Case: 14-13056 Date Filed: 08/20/2015 Page: 1 of 3

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_

No. 14-13056 Non-Argument Calendar

<del>-----</del>

D.C. Docket No. 2:10-cr-00242-MEF-WC-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

VERONICA DENISE DALE,

Defendant-Appellant.

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 14-13058 Non-Argument Calendar

\_\_\_\_\_

D.C. Docket No. 2:11-cr-00069-MEF-WC-3

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

Case: 14-13056 Date Filed: 08/20/2015 Page: 2 of 3

versus

VERONICA DENISE DALE,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama

\_\_\_\_\_

(August 20, 2015)

Before HULL, MARTIN and ROSENBAUM, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Veronica Denise Dale, a federal prisoner proceeding <u>prose</u>, appeals the district court's denial of her post-judgment motions to modify her restitution order. Dale argues that the district court impermissibly delegated the task of setting a repayment schedule to the Bureau of Prisons and abused its discretion by denying her motions to modify her restitution based on changed economic circumstances.

Dale's first argument—that the district court improperly delegated the task of setting a repayment schedule to the BOP—is contradicted by the record. The judgment in her case shows that that the district court set a specific payment schedule requiring Dale to make an immediate lump sum payment of \$494,624,

Case: 14-13056 Date Filed: 08/20/2015 Page: 3 of 3

with any remaining balance to be paid at a rate not less than \$100 per month during her supervised release.<sup>1</sup>

Neither do we find any abuse of discretion in the district court's denial of Dale's motions to modify her restitution order. Under the Mandatory Victims Restitution Act, a district court may modify a final order of restitution upon a showing of a material change in the defendant's circumstances. 18 U.S.C. § 3664(k). However, modification under this provision requires a "bona fide change in the defendant's financial condition." Cani v. United States, 331 F.3d 1210, 1215 (11th Cir. 2003). Dale has made no showing of any such change. Thus, on this record, her "present financial status is no different from that contemplated by the district court when it imposed the restitution order." Id. at 1216.

## AFFIRMED.

<sup>1</sup> The district court waived the interest requirement for any unpaid restitution.