Į	Case 2:12-cr-00113-JCM-VCF Document 60 Filed 05/31/12 Page 1 of 17
1	CHARLES G. LA BELLA Deputy Chief
2	$ MARY ANN McCARTHY \qquad \qquad$
3	I fadd Section, effininai Division
4	U.S. Department of Justice 1400 New York Avenue, NW
5	Washington, DC 20530 (202) 598-2240
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	-0 O 0-
9	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
11	Plaintiff, CASE NO. $2.1/2 - CR - 1/3 - JCM$
12	v.) PLEA MEMORANDUM
13	CHARLES HAWKINS,
14	Defendant.
15	The United States of America, by and through Charles G. La Bella, Deputy Chief, and
16	Mary Ann McCarthy, Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud
17	Section, the defendant, CHARLES HAWKINS, and the defendant's attorney, Patricia Palm,
18	submit this plea memorandum. The United States and the defendant have reached the following
19	plea agreement, which is not binding on the court:
20 21	I. GROUP PLEA/PACKAGE PLEA AGREEMENT
21	This agreement is contingent on at least five (5) of the thirteen (13) co-defendants,
22	ROSALIO ALCANTAR, PATRICK BERGSRUD, ROBERT BOLTEN, GLENN BROWN,
23 24	PAUL CITELLI, MICHELLE DELUCA, SAMI ROBERT HINDIYEH, BRIAN JONES,
24 25	LISA KIM, MORRIS MATTINGLY, ARNOLD MYERS, ANTHONY ROY WILSON, and
25 26	JEANNE WINKLER successfully entering their guilty pleas together with defendant CHARLES
20	HAWKINS, and that all pleas are accepted by the Court.
41	

A. <u>The Plea</u>

1

Defendant will plead guilty to Count One of the information, charging Defendant
 with conspiracy to commit wire and mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code,
 Section 1349. Defendant also agrees to pay restitution and to the forfeiture of the property set forth
 in this Plea Memorandum.

6 B. Additional Charges

7 2. The United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section agrees
8 to bring no additional criminal charges in the District of Nevada against the defendant relating to or
9 arising from the offense charged in the information, except for any crime of violence and any crime
10 unknown to the Fraud Section before the time the parties sign this Plea Memorandum.

11

С.

Sentencing Guideline Calculations

3. Defendant understands that the Court is required to consider the United States
 Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") among other factors in
 determining the defendant's sentence. Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are
 advisory, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines, the Court may be free to exercise its
 discretion to impose any reasonable sentence up to the maximum set by statute for the crime of
 conviction.

18 4. The parties agree that the following calculations of the United States Sentencing
19 Guidelines (2010) apply for the group:

20	Base Offense Level (U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(a)): 7
21	Sophisticated Means
22	$(U.S.S.G. \S2B1.1(b)(9)(c)):$ 2
23	The parties agree that the loss calculation will be calculated on an individual basis, with
24	
25	INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
26	
27	
	2

1 Defendant CHARLES HAWKINS's loss calculated as follows:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

I CHARLES HAWKINS'S loss calculated as follows.

Loss Amount of \$120,000 to \$200,000 (U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(b)(1)(F)): 10 TOTAL 19

5. Acceptance of Responsibility: Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §3E1.1(a), the United States will recommend that the defendant receive a two (2) level adjustment for acceptance of responsibility unless defendant (a) fails to make a complete factual basis for the guilty plea at the time it is entered; (b) is untruthful with the Court or probation officers in any respect, including without limitation, financial information; (c) denies involvement in the offense or provides conflicting statements regarding defendant's involvement; (d) attempts to withdraw the guilty plea; (e) engages in criminal conduct; (f) fails to appear in court; or (g) violates the conditions of defendant's pretrial release conditions.

6. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §3E1.1(b), the United States will, in its sole discretion, make a motion for an additional one-level adjustment for acceptance of responsibility prior to sentencing if the defendant timely notifies the United States of the defendant's intention to plead guilty, thereby permitting the United States to avoid preparing for trial and allowing for the efficient allocation of resources.

7. The United States will make a recommendation that the defendant receive a 1level downward adjustment from the defendant's base offense level for Defendant CHARLES
HAWKINS and at least four (4) other co-defendants' group plea pursuant to Title 18, United States
Code, Section 3553(b), on the condition that the co-defendants' change of pleas are entered and
conditionally accepted by the Court on or before the defendant's sentencing hearing. If less than
five (5) defendants enter guilty pleas, the Government will not make any motion for a group plea
downward departure.

8. The United States will make a recommendation that the defendant receive a 2level downward adjustment from the defendant's base offense level for Defendant CHARLES
HAWKINS and at least eleven (11) other co-defendants' group plea pursuant to Title 18, United

States Code, Section 3553(b), on the condition that the co-defendants' change of pleas are entered
 and conditionally accepted by the Court on or before the defendant's sentencing hearing.

9. The United States will make a recommendation that the defendant receive a 3level downward adjustment from the defendant's base offense level for Defendant CHARLES
HAWKINS and at least seventeen (17) other co-defendants' group plea pursuant to Title 18,
United States Code, Section 3553(b), on the condition that the co-defendants' change of pleas are
entered and conditionally accepted by the Court on or before the defendant's sentencing hearing.
The defendant acknowledges that no more than a total of 3-levels will be recommended for a group
plea reduction.

10

10. Defendant's Criminal History Category will be determined by the court.

11 D. Other Sentencing Matters

11. The parties agree that the Sentencing Guideline calculations are based on 12 information now known and could change upon investigation by the United States Probation 13 Office. It is possible that factors unknown or unforeseen by the parties to the Plea Memorandum 14 may be considered in determining the offense level, specific offense characteristics, and other 15 related factors. In that event, the defendant will not withdraw his plea of guilty. Both the 16 17 defendant and the United States are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, and (b) correct any and all factual 18 19 inaccuracies relating to the calculation of the sentence.

12. The stipulations in this Plea Memorandum do not bind either the United States
Probation Office or the Court. Both defendant and the United States are free to: (a) supplement the
facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, and
(b) correct any and all factual inaccuracies relating to the calculation of the sentence.

24

Ε.

Fines and Special Assessment

13. Defendant agrees that the Court may impose a fine due and payable immediately
upon sentencing.

1 14. Defendant will pay the special assessment of \$100 per count of conviction at the
 2 time of sentencing.

F. <u>Restitution</u>

3

15. Defendant agrees to make full restitution to the victims of the offense, in this case
the Vistana condominium homeowner's association, described below in Section IV. Defendant
understands and agrees that this amount could be as much as \$147,884, the total amount of the
condominium he purchased plus any payment or thing of value he received for his participation in
the scheme. Defendant understands that any restitution imposed by the Court may not be
discharged in whole or in part in any present or future bankruptcy proceeding.

10 G. Forfeiture

a.

b.

11 16. The parties agree that the government will not request that the Court require
Defendant to pay forfeiture in addition to restitution. However, should the Court nevertheless
order that Defendant shall pay forfeiture, the government agrees that such amount shall be the total
amount of any payment or thing of value he received for his participation in the scheme, and in no
event more than \$147,884. In the event of any order by the Court that Defendant shall pay
forfeiture, the Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees to the following:

17

to abandon or to forfeit the property to the United States;

18

to relinquish all right, title, and interest in the property;

c. to waive his right to any abandonment proceedings, any civil administrative
forfeiture proceedings, any civil judicial forfeiture proceedings, or any criminal forfeiture
proceedings ("proceedings") of the property;

d. to waive service of process of any and all documents filed in this action or any
proceedings concerning the property arising from the facts and circumstances of this case;

e. to waive any further notice to the defendant, the defendant's agents, or the
defendant's attorney regarding the abandonment or the forfeiture and disposition of the property;
f. not to file any claim, answer, petition, or other documents in any proceedings

1 concerning the property;

j.

g. to waive the statute of limitations, the CAFRA requirements, Fed. R. Crim. P.
7(c)(2), 32.2(a), and 32.2(b)(3), and the constitutional due process requirements of any
abandonment proceeding or any forfeiture proceeding concerning the property;

5

h. to waive the defendant's right to a jury trial on the forfeiture of the property;

i. to waive (a) all constitutional, legal, and equitable defenses to, (b) any
constitutional or statutory double jeopardy defense or claim concerning, and (c) any claim or
defense under the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution, including, but not limited
to, any claim or defense of excessive fine in any proceedings concerning the property; and

10

to the entry of an Order of Forfeiture of the property to the United States.

11 17. Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees and understands the abandonment,
the civil administrative forfeiture, the civil judicial forfeiture, or the criminal forfeiture of the
property shall not be treated as satisfaction of any assessment, fine, restitution, cost of
imprisonment, or any other penalty this Court may impose upon the Defendant in addition to the
abandonment or the forfeiture.

16 H. <u>Waiver of Appeal</u>

17 18. In exchange for the concessions made by the United States in this Plea Memorandum, Defendant knowingly and expressly waives the right to appeal any sentence that is 18 19 imposed within the applicable Sentencing Guideline range as calculated by the Court, further waives the right to appeal the manner in which that sentence was determined on the grounds set 20 forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742, and further waives the right to appeal any other 21 aspect of the conviction or sentence, including any order of restitution and forfeiture. Defendant 22 reserves only the right to appeal any portion of the sentence that is an upward departure from the 23 applicable Sentencing Guideline range calculated by the Court. 24

19. Defendant also waives all collateral challenges, including any claims under 28
U.S.C. § 2255, to the Defendant's conviction, sentence and the procedure by which the Court

adjudicated guilt and imposed sentence, except non-waivable claims of ineffective assistance of
 counsel.

3

I. Additional Promises, Agreements, and Conditions

20. In exchange for the United States entering into this Plea Memorandum, Defendant 4 5 agrees that (a) the facts set forth in Section IV of this Plea Memorandum shall be admissible 6 against the Defendant under Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(A) in the following circumstances: (i) for any 7 purpose at sentencing; and (ii) in any subsequent proceeding, including a trial in the event the 8 Defendant does not plead guilty or withdraws the Defendant's guilty plea, to impeach or rebut any evidence, argument or representation offered by or on the Defendant's behalf; and (b) the 9 10 Defendant expressly waives any and all rights under Fed. R. Criminal P. 11(f) and Fed. R. Evid. 11 410 with regard to the facts set forth in Section IV of the Plea Memorandum to the extent set forth above. 12

13 21. The parties agree that no promises, agreements, and conditions have been entered
14 into other than those set forth in this plea memorandum, and will not be entered into unless in
15 writing and signed by all parties.

16 J. Limitations

17 22. This Plea Memorandum is limited to the Criminal Division of the United States Department of Justice and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, 18 or regulatory authority. But, this Plea Memorandum does not prohibit the United States through 19 any agency thereof, the Criminal Division of the United States Department of Justice, or any third 2.0 21 party from initiating or prosecuting any civil proceeding directly or indirectly involving the Defendant, including but not limited to, proceedings under the False Claims Act relating to 22 potential civil monetary liability or by the Internal Revenue Service relating to potential tax 23 liability. 24

25

26

1 K. <u>Cooperation</u>

2 23. Defendant agrees, if requested by the United States, to provide complete and
3 truthful information and testimony concerning Defendant's knowledge of all other persons who are
4 committing or have committed offenses against the United States or any state, and agrees to
5 cooperate fully with the United States in the investigation and prosecution of such persons.

6

7

8

9

24. In the event the government decides in its sole discretion that the assistance provided by Defendant amounts to "substantial assistance" pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1, the United States will timely file a motion for downward departure from the applicable Sentencing Guideline calculation. The Court has the sole discretion to grant such a motion.

Defendant agrees that a motion for downward departure based on substantial
assistance shall not be made under any circumstances unless Defendant's cooperation is deemed to
be substantial assistance by the government. The United States has made no promise, implied or
otherwise, that Defendant will be granted a departure for substantial assistance. Further, no
promise has been made that such a motion will be made even if Defendant complies with the terms
of this Plea Memorandum in all respects but has been unable to provide substantial assistance as
determined in the sole discretion of the government.

17 26. The United States agrees to consider the totality of the circumstances, including
18 but not limited to, the following factors, in determining whether, in the sole discretion of the
19 government, Defendant has provided substantial assistance which would merit a motion by the
20 United States for a downward departure from the applicable Guideline:

a. The United States' evaluation of the significance and usefulness of Defendant's
 assistance;

- b. The truthfulness, completeness, and reliability of any information or testimony
 provided by Defendant;
- 25

c. The nature and extent of Defendant's assistance;

d. The truthfulness and completeness in disclosing and bringing to the attention of

Case 2:12-cr-00113-JCM-VCF Document 60 Filed 05/31/12 Page 9 of 17

the Government all crimes which Defendant has committed and all administrative, civil, or
 criminal proceedings, investigations, and prosecutions in which he has been or is a subject, target,
 party, or witness;

e. The truthfulness and completeness in disclosing and providing to the Government,
upon request, any document, record, or other evidence relating to matters about which the
Government or any designated law enforcement agency inquires, including but not limited to,
Defendant's personal finances;

8 f. Any injury suffered, or any danger or risk of injury to Defendant or Defendant's
9 family resulting from defendant's assistance; and,

10

The timeliness of Defendant's assistance.

27. Defendant agrees that in the event the United States files a downward departure
motion based upon Defendant's substantial assistance, the United States reserves the right to make
a specific recommendation to the Court regarding the extent of such a departure. Defendant
understands and agrees that the final decision as to how much of a departure, if any, is warranted
rests solely with the Court.

16 L. Breach

g.

28. 17 Defendant agrees that if Defendant, at any time after the signature of this Plea Memorandum and execution of all required certifications by Defendant, Defendant's counsel, and 18 for the government, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of Defendant's obligations under 19 this Memorandum ("a breach"), the government may declare this Memorandum breached. All of 20 Defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this is sufficient for the government to 21 22 declare a breach, and Defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the government in writing. If the government declares this Memorandum breached, 23 and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if Defendant has previously entered a 24 guilty plea pursuant to this Memorandum, Defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea. 25 26 and (b) the government will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement.

1	II. PENALTY
2	29. The maximum penalty for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section
3	1349, is imprisonment for not more than thirty (30) years, a \$1,000,000 fine, or both. Defendant is
4	also subject to supervised release for a term of not greater than five (5) year.
5	30. Supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which
6	Defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if
7	Defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, Defendant
8	may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release, which could result in
9	Defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.
10	31. Defendant is required to pay for the costs of imprisonment, probation, and
11	supervised release, unless the Defendant establishes that the Defendant does not have the ability to
12	pay such costs, in which case the court may impose an alternative sanction such as community
13	service.
14	III. ELEMENTS
15	32. The essential elements for the offense of conspiracy to commit wire and mail
16	fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, are as follows:
17	a. <u>First</u> , from as early as in or about August 2003 through at least in or about
18	February 2009, there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit mail fraud and
19	wire fraud; and
20	b. <u>Second</u> , the defendant was a party to or a member of that agreement; and,
21	c. <u>Third</u> , the defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one
22	of its objects and intending to help accomplish it.
23	IV. FACTS
24	33. Defendant is pleading guilty because Defendant is guilty of the charged offenses.
25	34. Defendant specifically admits and declares under penalty of perjury that all of the
26	facts set forth below are true and correct:
27	35. From as early as in or around June 2004 through at least in or around December
	10
	10
1	

Case 2:12-cr-00113-JCM-VCF Document 60 Filed 05/31/12 Page 11 of 17

2008, Defendant knowingly participated in a scheme to control various Homeowners' Association
 (HOA) Boards of Directors so that the HOA boards would award the handling of construction
 defect lawsuits and remedial construction contracts to a law firm and construction company
 designated by Defendant's co-conspirators.

36. Co-conspirators would identify HOA's which potentially could bring
construction defect cases, and once identified would enlist real estate brokers to identify
condominium units within those HOA communities for purchase.

8 37. Co-conspirators would then enlist individuals as straw purchasers to apply for and complete mortgage loans using their own name and credit for the purchase of properties within 9 10 the HOA communities on behalf of the beneficial owners. The co-conspirators arranged for the straw purchasers to get the necessary funding for the mortgages by assisting them with the loan 11 applications and closing documents, which included false and fraudulent statements that involved 12 concealing the identity and financial interest of the true beneficial owners of the properties from 13 14 banks, mortgage companies, HOAs, and bona fide homeowners. The co-conspirator real estate 15 broker arranged for the down payments to be funded by a co-conspirator and arranged for the money to be transferred to the escrow accounts. 16

38. Defendant began working for the co-conspirator construction company in or
around 2004. Shortly after he was employed, Defendant agreed with the construction company
owner to act as a straw purchaser at Vistana. In or around June 2004, Defendant's co-conspirators
gave him \$147,884 in cash to purchase unit 204 in Defendant's name at Vistana. Defendant's unit
was then rented by the co-conspirators. Defendant obtained the rental payments from the tenant
and transferred them to his co-conspirators to conceal the true beneficial owners' identity.

39. When the true beneficial owner of unit 204 needed additional capital to purchase
other straw properties, Defendant agreed to refinance the unit. In or around November 2004,
Defendant signed and submitted a false and fraudulent loan application and closing documents to
the financial institution in order to refinance and close on the property on behalf of his coconspirators. The co-conspirator real estate broker assisted Defendant with the paperwork. The

co-conspirators then made the monthly payments, including the HOA dues and mortgage
 payments, for Defendant HAW unit.

40. Co-conspirators were hired to manage and operate the payments associated with maintaining these straw properties. The co-conspirators called this business of funding these properties the "Bill Pay Program." The co-conspirators involved in running the Bill Pay Program maintained several limited liability companies, at the direction of the co-conspirator construction company owner and others, for the purpose of opening bank accounts and concealing the Bill Pay Program funds. Many of the payments on these properties were wired or caused to be wired from California to Nevada.

41. On several occasions, instead of making a straw purchase, the co-conspirators
transferred a partial interest in a unit to another co-conspirator for the purpose of making it appear
as if the co-conspirator was a bona fide homeowner. The co-conspirator real estate broker would
assist with the paperwork involved in such transfers and arranged for the completion of the
paperwork.

42. Many of the straw purchasers and those who acquired a transferred interest in the
properties agreed with co-conspirators to run for election to the respective HOA Board of
Directors. These co-conspirators were paid or promised cash, checks, or things of value for their
participation, all of which resulted in a personal financial benefit to the co-conspirators.

43. To ensure the co-conspirators would win the elections, co-conspirators at times
employed deceitful tactics, such as creating false phone surveys to gather information about
homeowners' voting intentions, using mailing lists to vote on behalf of out-of-town homeowners
unlikely to participate in the elections, and submitting fake and forged ballots. Co-conspirators
also hired private investigators to uncover negative information on the bona fide candidates in
order to create smear campaigns.

44. Another tactic the co-conspirators used to rig certain HOA board elections was
to prepare forged ballots for out-of-town homeowners and either cause them to be transported or
mailed to California and thereafter to have the ballots mailed back to Las Vegas from various

Case 2:12-cr-00113-JCM-VCF Document 60 Filed 05/31/12 Page 13 of 17

locations around California so as to make it appear that the ballots were completed and mailed by
 bona fide homeowners residing outside Nevada. For instance, on or about April 15, 2008 and on
 or about April 21, 2008, a co-conspirator mailed ballots from several mail boxes in California back
 to Nevada in order to assist in the rigging of an election at Park Avenue.

5 45. On several occasions, co-conspirators attempted to create the appearance that 6 the elections were legitimate by hiring "independent" attorneys to run the HOA board elections. 7 These "special election masters" were to: (i) contact the bona fide homeowners to inform them of 8 the election; (ii) mail the bona fide homeowners election ballots and voting instructions; (iii) 9 collect and secure those election ballots returned by mail until the date of the election; and (iv) 10 preside over the HOA board election, including supervising the counting of ballots. However, in 11 truth and fact, the "special election masters" were selected by the co-conspirators and paid in cash, 12 check, or promised things of value, by or on behalf of the co-conspirator construction company and its owner for their assistance in rigging the elections. In particular, the "special election masters" 13 14 allowed the co-conspirators to access the ballots for the purpose of opening the ballots and pre-15 counting the votes entered for each candidate to then know the number of fake ballots which needed to be created to ensure the co-conspirator up for election won the seat on the HOA board. 16 17 These attorneys would run the board election knowing the co-conspirators had access to the ballots 18 and concealed their relationship with the co-conspirators from the bona fide homeowners.

46. On or about October 27, 2004, Defendant was elected to the board of directors at
Vistana. Defendant failed to disclose his relationship with the co-conspirators to the bona fide
homeowners. On or about March 30, 2005, Defendant was appointed as President of the board.
Defendant then breached his statutory fiduciary duty to the homeowners by accepting from his coconspirators compensation, gratuity, and other remuneration that improperly influenced, or
reasonably appeared to influence, his decisions, resulting in a conflict of interest.

47. Once elected, the straw purchaser board members would meet with the coconspirators in order to manipulate board votes, including the selection of property managers,
contractors, general counsel and attorneys to represent the HOA. Defendant attended these

Case 2:12-cr-00113-JCM-VCF Document 60 Filed 05/31/12 Page 14 of 17

meetings on several occasions and on other occasions he took direction from co-conspirators who
 attended these meetings to vote in furtherance of the conspiracy. Once the co-conspirator
 construction defect litigation attorney was hired by the board, Defendant also met with her so as to
 vote according to her direction.

48. 5 At times the co-conspirators created and submitted fake bids for "competitors" 6 to make the process appear to be legitimate while ensuring co-conspirators were awarded the 7 contract. Once hired, co-conspirators, including property managers and general counsel, would 8 then recommend that the HOA board hire the co-conspirator construction company for remediation and construction defect repairs and the co-conspirator law firm to handle the construction defect 9 10 litigation. In addition, the co-conspirator construction company's initial contract for emergency 11 remediation repairs contained a "right of first refusal" clause to ensure the co-conspirator 12 construction company was awarded the construction repair contracts following the construction defect litigation. 13

49. Defendant used his position on the board to vote in a manner directed by and 14 15 favorable to certain co-conspirators. Specifically, Defendant participated in the following votes, 16 among others, at the direction of his co-conspirators: (i) on or about January 31, 2005, Defendant 17 voted to award the emergency construction remediation contract to the co-conspirator construction company; (ii) on or about November 29, 2005, Defendant voted to terminate the property 18 19 management company and hire a co-conspirator property management company; (iii) on or about November 29, 2005, Defendant voted to terminate the general counsel and hire co-conspirator 20 21 general counsel; (iv) Defendant voted to terminate the construction defect litigation law firm and hire the co-conspirator law firm to handle Vistana's construction defect litigation; (v) on or about 22 March 21, 2005, Defendant voted to authorize the co-conspirator law firm to file the construction 23 defect litigation lawsuit against the builder; and, (vi) on or about September 1, 2006, Defendant 24 voted to fire the co-conspirator property management company and hire another co-conspirator 25 26 property management company.

27

50. After the co-conspirator construction company received the contract to handle the 1 2 remediation repairs, the co-conspirator board members also took direction from the construction 3 company owner to amend the contract to terms more beneficial to him. For instance, in or around July 2006, Defendant and his co-conspirators agreed to amend the contract to include a 4 5 Confidentiality Agreement, which prohibited others from seeing the terms of the contract. Defendant HAWKINS admitted that he and his co-conspirators back-dated this amendment to 6 provide better coverage to the construction company owner. In addition, on or about March 17, 7 8 2005, Defendant and the other co-conspirator board members voted to loan the co-conspirator 9 construction company \$1,000,000 for remediation repairs, even though the construction company 10 agreed in the contract not to charge for emergency repairs conditioned on a right of first refusal for 11 the final construction defect contract. Defendant was aware that a large percentage of that loan was not used to do repair work at Vistana, but failed to disclose this to the legitimate homeowners. 12

13 51. Defendant served as a board member until on or about November 11, 2006. Then,
14 on or about October 18, 2007, Defendant was re-appointed to the board by his co-conspirator board
15 member so that the co-conspirators would have majority control.

16 52. This entire process created the appearance of legitimacy since bona fide homeowners believed the elected board members and property managers were, as fiduciaries, acting 17 in their best interest rather than to advance the financial interests of co-conspirators. In fact, 18 Defendant HAWKINS and others were paid or received things of value by or on behalf of their co-19 conspirators for their assistance in purchasing the properties, obtaining HOA membership status, 20 21 rigging elections, using their positions to manipulate the HOA's business and to further the goals of the conspiracy, and to enrich the co-conspirators at the expense of the HOA and the bona fide 22 homeowners. In total, for his role in the conspiracy, Defendant was compensated or received things 23 of value in the amount of \$147,884. 24

25

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

26 53. Defendant acknowledges by the Defendant's signature below that Defendant has
27 read this Plea Memorandum, that Defendant understands the terms and conditions and the factual

Case 2:12-cr-00113-JCM-VCF Document 60 Filed 05/31/12 Page 16 of 17

basis set forth herein, that Defendant has discussed these matters with Defendant's attorney, and
 that the matters set forth in this memorandum, including the facts set forth in Part IV above, are true
 and correct.

4 54. Defendant acknowledges that Defendant has been advised, and understands, that
5 by entering a plea of guilty the Defendant is waiving, that is, giving up, certain rights guaranteed to
6 the Defendant by law and by the Constitution of the United States. Specifically, Defendant is
7 giving up:

a. The right to proceed to trial by jury on the original charges, or to a trial by
a judge if Defendant and the United States both agree;

b. The right to confront the witnesses against the Defendant at such a trial, and to
cross-examine them;

12 c. The right to remain silent at such trial, with such silence not to be used against
13 Defendant in any way;

14 d. The right, should Defendant so choose, to testify in Defendant's own behalf at15 such a trial;

16 e. The right to compel witnesses to appear at such a trial, and to testify in
17 Defendant's behalf; and,

f. The right to have the assistance of an attorney at all stages of such proceedings.
55. Defendant acknowledges that Defendant is, in all respects, satisfied by the
representation provided by Defendant's attorney and that Defendant's attorney has discussed with
the defendant the burdens and benefits of this agreement and the rights he waives herein.

56. Defendant, Defendant's attorney, and the attorney for the United States
acknowledge that this Plea Memorandum contains the entire negotiated and agreed to by and
between the parties, and that no other promise has been made or implied by either the Defendant,
Defendant's attorney, or the attorney for the United States.

26

Case 2:12-cr-00113-JCM-VCF Document 60 Filed 05/31/12 Page 17 of 17

1 2 3 2012 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 2-14-2012 DATED 11 12 13 2-14-2012 DATED 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

DENIS J. McINERNEY Chief United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section

CHARLES LA BELLA Deputy Chief

aithy

MARY ANN McCARTHY Trial Attorney United States Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section

CHARLES HAWKINS Defendant

PATRICIA PALM

Defense Counsel