IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION

3:14-cr-82

FILED CHARLOTTE, NC

FEB - 7 2018

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	US District Cou) Western District of	US District Court Western District of NC	
VS.) VERDICT FORM)		
ANDREW SMITH	<u> </u>		
	ing the Defendant Andrew Smith with conspiracy to e 18, United States Code, Section 1349, we, the jury,		
	to, omice states code, section 1342, we, the july,		
unanimously find the Defendant:			
Guilty: X	Not Guilty:		
1.a. If guilty, was the offens	e in connection with the conduct of telemarketing?		
Yes:	No:		
1.b. If answer to 1.a. is yes,	did the offense victimize ten or more persons over the age		
of 55?			
Yes: X	No:		
1.c. If answer to 1.a. is yes,	did the offense target persons over the age of 55?		
Yes:	No:		

	2.	As to Count Two, charging the Defendant Andrew Smith with wire fraud on or			
about l	May 1,	2009, in violation of Title 18, United	States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we, the		
jury, u	nanimo	ously find the Defendant:			
		Guilty:	Not Guilty:		
	2.a.	If guilty, was the offense in connection with the conduct of telemarketing?			
		Yes: X	No:		
	2.b.	If answer to 2.a. is yes, did the offen	se victimize ten or more persons over the age		
of 55?					
		Yes:	No:		
	2.c.				
		Yes:	No:		
	3.	As to Count Three, charging the Det	Pendant Andrew Smith with wire fraud on or		
about N	May 5,	2009, in violation of Title 18, United	States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we, the		
jury, ur	nanimo	usly find the Defendant:			
		Guilty: X	Not Guilty:		
	3.a.	If guilty, was the offense in connecti	on with the conduct of telemarketing?		
		Yes:	No:		
	3.b.	If answer to 3.a. is yes, did the offen	se victimize ten or more persons over the age		
of 55?					
		Yes:	No:		
	3.c.	If answer to 3.a. is yes, did the offen	se target persons over the age of 55?		
		Yes:	No:X		

4	1.	As to Count Four, charging the Defendant Andrew Smith with wire fraud on or			
about Se	about September 16, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we,				
the jury,	unani	mously find the Defendant:			
		Guilty: X	Not Guilty:		
4	l.a.	If guilty, was the offense in connecti	on with the conduct of telemarketing?		
		Yes:	No:		
4	l.b.	If answer to 4.a. is yes, did the offens	se victimize ten or more persons over the age		
of 55?					
		Yes:	No:		
4	l.c.	If answer to 4.a. is yes, did the offens	se target persons over the age of 55?		
		Yes:	No: X		
5	5.	As to Count Five, charging the Defer	ndant Andrew Smith with wire fraud on or		
about Se	ptemb	per 17, 2009, in violation of Title 18,	United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we,		
the jury,	unani	mously find the Defendant:			
		Guilty:	Not Guilty:		
5	j.a.	If guilty, was the offense in connection with the conduct of telemarketing?			
		Yes: X	No:		
5	i.b.	If answer to 5.a. is yes, did the offens	se victimize ten or more persons over the age		
of 55?					
		Yes: X	No:		
5	.c.	If answer to 5.a. is yes, did the offens	se target persons over the age of 55?		
		Yes:	No: X		

	6.	As to Count Six, charging the Defendant Andrew Smith with wire fraud on or			
about I	about December 7, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we,				
the jury	y, unani	imously find the Defendant:			
		Guilty: X	Not Guilty:		
	6.a.	If guilty, was the offense in connecti	on with the conduct of telemarketing?		
		Yes:X	No:		
	6.b.	If answer to 6.a. is yes, did the offen	se victimize ten or more persons over the age		
of 55?	,				
		Yes: X	No:		
	6.c.	If answer to 6.a. is yes, did the offens	se target persons over the age of 55?		
		Yes:	No:X		
	7.	As to Count Seven, charging the Def	Cendant Andrew Smith with wire fraud on or		
about J	anuary	29, 2010, in violation of Title 18, Un	ited States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we,		
the jury	, unani	mously find the Defendant:			
		Guilty: X	Not Guilty:		
	7.a.	If guilty, was the offense in connecti	on with the conduct of telemarketing?		
		Yes: X	No:		
	7.b.	If answer to 7.a. is yes, did the offens	se victimize ten or more persons over the age		
of 55?					
		Yes: X	No:		
	7.c.	If answer to 7.a. is yes, did the offens	se target persons over the age of 55?		
		Yes:	No: X		

	8.	As to Count Nine, charging the Defendant Andrew Smith with wire fraud on or			
about J	about July 13, 2010, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we, the				
jury, u	nanimo	usly find the Defendant:			
		Guilty: X	Not Guilty:		
	8.a.	If guilty, was the offense in connection	on with the conduct of telemarketing?		
		Yes: X	No:		
	8.b.	If answer to 8.a. is yes, did the offens	se victimize ten or more persons over the age		
of 55?					
		Yes:X	No:		
	8.c.	If answer to 8.a. is yes, did the offens	se target persons over the age of 55?		
		Yes:	No:		
	9.	As to Count Ten, charging the Defen	dant Andrew Smith with wire fraud on or		
about J	uly 16,	2010, in violation of Title 18, United	States Code, Sections 1343 and 2, we, the		
jury, ur	nanimo	usly find the Defendant:			
		Guilty: X	Not Guilty:		
	9.a.	If guilty, was the offense in connection	on with the conduct of telemarketing?		
		Yes:	No:		
	9.b.	If answer to 9.a. is yes, did the offens	se victimize ten or more persons over the age		
of 55?					
	,	Yes: X	No:		
	9.c.	If answer to 9.a. is yes, did the offens	se target persons over the age of 55?		
		Yes:	No: X		

10.	As to Count Twelve, charging the Defendant Andrew Smith with conspiracy to			
commit mone	ey laundering, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h), we, the			
jury, unanimo	ously find the Defendant:			
	Guilty: Not Guilty:			
11.	As to Count Thirteen, charging the Defendant Andrew Smith with money			
laundering on	or about April 29, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section			
1956(a)(2)(A)) and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:			
	Guilty: Not Guilty:			
12.	As to Count Fourteen, charging the Defendant Andrew Smith with money			
laundering on	or about May 14, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section			
1956(a)(2)(A)) and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:			
	Guilty: X Not Guilty:			
13.	As to Count Fifteen, charging the Defendant Andrew Smith with money			
laundering on	or about August 17, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section			
1956(a)(2)(A)	and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:			
	Guilty: Not Guilty:			
14.	As to Count Sixteen, charging the Defendant Andrew Smith with money			
laundering on	or about August 24, 2009, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section			
1956(a)(2)(A)	and 2, we, the jury, unanimously find the Defendant:			
	Guilty: Not Guilty:			

15.	As to Count Se	venteen, charg	ing the Defendant Andrev	Smith with money
laundering on	or about Januar	y 21, 2010, in v	riolation of Title 18, Unite	ed States Code, Section
1956(a)(2)(A)	and 2, we, the	jury, unanimou	sly find the Defendant:	
	Guilty:		Not Guilty:	
16.	As to Count Ei	ghteen, chargin	g the Defendant Andrew	Smith with money
laundering on	or about Februa	ry 16, 2010, in	violation of Title 18, Uni	ted States Code, Section
1956(a)(2)(A)	and 2, we, the	jury, unanimou	sly find the Defendant:	
	Guilty:	_X	Not Guilty:	
17.	As to Count Tv	venty, charging	the Defendant Andrew S	mith with money
laundering on	or about July 21	, 2010, in viola	ation of Title 18, United S	tates Code, Section
1956(a)(2)(A)	and 2, we, the	jury, unanimou	sly find the Defendant:	
	Guilty:	X	Not Guilty:	