

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
STATE OF COLORADO,
STATE OF IDAHO,
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,
STATE OF TEXAS,
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
STATE OF WASHINGTON,
and
STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SPRINGLEAF HOLDINGS, INC.,
ONEMAIN FINANCIAL HOLDINGS, LLC,
and
CITIFINANCIAL CREDIT COMPANY,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:15-cv-01992 (RMC)

**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH PROVISIONS OF
THE ANTITRUST PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES ACT**

Plaintiff, United States of America, by the undersigned attorney, hereby certifies that, in compliance with the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. §16 (b)-(h) (“APPA” or “Tunney Act”), the following procedures have been followed in preparation for the entry of the Final Judgment in this matter:

1. The Complaint, proposed Final Judgment and the Asset Preservation Stipulation and Order, by which the parties have agreed to the Court’s entry of the Final Judgment

following compliance with the APPA, were filed on November 13, 2015. The United States also filed its Competitive Impact Statement with the Court on November 13, 2015.

2. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §16(b), the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were published in the *Federal Register* on November 24, 2015 (*see* 80 Fed. Reg. 73,212).
3. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §16(c), summaries of the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were published in *The Washington Post* for seven days from November 20 to November 26, 2015.
4. As noted in the Competitive Impact Statement, there were no determinative materials or documents within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. §16(b) that were considered by the United States in formulating the proposed Final Judgment, so none was furnished to any person pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §16(b) or listed pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §16(c).
5. As required by 15 U.S.C. §16(g), defendants, on November 23, 2015, filed with the Court descriptions of written or oral communications by or on their behalf with any officer or employee of the United States concerning or relevant to the proposed Final Judgment.
6. The sixty-day comment period prescribed for the receipt and consideration of written comments specified in 15 U.S.C. §16(b)(d) commenced on November 26, 2015, and terminated on January 25, 2016. The United States received one comment on the proposed Final Judgment and filed its Response to Public Comments on March 8, 2016. The comments and the Response were published in the *Federal Register* on March 21, 2016 (*see* 81 Fed. Reg. 15,124).

7. The parties have satisfied all the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h), that were conditions for entering the proposed Final Judgment. It is now appropriate for the Court to make the necessary public interest determination required by 15 U.S.C. §16(e) and to enter the proposed Final Judgment.

Dated: April 12, 2016

Respectfully submitted,



Angela Ting (D.C. Bar #449576)
United States Department of Justice
Antitrust Division, Litigation II Section
450 Fifth Street, NW, Suite 8700
Washington, DC 20530
Tel.: (202) 616-7721
E-mail: angela.ting@usdoj.gov