

NATIONAL COMMISSION ON FORENSIC SCIENCE



Recommendation to the Attorney General Formation of a National Office for Medicolegal Death Investigation

Subcommittee	Date of Current Version	08/24/2016
Medicolegal Death Investigation	Approved by Subcommittee	08/29/2016
	 Approved by Commission	09/13/2016
	Action by Attorney General	

Commission Action

The Commission voted to adopt this Recommendation on September 13, 2016 by a more than two-thirds majority vote (100% yes, 0% no, 0% abstain).

Note: This document includes recommendations developed and adopted by the National Commission on Forensic Science and proposes specific acts that the Attorney General could take to further the goals of the Commission. The portion of the document directly labeled "Recommendations" represents the formal recommendations of the Commission. Information beyond that section is provided for context. This document does not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Justice or the National Institute of Standards and Technology. The National Commission on Forensic Science is a Federal Advisory Committee established by the Department of Justice. For more information, please visit: <u>https://www.justice.gov/ncfs</u>.

Overview

The medicolegal death investigation system has many needs that are not being met. These needs involve accreditation of facilities, certification of personnel, development of modern facilities to replace outdated facilities and in areas that lack readily available qualified service providers (underserved areas), and improved recruitment and retention of professionals in the specialty of forensic pathology. There is not a single federal, other governmental, or private-sector organization or agency whose primary responsibility and goal is to coordinate and enable ongoing support of these needs. A permanent office is needed to coordinate support for the medicolegal death investigation system.

Recommendations

The National Commission on Forensic Science recommends that the Attorney General take the following action(s):

• **Recommendation #1:** The Attorney General should work with the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy's (OSTP's) Medicolegal Death Investigation Working Group and other federal agencies and professional organizations to develop a permanent National Office of Medicolegal Death Investigation that would coordinate ongoing support of the nation's medicolegal death investigation systems to improve quality, consistency, and the meeting of criminal justice and public health needs. Such support would be not only for daily and routine operations but also for development and implementation of new technologies, equipment, personnel, and the fostering of research with federal funding and agency support.

The recently formed White House Fast Track Working Group on Medicolegal Death Investigation has recognized that federal need of death investigation data for public health, public safety, and criminal justice purposes is not being met and that efforts are fragmented and in need of organized consolidation (1). The problem goes deeper than that because the medical examiner and coroner systems, which are expected to provide such data in the United States, are often not equipped to adequately investigate relevant deaths and collect and report relevant information about them. For example, the types of death investigated, the extent of such investigations, and the autopsy rates among the systems vary considerably (2).

• **Recommendation #2:** The Attorney General should, through the National Office in conjunction with the National Institute of Justice (NIJ), recommend ongoing funding and support to improve the recruitment and retention of forensic pathologists, modernization of facilities and creation of facilities in underserved areas, accreditation of medicolegal offices and certification of its personnel, and the establishment of a national information network for the nation's medical examiner and coroner offices.

The former NIJ-supported Scientific Working Group on Medicolegal Death Investigation (SWGMDI) has fully documented the need to increase the number of forensic pathologists in the United States and develop regional death investigation centers of excellence in needed areas (3–5). A more recent publication has suggested that regional centers may be the key to securing the future of medicolegal death investigation and meeting user needs (6). The National Commission on Forensic Sciences' Medicolegal Death Investigation Subcommittee has reaffirmed the needs cited in the SWGMDI reports and has also reported the need for accreditation and certification of, and communication among, medicolegal death investigation offices and personnel (7–9).

The intent of the recommendations does not include "federalization" of medicolegal death investigation, which has been a matter for state and local governance and primary support. Instead, the concept of a national office is to support local and state efforts with research, development, and necessary grant funding, not to supplant state and local control.

Background Information

Twenty years ago it was suggested that a National Office of Death Investigation Affairs (NODIA) be established to assist death investigation on all fronts, including hospital autopsies and investigations conducted by medical examiners and coroners (10). Around that time, autopsy rates

in hospitals declined to very low levels. As a result, the medicolegal death investigation system has had to absorb so many cases that the vast majority of autopsies in the United States are now being done in medical examiner and coroner offices, which have assumed responsibility for monitoring the nation's health via reporting of mortality data (11).

Federal programs and grant opportunities to support medicolegal death investigation have been meager in number and dismal in dollar value. Efforts are fragmented and have been limited almost exclusively to the Office of Justice Programs and criminal justice programs, ignoring public health and safety needs. Meaningful, substantial, and ongoing financial support from health entities such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the National Institutes of Health is conspicuously absent. An ongoing and permanent office is needed to bring together all interested entities to financially support and improve medicolegal death investigation and to acquire relevant data for governmental and other entities in need of such data to support their programs.

Implementation Strategy

The White House Medicolegal Death Investigation Working Group should work with various federal agencies to develop a plan for a permanent, independent National Office for Medicolegal Death Investigation and identify the federal entities that have an interest in and obligation to contribute to the funding of such an office and its programs. Obvious agencies include, but need not be limited to, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute of Justice, National Institutes of Health, Institute of Medicine, Department of Homeland Security, Department of Transportation, National Transportation and Safety Board, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Armed Forces Medical Examiner, Department of Defense, and Food and Drug Administration. Representatives of the National Association of Medical Examiners (NAME), International Association of Coroners and Medical Examiners (IAC&ME), American Board of Medicolegal Death Investigators (ABMDI), Society of Medicolegal Death Investigators (SOMDI), American Board of Pathology (ABP), Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME), and Forensic Specialties Accreditation Board (FSAB) should be involved in the formative processes.

Initially, funds for initial meetings of the working group would come from the White House OSTP and NIJ. The working group would identify a physical location for the national office and identity staffing needs and staff qualifications. Once established, costs of supporting the national office would be provided by the participating agencies with the goal of eventually getting the national office established as a government entity with its own budget. It is conceivable, and possibly desirable, that the proposed National Office could physically house the headquarters of NAME, IAC&ME, ABMDI, and SOMDI, none of which have their own permanent physical office space. Such an arrangement could facilitate the programs and activities of the national office.

The crucial need of increasing the supply of forensic pathologists would need to involve a combination of approaches, including educational loan forgiveness, establishment of forensic pathology training programs in areas where they are lacking, improved exposure to forensic pathology in medical school and pathology residency training, stipends toward costs associated with relocating to places offering forensic pathology training programs, provision of modern

facilities that are pleasant and safe to work in with professional colleagues and standard-complaint case loads, and improvement of forensic pathologist salaries to make them competitive with other medical specialty areas.

Costs of the Recommendations

Except for the costs of a physical office and staffing of the national office, cost estimates for the various components have been outlined in previous SWGMDI and NCFS MDI Subcommittee documents (3, 5, 7–9).

The average monthly cost of office rental space has been reported at about \$2 per square foot (12, 13). Estimated annual costs for the national office physical space (5,000 square feet) and management and support staffing with salaries equivalent to those in medical examiner/coroner offices (estimated five full-time employees (FTE)) are \$120,000 and \$441,600 respectively, for a total of about \$561,600 (5). An additional 15% for operating expenses (a typical amount in government office settings) would bring the annual total to \$645,840 for office space, staffing, and associated office operating costs.

In terms of program costs for accreditation, certification, information sharing, facilitating recruitment and retention of forensic pathologists, and construction of regional centers of excellence, previously published SWGMDI and MDI Subcommittee estimates of initial and annual costs are as follows (3,5,7–9):

Task	Initial Cost	Ongoing Annual Cost
Accreditation	\$6.50 M	\$2.30 M
Certification	\$2.20 M	\$ 2.20 M (average over 5 years)
Information Network	\$ 0.13 M (\$130,000)	\$0.06 M (\$60,000)
Regional Centers	\$3.40 M per center (1)	\$1.90 M per center (1)
Forensic Pathologist Supply	\$27.00 M	\$27.00 M
TOTAL	\$39.00 M	\$47.00 M (2)

(1) The costs for regional centers are based on the assumption that a regional center would serve a population of 500,000. Costs for larger regional centers can be calculated by adjusting costs upward proportional to population. It is estimated that as many as 46 regional centers may be needed.

(2) Total ongoing annual costs are based on an assumption that three regional centers would be built per year.

Thus, ongoing annual costs to implement all activities and maintain a National Office would be about \$47.6 million per year. The National Office alone would cost less than \$1M per year to operate. To address the cost of research, study needs to be done to identify specific research needs, estimated costs, and federal agencies that could provide the needed funding and support.

Comments

There is much work to be done. The goals outlined in this Recommendation cannot be accomplished in an acceptable time frame without a dedicated office to pursue the goals, organize

processes, and implement programs. This is why a National Office of Medicolegal Death Investigation is needed.

References

- Executive Office of the President. National Science and Technology Council. Strengthening the Medicolegal Death Investigation System: Improving Data Systems. Draft for Public Comment. April 2016. TheWhite House. <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/microsites/ostp/NSTC/strengthening_the_medicol egal_death_investigation_system_draft_for_public_comment_4_21.pdf</u> Accessed May 12, 2016.
- 2) Hanzlick RA. Perspective on Medicolegal Death Investigation in the United States: 2013. *Acad Forensic Pathol* 2014; 4(1): 2-9.
- Scientific Working Group on Medicolegal Death Investigation. Increasing the Supply of Forensic Pathologists in the United States: A Report and Recommendations. December, 2012. <u>http://www.swgmdi.org/images/si4.fpsupplyreportpublisheddecember2012.pdf</u> Accessed May 12, 2016.
- 4) Scientific Working Group on Medicolegal Death Investigation. Status of and Perceived Need for Regional Medicolegal Death Investigation Centers. July 2013. <u>http://www.swgmdi.org/images/si5.prc7.regionalcenterreport.published.7.19.13.pdf</u> Accessed May 12, 2016.
- 5) Scientific Working Group on Medicolegal Death Investigation. Regional Medicolegal Autopsy and Death Investigation Centers: Construction, Staffing, and Costs. September 2013. <u>http://www.swgmdi.org/images/si6.facilityconstruction.published.9-17-13.pdf</u> Accessed May 12, 2016.
- 6) Hanzlick R. The Future of Forensic Pathology: Is Regionalization a Key? *Acad Forensic Pathol* 2015; 5(4): 516-525.
- 7) Medicolegal Death Investigation Subcommittee of the National Commission on Forensic Science. Policy Recommendation on Accreditation of Medical Examiner and Coroner Offices as Adopted by the Commission (Adopted at NCFS Meeting #5– January 30, 2015). https://www.justice.gov/ncfs/file/787236/download Accessed May 12, 2016.
- 8) Medicolegal Death Investigation Subcommittee of the National Commission on Forensic Science. Policy Recommendation on Certification of Medicolegal Death Investigators as Adopted by the Commission (Adopted at NCFS Meeting #5– January 30, 2015). <u>https://www.justice.gov/ncfs/file/788026/download</u> Accessed May 12, 2016
- 9) Medicolegal Death Investigation Subcommittee of the National Commission on Forensic Science. Recommendation on Electronic Networking of Medical Examiner and Coroner Offices as Adopted by the Commission (Adopted at NCFS Meeting #7–August 10–11, 2015). <u>https://www.justice.gov/ncfs/file/787351/download</u> Accessed May 12, 2016.
- 10) Hanzlick R. On the need for more expertise in death investigation (and a National Office of Death Investigation Affairs) [Editorial]. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 1996;120:329-32.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The Changing Profile of Autopsied Deaths in the United States: 1972–2007. <u>http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/products/databriefs/db67.htm</u> Accessed May 12, 2016.
- 12) Eha B. The Best and Worst U.S. Cities for Renting Office Space. Entrepreneur. http://www.entrepreneur.com/article/227319. July 8, 2013. _Accessed May 12, 2016.
- 13) French S. Here's how much your company pays to rent office space. Market Watch. <u>http://www.marketwatch.com/story/heres-how-much-your-company-pays-to-rent-office-space-2015-05-27.</u> May 27, 2015. Accessed May 12, 2016.