United States v. Halliburton and Baker Hughes

Merger Harms Customers by Leaving them with Two Dominant Suppliers

	Halliburton plus Baker Hughes	Schlumberger	Total
Offshore Intelligent Well Completions	58%	41%	99%
Offshore Cementing	56%	43%	99%
Offshore Open Hole Wireline	13%	86%	99%
Offshore Sub-Surface Safety Valves	46%	51%	97%
Offshore Production Packers	75%	20%	95%
Offshore Logging While Drilling	48%	46%	94%
Offshore Directional Drilling	43%	51%	94%
Offshore Drilling Fluids	40%	54%	94%
Offshore Stimulation Vessel Services	86%	0%	86%
Offshore Liner Hanger Systems	84%	1%	85%
Onshore Logging While Drilling	59%	19%	78%
Fixed Cutter Drill Bits	52%	26%	78%
Offshore Surface Data Logging	36%	42%	78%
Onshore Liner Hanger Systems	72%	4%	76%
Offshore Completion Fluids	49%	25%	74%
Roller Cone Drill Bits	35%	37%	72%
Onshore Frac Plugs	62%	8%	70%
Offshore Sand Control Tools	63%	5%	68%
Onshore Cementing	45%	17%	62%

Onshore Production	57%	2%	59%
Packers			

Shares are for the U.S. market as alleged in the Complaint. Three problematic markets are not shown above because of data limitations: Cased Hole Wireline Services for Rigs in Deepwater, Multilateral Completions Systems, and Integrated Refracturing Solutions.