



# NATIONAL COMMISSION ON FORENSIC SCIENCE



3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

## Electronic Networking of Medical Examiner and Coroner Offices in the United States

### **Subcommittee**

Recommendation from the Subcommittee on Medicolegal Death Investigation.

### **Type of Work Product**

Policy Recommendation.

### **Recommendation**

The National Commission on Forensic Science requests that the Attorney General of the United States approve policy that recommends the implementation of an electronic communication network for all medical examiner and coroner offices in the United States, to be developed and implemented by 2017 in conjunction with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and other federal agencies with interest in medicolegal death investigation.

### **Recommended Implementation Strategy**

The National Institute of Justice and CDC would establish and fund a Working Group in 2015 to prepare a specific plan to develop a Medical Examiner and Coroner Electronic Information Network (MECIN).

The working group would include at least one representative from each of the following, including a sufficient number of members from the medical examiner and coroner communities:

- National Association of Medical Examiners
- International Association of Coroners and Medical Examiners
- The National Institute of Justice
- The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s National Violent Death Reporting System
- The Organization of Scientific Area Committees MDI Subcommittee
- The Department of Homeland Security
- The National Center for Health Statistics
- The International Association of Chiefs of Police and/or other law enforcement organizations
- An entity which has developed on-line databases, preferably familiar with medical examiners and coroners and their work.

45 The working group would identify:

- 46 • The major goals for the system
- 47 • A standard, permanent email address format for every coroner and medical examiner office
- 48 • A method to ensure that all medical examiners and coroners in the United States have internet
- 49 access at a minimum, and preferably, an email service provider and address
- 50 • A parent entity for the system, which would manage, administrate, maintain the system, and
- 51 develop policy for system use and access. Developing a method for keeping the MECIN current
- 52 is a critical component of the system's success.
- 53 • A method to obtain necessary computer hardware and to develop needed software
- 54 • How dissemination of, and requests for information would be controlled.
- 55 • In states with a state medical examiner having regional offices or also having coroners, whether
- 56 information would flow only to the state medical examiner for distribution as needed, and/or
- 57 directly to the other medicolegal officers in the state.
- 58 • A way that the system could be managed by an entity which is not subject to survey and
- 59 information collection limitations imposed on federal agencies.
- 60 • Funding sources to support development, implementation, and ongoing administration and
- 61 maintenance of the system once up and running.
- 62 • How social media and other emerging technology might be utilized to facilitate the system and
- 63 communication
- 64

65 The working group would meet in the fall of 2015 and by the end of 2015, complete the above tasks. A  
66 system developer would be identified in late 2015 and the system would be implemented by the end of  
67 2016. The Appendix contains a breakdown of costs, which would total approximately \$130,000 in the  
68 first year and then approximately \$62,000 per year thereafter. States would bear the cost of ensuring  
69 internet access for all coroners and/or medical examiners in the state.

#### 70 **Statement of Issue**

71 There is not, and never has been an electronic communication system which would allow  
72 communication with all medical examiners and coroners (ME/Cs) in the United States. Such a system  
73 would be valuable for disseminating relevant information to medical examiners and coroners and for  
74 collecting information when needed. An electronic communication system has great potential for the  
75 public health, public safety, justice, legal, and medical communities.

#### 76 **Background**

77  
78 The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) once had a Medical Examiner/Coroner  
79 Information Sharing Program (MECISP). One of the many goals of the original program was to facilitate  
80 communication among death investigators, the public health community, federal agencies, and other  
81 interested groups (1). As such, the MECISP published a directory that described the structure of death  
82 investigation systems by state, and listed names and contact persons for all medicolegal jurisdictions in  
83 the United States. The MECISP did not include an electronic communication system which covered all  
84 coroners and medical examiners in the United States. CDC's National Center for Health Statistics is  
85 currently developing a program to promote public health activities in offices of medical examiners and  
86 coroners. The goals are envisioned to be participating in the development and promotion of standards  
87 for death investigation, for the collection and automation of death investigation data, and for death  
88 certificate reporting; and to coordinate efforts with other interested agencies to accomplish these goals  
89 and to avoid duplication of effort. As it was for its predecessor program, facilitating communication

91 among death investigators, the public health community and federal agencies is critical to the goals.  
92 This program currently lacks funds to fully realize the goals of the envisioned program.

93  
94 Currently, CDC maintains a Health Alert Network (HAN) for sharing cleared information about urgent  
95 public health incidents which provides an avenue of communication with medical examiners and  
96 coroners on issues related to public health (3). The HAN collaborates with federal, state, territorial, and  
97 city/county partners to develop protocols and stakeholder relationships for distributing the information.  
98 Currently, all ME/Cs can voluntarily sign onto HAN and receive messages. ME/C may also receive  
99 messages when the content is deemed appropriate by the state or local HAN coordinator. CDC's Clinical  
100 Outreach and Communication Activity (COCA) is also available to provide information to stakeholders  
101 about public health threats and emergency preparedness (4). To date, very few COCA activities and HAN  
102 alerts have been specifically targeted medical examiners and coroners as an audience. CDC's NCHS has  
103 email contacts for state and local vital records registrars who process death certificates as part of their  
104 duties, and in turn, most vital records registrars maintain contact with medical examiners and coroners  
105 in their jurisdiction to facilitate communication around death certification, including the quality of  
106 medical information reported on the death certificate (2). The information from death certificates is  
107 used to compile statistics on the causes of death in the US and is used for medical and public health  
108 research and prevention.

109  
110 Each of the CDC networks serves a very specific purpose and limits the messages sent to those that are  
111 scientifically or otherwise vetted. The systems are designed around public health and safety  
112 communication, and around maintaining the high quality mortality data needed for public health.  
113 However, there are many issues of relevance to medical examiners and coroners which are not directly  
114 related to public health and safety.

115  
116 There are electronic databases and hardcopy manuals with contact information for medical examiners  
117 and coroners in the United States, but email addresses are often lacking (4). Even in states which have  
118 on-line coroner or medical examiner association directories, email contact information is often absent  
119 (or inaccurate), as in Georgia and Mississippi, for example, and many other states (5,6). The  
120 unavailability of email addresses makes it difficult to assemble a complete list of email addresses for all  
121 ME/Cs in the United States. Because many coroner offices are in small rural areas, in the not-to-distant  
122 past, some such offices lacked computers (some may still be in this situation) or reliable internet access,  
123 also posing obstacles to wide spread electronic communication with ME/Cs. Most coroners are elected  
124 and the coroner may change when elections occur, or they may have term limits, thus requiring updates  
125 of contact information such as email addresses. Appointed medical examiners may be replaced as well.  
126 Even in coroner states which also have a state medical examiner, the ability of the state medical  
127 examiner to electronically contact all coroners in the state may be limited. A concerted effort to  
128 assemble a list of email addresses for the nearly 3000 ME/Cs in the United States showed that the  
129 percent of obtainable email addresses ranged from 20% to 100% among the states with an average of  
130 84% (7). All of these obstacles explain why a global electronic communication system for ME/Cs has not  
131 yet emerged. These obstacles can be overcome, however, with thoughtful planning, and would need to  
132 be addressed during development of the MECIN system

133  
134 If there were an electronic communication system for all ME/Cs in the United States, important  
135 information could be disseminated to the ME/Cs by multiple entities. Such entities include, but are not  
136 limited to, the National Institute of Justice (NIJ), the National Institute of Standards and Technology  
137 (NIST), the CDC, US Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) and its High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area program  
138 (HIDTA), US Department of Transportation (which includes the FAA and NHTSA), Federal Emergency

139 Management Agency (FEMA), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), National Transportation Safety  
140 Board (NTSB), Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC),  
141 state health departments, the newly formed Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) which  
142 will be developing guidelines and standards, the National Commission on Forensic Science and its  
143 subcommittees, the National Association of Medical Examiners (NAME), the International Association of  
144 Coroners and Medical Examiners (IAC&ME), and other such agencies and organizations. All of the  
145 aforementioned conduct activities which are directly relevant to medicolegal death investigation. For  
146 example, a recent email was sent through the CDC's HAN regarding the death of an infant due to a fatal  
147 fungal infection resulting from ingestion of a dietary supplement product (8). This information is  
148 important for ME/Cs to know, but the number of ME/Cs receiving the advisory nationwide undoubtedly  
149 varied by state and probably did not reach all ME/Cs in the United States. There are many other  
150 instances in which quick and/or global communication with ME/Cs would be helpful. For example, there  
151 are probably coroners and medical examiners in the United States which are unfamiliar with the NIJ  
152 Guide for the Death Scene Investigator which has existed for 15 years, or the concept of excited  
153 delirium, or the emergence of fentanyl produced by clandestine labs in overdose cases involving heroin.  
154 Many more examples could be cited.

155

156 If an electronic communication system for ME/Cs were to emerge, it is foreseeable that the system  
157 could be used not only to disseminate important information, but also to collect information from  
158 ME/Cs for public health, public safety, and other purposes. For example, it could provide a vehicle to  
159 conduct national or regional short term surveillance for conditions of public health or public safety  
160 importance. Thus, the system could be used to conduct what might be regarded as surveys. Federal  
161 regulations limit surveys. Thus, it would be preferable to have the communication system managed by  
162 an entity which is not subject to survey limitations imposed on federal agencies.

163

164

165 The MDI-Subcommittee envisions an electronic communication system which would enable the  
166 following:

- 167 1) Dissemination of information which is important to ME/Cs. This would include public health and  
168 safety alerts, guidelines, standards, information to facilitate training, accreditation, and  
169 certification, and other useful procedural alerts and information;
- 170 2) Ability for ME/Cs to make inquiries which would be reviewed and addressed
- 171 3) A repository for important documents such as guidelines, standards, protocols, and resources  
172 which are available to assist in death investigations
- 173 4) Facilitation of research involving medical examiners, coroners, and death investigation
- 174 5) Announcements of importance such as grant and funding opportunities
- 175 6) A controlled, limited access directory of contact information for all ME/Cs in the United States
- 176 7) Access by all ME/Cs via the internet and/or email
- 177 8) Controlled access to the system via a user registration and verification process
- 178 9) Updating of ME/C contact information and profile when a given ME/C is replaced
- 179 10) A standardized email and/or username algorithm such that the email address/username is  
180 predictable, jurisdiction-based, and permanent even if a given ME/C is replaced by another

181

182 The National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs) could serve as a model for MECIN  
183 development and management. NamUs started as a pilot project of a working group through a  
184 volunteer effort, eventually became funded by the National Institute of Justice, was further developed,  
185 and now is administered within a health sciences laboratory setting with funded workers and an  
186 advisory group.

187 **Review of Public Comment**

188

189 One public comment was received:

190

191 *“A model already exists with the NAME LISTSERV managed by Emory University and Randy*  
192 *Hanzlick. It is voluntary and available to NAME members only. If your vision is more*  
193 *comprehensive and includes real time data sharing it would require direct data interface to avoid*  
194 *dual key entry. Otherwise participation would low because of the increase workload of dual*  
195 *data entry.”*

196

197 The Subcommittee’s response is as follows:

198

199 The MECIN is not envisioned as a listerv and the target audience would be more broad than that of the  
200 NAME Listserv. The MECIN would not be intended as a data collection system, so the issue of dual key  
201 entry is not relevant. Medical Examiners and coroners would need to access the MECIN system and/or  
202 review information which is distributed through the system, but the need for replies or input of  
203 information would probably be minimal. With this clarification, we see no need to modify the  
204 recommendation in this regard.

205

206

207

208

209 **References**

210

- 211 1) Hanzlick R. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Medical Examiner/Coroner  
212 Information Sharing Program (MECISP). J Forensic Sci 1997;42:531-2.
- 213 2) Personal communication. Margaret Warner. National Center for Health Statistics. October 14,  
214 2014.
- 215 3) CDC Health Alert Network. Available at <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/han/>
- 216 4) CDC. Clinical Outreach and Communication Activity <http://emergency.cdc.gov/coca/calls/>
- 217 5) National Directory of Law Enforcement Administrators. National Public Safety Information  
218 Bureau. Stevens Point, Wisconsin. 2014. See also [safetysource.com](http://safetysource.com)
- 219 6) Georgia Coroners Association Coroner Directory.  
220 See <http://georgiacoronersassoc.org/coroner-directory>
- 221 7) Mississippi Coroner-Medical Examiner Association Coroner Directory.  
222 See <http://mscoroner.com/coroners.pdf>
- 223 8) Personal Communication. Steve Clark. Occupational Research and Assessment. Big Rapids,  
224 Michigan. November 26, 2014.
- 225 9) CDC Health Advisory. Fatal Gastrointestinal Mucormycosis in an Infant Following Ingestion of  
226 Contaminated Dietary Supplement – Connecticut, 2014. Distributed by the CDC Health Advisory  
227 Network. November 25, 2014.

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235  
236

**APPENDIX: Estimated Costs of the Proposed MECIN system**

<b>Task</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Estimated Cost</b>
Initial 2-3 day meeting of working group	One time	\$10,000
Email server system hardware and software	One time	2,500
Collection and entry of email addresses and standard email addresses	One time	\$50,000
Development of on-line database and email server system	One time	\$50,000
Overhead	One Time	\$17,000
	One-time costs	\$129,500
Data storage	Ongoing	200/year*
IT Support and Help Desk	Ongoing	12,000/year
System and user management (1 FTE)	Ongoing	50,000/year
	Ongoing Costs	\$62,200/year

237  
238  
239  
240  
241

(\*) This could approximately double each year.

Thus, first year costs would approximate \$130,000 and subsequent annual costs would be about \$62,000.