

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE DIVISION
3:14-cr-82

FILED
CHARLOTTE, NC

FEB - 7 2018

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

CHRISTOPHER LEE GRIFFIN

US District Court
Western District of NC

VERDICT FORM

1. As to Count One, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with conspiracy to commit wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

1.a. If guilty, was the offense in connection with the conduct of telemarketing?

Yes: X No: _____

1.b. If answer to 1.a. is yes, did the offense victimize ten or more persons over the age of 55?

Yes: X No: _____

1.c. If answer to 1.a. is yes, did the offense target persons over the age of 55?

Yes: _____ No: X

Final 1.0 Page 1

2. As to Count Two, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with wire fraud on or about May 1, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

2.a. If guilty, was the offense in connection with the conduct of telemarketing?

Yes: X No: _____

2.b. If answer to 2.a. is yes, did the offense victimize ten or more persons over the age of 55?

Yes: X No: _____

2.c. If answer to 2.a. is yes, did the offense target persons over the age of 55?

Yes: _____ No: X

3. As to Count Three, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with wire fraud on or about May 5, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

3.a. If guilty, was the offense in connection with the conduct of telemarketing?

Yes: X No: _____

3.b. If answer to 3.a. is yes, did the offense victimize ten or more persons over the age of 55?

Yes: X No: _____

3.c. If answer to 3.a. is yes, did the offense target persons over the age of 55?

Yes: _____ No: X

4. As to Count Four, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with wire fraud on or about September 16, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

4.a. If guilty, was the offense in connection with the conduct of telemarketing?

Yes: X No: _____

4.b. If answer to 4.a. is yes, did the offense victimize ten or more persons over the age of 55?

Yes: X No: _____

4.c. If answer to 4.a. is yes, did the offense target persons over the age of 55?

Yes: _____ No: X

5. As to Count Five, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with wire fraud on or about September 17, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

5.a. If guilty, was the offense in connection with the conduct of telemarketing?

Yes: X No: _____

5.b. If answer to 5.a. is yes, did the offense victimize ten or more persons over the age of 55?

Yes: X No: _____

5.c. If answer to 5.a. is yes, did the offense target persons over the age of 55?

Yes: _____ No: X

6. As to Count Six, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with wire fraud on or about December 7, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty:

6.a. If guilty, was the offense in connection with the conduct of telemarketing?

Yes: X No:

6.b. If answer to 6.a. is yes, did the offense victimize ten or more persons over the age of 55?

Yes: X No:

6.c. If answer to 6.a. is yes, did the offense target persons over the age of 55?

Yes: No: X

7. As to Count Seven, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with wire fraud on or about January 29, 2010, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty:

7.a. If guilty, was the offense in connection with the conduct of telemarketing?

Yes: X No:

7.b. If answer to 7.a. is yes, did the offense victimize ten or more persons over the age of 55?

Yes: X No:

7.c. If answer to 7.a. is yes, did the offense target persons over the age of 55?

Yes: No: X

8. As to Count Nine, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with wire fraud on or about July 13, 2010, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

8.a. If guilty, was the offense in connection with the conduct of telemarketing?

Yes: X No: _____

8.b. If answer to 8.a. is yes, did the offense victimize ten or more persons over the age of 55?

Yes: X No: _____

8.c. If answer to 8.a. is yes, did the offense target persons over the age of 55?

Yes: _____ No: X

9. As to Count Ten, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with wire fraud on or about July 16, 2010, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

9.a. If guilty, was the offense in connection with the conduct of telemarketing?

Yes: X No: _____

9.b. If answer to 9.a. is yes, did the offense victimize ten or more persons over the age of 55?

Yes: X No: _____

9.c. If answer to 9.a. is yes, did the offense target persons over the age of 55?

Yes: _____ No: X

10. As to Count Twelve, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with conspiracy to commit money laundering, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h), we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

11. As to Count Thirteen, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with money laundering on or about April 29, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(A) and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

12. As to Count Fourteen, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with money laundering on or about May 14, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(A) and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

13. As to Count Fifteen, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with money laundering on or about August 17, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(A) and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

14. As to Count Sixteen, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with money laundering on or about August 24, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(A) and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

15. As to Count Seventeen, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with money laundering on or about January 21, 2010, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(A) and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

16. As to Count Eighteen, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with money laundering on or about February 16, 2010, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(A) and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

17. As to Count Twenty, charging the Defendant Christopher Lee Griffin with money laundering on or about July 21, 2010, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(A) and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:

Guilty: X Not Guilty: _____

