, mailing, distributing, 17 18 and transmitting promotional materials that falsely represented that MRI would use any money invested in the Certificates exclusively to purchase MARS, the purported profitable 19 20 business of MRI. Based on this and other false and fraudulent representations and promises, from in or about April 2012 to in or about April 2013, the defendants and their co-schemers 21 22 induced over a thousand investors to invest a total exceeding \$100 million in MRI Certificates. 23 9. As a further part of the scheme and artifice, and contrary to all representations 24 the defendants and their co-schemers made to investors, MRI functioned as a Ponzi scheme,

1 that is, money solicited from new investors was used to pay the principal and interest owed
2 to prior investors.

3 10. As a further part of the scheme and artifice, the defendants and their co4 schemers regularly expended investor money for things other than purchasing MARS,
5 including paying themselves sales commissions.

11. As a further part of the scheme and artifice, the defendants and their coschemers made such other false, fraudulent, deceptive, and deceitful representations as necessary to advance their fraudulent scheme, conceal their fraudulent activities from others, avoid detection, enrich themselves, and cause devastating losses of over \$1.5 billion to their victims.

#### **COUNTS ONE THROUGH SEVEN**

Mail Fraud (Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341)

12. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 11 are incorporated herein in full.

13. From in or about April 2012 to in or about April 2013, in the State and Federal District of Nevada and elsewhere,

#### JUNZO SUZUKI and PAUL SUZUKI,

defendants herein, with others known and unknown to the grand jury, and aided and abetted by each other and Fujinaga, did devise and intend to devise a material scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, as set forth above, and for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, and attempting to do so, did knowingly: (a) place in any post office and authorized depository for mail matter, any matter and thing whatever to be sent and

24

delivered by the Postal Service; (b) deposit and cause to be deposited any matter and thing
whatever to be sent and delivered by any private and commercial interstate carrier; (c) take
and receive any matter and thing whatever that has been sent and delivered by any private
and commercial interstate carrier; and (d) cause to be delivered by mail and by private and
commercial interstate carrier any matter and thing whatever according to the direction
thereon, as follows:

7	Count	Approximate Date	Description
	1	April 26, 2012	A package containing two investment certificates for
8			investor H.H., to be delivered from Las Vegas, Nevada, to
			Japan
9	2	July 5, 2012	A package containing four investment certificates for
			investor N.Y., to be delivered from Las Vegas, Nevada, to
10			Japan
	3	September 4, 2012	A package containing six investment certificates for investor
11			I.M., to be delivered from Las Vegas, Nevada, to Japan
	4	September 14, 2012	A package containing an investment certificate for investor
12		_	E.U., to be delivered from Las Vegas, Nevada, to Japan
	5	November 15, 2012	A package containing an investment certificate for investor
13			T.M., to be delivered from Las Vegas, Nevada, to Japan
	6	November 15, 2012	A package containing three investment certificates for
14			investor H.H., to be delivered from Las Vegas, Nevada, to
			Japan
15	7	January 18, 2013	A package containing an investment certificate for investor
			F.N., to be delivered from Las Vegas, Nevada, to
16			Vancouver, Canada

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1341 and 2.

# COUNTS EIGHT THROUGH FIFTEEN

Wire Fraud

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343)

14. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 11 are incorporated herein in

full.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

15. From in or about April 2012 to in or about April 2013, in the State and Federal

District of Nevada and elsewhere,

# JUNZO SUZUKI and PAUL SUZUKI,

defendants herein, with others known and unknown to the grand jury, and aided and abetted by each other and Fujinaga, did devise and intend to devise a material scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, as set forth above, and for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, and attempting to do so, did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire communication in interstate and foreign commerce, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures and sounds, as follows:

9	-		
	Count	Approximate Date	Description
10	8	April 26, 2012	Wire transfer by investor H.H. of approximately \$367,242
			from Shinsei Bank in Japan to Wells Fargo Bank account
11			number XXXXXX9471 in Las Vegas, Nevada.
	9	July 5, 2012	Wire transfer by investor N.Y. of approximately \$200,000
12			from Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corp. in Japan to Wells
			Fargo Bank account number XXXXXX9471 in Las Vegas,
13		<u></u>	Nevada.
	10	July 5, 2012	Wire transfer by investor N.Y. of approximately \$200,000
14			from Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corp. in Japan to Wells
			Fargo Bank account number XXXXXX9471 in Las Vegas,
15			Nevada.
1	11	September 4, 2012	Wire transfer by investor I.M. of approximately \$600,000
16			from Shinsei Bank in Japan to Wells Fargo Bank account
17			number XXXXXX9430 in Las Vegas, Nevada.
17	12	September 14, 2012	Wire transfer by investor E.U. of approximately \$190,307
10			from Saitama Resona Bank in Japan to Wells Fargo Bank
18			account number XXXXX9471 in Las Vegas, Nevada.
10	13	November 15, 2012	Wire transfer by investor T.M. of approximately \$93,005.96
19			from Japan Post Bank in Japan to Wells Fargo Bank
20			account number XXXXX9471 in Las Vegas, Nevada.
20	14	November 15, 2012	Wire transfer by investor H.H. of approximately \$300,000
21			from Shinsei Bank in Japan to Wells Fargo Bank account
ZI			number XXXXX9471 in Las Vegas, Nevada.
22	15	January 18, 2013	Wire transfer by investor F.N. of approximately \$22,047.48
			from Lloyds TSB Bank in Japan to Wells Fargo Bank
23			account number XXXXXX2169 in Las Vegas, Nevada.
20			

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

4

5

6

7

8

9

### FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ONE Mail Fraud

1. The allegations contained in Counts One through Seven of this Superseding Criminal Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

2. Upon conviction of any of the felony offenses charged in Counts One through Seven of this Superseding Criminal Indictment,

#### JUNZO SUZUKI and PAUL SUZUKI,

defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property, real or personal,
which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States
Code, Section 1341, a specified unlawful activity as defined in Title 18, United States Code,
Sections 1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), on a conspiracy to commit such offense: an in
personam criminal forfeiture money judgment including, but not limited to, at least an
amount to be calculated pursuant to the forfeiture statute in this forfeiture allegation and
Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2(b)(2)(C) ("property").

17 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States
18 Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of
19 any act or omission of the defendants:

a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;

c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;

b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;

- 20 21
- 22

23

- d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
  - 7

	11
1	
2	
3	it is the intent o
4	Section 853(p), to
5	above.
6	All pursu
7	United States Co
8	21, United States
9	
10	
11	1. Th
12	Criminal Indicti
13	purpose of allegi
14	with Title 28, Ur
15	$\ $ 2. U <sub>I</sub>
16	Fifteen of this Su
17	
18	defendants herein
19	which constitutes
20	Code, Section 13
21	Sections 1956(c)
22	personam crimir
23	amount to be ca

e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States of America, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendants for the property listed above.

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).

# FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWO Wire Fraud

1. The allegations contained in Counts Eight through Fifteen of this Superseding Criminal Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

2. Upon conviction of any of the felony offenses charged in Counts Eight through Fifteen of this Superseding Criminal Indictment,

# JUNZO SUZUKI and PAUL SUZUKI,

defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property, real or personal,
which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States
Code, Section 1343, a specified unlawful activity as defined in Title 18, United States Code,
Sections 1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense: an in
personam criminal forfeiture money judgment including, but not limited to, at least an
amount to be calculated pursuant to the forfeiture statute in this forfeiture allegation and
Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2(b)(2)(C) ("property").

Ш

1	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States	
2	Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of	
3	any act or omission of the defendants:	
4	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;	
5	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;	
6	c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;	
7	d. has been substantially diminished in value; or	
8	e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided	
9	without difficulty;	
10	it is the intent of the United States of America, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code,	
11	Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendants for the property listed	
12	above.	
13	111	
14	/ / /	
15	/ / /	
16	1 1 1	
17		
18	1 1 1	
19	111	
20	///	
21	1 1 1	
22	1 1 1	
23		
24	/ / /	

1	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) with Title 28,
2	United States Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343; and Title
3	21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
4	DATED: this 24 <sup>th</sup> day of March, 2021
5	A TRUE BILL:
6	/S/ FOREPERSON OF THE GRAND JURY
7	
8	
9	DANIEL S. KAHN Acting Chief, Fraud Section, Criminal Division United States Department of Justice
10	A SAL
11	WILLIAM E. JOHNSTON Assistant Chief
12	DELLA SENTILLES Trial Attorney
13	Criminal Division, Fraud Section CHRISTOPHER CHIOU
14	Acting United States Attorney District of Nevada
15	Bu Block
16	By RICHARD ANTHONY LOPEZ Assistant United States Attorney
17	District of Nevada
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	10