UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

No. 16 CR 50042

v.

Judge Philip G. Reinhard

DAVID FLEURY

PLEA AGREEMENT

1. This Plea Agreement between the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, ZACHARY T. FARDON, and defendant DAVID FLEURY, and his attorney, PAUL E. GAZIANO, is made pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The parties to this Agreement agree upon the following:

Charge in This Case

- 2. The information in this case charges defendant with embezzlement from a labor union, in violation of Title 29, United States Code, Section 501(c).
- 3. Defendant has read the charge against him contained in the information, and that charge has been fully explained to him by his attorney.
- 4. Defendant fully understands the nature and elements of the crime with which he has been charged.

Charge to Which Defendant Is Pleading Guilty

5. By this Plea Agreement, defendant agrees to enter a voluntary plea of guilty to the information, which charges defendant with embezzlement from a labor union, in violation of Title 29, United States Code, Section 501(c).

Factual Basis

- 6. Defendant will plead guilty because he is in fact guilty of the charge contained in the information. In pleading guilty, defendant admits the following facts and that those facts establish his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt and constitute relevant conduct pursuant to Guideline § 1B1.3:
- a. In general, between January 2011 and May 2015, at Rockford, defendant, while an officer, that is the president, of a local of a labor organization engaged in an industry affecting interstate commerce, embezzled and unlawfully and willfully converted to his own use over \$250,000 of the monies, funds, and assets of the labor organization.
- b. More specifically, between January 2011 and May 2015, defendant was the president of Local 6 of the International Union of Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers, a labor organization that was engaged in an industry affecting interstate commerce as defined by 29 U.S.C. §§ 402(i) and 402(j). As president, defendant managed the daily operation of Local 6, signed checks, made deposits, and approved and signed reports that were required to be filed with the United States Department of Labor.
- c. Defendant was paid a salary by Local 6 in the form of weekly automated clearing house ("ACH") deposits into his bank account. Defendant caused an additional 153 salary checks and ACH deposits totaling \$284,286 to be paid to him, knowing that he was not entitled to these additional salary payments. When receiving additional salary checks, defendant would sign the checks and use a

signature stamp of another Local 6 official to approve the checks. Defendant directed the Local 6 office manager to prepare the additional salary checks or to cause the ACH payments to be paid to defendant's bank account.

- d. As president of Local 6, defendant had access to a credit card established for Local 6. Defendant was authorized by Local 6 to use the credit card for union business expenses only, and not for his personal benefit. Defendant made unauthorized purchases totaling \$6,132 on the Local 6 credit card that were for his personal benefit and did not repay those funds to Local 6.
- e. Defendant was also a trustee on the health and welfare fund for Local 6 members, the pension fund for Local 6 members, the Construction Industry Health Fund for Local 6 members, and the Local 6 Apprenticeship Fund. As a trustee, defendant traveled to conferences held by various funds. In traveling, defendant used the Local 6 credit card to pay for his expenses. When defendant was reimbursed for his travel expenses by the various funds, defendant did not turn over those reimbursement amounts and kept them for his own use.
- f. Defendant embezzled cash dues totaling \$4,585 that were paid by members to Local 6. When defendant took the cash dues, he would submit personal checks to Local 6 in the amount of the funds embezzled. However, defendant would not deposit those checks or allow those checks to be deposited into Local 6's bank account.
- g. Defendant used the monies he embezzled and converted to pay for personal expenses, to allow him to gamble at casinos, and to pay for vacations.

h. As president of Local 6, defendant was responsible for approving and signing electronically under oath reports with the United States Department of Labor. These reports included a Form LM-2 Labor Organization Annual Report for Local 6. Defendant knew that the annual reports for Local 6 for 2011 through 2014 were false because they characterized the additional salary payments that defendant was taking as gross salary disbursement, when, in fact, the additional salary payments were not authorized salary disbursements, but were embezzlements.

Maximum Statutory Penalties

- 7. Defendant understands that the charge to which he is pleading guilty carries the following statutory penalties:
- a. A maximum sentence of 5 years' imprisonment. This offense also carries a maximum fine of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from that offense, whichever is greater. Defendant understands that the judge also may impose a term of supervised release of not more than 3 years. Defendant further understands that the judge may impose a term of probation of 1 to 5 years.
- b. Defendant further understands that the Court must order restitution to the victims of the offense in an amount determined by the Court.
- c. In accord with 18 U.S.C. § 3013, defendant will be assessed \$100 on the charge to which he has pled guilty, in addition to any other penalty or restitution imposed.

Sentencing Guidelines Calculations

- 8. Defendant understands that in determining a sentence, the Court is obligated to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and other sentencing factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), which include: (i) the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant; (ii) the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, promote respect for the law, and provide just punishment for the offense, afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, protect the public from further crimes of the defendant, and provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner; (iii) the kinds of sentences available; (iv) the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct; and (v) the need to provide restitution to any victim of the offense.
- 9. For purposes of calculating the Sentencing Guidelines, the parties agree and disagree on the following points:
- a. **Applicable Guidelines**. The parties agree that the Sentencing Guidelines to be considered in this case are those in effect at the time of sentencing. The following statements regarding the calculation of the Sentencing Guidelines are based on the Guidelines Manual currently in effect, namely the November 2015 Guidelines Manual.
 - b. Offense Level Calculations.

- i. The parties agree that the base offense level is 7, pursuant to Guideline § 2B1.1(a)(1).
- ii. The parties agree that the offense level is increased by 12 levels as the loss from the offense exceeded \$250,000. The government will contend that the amount of loss and restitution should include defendant's use of the Local 6 credit card to pay for his expenses at conferences of various funds and his failure to turn over those reimbursement amounts from the funds to Local 6. The government estimates that amount to be \$24,233.
- iii. The government will contend that defendant abused a position of private trust in a manner that significantly facilitated the commission and concealment of the embezzlement, and that 2 levels are added pursuant to Guideline §3B1.3. Defendant may oppose the application of this Guideline enhancement.
- The defendant iv. parties agree that has clearly demonstrated a recognition and affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility for his criminal conduct. If the government does not receive additional evidence in conflict with this provision, and if defendant continues to accept responsibility for his actions within the meaning of Guideline § 3E1.1(a), including by furnishing the United States Attorney's Office and the Probation Office with all requested financial information relevant to his ability to satisfy any fine or restitution that may be imposed in this case, a two-level reduction in the offense level is appropriate.

- v. The parties agree that in accord with Guideline § 3E1.1(b), defendant has timely notified the government of his intention to enter a plea of guilty, thereby permitting the government to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently. Therefore, as provided by Guideline § 3E1.1(b), if the Court determines the offense level to be 16 or greater prior to determining that defendant is entitled to a two-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility, the government will move for an additional one-level reduction in the offense level.
- c. **Criminal History Category**. Defendant has no prior criminal convictions that would result in the assignment of any criminal history points and his criminal history category is I.
- d. Anticipated Advisory Sentencing Guidelines Range. Therefore, based on the facts now known to the government, and if Guideline §3B1.3 is found by the Court to apply, the anticipated offense level is 18, which, when combined with the anticipated criminal history category of I, results in an anticipated advisory sentencing guidelines range of 27 to 33 months' imprisonment, in addition to any supervised release, fine, and restitution the Court may impose.
- e. Defendant and his attorney and the government acknowledge that the above guidelines calculations are preliminary in nature, and are nonbinding predictions upon which neither party is entitled to rely. Defendant understands that further review of the facts or applicable legal principles may lead the government to conclude that different or additional guidelines provisions apply

in this case. Defendant understands that the Probation Office will conduct its own investigation and that the Court ultimately determines the facts and law relevant to sentencing, and that the Court's determinations govern the final guideline calculation. Accordingly, the validity of this Agreement is not contingent upon the probation officer's or the Court's concurrence with the above calculations, and defendant shall not have a right to withdraw his plea on the basis of the Court's rejection of these calculations.

10. Both parties expressly acknowledge that this Agreement is not governed by Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B), and that errors in applying or interpreting any of the sentencing guidelines may be corrected by either party prior to sentencing. The parties may correct these errors either by stipulation or by a statement to the Probation Office or the Court, setting forth the disagreement regarding the applicable provisions of the guidelines. The validity of this Agreement will not be affected by such corrections, and defendant shall not have a right to withdraw his plea, nor the government the right to vacate this Agreement, on the basis of such corrections.

Agreements Relating to Sentencing

- 11. The government is free to recommend whatever sentence it deems appropriate within the applicable guideline range.
- 12. It is understood by the parties that the sentencing judge is neither a party to nor bound by this Agreement and may impose a sentence up to the maximum penalties as set forth above. Defendant further acknowledges that if the

Court does not accept the sentencing recommendation of the parties, defendant will have no right to withdraw his guilty plea.

- 13. Regarding restitution, defendant acknowledges that the total amount of restitution owed to the victims will be determined by the Court at sentencing, minus any credit for funds repaid prior to sentencing, and that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A, the Court must order defendant to make full restitution in the amount outstanding at the time of sentencing.
- 14. Restitution shall be due immediately, and paid pursuant to a schedule to be set by the Court at sentencing. Defendant acknowledges that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3664(k), he is required to notify the Court and the United States Attorney's Office of any material change in economic circumstances that might affect his ability to pay restitution.
- 15. Defendant agrees to pay the special assessment of \$100 at the time of sentencing with a cashier's check or money order payable to the Clerk of the U.S. District Court.
- 16. Defendant agrees that the United States may enforce collection of any fine or restitution imposed in this case pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3572, 3613, and 3664(m), notwithstanding any payment schedule set by the Court.

Acknowledgments and Waivers Regarding Plea of Guilty

Nature of Agreement

- 17. This Agreement is entirely voluntary and represents the entire agreement between the United States Attorney and defendant regarding defendant's criminal liability in case 16 CR 50042.
- 18. This Agreement concerns criminal liability only. Except as expressly set forth in this Agreement, nothing herein shall constitute a limitation, waiver, or release by the United States or any of its agencies of any administrative or judicial civil claim, demand, or cause of action it may have against defendant or any other person or entity. The obligations of this Agreement are limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Illinois and cannot bind any other federal, state, or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authorities, except as expressly set forth in this Agreement.
- 19. Defendant understands that nothing in this Agreement shall limit the Internal Revenue Service in its collection of any taxes, interest or penalties from defendant and his spouse.

Waiver of Rights

- 20. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty he surrenders certain rights, including the following:
- a. **Right to be charged by indictment**. Defendant understands that he has a right to have the charge prosecuted by an indictment returned by a concurrence of twelve or more members of a grand jury consisting of not less than

sixteen and not more than twenty-three members. By signing this Agreement, defendant knowingly waives his right to be prosecuted by indictment and to assert at trial or on appeal any defects or errors arising from the information, the information process, or the fact that he has been prosecuted by way of information.

- b. **Trial rights**. Defendant has the right to persist in a plea of not guilty to the charge against him, and if he does, he would have the right to a public and speedy trial.
- i. The trial could be either a jury trial or a trial by the judge sitting without a jury. However, in order that the trial be conducted by the judge sitting without a jury, defendant, the government, and the judge all must agree that the trial be conducted by the judge without a jury.
- ii. If the trial is a jury trial, the jury would be composed of twelve citizens from the district, selected at random. Defendant and his attorney would participate in choosing the jury by requesting that the Court remove prospective jurors for cause where actual bias or other disqualification is shown, or by removing prospective jurors without cause by exercising peremptory challenges.
- iii. If the trial is a jury trial, the jury would be instructed that defendant is presumed innocent, that the government has the burden of proving defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and that the jury could not convict him unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. The jury would have to agree unanimously before it could return a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

- iv. If the trial is held by the judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and determine, after hearing all the evidence, whether or not the judge was persuaded that the government had established defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- v. At a trial, whether by a jury or a judge, the government would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against defendant.

 Defendant would be able to confront those government witnesses and his attorney would be able to cross-examine them.
- vi. At a trial, defendant could present witnesses and other evidence in his own behalf. If the witnesses for defendant would not appear voluntarily, he could require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court. A defendant is not required to present any evidence.
- vii. At a trial, defendant would have a privilege against self-incrimination so that he could decline to testify, and no inference of guilt could be drawn from his refusal to testify. If defendant desired to do so, he could testify in his own behalf.
- c. Appellate rights. Defendant further understands he is waiving all appellate issues that might have been available if he had exercised his right to trial, and may only appeal the validity of this plea of guilty and the sentence imposed. Defendant understands that any appeal must be filed within 14 calendar days of the entry of the judgment of conviction.

21. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty he is waiving all the rights set forth in the prior paragraphs, with the exception of the appellate rights specifically preserved above. Defendant's attorney has explained those rights to him, and the consequences of his waiver of those rights.

Presentence Investigation Report/Post-Sentence Supervision

- 22. Defendant understands that the United States Attorney's Office in its submission to the Probation Office as part of the Pre-Sentence Report and at sentencing shall fully apprise the District Court and the Probation Office of the nature, scope, and extent of defendant's conduct regarding the charge against him, and related matters. The government will make known all matters in aggravation and mitigation relevant to sentencing.
- 23. Defendant agrees to truthfully and completely execute a Financial Statement (with supporting documentation) prior to sentencing, to be provided to and shared among the Court, the Probation Office, and the United States Attorney's Office regarding all details of his financial circumstances, including his recent income tax returns as specified by the probation officer. Defendant understands that providing false or incomplete information, or refusing to provide this information, may be used as a basis for denial of a reduction for acceptance of responsibility pursuant to Guideline § 3E1.1 and enhancement of his sentence for obstruction of justice under Guideline § 3C1.1, and may be prosecuted as a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001 or as a contempt of the Court.

24. For the purpose of monitoring defendant's compliance with his obligations to pay a fine and restitution during any term of supervised release or probation to which defendant is sentenced, defendant further consents to the disclosure by the IRS to the Probation Office and the United States Attorney's Office of defendant's individual income tax returns (together with extensions, correspondence, and other tax information) filed subsequent to defendant's sentencing, to and including the final year of any period of supervised release or probation to which defendant is sentenced. Defendant also agrees that a certified copy of this Agreement shall be sufficient evidence of defendant's request to the IRS to disclose the returns and return information, as provided for in Title 26, United States Code, Section 6103(b).

Other Terms

- 25. Defendant agrees to cooperate with the United States Attorney's Office in collecting any unpaid fine and restitution for which defendant is liable, including providing financial statements and supporting records as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.
- 26. Defendant understands that pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 504 and 1111, his conviction in this case will prohibit him from serving or being permitted to serve in certain offices, positions, and capacities relating to labor organizations, employee benefit plans, and other entities, as described in 29 U.S.C. §§ 504 and 1111, for the period of thirteen years after conviction or after the end of any incarceration, whichever is later, unless the Court, pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines and

policy statements under Title 28, United States Code, Section 994(a), determines that defendant's direct or indirect service with or to a labor organization or employee benefit plan would not be contrary to the purposes of 29 U.S.C. §§ 504 and 1111. Defendant further understands that if he violates this prohibition, he may be punished by imprisonment for up to five years and a fine of up to \$250,000.

27. Defendant understands that, if convicted, a defendant who is not a United States citizen may be removed from the United States, denied citizenship, and denied admission to the United States in the future.

Conclusion

- 28. Defendant understands that this Agreement will be filed with the Court, will become a matter of public record, and may be disclosed to any person.
- 29. Defendant understands that his compliance with each part of this Agreement extends throughout the period of his sentence, and failure to abide by any term of the Agreement is a violation of the Agreement. Defendant further understands that in the event he violates this Agreement, the government, at its option, may move to vacate the Agreement, rendering it null and void, and thereafter prosecute defendant not subject to any of the limits set forth in this Agreement, or may move to resentence defendant or require defendant's specific performance of this Agreement. Defendant understands and agrees that in the event that the Court permits defendant to withdraw from this Agreement, or defendant breaches any of its terms and the government elects to void the

Agreement and prosecute defendant, any prosecutions that are not time-barred by

the applicable statute of limitations on the date of the signing of this Agreement

may be commenced against defendant in accordance with this paragraph,

notwithstanding the expiration of the statute of limitations between the signing of

this Agreement and the commencement of such prosecutions.

30. Should the judge refuse to accept defendant's plea of guilty, this

Agreement shall become null and void and neither party will be bound to it.

31. Defendant and his attorney acknowledge that no threats, promises, or

representations have been made, nor agreements reached, other than those set

forth in this Agreement, to cause defendant to plead guilty.

32. Defendant acknowledges that he has read this Agreement and

carefully reviewed each provision with his attorney. Defendant further

acknowledges that he understands and voluntarily accepts each and every term and

condition of this Agreement.

AGREED THIS DATE:	

ZACHARY T. FARDON United States Attorney

DAVID FLEURY Defendant

JOHN G. McKENZIE

PAUL E. GAZIANO Attorney for Defendant

Assistant U.S. Attorney