Nunc Pro Tunc as of Nov. 8, 2018

SANDRA MOSER
Acting Chief
Fraud Section, Criminal Division
Department of Justice
ANKUSH KHARDORI
Trial Attorney
1400 New York Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
Telephone: (202) 514-0033

E-mail: ankush.khardori@usdoj.gov

FILED
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

NOV. 27, 2018

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY:
DEPUTY

Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JASON BENJAMIN SCHARF,

Defendant.

No. CR 18-711-GW

PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT JASON BENJAMIN SCHARF

1. This constitutes the plea agreement between JASON BENJAMIN SCHARF ("defendant") and the Fraud Section of the Criminal Division of the United States Department of Justice (the "Fraud Section") concerning the investigation of Citrades, which purported to sell and market financial instruments known as "binary options." This agreement is limited to the Fraud Section and cannot bind any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authorities.

DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

- 2. Defendant agrees to:
- a. Give up the right to indictment by a grand jury and, at the earliest opportunity requested by the Fraud Section and provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to a one-count

information in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit A or a substantially similar form, which charges defendant with conspiracy to commit wire fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349.

- b. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- d. Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f. Be truthful at all times with Pretrial Services, the United States Probation Office, and the Court.
- g. Not seek the discharge of any restitution obligation, in whole or in part, in any present or future bankruptcy proceeding.
- h. Pay the applicable special assessment at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant lacks the ability to pay and prior to sentencing submits a completed financial statement on a form to be provided by the Fraud Section.
- 3. Defendant further agrees to cooperate fully with the Fraud Section, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and, as directed by the Fraud Section, any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority. This cooperation requires defendant to:

- a. Respond truthfully and completely to all questions that may be put to defendant, whether in interviews, before a grand jury, or at any trial or other court proceeding.
- b. Attend all meetings, grand jury sessions, trials or other proceedings at which defendant's presence is requested by the USAO or compelled by subpoena or court order.
- c. Produce voluntarily all documents, records, or other tangible evidence relating to matters about which the Fraud Section, or its designee, inquires.
- d. For purposes of this agreement: (1) "Cooperation Information" shall mean any statements made, or documents, records, tangible evidence, or other information provided, by defendant pursuant to defendant's cooperation under this agreement; and (2) "Plea Information" shall mean any statements made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing and the agreed to factual basis statement in this agreement.
 - 4. Defendant further agrees:

a. Truthfully to disclose to law enforcement officials, at a date and time to be set by the Fraud Section, the location of, defendant's ownership interest in, and all other information known to defendant about, all monies, properties, and/or assets of any kind, derived from or acquired as a result of, or used to facilitate the commission of, defendant's illegal activities, and to forfeit all right, title, and interest in and to such items, specifically including all right, title, and interest in and to all United States currency, property and assets that defendant admits constitute the proceeds of defendant's illegal activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349.

- b. To the Court's entry of an order of forfeiture at or before sentencing with respect to these assets and to the forfeiture of the assets.
- c. To take whatever steps are necessary to pass to the United States clear title to the assets described above, including, without limitation, the execution of a consent decree of forfeiture and the completing of any other legal documents required for the transfer of title to the United States.
- d. Not to contest any administrative forfeiture proceedings or civil judicial proceedings commenced against these properties. With respect to any criminal forfeiture ordered as a result of this plea agreement, defendant waives the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcements of the forfeiture sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment. Defendant acknowledges that forfeiture of the assets is part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by the Court to advise defendant of this, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(b)(1)(J), at the time the Court accepts defendant's guilty plea.
- e. Not to assist any other individual in any effort falsely to contest the forfeiture of the assets described above.
- f. Not to claim that reasonable cause to seize the assets was lacking.
- g. To prevent the transfer, sale, destruction, or loss of any and all assets described above to the extent defendant has the ability to do so.

- h. To fill out and deliver to the Fraud Section a completed financial statement listing defendant's assets on a form provided by the Fraud Section.
- i. That forfeiture of assets described above shall not be counted toward satisfaction of any special assessment, fine, restitution, costs, or other penalty the Court may impose.

THE FRAUD SECTION'S OBLIGATIONS

5. The Fraud Section agrees to:

- a. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- c. At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offense up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.
 - 6. The Fraud Section further agrees:
- a. Not to offer as evidence in its case-in-chief in the above-captioned case or any other criminal prosecution that may be brought against defendant by the Fraud Section, or in connection with any sentencing proceeding in any criminal case that may be brought against defendant by the Fraud Section, any Cooperation Information. Defendant agrees, however, that the Fraud Section may use both Cooperation Information and Plea Information: (1) to obtain and pursue leads to other evidence, which evidence may be used for any purpose, including any criminal prosecution of defendant; (2) to cross-examine defendant should defendant testify, or to rebut any

- evidence offered, or argument or representation made, by defendant, defendant's counsel, or a witness called by defendant in any trial, sentencing hearing, or other court proceeding; and (3) in any criminal prosecution of defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, or perjury.
- b. Not to use Cooperation Information against defendant at sentencing for the purpose of determining the applicable guideline range, including the appropriateness of an upward departure, or the sentence to be imposed, and to recommend to the Court that Cooperation Information not be used in determining the applicable guideline range or the sentence to be imposed. Defendant understands, however, that Cooperation Information will be disclosed to the probation office and the Court, and that the Court may use Cooperation Information for the purposes set forth in U.S.S.G § 181.8(b) and for determining the sentence to be imposed.
- c. In connection with defendant's sentencing, to bring to the Court's attention the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation.
- d. If the Fraud Section determines, in its exclusive judgment, that defendant has both complied with defendant's obligations under paragraphs 2 through 4 above and provided substantial assistance to law enforcement in the prosecution or investigation of another ("substantial assistance"), to move the Court pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 to fix an offense level and corresponding guideline range below that otherwise dictated by the sentencing guidelines, and to recommend a term of imprisonment within this reduced range.

DEFENDANT'S UNDERSTANDINGS REGARDING COOPERATION

- 7. Defendant understands the following:
- a. Any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant will subject defendant to prosecution for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury and will constitute a breach by defendant of this agreement.
- b. Nothing in this agreement requires the Fraud Section or any other prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority to accept any cooperation or assistance that defendant may offer, or to use it in any particular way.
- c. Defendant cannot withdraw defendant's guilty plea if the Fraud Section does not make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 for a reduced guideline range or if the Fraud Section makes such a motion and the Court does not grant it or if the Court grants such a Fraud Section motion but elects to sentence above the reduced range.
- d. At this time the Fraud Section makes no agreement or representation as to whether any cooperation that defendant has provided or intends to provide constitutes or will constitute substantial assistance. The decision whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will rest solely within the exclusive judgment of the Fraud Section.
- e. The Fraud Section's determination whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will not depend in any way on whether the government prevails at any trial or court hearing in which defendant testifies or in which the government otherwise presents information resulting from defendant's cooperation.

NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

- 8. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in Count One, that is, conspiracy to commit wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, the following must be true:
- a. There was an agreement between two or more persons to commit wire fraud that is:
- i. to carry out a scheme or plan to defraud, or a scheme or plan for obtaining money or property by means of materially false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, or omitted facts;
- ii. to do so with the intent to defraud, that is, the intent to deceive or cheat; and
- iii. to use, or cause to be used, an interstate or foreign wire communication to carry out or attempt to carry out part of the scheme.
- b. The defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing its object and intending to help accomplish it.

PENALTIES AND RESTITUTION

- 9. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, is: 20 years of imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 10. Defendant understands that defendant will be required to pay full restitution to the victims of the offense to which defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant agrees that, in return for the Fraud

- Section's compliance with its obligations under this agreement, the Court may order restitution to persons other than the victims of the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty and in amounts greater than those alleged in the count to which defendant is pleading guilty. In particular, defendant agrees that the Court may order restitution to any victim of any of the following for any losses suffered by that victim as a result: any relevant conduct, as defined in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3, in connection with the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty.
- 11. Defendant waives his right to contest the determination of the amount of restitution, provided that it is less than \$8.3 million as calculated by the government. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the parties agree that nothing in this agreement prohibits the Defendant from making arguments or presenting information regarding the manner in which, and the schedule according to which, the restitution is to be paid under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3664.
- 12. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.
- 13. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm,

the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. Defendant understands that once the court accepts defendant's guilty plea, it will be a federal felony for defendant to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the conviction in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.

14. Defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the felony conviction in this case may subject defendant to: removal, also known as deportation, which may, under some circumstances, be mandatory; denial of citizenship; and denial of admission to the United States in the future. The court cannot, and defendant's attorney also may not be able to, advise defendant fully regarding the immigration consequences of the felony conviction in this case. Defendant understands that unexpected immigration consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.

FACTUAL BASIS

15. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offense to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant and the Fraud Section agree to the statement of facts provided below and agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support a plea of guilty to the charge described in this agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 17 below but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts

relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

The Defendant and Citrades

- a. From at least in or about February 2013 through at least in or about December 2015, the defendant, JASON BENJAMIN SCHARF, worked as the Chief Executive Officer on behalf of a company doing business as Cititrader and, later, Citrades (collectively referred to throughout this agreement as "Citrades").
- b. Citrades sold and marketed financial instruments known as "binary options" through the use of communications by email and by phone to purported "investors" located throughout the world, including in the United States. These "investors" were identified through the use of affiliate marketers that generated leads for Citrades through the use, among other things, of online marketing campaigns.
- c. Citrades operated principally out of Israel but also had representatives and co-conspirators working on its behalf in the United States, including in the Central District of California.
- d. As the CEO of Citrades, the defendant oversaw the dayto-day operations of Citrades, which employed more than five people.

Binary Options

e. A binary option is a type of option contract in which the payout depends on the outcome of a discrete event, typically related to whether the price of a particular asset — such as a stock or a commodity — will rise above or fall below a specified amount. Unlike standard options, investors in binary options are not being given the opportunity to actually purchase a stock or a commodity but, rather, are effectively predicting whether its price will be

above or below a certain amount at a certain time of the day. The option holder is typically promised that when the binary option expires, the option holder will receive either a pre-determined amount of cash or nothing.

Citrades' Use of False and Misleading Claims

- f. The defendant and his co-conspirators agreed to induce investors to deposit funds based on intentionally and materially misleading misrepresentations and omissions. In particular:
- i. Representatives of Citrades made materially false statements and failed to disclose material information to binary options investors about whether the financial incentives of representatives of Citrades were aligned with binary options investors. Representatives of Citrades claimed to be representing the interests of investors when, in fact, they were not representing the interests of investors because when investors lost money, Citrades profited.
- ii. Representatives of Citrades made materially false statements and failed to disclose material information to binary options investors about the "trading" and "platform" that they made available through the internet to purported investors. Citrades marketed itself as a trading platform through which binary options could be traded, but in fact, purported investors were not trading with other investors. Rather, they were effectively speculating through transactions whose parameters, including the "strike price" associated with an option, were set by a separate company that served as a platform provider not by market forces.

- iii. Representatives of Citrades made materially false statements and failed to disclose material information to binary options investors by using fake names.
- g. Such materially misleading misrepresentations and omissions were routinely made to purported investors in telephone communications through which Citrades representatives and coconspirators of the defendant solicited purported investments, including in interstate telephone communications to and from the Central District of California.
- h. Such materially misleading misrepresentations and omissions were also routinely made to purported investors through the Citrades website. For instance:
- i. The Citrades website made available to investors a "Brief Guide" to trading on the platform that included a "Letter From [the] Citrades CEO," which presented trading through Citrades as "highly profitable" and "low risk," providing "lucrative returns in short periods of time." The document also stated that the platform "was built for traders in order to help them succeed."
- ii. The "Letter From [the] Citrades CEO" was purportedly signed on behalf of "Michael Clark." "Michael Clark" was the alias used by the defendant in investor-facing communications.
- iii. The Citrades website also marketed trading on the platform as "the fastest and most efficient way to convert your financial decisions into substantial profits" and claimed that the company intended to "help[] our traders to accurately calculate risks in order to maximize profits" and "to make financial trading as rewarding as possible for our customers, every time they trade."

Victims of Citrades' Fraudulent Scheme

i. Citrades obtained over \$17 million in deposits from purported investors as a result of its operations, and those purported investors lost approximately \$8.3 million. These losses were associated with more than ten investors.

The Defendant's Obstruction of the Administration of Justice

- j. In or about January 2017, the defendant was served with a subpoena seeking documents related to Citrades by the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- k. The defendant subsequently deleted virtually the entirety of the contents of the email account that he had used to conduct Citrades-related business.
- 1. The defendant did so with the intent to prevent the SEC from obtaining those emails because of their potentially incriminating contents.

SENTENCING FACTORS

16. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds

appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crime of 1 2 conviction. 3 17. Defendant and the Fraud Section agree to the following 4 applicable Sentencing Guidelines factors: 5 Base Offense Level: 7 U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(a)(1) 6 Specific Offense Characteristics: 7 8 Loss in excess of \$3,500,000 +18 U.S.S.G. \S 2B1.1(b)(1)(J) 9 Ten or more victims +2 U.S.S.G. \S 2B1.1(b)(2)(A) 10 Fraudulent scheme committed U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(10) +2 abroad / Sophisticated means 11 Adjustments: 12 13 Manager or supervisor of +3 U.S.S.G. § 3B1.1(b) criminal activity involving 14 five or more participants 15 Obstructing or impeding the +2 U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1 administration of justice 16 Acceptance of Responsibility: -3 U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b) 17 Total Offense Level: 31 18 19 The Fraud Section will agree to a two-level downward 20 adjustment for acceptance of responsibility (and, if applicable, move 21 for an additional one-level downward adjustment under U.S.S.G. 22 § 3E1.1(b)) only if the conditions set forth in paragraph 5(c) are 23 met and if defendant refrains from committing acts constituting 24 obstruction of justice within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, as 25 discussed below. Subject to paragraph 30 below, defendant and the 26 Fraud Section agree not to seek, argue, or suggest in any way, either

orally or in writing, that any other specific offense

27

level be imposed. Defendant understands that defendant's offense level could be increased if defendant is a career offender under U.S.S.G. §§ 4B1.1 and 4B1.2. If defendant's offense level is so altered, defendant and the Fraud Section will not be bound by the agreement to Sentencing Guideline factors set forth above.

- 19. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.
- 20. Defendant and the Fraud Section reserve the right to argue for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 21. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
 - a. The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
 - b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c. The right to be represented by counsel and if necessary have the court appoint counsel at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel and if necessary have the court appoint counsel at every other stage of the proceeding.
- d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.

f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.

- g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.
- h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.
- i. Having been fully advised by defendant's attorney regarding the requirements of venue with respect to the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty, to the extent the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty were committed, begun, or completed outside the Central District of California, defendant knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waives, relinquishes, and gives up: (a) any right that defendant might have to be prosecuted only in the district where the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty were committed, begun, or completed; and (b) any defense, claim, or argument defendant could raise or assert based upon lack of venue with respect to the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty.
- j. Having been fully advised by defendant's attorney regarding application of the statute of limitations to the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty, defendant hereby knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waives, relinquishes, and gives up:

 (a) any right that defendant might have not to be prosecuted for the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty because of the expiration of the statute of limitations for those offenses prior to

the filing of the information alleging those offenses; and (b) any defense, claim, or argument defendant could raise or assert that prosecution of the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is barred by the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations, pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial violation.

WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

22. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty plea was involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's conviction on the offense to which defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant understands that this waiver includes, but is not limited to, arguments that the statute to which defendant is pleading guilty is unconstitutional, and any and all claims that the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's plea of guilty.

LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

23. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a total term of imprisonment on all counts of conviction of no more than 135 months, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following:

(a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court; (c) the fine imposed by the court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) to the extent permitted by law, the constitutionality or legality of defendant's sentence, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (f) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (g) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the conditions set forth in

- General Orders 318, 01-05, and/or 05-02 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).
- 24. The Fraud Section agrees that, provided (a) all portions of the sentence are at or below the statutory maximum specified above and (b) the Court imposes a term of imprisonment of no less than 108 months, the Fraud Section gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence ,with the exception that the Fraud Section reserves the right to appeal the amount of restitution ordered if that amount is less than \$8.3 million.

RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

Defendant agrees that if, after entering a quilty plea 25. pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's quilty plea on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then (a) the Fraud Section will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement, including in particular its obligations regarding the use of Cooperation Information; (b) in any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action, defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information shall be admissible against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, or any federal rule, that any Cooperation Information or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

26. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an attorney for the United States.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

- Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the signature of this agreement and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and counsel for the United States, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the Fraud Section may declare this agreement breached. For example, if defendant knowingly, in an interview, before a grand jury, or at trial, falsely accuses another person of criminal conduct or falsely minimizes defendant's own role, or the role of another, in criminal conduct, defendant will have breached this agreement. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the Fraud Section to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the Fraud Section in writing. If the Fraud Section declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then:
- a. If defendant has previously entered a guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea.
- b. The Fraud Section will be relieved of all itsobligations under this agreement; in particular, the Fraud Section:(i) will no longer be bound by any agreements concerning sentencingand will be free to seek any sentence up to the statutory maximum for

- the crime to which defendant has pleaded guilty; and (ii) will no longer be bound by any agreement regarding the use of Cooperation Information and will be free to use any Cooperation Information in any way in any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action.
- c. The Fraud Section will be free to criminally prosecute defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury based on any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant.
- d. In any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action: (i) defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim that any Cooperation Information was obtained in violation of the Fifth Amendment privilege against compelled self-incrimination; and (ii) defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any Plea Information, as well as any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information or any Plea Information, shall be admissible against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that any Cooperation Information, any Plea Information, or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information or any Plea Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible.

COURT AND PROBATION OFFICE NOT PARTIES

28. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States Probation Office are not parties to this agreement and need not accept any of the Fraud Section's sentencing recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing factors.

- Defendant understands that both defendant and the Fraud Section are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and the sentence it chooses to impose are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 17 are consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the Fraud Section and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the Fraud Section's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.
- 30. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty plea, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS 1 31. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, 2 3 there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the Fraud Section and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no 4 additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court. 6 PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING 32. The parties agree that this agreement will be considered 9 part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the 10 entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding. 11 AGREED AND ACCEPTED UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 12 FRAUD SECTION, CRIMINAL DIVISION 13 SANDRA MOSER 14 Acting Chaief 15 1/27/18 Date/ 1/8 Date 16 17 18 MENJAMIA SCHARF Defendant 19 20 STANLEY STONE Attorney for Defendant JASON 21 BENJAMIN SCHARF 22 23 24 25 26 28

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading guilty because I am guilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

JASON BENJAMIN SCHARF

11 26 (18 Date

26 27 28 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

I am JASON BENJAMIN SCHARF's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his [her] rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement.

an		e		
TANLEY S	STONE	Ē		
ttorney	for	Defendant	JASON	

BENJAMIN SCHARF

11/26/18 Date