UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Case No.

18 U.S.C. § 1349

18 U.S.C. § 982

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

MICHAEL CASCONE,

Defendant.

INFORMATION

The United States Attorney charges that:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

At all times material to this Information:

The Medicare Program

- 1. The Medicare Program ("Medicare") was a federally funded program that provided free or below-cost health care benefits to certain individuals, primarily the elderly, blind, and disabled. The benefits available under Medicare were governed by federal statutes and regulations. The United States Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS"), through its agency, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS"), oversaw and administered Medicare. Individuals who received benefits under Medicare were commonly referred to as Medicare "beneficiaries."
- 2. Medicare was subdivided into multiple program "parts." Medicare Part A covered health services provided by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, hospices, and home health Medicare Part B covered physician services and outpatient care, including an agencies.

individual's access to durable medical equipment ("DME"), such as orthotic braces (e.g., knee braces, back braces, shoulder braces, ankle braces, and wrist braces) and wheelchairs.

3. Medicare was a "health care benefit program" as defined by Title 18, United States Code, Section 24(b).

Medicare Enrollment and Coverage for Durable Medical Equipment

- 4. DME suppliers, physicians, and other health care providers that offered services to Medicare beneficiaries were referred to as Medicare "providers." To participate in Medicare, providers were required to submit an application, CMS Form 855S, which included a certification that the provider would abide by Medicare laws, regulations, and program instructions, including the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, and would not submit or cause to be submitted false or fraudulent claims for payment.
- 5. If Medicare approved a provider's application, Medicare assigned the provider a Medicare "provider number." A provider with a Medicare provider number could file claims with Medicare to obtain reimbursement for services rendered to beneficiaries.
- 6. Enrolled providers agreed to abide by the policies, procedures, rules, and regulations governing reimbursement. To receive Medicare funds, enrolled providers were required to abide by the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute and other laws and regulations. Providers were given access to Medicare manuals and services bulletins describing billing procedures, rules, and regulations.
- 7. Medicare reimbursed DME suppliers and other providers for items and services rendered to beneficiaries. To receive payment from Medicare, providers submitted or caused the submission of claims to Medicare electronically, either directly or through a billing company.

- 8. A Medicare claim for DME reimbursement was required to set forth, among other things, the beneficiary's name and unique Medicare identification number, the DME provided to the beneficiary, the date the DME was provided, the cost of the DME, and the name and unique physician identification number of the physician who prescribed or ordered the equipment.
- 9. Medicare would only pay for services that were medically reasonable and necessary, eligible for reimbursement, and provided as represented. Medicare would not pay claims for services that were procured through the payment of illegal kickbacks and bribes.

Telemedicine

- 10. Telemedicine provided a means of connecting patients to doctors by using telecommunications technology, such as the internet or telephone, to interact with a patient.
- 11. Telemedicine companies provided telemedicine services, or telehealth services, to individuals by hiring doctors and other providers. Telemedicine companies typically paid doctors a fee to conduct consultations with patients. In order to generate revenue, telemedicine companies typically either billed insurance or received payment from patients who utilized the services of the telemedicine company.
- 12. Medicare Part B covered expenses for specific telehealth services if certain requirements were met. These requirements included that: (a) the beneficiary was located in a rural or health professional shortage area; (b) services were delivered via an interactive audio and video telecommunications system; and (c) the beneficiary was in a practitioner's office or a specified medical facility—not at a beneficiary's home—during the telehealth service with a remote practitioner.
- 13. In or around March 2020, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and in order to enable access to care during the public health emergency, some of these requirements were

amended temporarily to, among other things, cover telehealth services for certain office and hospital visits, even if the beneficiary was not located in a rural area or a health professional shortage area and even if the telehealth services were furnished to beneficiaries in their home.

The Defendant, Related Entities, and Relevant Persons

- 14. Defendant **MICHAEL CASCONE** was a resident of Palm Beach County, in the Southern District of Florida.
- 15. Co-Conspirator 1 was a resident of Palm Beach County, in the Southern District of Florida.
- 16. Devon O'Malley ("O'Malley") was a resident of Palm Beach County, in the Southern District of Florida.
- 17. Limitless Medical Supplies, LLC ("Limitless") was a company formed under the laws of Florida, with its principal place of business in Palm Beach County, in the Southern District of Florida. MICHAEL CASCONE and Co-Conspirator 1 owned and operated Limitless.
- 18. Your Medical Supply Co, LLC ("Your Medical") was a company formed under the laws of Florida, with its principal place of business in Palm Beach County, in the Southern District of Florida. MICHAEL CASCONE and Co-Conspirator 1 owned and operated Your Medical.
- 19. National Health Care Advocates LLC ("NHCA") was a company formed under the laws of Florida, with its principal place of business in Miami-Dade County, in the Southern District of Florida. Devon O'Malley owned and operated NHCA.

COUNT 1 Conspiracy to Commit Health Care Fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1349)

1. The General Allegations section of this Information is re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

2. From in or around January 2020, and continuing through in or around January 2021, in Palm Beach and Miami-Dade Counties, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

MICHAEL CASCONE,

did knowingly and willfully, that is, with the intent to further the object of the conspiracy, combine, conspire, confederate, and agree with Devon O'Malley, Co-Conspirator 1, and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, to knowingly and willfully execute a scheme and artifice to defraud a health care benefit program affecting commerce, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 24(b), that is, Medicare, and to obtain, by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, money and property owned by, and under the custody and control of, said health care benefit program, in connection with the delivery of and payment for health care benefits, items, and services, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1347.

Purpose of the Conspiracy

3. It was a purpose of the conspiracy for the defendant and his co-conspirators to unlawfully enrich themselves by, among other things: (a) offering and paying kickbacks and bribes in exchange for the referral of Medicare beneficiaries and doctors' orders for DME, without regard to whether the DME was medically reasonable or necessary, and whether the DME was eligible for reimbursement by Medicare; (b) paying and causing the payment of kickbacks and bribes to purported telemedicine and marketing companies in exchange for ordering and arranging for the ordering of braces for beneficiaries, without regard to whether the DME was medically reasonable or necessary, and whether the DME was eligible for reimbursement by Medicare; (c) submitting and causing the submission of false and fraudulent claims to Medicare for DME that was medically

unnecessary and ineligible for reimbursement; (d) concealing the submission of false and fraudulent claims to Medicare; and (e) diverting fraud proceeds for their personal use and benefit, the use and benefit of others, and to further the fraud.

Manner and Means of the Conspiracy

The manner and means by which the defendant and his co-conspirators sought to accomplish the object and purpose of the conspiracy included, among other things:

- 4. **MICHAEL CASCONE** and Co-Conspirator 1 acquired ownership interests in Limitless and Your Medical.
- 5. **MICHAEL CASCONE** and Co-Conspirator 1, through Limitless and Your Medical, paid and caused to be paid kickbacks and bribes to Devon O'Malley and NHCA in exchange for referring beneficiaries and doctors' orders for DME that was medically unnecessary and ineligible for reimbursement by Medicare.
- 6. Devon O'Malley, through NHCA, operated a call center that used deceptive telemarketing techniques to induce the Medicare beneficiaries to accept medically unnecessary DME. O'Malley, through NHCA, then paid illegal kickbacks and bribes to purported telemedicine companies in exchange for doctors' orders prescribing medically unnecessary DME for the beneficiaries that NHCA recruited. The orders were written by doctors contracted with the telemedicine companies who did not examine the beneficiaries and did not have a valid doctor-patient relationship with the beneficiaries. **MICHAEL CASCONE** and Co-Conspirator 1 paid kickbacks and bribes in exchange for these beneficiaries.
- 7. **MICHAEL CASCONE** and his co-conspirators attempted to conceal the kickbacks and bribes by executing sham contracts and agreements, including sham contracts that falsely represented that Limitless and Your Medical would pay flat fees for marketing services that

were not tied to patient referrals. In fact, the kickbacks that Limitless and Your Medical paid NHCA were calculated based on the number and type of orthotic braces on the doctor's orders that they purchased from NHCA.

- 8. **MICHAEL CASCONE** and Co-Conspirator 1, through Limitless and Your Medical, then used the beneficiary information and doctor's orders from Devon O'Malley to bill Medicare, knowing that the DME was medically unnecessary and procured through the payment of bribes and kickbacks.
- 9. **MICHAEL CASCONE** and Co-Conspirator 1, through Limitless and Your Medical, submitted approximately \$3,493,466 in false and fraudulent claims for reimbursement from Medicare for DME that was medically unnecessary, ineligible for reimbursement, and procured through the payment of illegal kickbacks and bribes. Medicare paid Limitless and Your Medical approximately \$1,479,961 as a result of these claims.
- 10. **MICHAEL CASCONE** and his co-conspirators used the fraud proceeds to benefit themselves and others, and to further the fraud.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS

- 1. The allegations of this Information are hereby re-alleged and by this reference fully incorporated herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture to the United States of America of certain property in which the defendant, **MICHAEL CASCONE**, has an interest.
- 2. Upon conviction of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, as alleged in this Information, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States any property, real or personal, that constitutes or is derived, directly or indirectly, from gross proceeds traceable to the commission of the offense, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(7).

- 3. If any of the property subject to forfeiture, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:
 - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
 - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
 - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
 - e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

the United States shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property under the provisions of Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(7), and the procedures set forth in Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b)(1).

MARKENZY LAPOINTE

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

GLENN S. LEON, CHIEF CRIMINAL DIVISION, FRAUD SECTION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

ANDREA SAVIDIE TRIAL ATTORNEY

CRIMINAL DIVISION, FRAUD SECTION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Case 1:24-cr-20243-AHS Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/10/2024 Page 9 of 11

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA CASE NO.: 24-20243-CR-SINGHAL/STRAUSS UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. CERTIFICATE OF TRIAL ATTORNEY MICHAEL CASCONE, **Superseding Case Information:** Defendant. New Defendant(s) (Yes or No) Court Division (select one) Number of New Defendants _____ ▼ Miami ☐ Key West \square FTP Total number of new counts \square FTL ☐ WPB I do hereby certify that: I have carefully considered the allegations of the indictment, the number of defendants, the number of probable witnesses and the legal complexities of the Indictment/Information attached hereto. I am aware that the information supplied on this statement will be relied upon by the Judges of this Court in setting 2. their calendars and scheduling criminal trials under the mandate of the Speedy Trial Act, Title 28 U.S.C. §3161. Interpreter: (Yes or No) No 3. List language and/or dialect: This case will take $\underline{0}$ days for the parties to try. 4. 5. Please check appropriate category and type of offense listed below: (Check only one) (Check only one) ☐ Petty I **■** 0 to 5 days II a 6 to 10 days ☐ Minor ☐ Misdemeanor III 11 to 20 days IV 21 to 60 days **Felony** V 61 days and over Has this case been previously filed in this District Court? (Yes or No) No 6. If yes, Judge _____ Case No. Has a complaint been filed in this matter? (Yes or No) No 7. If yes, Magistrate Case No. _____ Does this case relate to a previously filed matter in this District Court? (Yes or No) Yes 8. If yes, Judge Ruiz Case No. 23-CR-20270 If yes, Judge Ruiz
Defendant(s) in federal custody as of 9. 10. Defendant(s) in state custody as of 11. Rule 20 from the District of 12. Is this a potential death penalty case? (Yes or No) No 13. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Northern Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior to August 8, 2014 (Mag. Judge Shaniek Maynard? (Yes or No) No

14. Does this case originate from a matter pending in the Central Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office prior

15. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with Magistrate Judge Eduardo I. Sanchez

16. Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with now Magistrate Judge Marta Fulgueira Elfenbein during her tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on March 5, 2024? No

during his tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on January 22, 2023? No

to October 3, 2019 (Mag. Judge Jared Strauss? (Yes or No) No

By: DOJ Trial Attorney

Court ID No. A5502799

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: MICHAEL CASCONE
Case No:
Count #: 1
Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349
Conspiracy to Commit Health Care Fraud
* Max. Term of Imprisonment: 10 years
* Mandatory Min. Term of Imprisonment (if applicable): N/A
* Max. Supervised Release: 3 years
* Max. Fine: \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or loss from the offense

^{*}Refers only to possible term of incarceration, supervised release and fines. It does not include restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.

AO 455 (Rev. 01/09) Waiver of an Indictment	
UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT
Soi	uthern District of Florida
Southern Planter of A fortune	
United States of America v. Michael Cascone, Defendant) Case No.) 24-20243-CR-SINGHAL/STRAUSS
Dejenuani	,
WAIV	ER OF AN INDICTMENT
information.	ght to prosecution by indictment and consent to prosecution by
Date:	Defendant's signature
	Signature of defendant's attorney
	BRUCE LYONS, ESQ. Printed name of defendant's attorney
	·
	·
	Judge's signature

Judge's printed name and title