

☒ FILED ☐ ENTERED	☐ RECEIVED ☐ SERVED ON COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD
FEB 25 2014	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY _____

CHARLES LA BELLA
KATHLEEN McGOVERN
Deputy Chiefs
THOMAS B. W. HALL
Trial Attorney
Fraud Section, Criminal Division
U.S. Department of Justice
1400 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530
(202) 616-1682

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

-oOo-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MIGUEL AVILA,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:14-cr-0041-JCM-PAL

DATE FILED:

VIOLATION:

18 U.S.C. § 1349 (conspiracy to commit mail
and wire fraud – 1 count)

INFORMATION

INTRODUCTION

THE UNITED STATES CHARGES THAT:

At all times material to this Information:

1. Pursuant to Nevada law, a homeowner's association (HOA) is a corporation that governs a common interest community. A HOA is originally controlled by the developer until the housing units are sold, at which time the control is transferred to the bona fide homeowners. Only bona fide homeowners can be members in the HOA.

1 2. A HOA is governed by a board of directors with a minimum of three
2 members, all of whom must be bona fide homeowners. The board members are elected by the
3 bona fide homeowners annually.

4 3. Under Nevada law, HOA board members are fiduciaries. Any person
5 nominated for the board must make a good faith effort to disclose any financial, business,
6 professional, or personal relationship or interest that would result or would appear to a
7 reasonable person to result in a potential conflict of interest.

8 4. Consistent with their fiduciary duties and pursuant to Nevada law, HOA
9 board members may not solicit or accept any form of compensation, gratuity, or other
10 remuneration that would improperly influence or reasonably appear to influence the board
11 members' decisions or would result or reasonably appear to result in a conflict of interest.

12 5. Consistent with their fiduciary duties, the board of directors is empowered
13 to make decisions related to the common interests of the homeowners, including but not limited
14 to: adopting and amending bylaws and budgets, hiring managers, employees, agents, attorneys,
15 independent contractors, instituting or defending the community in litigation, and causing
16 additional improvements or maintenance repairs to be made.

17 6. Before hiring individuals and companies to work on behalf of the HOA,
18 the HOA board usually obtains three bids for consideration. The three bids are usually presented
19 during public board meetings with an opportunity for the homeowners to comment and discuss
20 the issues at hand. The property manager is usually selected first, and then the property manager
21 helps to identify and obtain bids for other services.

22 7. Under Nevada law, property managers must earn a Community
23 Association Management (CAM) license before being able to work in the state of Nevada.
24 Property managers have fiduciary obligations to act in the best interest of the community,
25 safeguard financial and confidential information for the community, and disclose any affiliation
26 or financial interest with any other person or business that furnishes goods or services to the
community.

1 a. to devise and intend to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud and to
 2 obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations
 3 and promises, that affected a financial institution; and for the purpose of executing such scheme
 4 and artifice, the Defendant or his coconspirators did knowingly place or caused to be placed in a
 5 post office and authorized depository for mail matter a thing to be sent and delivered by the U.S.
 6 Postal Service or any private or commercial interstate carrier, in violation of Title 18, United
 7 States Code, Section 1341; and,

8 b. to devise and intend to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud and to
 9 obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses,
 10 representations, and promises, that affected a financial institution; and for the purpose of
 11 executing such scheme and artifice, the Defendant or his coconspirators did knowingly transmit
 12 and cause to be transmitted by means of wire communication in interstate and foreign commerce,
 13 writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, in violation of Title 18, United States Code,
 14 Section 1343.

15 **OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY**

16 13. The objects of the conspiracy, of which Defendant **MIGUEL AVILA** was
 17 a member, which began from at least as early as in or around August 2003 and continued through
 18 at least in or around February 2009, were for the co-conspirators to:

19 a. identify and facilitate the placement of straw purchasers in certain HOAs
 20 identified above;

21 b. facilitate the purchase of units in certain HOAs identified above through
 22 straw purchasers to act on behalf of the beneficial owners of the unit;

23 c. manipulate and influence the elections of HOA board candidates
 24 designated by the co-conspirators and thereby gain and maintain control of HOA boards and
 25 candidates designated by the co-conspirators;
 26

1 d. manipulate and influence the conduct of HOA business including, but not
2 limited to, the appointment of designated property managers, the hiring of designated lawyers
3 and law firms, and the hiring of designated contractors; and,

4 e. unlawfully enrich the co-conspirators as a result of the scheme.

5 **MANNER AND MEANS**

6 14. In order to achieve the objects of the conspiracy, Defendant **MIGUEL**
7 **AVILA** and others known and unknown to the United States, used the following manner and
8 means, among others:

9 a. Co-conspirators would and did enlist real estate agents to identify
10 condominium units within HOA communities for purchase by co-conspirators in connection with
11 the scheme.

12 b. Co-conspirators would and did enlist individuals to act as straw purchasers
13 to complete mortgage loan applications to purchase properties within the HOA communities on
14 behalf of the beneficial owners and made false and fraudulent statements that concealed the
15 identity and financial interest of the true beneficial owners of the properties from banks,
16 mortgage companies, HOAs, and bona fide homeowners. Down payments were often funded by
17 **Benzer** and co-conspirators sent emails and spreadsheets outlining the purchases and payments
18 entitled "Condosinlinetobuy" and "Money back to LB on cash deals." Many of these emails
19 were sent via interstate wire.

20 c. The co-conspirators would and did use several licensed notaries to assist
21 in fraudulently notarizing documents in furtherance of the conspiracy without the signatory
22 appearing before him/her as required by his/her State of Nevada notary license.

23 d. Various co-conspirators would and did join the conspiracy when they
24 agreed to become straw purchasers at a particular HOA. Many co-conspirators signed and
25 submitted false and fraudulent loan applications and supporting documents to financial
26 institutions in order to finance and close on the properties.

1 e. On or about January 9, 2007, Defendant AVILA purchased a unit at the
2 Chateau Nouveau HOA. In fact, Defendant AVILA's co-conspirators provided the down
3 payments and monthly payments, including HOA dues and mortgage payments, for this property
4 and were the true owners of the property. Defendant AVILA signed closing documents to the
5 financial institution in order to finance and close on the property on behalf of his co-conspirators.

6 f. Once the straw purchases were complete, the beneficial owners and co-
7 conspirators would and did often rent the units. The beneficial owners received the rental
8 payments and continued to pay the mortgages and various expenses associated with the straw
9 purchases. Defendant AVILA did not pay rent to live in his unit at Chateau Nouveau.

10 g. In furtherance of the scheme, on or about August 3, 2005, a co-conspirator
11 would and did open and manage five bank accounts on behalf of SLC and **Benzer** under the
12 names of limited liability companies to conceal the identity of the beneficial owners. Numerous
13 interstate wire transfers were made to and from these accounts to fund the conspiracy and to pay
14 co-conspirators for their participation in the scheme. Deposits of \$1,981,077, \$700,000 and
15 \$300,000 into these accounts were provided by Co-Conspirators A and B. In excess of
16 \$8,000,000 moved through these five accounts.

17 h. Several straw purchasers allowed the co-conspirators to transfer a 1% or
18 greater ownership interest in their unit to another co-conspirator to make it appear that the new
19 owner was a legitimate owner in the HOA and was qualified to run for a position on the HOA
20 board of directors.

21 i. The co-conspirators would and did also refinance the properties in order to
22 increase the conspiracy's capital from which additional condominiums could be purchased.

23 j. The straw purchasers and those who acquired a transferred interest in the
24 properties would and did agree to run for election to HOA boards.

25 l. To ensure the co-conspirators would win the elections, co-conspirators
26 would and did employ deceitful tactics, such as creating false phone surveys to gather
information about homeowners' voting intentions, using mailing lists to vote on behalf of out-of-

1 town homeowners who were unlikely to participate in the elections, and submitting fake and
2 forged ballots. Co-conspirators also hired private investigators to find "dirt" on bona fide
3 candidates in order to influence the elections.

4 m. Certain co-conspirators would and did rig certain HOA board elections by
5 preparing forged ballots for out-of-town homeowners and either transported or caused them to be
6 transported or mailed to California and thereafter to have the ballots mailed back to Las Vegas
7 from various locations around California so as to make it appear that the ballots were completed
8 and mailed by bona fide homeowners residing outside Nevada.

9 n. Co-conspirators would and did attempt to create the appearance that the
10 elections were legitimate by hiring "independent" attorneys to run the HOA board elections.
11 These "special election masters" were obligated to: (i) contact the bona fide homeowners to
12 inform them of the election; (ii) mail the bona fide homeowners election ballots and voting
13 instructions; (iii) collect and secure those election ballots returned by mail until the date of the
14 election; and (iv) preside over the HOA board election, including supervising the counting of
15 ballots. However, in truth and fact, certain "special election masters" were paid in cash, check,
16 or promised things of value, by or on behalf of **Benzer** and SLC for their assistance in rigging
17 the elections.

18 o. Specifically, or about March 1, 2007, a co-conspirator special election
19 master used the United States Postal Service to mail information packets for the April 23, 2007
20 election of the Board of Directors to the 564 home owners at Chateau Nouveau. These packets
21 included nomination forms for those who wished to run for election onto Chateau Nouveau HOA
22 Board of Directors. Defendant AVILA then submitted his nomination form. On or about April
23 23, 2007, Defendant AVILA was elected to the Chateau Nouveau HOA Board of Directors in a
24 rigged election. Once on the board, Defendant AVILA breached his statutory fiduciary duty to
25 the homeowners by accepting from his co-conspirators compensation, gratuity, and other
26 remuneration that improperly influenced, or reasonably appeared to influence, his decisions,
resulting in a conflict of interest.

1 p. Once elected, the straw purchaser board members would and did meet
2 with co-conspirators in order to manipulate board votes, including the selection of property
3 managers, contractors, general counsel and attorneys to represent the HOA.

4 q. Specifically, Defendant used his position on the Chateau Nouveau HOA
5 Board of Directors to vote in a manner directed by and favorable to certain co-conspirators.
6 Specifically, Defendant AVILA participated in the following Chateau Nouveau HOA Board of
7 Directors' votes, among others: to replace Nicklin Community Management with Platinum; to
8 hire a co-conspirator as attorney for Chateau Nouveau; and to award BENZER's company a
9 remediation contract award, awarding right of first refusal to Silver Lining Construction for a
10 construction defect contract.

11
12
13 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.
14

15
16 

17 JEFFREY H. KNOX
18 Chief
19 Fraud Section, Criminal Division
20 U.S. Department of Justice

21 CHARLES G. LA BELLA
22 KATHLEEN McGOVERN
23 Deputy Chiefs
24 THOMAS B. W. HALL
25 Trial Attorney
26