Chat with +1 202 324 3000

7/8/2020 9:59:17 AM - 7/8/2020 9:59:17 AM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

+1 202-324-3000

Wednesday, July 08, 2020			
	Me	0.50 414	
	Can I call you later?	9:59 AM	









7/19/2019 3:10:49 PM - 7/19/2019 3:10:49 PM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

14.1 iOS

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:



+1 (b) (6)

Friday, July 19, 2019

3:10 PM John Durham can be reached a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)1 (cell). I sent this to your email & IPhone.

	+1 (b)(6) Will Levi Phone	
n	Perfect. I'll implement.	4:06 PM
	+1(b)(6) Will Levi Phone	
n.	I may come too if that would be at all helpful.	4:09 PM
	+1 (b)(6) AG Barr personal phone	
n	Sure.	4:09 PM
	+1 ^{(b)(6)} Will Levi Phone	
0	I've now confirmed with all and will work w	4:11 PM
	Wednesday, August 28, 2019 +1 (D)(6) Will Levi Phone	
	Hi Boss. (b) (5), (b) (6)	
		5:16 PM
~		
84.	Let me know if there is anything else I can do.	
(III)		
	w about I try tomorrow at 9 <mark>(b) (5)</mark> . Or 10EsT if that etter.	7:13 PM
	Saturday, September 21, 2019	
	+1 ^{(b)(6)} Will Levi Phone	
	I hope this morning went well. Let me know if I can help	12:08 PM
0	with any follow-up this weekend. Also, you and Mrs. Barr had a very snazzy picture in Politico from the state dinner.	
7.7	+1 (b)(6) Will Levi Phone	
	https://www.politico.com/gallery/2019/09/20/australian-	10:10 DM
0	state-dinner-trump-gallery-003235?slide=7	12:12 PM

Chat with + (b)(6) Will Levi Phone

5/15/2019	8:12:02 PM -	11/27/2020	11:41:48 AM
-----------	--------------	------------	-------------

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

+ (b)(6) Will Levi Phone



+1 (b)(6) Will Levi Phone	Friday, September 20, 2019	
(b) (7)(A)		5:14 PM
	Tuesday, September 24, 2019	Me 7:10 PM
+1 (b)(6) Will Levi Phone	Thursday, September 26, 2019	ase call ASAP
A drink? I'm in the lo	bby with Seth and ^{(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)}	
And now John.		4:30 PM
	Co	Me eming down. 4:37 PM
+1 (D)(6) Will Levi Phone		4:40 PM
Great. Walking back	Friday, September 27, 2019	7.701-1
No word back from s Let me know if you n	earch yet. Might have to wait unt eed anything.	il Monday. 4:05 PN
		Me Thanks. 4:06 PM
+1 (b)(6) Will Levi Phone	Monday, October 7, 2019	
Let me know if I can	get you anything.	3:35 PN



I'm going to drop by real quick.	6:18 PM
Wednesday, February 5, 2020	
Will. Could <u>vou speech lo</u> cation and time at CSIS to my friend Shaygan at (b) (6)	12:35 PM
Will Levi Phone Will Lovi Phone	12:46 PM
Thursday, February 13, 2020	
https://www.nytimes.com/2020/02/13/us/politics/barr-trump.html	4:19 PM
https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/barr-blasts-trumps-tweets-stone- case-impossible-job/story?id=68963276	4:19 PM
⊢↑ (b)(6) Will Levi Phone	
Lee dropped by right after you left to say he was proud of you and proud to work for you.	6:06 PM
(b)(6) Will Levi Phone	
Also McConnell came out super strong for you	6:11 PM
Thursday, February 27, 2020	
Reminder: attire is business casual tomorrow.	9:11 PM
Me	



(b)(6) Will Levi Phone	
(b) (5)	9:18 AM
Me Will. Have someone send me the proposal we made.	9:20 AM
Will do.	9:21 AM
(b)(6) Will Levi Phone	
Just sent to your email.	9:22 AM
I'll do new talking points reflecting our discussion and send to you, along with BOP talking points.	12:34 PM
- 1 (D)(6) Will Levi Phone	
2 more confirmed cases BOP. In Oakland. One critical condition.	12:39 PM
(b)(6) Will Levi Phone	12:39 PM



















!"

9:59 AM

10:01 AM



Statement by Attorney General Barr on the Restoration of Law in Seattle







Administrative stay granted in Portland! (b) (5)

Filed order (M. MARGARET MCKEOWN, ERIC D. MILLER and DANIEL A. BRESS) Order by Judges MILLER and BRESS, Dissent by Judge McKEOWN. We have received appellants' emergency motion at Docket Entry No. [7] seeking to stay the district court's August 20, 2020 order pending resolution of this appeal. Appellants' request for an immediate administrative stay of the district court's August 20, 2020 order pending resolution of the emergency motion is granted. See Nken v. Holder, 556 U.S. 418, 426 (2009). Based on our preliminary review, appellants have made a strong showing of likely success on the merits that the district court's injunction exempting "Journalists" and "Legal Observers" from generally applicable dispersal orders is without adequate legal basis. Given the order's breadth and lack of clarity, particularly in its non-exclusive indicia of who qualifies as "Journalists" and "Legal Observers," appellants have also demonstrated that, in the absence of a stay, the order will cause irreparable harm to law enforcement efforts and personnel. The August 20, 2020 order is stayed, temporarily, pending resolution of the emergency motion. This administrative stay preserves the status quo as it existed before the district court's preliminary injunction and temporary restraining order.



8:09 PM

(b)(6) Will Levi Phone +1

In case you are asked: In Portland, OR, federal facilities remained secure. A crowd of 250-300 gathered at Ventura Park, but a team of OSP/PPB would not let them proceed to the East Precinct. VOs immediately threw three Molotov cocktails at the police line, precipitating a riot declaration. The crowd was dispersed and split up into smaller groups. OSP/PPB made 50 arrests. Two protesters were injured by the first Molotov cocktail and OSP/PPB helped to put out the flames on both individuals. Nationally, there were no significant actions involving federal facilities or federal LEOs across the country overnight. 11:39 AM Rochester, NY: This was the most significant night of unrest yet, with police dispersing the crowd with tear gas multiple times. Notably, the crowd lit fires, used commercial grade fireworks and stormed at least one restaurant. Los Angeles, CA: A large demonstration closed I-110 and then proceeded to the South Central Sheriff station, where they were dispersed with tear gas and pepper balls. Tallahassee, FL: A small demonstration resulted in numerous secondary arrests of people attempting to interfere with a single arrest.

Me	3
Thanks. Make sure we review the arrestees for federal charges.	11:43 AM
+1 ^{(D)(6)} Will Levi Phone Will do.	11:43 AM
Funeral of the detective will be Friday at 11 am at the Cathedral of St. John the Evangelist in downtown Cleveland. I'm figuring out whether it's just family or whether you might attend. If they welcome it do you want to go? We are currently scheduled to come back from Phoenix Thursday night late; we could stay another night in Phoenix and come through Cleveland on way home on Friday instead.	3:48 PM

JMD Daily Report on Confirmed COVID-19 Cases

March 24, 2020

Total: 36 Staff, Task Force Officers, & DOJ Contractor cases

Total Count	Component Counts	Location	Employment Type	Tested & Confirmed Case
1	DEA-1	Chicago, IL	Fed Employee	Yes
2	DEA-2	Washington, DC	Fed Employee	Yes
3	US Attorneys -1	New York City, NY	Contractor	Yes
4	OCDETF-1	Merrifield, VA	Contractor	Yes
5	BOP-1	Grand Prairie, TX	Fed Employee	Yes
6	BOP-2	In-transit between assignments	Fed Employee	Yes
7	BOP-3	Atlanta, GA	Fed Employee	Yes
8	BOP-4	Yazoo City, MS	Fed Employee	Yes
9	FBI-1	San Francisco, CA	Fed Employee	Yes
10	FBI-2	Pocatello, ID	Contractor	Yes
11	FBI-3	Washington, DC	Contractor	Yes
12	FBI-4	New York City, NY	Task Force Officer (TFO)	Yes
13	FBI-5	Philadelphia, PA	Fed Employee	Yes
14	FBI-6	New York City, NY	TFO	Yes
15	FBI-7	New York City, NY	TFO	Yes
16	FBI-8	New York City, NY	TFO	Yes
17	FBI-9	New York City, NY	Fed Employee	Yes
18	FBI-10	New York City, NY	Fed Employee	Yes
19	FBI-11	Huntsville, AL	Contractor	Yes
20	FBI-12	New Haven, CT	Fed Employee	Yes
21	FBI-13	New York City, NY	TFO	Yes
22	FBI-14	New York City, NY	TFO	Yes
23	FBI-15	New York City, NY	Fed Employee	Yes
24	FBI-16	New York City, NY	Fed Employee	Yes
25	FBI-17	Washington, DC	Contractor	Yes
26	FBI-18	Washington, DC	Contractor	Yes
27	FBI-19	New York City, NY	Fed Employee	Yes
28	USMS-1	Washington, DC	Fed Employee	Yes
29	USMS -2	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	Contractor	Yes
30	USMS-3	EDNY	Fed Employee	Yes
31	USMS-4	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	Contractor	Yes
32	USMS-5	EDNY	Fed Employee	Yes
33	USMS-6	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	Contractor	Yes

34	USMS-7	EDNY	Fed Employee	Yes
35	USMS-8	EDNY	Fed Employee	Yes
36	EOIR-1	New York City, NY	Contractor	Yes

BOP and USMS Inmates

Total: 3 confirmed Inmates

1	BOP-1	MDC Brooklyn	Inmate (USMS)	Yes
2	BOP-2	Oakdale, LA	Inmate	Yes
3	BOP-3	Oakdale, LA	Inmate	Yes



8/10/2020 9:23:27 PM - 8/12/2020 10:31:07 PM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

14.1 iOS

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)





8/6/2019 2:45:09 PM - 8/6/2019 2:45:09 PM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

14.1 iOS

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) +

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Tuesday, August 06, 2019

FBI wants to make a very brief public statement at 3:30. I asked FBI leadership to ensure you are in the loop.

2:45 PM

Chat with +



5/5/2020 3:01:34 PM - 5/5/2020 3:01:34 PM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:



(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Tuesday, May 05, 2020

General, this is Geoff	Berman. I tried your cell but there was no
answer or vm (b) (5)	
	. Please call me if you would like to
discuss further.	

3:01 PM

Chat with



8/12/2020 7:57:54 PM - 12/19/2020 3:30:38 PM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

+ (b) (6)

Wednesday, August 12, 2020

Me

7:57 PM

Postdates Search Cutoff

Chat with Craig Carpenito

4/2/2020 6:38:41 PM - 12/25/2020 11:38:11 AM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

+ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Craig Carpenito

Thursday, April 02, 2020

6:38 PM

Me

Craig. It is Bill Barr. Call me when you get the chance.

Thursday, November 26, 2020

Postdates Search Cutoff

Chat with Jeff Jensen

7/3/2020 12:32:35 PM - 7/3/2020 12:32:35 PM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) eff Jensen

Friday, July 03, 2020 Me Jeff. Please 12

Call me. Bill

12:32 PM

Chat with John Durham

8/31/2019 10:54:57 AM - 6/29/2020 8:47:29 AM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) + John Durham






Chat with Jonathan Bronitsky

8/23/2019 7:03:13 PM - 3/15/2020 3:16:28 PM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

+ (b) (6) Jonathan Bronitsky

Jonathan Bronitsky	Friday, August 23, 2019	
Sir, it's Jonathan in	OPA.	
Have you had a cha know if you'd prefe	ance to thin (b) (5) ? If so, feel free to share them here, or r to discuss in person.	7:03 PM
Thank you.		
		Me
Hey Jo	onathan. Would like to talk about it. Whe	en best. 7:05 PM
Jonathan Bronitsky		
	e next hour or so until I catch a flight. Ot weekend. Whatever best suits your scheo	T. T. T. T. T. WI
		Me
	I'll ping you tomorrow	. Thanks 7:20 PM
Jonathan Bronitsky		
You've got it. Have	a great evening.	7:24 PM
Jonathan Bronitsky	Monday, August 26, 2019	
Did the .doc draft e	eventually come through?	5:45 PM





Jonathan Bronitsky	Saturday, December 14, 2019	
	en officially accepted by the NY Post! We'll its and a final proof.	3:06 PM
Jonathan Bronitsky	Tuesday, December 17, 2019	
Confirmed: Operat Detroit News tomo	ion Relentless Pursuit op-ed is running in the prrow.	8:38 PM
Jonathan Bronitsky	Tuesday, December 31, 2019	
Sir, I'm in today. If	you brought Talmon's book, I'd pick it up.	10:18 AM
Jonathan Bronitsky	Monday, January 13, 2020	
	e.com/amp/s/nypost.com/2020/01/13/the-silly- as-an-agent-of-a-catholic-cult/amp/	9:00 PM
Jonathan Bronitsky	Saturday, January 25, 2020	
Sir, I'm sorry to bo minutes to chat to	ther you on the weekend, but do you have a few day?	10:00 AM
	Me Sure. I will call later this morning.	10:40 AM
Jonathan Bronitsky		
Great, thank you. I'	m looking forward.	10:42 AM
Jonathan Bronitsky		
Over the past two	or sharing your time and thoughts this morning. weeks, I expressed to Kerri it would mean a lot n my passion for the issues and role in helping	11:50 AM
	end, and I look forward to getting your feedback emarks and chatting on Monday.	

Jonathan Bronitsky

From Eli, who attended the Boro Park meeting:

Barr's point, which was well taken, was that "militant progressivism" embodies a drive to reorganize society based on rationalism and animated with a passion you usually expect among religious people, casting those who oppose them as not just wrong but evil. That, Barr said, is part of the cause of the "hatreds and the antipathy" toward traditional communities such as the Orthodox. It has seeped into our politics, and is a cause of toxic tribalism — as well as the anti-Semitism some communities are now struggling with.

https://forward.com/opinion/439217/how-can-we-trust-themedia-when-they-lie-about-our-community/

It was an intelligent reading of a situation we are struggling desperately to understand and contain. How ironic that it was mutated by the words of the liberal media.

Saturday, February 1, 2020







Eli on the cover ^^^

Monday, February 10, 2020

Jonathan Bronitsky

Very well done. That was great!

12:44 PM

9:15 AM

9:15 AM

6:15 PM



Chat with Katie Sullivan

9/4/2020 10:20:38 AM	- 12/11/2020 2:16:59 PM
----------------------	-------------------------

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

+ (b) (6) Katie Sullivan



Katie Sullivan

This is Katie BTW

7:09 PM



Postdates Search Cutoff





	+1 (b)(6) Will Levi Phone		
	PDF	7:08 PM	
0	Judge-Emmet-Sullivan-Letter		
0	Sullivan praised Holder before for taking the unusual step of dismissing the charges against Stevens. So there's some good material to work with here.	7:08 PM	
	Kerri Kupec		
(KR	Turley has been making that point on Twitter today too	7:08 PM	
n	+1 ^{(b)(6)} Will Levi Phone Good	7:09 PM	
	Me Super dooper.	7:10 PM	
0	+1 (b)(6) Will Levi Phone (b) (5)	7:10 PM	
	十省(b)(6) Will Levi Phone		
0	(b) (5)	7:10 PM	
	+1 (b)(6) Will Levi Phone		
0	Also, just FYI, the FBI, the U.S. Attorney's Office in the Southern District of Georgia, and the Civil Rights Division Criminal Section are working with the Georgia Bureau of Investigation on the Brunswick shooting, and the federal team is (b) (5) .	7:32 PM	
	Kerri Kupec		
	That's helpful thanks	7:40 PM	



F1	(b)(6)	Will	Levi	Phone
----	--------	------	------	-------

Very good

0

7:43 PM

Thursday, May 21, 2020

	Thursday, May 21, 2020 Kerri Kupec		
R	https://www.wsj.com/articles/biden-will-answer-for-fbi- abuse-11590077408? emailToken=003088ee48b35a39d804c244d5ea92bep2KE39g 76QkJo0Q9JhjMnl/AfALPpU7rBFIp/SU8b4Jc+/a +jIBUCeUU1tOaRI/VCaaOEw3Gb8aiZe6pcDezTQ%3D% 3D&reflink=article_imessage_share	3:29 PM	
	Kerri Kupec		
RK	"Barr is right to rule out a criminal probe. Voters will have their say in November."	3:29 PM	
	+1 (D)(6) Will Levi Phone		
n	https://www.foxnews.com/politics/doj-intervenes-in-case- challenging-illinois-coronavirus-restrictions	5:31 PM	
	Kerri Kupec		
66	Yep	5:32 PM	
	Kerri Kupec		
KK	We've been getting good press on this stuff	5:54 PM	
	Kerri Kupec		
RR	https://time.com/5839009/virginia-church-justice- department-coronavirus/	5:54 PM	
	Kerri Kupec		
KK	https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/massachusetts- governor-says-justice-department-statements-prompted- him-to-open-churches? _amp=true&_twitter_impression=true	5:55 PM	
	+1 (D)(6) Will Levi Phone		
n	https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/ status/1265774767493148672	8:12 PM	
	Me		
	Good	8:12 PM	

	Kerri Kupec	
	One more update: (b) (5)	
-		5:12 PM
KK		
	Me	
	That is great.	9:38 PM
	Tuesday, June 2, 2020	
	+ 1 ^{(b)(6)} Will Levi Phone	
		8:04 AM
	w	
(D)	Memo 6.2.20 v3.docx	
	+1 (b)(6) Will Levi Phone	
n	More updates.	8:04 AM
	Kerri Kupec	
63	Very helpful	8:11 AM
	Wednesday, June 3, 2020	
	+1 ((D)(G) Will Levi Phone	
	w	8:04 AM
0	ODAG Update-20200603_08	
. F. G.	+1 (b)(6) Will Levi Phone	
~	1.1	8:04 AM
19.9	Skim if you can.	0.1

+	Thursday, June 4, 2020	
	PDF	7:10 PM
L	blm_vtrump_complaint.pdf	
K	ierri Kupec	
	FYI Martha said she would open with some of your answer on Lafayette and Hannity will use too.	7:24 PN
K	Cerri Kupec	
	Bret also used some as well	7:24 PM
ĸ	erri Kupec	
	Laura will too	7:42 PM
	Me	
	Thanks.	7:42 PI
+	riday, June 5, 2020 همان المحمد ا	
	Kerri thinks (b) (5)	8:32 AI
ĸ	ierri Kupec	
	(b) (5)	
		8:33 AM
1		

ĸĸ

RK

R

n

	Kerri Kupec			
Υ.	You were excellent.		6:21 PM	
		Me Thanks!	6:22 PM	
	Kerri Kupec			
	Video 1:		7:13 PM	
	Kerri Kupec			
	https://twitter.com/bennyjohnson/ status/1270439089217642498?s=20		7:13 PM	
	Kerri Kupec			
i.	Video 2:		7:14 PM	
	Kerri Kupec			
	https://twitter.com/bennyjohnson/ status/1270439089217642498?s=20		7:14 PM	
	Kerri Kupec			
Ϊ.	Sorry, this:		7:14 PM	
	Kerri Kupec			
	https://twitter.com/bennyjohnson/ status/1270436533921857536?s=20		7:15 PM	
	Kerri Kupec			
È.	Powerful stuff.		7:15 PM	
	Kerri Kupec			
	Martha is interviewing him		7:21 PM	

Me I see.

7:21 PM

+1^{(D)(6) Will Levi Phone} CRT has actually been pretty busy. Tomorrow they are filing an amicus brief in the Ninth Circuit on behalf of individuals who want to protest Governor Newsom's pandemic restrictions at the state capitol.







9:50 AM

9:50 AM

11:20 PM

11:24 PM

Friday, June 19, 2020



Kerri Kupec

FYI

Kerri Kupec

STATEMENT OF U.S. ATTORNEY GEOFFREY S. BERMAN ON ANNOUNCEMENT BY ATTORNEY GENERAL BARR

"I learned in a press release from the Attorney General tonight that I was 'stepping down' as United States Attorney. I have not resigned, and have no intention of resigning, my position, to which I was appointed by the Judges of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. I will step down when a presidentially appointed nominee is confirmed by the Senate. Until then, our investigations will move forward without delay or interruption. I cherish every day that I work with the men and women of this Office to pursue justice without fear or favor – and intend to ensure that this Office's important cases continue unimpeded."

Kerri Kupec

Reporters are going crazy







+1 (b)(6) Will Levi Phone

















+1 (b)(6) Will Levi Phone



+1 (b)(6) Will Levi Phone



n

n

n

7:46 PM

7:47 PM

7:49 PM



Kerri Kupec

U.S. attorney walks back suggestion D.C. police ar rested protesters without sufficient evidence By Matt Zapotosky

September 3, 2020 at 1:10 PM EDT

The top federal prosecutor in D.C. on Thursday sou ght to de-escalate a burgeoning feud with city off icials over arrests of anti-racism demonstrators, walking back his suggestion from days earlier that local police had taken people into custody withou t sufficient evidence.

U.S. Attorney Michael R. Sherwin had told D.C. May or Muriel E. Bowser (D) in a letter on Tuesday tha t when local police arrested more than 40 alleged rioters in mid-August, they did so without present ing "any articulable facts linking criminal conduc t to each individual arrested." He wrote that they took similar actions over the weekend, taking 19 people into custody while presenting sufficient ev idence to substantiate only one case.

Prosecutor accuses D.C. police of making rioting a rrests with insufficient evidence

"As I am sure you are aware, without some evidence to establish probable cause of a particular arres tee's criminal conduct — e.g., a police officer's observation or video footage of the alleged crime — we cannot bring federal charges," Sherwin wrote. "Surely, by your comments, you are not suggesting that this Office skirt constitutional protections and due process."

The letter — which was responding to criticism fro m Bowser that federal prosecutors were not bringin g charges — was surprising, as the Justice Departm ent in the Trump administration has taken an aggre ssive posture toward those who cause violence at a nti-police brutality demonstrations nationwide, an d top officials have repeatedly stressed support o f police.

A day after it was sent, President Trump approved a memo that the White House said is intended to be gin restricting federal funding from going to cert 1:48 PM

00678-00171

ain Democratic-led cities that the administration determines to be "anarchist jurisdictions." The me mo specifically called for a review of money direc ted to D.C.

And after meeting with D.C. Police Chief Peter New sham on Wednesday, Sherwin essentially backed down

"As we further discussed, you should not take my l etter of September 1, 2020 as suggesting that ther e had been no probable cause for the arrests," She rwin wrote. "That was not the [Justice] Department 's position. Rather the concern was that we needed certain additional information to be reflected in the supporting affidavits to proceed with crimina l charges."

White House orders review aimed at blocking federa I funding from places administration labels 'anarc hist jurisdictions'

Sherwin wrote that as prosecutors continued to rev iew evidence with police officers, his office woul d "be charging a number of arrestees today." A Jus tice Department official said those charges will i nclude some people in the group of more than 40 ta ken into custody in mid-August. Sherwin had previo usly written that the group had been taken into cu stody "as a collective," without evidence linking particular people to particular crimes.

"Simply put, we cannot charge crimes on the basis of mere presence or guilt by association," he wrot e, adding that he had met with D.C. police officia ls on Aug. 15 to "request their assistance to furt her develop these cases to establish a bare minimu m of probable cause."

"To date," he wrote, "no sufficient evidence has m aterialized."

A D.C. police spokesman did not immediately respon d to a request for comment.

1:48 PM





Saturday, October 3, 2020

Postdates Search Cutoff

United States District Court for the District of Columbia Washington, D.C. 20001



Chambers of Emmet G. Sullivan United States District Judge

(202) 354-3260

April 28, 2009

VIA FACSIMILE AND FEDEX

The Honorable Richard C. Tallman, Chair Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on the Rules of Criminal Procedure Attn: Rules Committee Support Office Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts One Columbus Circle, NE Washington, DC 20054

Dear Judge Tallman:

I write to urge the Advisory Committee on the Rules of Criminal Procedure (the "Rules Committee") to once again propose an amendment to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16 requiring the disclosure of all exculpatory information to the defense. My understanding is that on September 5, 2006, the Rules Committee voted eight to four to forward such an amendment to the Standing Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure (the "Standing Committee").¹ However, the Department of Justice ("DOJ") strongly opposed the amendment and argued that a modification to the United States Attorneys' Manual – which added, for the first time, a section addressing federal prosecutors' disclosure obligations – would obviate the need for an amendment to the federal rule.

There were compelling reasons for eight of the twelve members of the Rules Committee to support the proposed amendment in September 2006. Those reasons are no less compelling today. Moreover, it has now been nearly three years since the United States Attorneys' Manual was modified to "establish[] guidelines for the exercise of judgment and discretion by attorneys for the government in determining what information to disclose to a criminal defendant pursuant to the government's disclosure obligations as set out in *Brady v. Maryland* and *Giglio v. United States* and its obligation to seek justice in every case."² While I recognize and respect the commitment and hard work demonstrated by federal prosecutors every day in courtrooms throughout the country, it is

¹ See Minutes of September 5, 2006 Special Session at 7, available at http://www.uscourts.gov/rules/Minutes/CR09-2006-min.pdf.

² See United States Attorneys' Manual § 9-5.000, Comment, *available at* http://www.usdoj.gov/usao/eousa/foia_reading_room/usam/title9/5mcrm.htm.
The Honorable Richard C. Tallman, Chair April 28, 2009 Page 2

uncontroverted that *Brady* violations nevertheless occur.

Earlier this month, Attorney General Eric H. Holder, Jr., for whom I have the highest regard, took the highly unusual, if not unprecedented, step of moving to set aside the verdict and dismiss the indictment with prejudice in the case of *United States v. Theodore F. Stevens*, Criminal Action No. 08-231 (EGS) (D.D.C.). At a hearing on that motion, the government informed me that during the course of investigating allegations of misconduct, which included several discovery breaches, and preparing to respond to the defendant's post-trial motions, a new team of prosecutors had discovered what the government readily acknowledged were two serious *Brady* violations:

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you this, Counsel, and I need a very precise answer to this question. The Government counsel will concede, will it not, that the failure to produce the notes or information from the April 15, 2008 interview with Bill Allen in which he did not recall having a conversation with Bob Persons about sending a bill to the Senator was a *Brady* violation.

MR. O'BRIEN: It was a *Brady* violation. It was impeaching material, and the Court knows that *Giglio* is a subset of *Brady*.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. O'BRIEN: Also, there was -1 failed to mention this and I should have. The Court did mention it, but there was also information about the value of the work that was performed.

THE COURT: And that was going to be the second question. Indeed, was that a *Brady* violation as well?

MR. O'BRIEN: I believe that it was. At a minimum, it was favorable evidence to the Defense that should have been turned over pursuant to the instructions that Your Honor previously mentioned.

Motion Hrg. Tr. 13-14 (Apr. 7, 2009). These *Brady* violations – revealed for the first time five months after the verdict was returned – came to light only after an FBI agent filed a complaint alleging prosecutorial and other law enforcement misconduct, a new Attorney General took office, and a new prosecutorial team was appointed to respond to the defendant's post-trial motions. Attorney General Holder's response to these issues has been commendable, and I understand that he has since discussed instituting training for prosecutors regarding their discovery obligations and has publicly reminded prosecutors that their obligations to fairness and justice are paramount to all other concerns.³ These developments provide further support for such an amendment.

³ See Nedra Pickler, U.S. Attorneys Told to Expect Scrutiny; Senator's Case Leaves Taint, Holder Says, The Boston Globe, Apr. 9, 2009, at 8 ("Your job as assistant U.S. attorneys is not to convict people,' said Holder. 'Your job is not to win cases. Your job is to do justice. Your job is in every case, every decision that you make, to do the right thing. Anybody who asks you to do

The Honorable Richard C. Tallman, Chair April 28, 2009 Page 3

An amendment to Rule 16 that requires the government to produce all exculpatory information to the defense serves the best interests of the court, the prosecution, the defense, and, ultimately, the public. Such a rule would eliminate the need for the court to enter discovery orders that simply restate the law in this area, reduce discovery disputes, and help ensure the integrity and fairness of criminal proceedings. Moreover, such a rule would also provide clear guidance to the prosecutor and indeed protect prosecutors from inadvertent failures to disclose exculpatory information. Finally, a federal rule of criminal procedure mandating disclosure of such information – whether or not the information is requested by the defense – would ensure that the defense receives in a timely manner all exculpatory information in the government's possession.

The importance of the government's disclosure obligations cannot be overstated. Indeed, as articulated by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Strickler v. Greene*, 527 U.S. 263, 280-81 (1999):

In *Brady*, this Court held "that the suppression by the prosecution of evidence favorable to an accused upon request violates due process where the evidence is material either to guilt or to punishment, irrespective of the good faith or bad faith of the prosecution." 373 U.S. [83, 87 (1963)]. We have since held that the duty to disclose such evidence is applicable even though there has been no request by the accused, *United States v. Agurs*, 427 U.S. 97 (1976), and that the duty encompasses impeachment evidence as well as exculpatory evidence, *United States v. Bagley*, 473 U.S. 667, 676 (1985). Such evidence is material "if there is a reasonable probability that, had the evidence been disclosed to the defense, the result of the proceeding would have been different." *Id.* at 682; *see also Kyles v. Whitley*, 514 U.S. 419, 433-434 (1995). Moreover, the rule encompasses evidence "known only to police investigators and not to the prosecutor." *Id.* at 438. In order to comply with *Brady*, therefore, "the individual prosecutor has a duty to learn of any favorable evidence known to the others acting on the government's behalf in this case, including the police." *Kyles*, 514 U.S. at 437.

These cases, together with earlier cases condemning the knowing use of perjured testimony, illustrate the special role played by the American prosecutor in the search for truth in criminal trials. Within the federal system, for example, we have said that the United States Attorney is "the representative not of an ordinary party to a controversy, but of a sovereignty whose obligation to govern impartially is as compelling as its obligation to govern at all; and whose interest, therefore, in a criminal prosecution is not that it shall win a case, but that justice shall be done." *Berger v. United States*, 295 U.S. 78, 88 (1935).

something other than that is to be ignored. Any policy that is in tension with that is to be questioned and brought to my attention. And I mean that." (quoting remarks by Attorney General Holder at a swearing-in ceremony)).

The Honorable Richard C. Tallman, Chair April 28, 2009 Page 4

In a decision issued today, the Supreme Court reiterated these principles in equally strong terms. Both the language used by the Supreme Court, and the fact that the Court was faced with yet another case raising important Brady issues, strongly countenance in favor of the Rule 16 amendment previously proposed by the Rules Committee:

Although the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, as interpreted by Brady, only mandates the disclosure of material evidence, the obligation to disclose evidence favorable to the defense may arise more broadly under a prosecutor's ethical or statutory obligations. See Kyles, 514 U.S. at 437 ("[T]he rule in Bagley (and, hence, in *Brady*) requires less of the prosecution than the ABA Standards for Criminal Justice Prosecution Function and Defense Function 3-3.11(a) (3d ed. 1993)"). See also ABA Model Rule of Professional Conduct 3.8(d) (2008) ("The prosecutor in a criminal case shall" "make timely disclosure to the defense of all evidence or information known to the prosecutor that tends to negate the guilt of the accused or mitigates the offense, and, in connection with sentencing, disclose to the defense and to the tribunal all unprivileged mitigating information known to the prosecutor, except when the prosecutor is relieved of this responsibility by a protective order of the tribunal"). As we have often observed, the prudent prosecutor will err on the side of transparency, resolving doubtful questions in favor of disclosure. See Kyles, 514 U.S., at 439; U.S. v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 711, n. 4 (1985) (STEVENS, J., dissenting); United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S. 97, 108 (1976).

Cone v. Bell, No. 07-1114, slip. op. at 21 n.15 (U.S. Apr. 28, 2009).

A federal rule of criminal procedure requiring all exculpatory evidence to be produced to the defense would eliminate the need to rely on a "prudent prosecutor" deciding to "err on the side of transparency," id., and would go a long way towards furthering "the search for the truth in criminal trials" and ensuring that "justice shall be done." Strickler, 527 U.S. at 281. I welcome the opportunity to discuss this issue further.

Bespectfull

Emmet G. Sullivan

Members of the Advisory Committee on the Rules of Criminal Procedure (via facsimile) cc: The Honorable Eric H. Holder, Jr. (via facsimile) Counsel of record in United States v. Theodore F. Stevens, Criminal Action No. 08-231 (EGS) (D.D.C.) (via ECF)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BLACK LIVES MATTER D.C. c/o Washington Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights & Urban Affairs 700 14th Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005,

TONI SANDERS c/o Washington Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights & Urban Affairs 700 14th Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005,

J.N.C., through his mother Demetria Bright, c/o Washington Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights & Urban Affairs 700 14th Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005,

KISHON MCDONALD

c/o Washington Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights & Urban Affairs 700 14th Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005,

GARRETT BOND c/o Washington Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights & Urban Affairs

700 14th Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005,

and

KEARA SCALLAN c/o Washington Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights & Urban Affairs 700 14th Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

DONALD J. TRUMP President of the United States of America 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20500,

WILLIAM P/ BARR Attorney General of the United States 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530,

MARK ESPER Secretary of Defense of the United States 1000 Defense Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20301-1000,

GREGORY T. MONAHAN Acting Chief of the United States Park Police 1100 Ohio Drive, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20242,

JAMES M. MURRAY Director, U.S. Secret Service 950 H Street, NW, Suite 7800 Washington, D.C. 20223,

MAJOR GENERAL WILLIAM J. WALKE Commanding General of the District of Columbia National Guard 2001 E. Capitol Street, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20003,

GENERAL JAMES C. MCCONVILLE Chief of Staff of the United States Army 200 Army Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20310-0200,

JOHN DOES 1 100,

and

JOHN POES 1-20,

Defendants.

2

COMPLAINT

(for injunctive relief and damages; violation of First Amendment rights, Fourth Amendment rights, and conspiracy to violate civil rights)

1. This case is about the President and Attorney General of the United States ordering the use of violence against peaceful demonstrators who were speaking out against discriminatory police brutality targeted at Black people.

2. Just after 8:00 pm on May 25, 2020, George Floyd, a forty-six-year-old father, son, brother, and African American man was accused of a non-violent offense and arrested by the Minneapolis police. In the process of his arrest, Mr. Floyd was handcuffed and fell to the pavement. Less than ten minutes after the police arrived, a police officer who participated in Mr. Floyd's arrest placed his knee and the weight of his body on Mr. Floyd's neck as Mr. Floyd lay on the ground. For eight minutes and forty-six seconds, the officer held his knee on Mr. Floyd's neck as Mr. Floyd pleaded for relief. Other officers held his legs or stood by and watched while he died. Among Mr. Floyd's final words were "please, please, please, I can't breathe." These words are reminiscent of the words spoken by Eric Garner before he was killed by a New York City police officer in 2014, which have since become a tragic rallying cry for people seeking to address racial inequities and reform the American criminal justice system. These are some of the words that a group of peaceful demonstrators chanted on June 1, 2020, in Lafayette Square, across the street from the White House in Washington, D.C.

3. On June 1, 2020, a group of demonstrators, including Plaintiffs, gathered peacefully in Lafayette Square to protest the gross, systemic injustices perpetrated by law enforcement against Black people in the United States, exemplified by the recent brutal murders of George Floyd and Breonna Taylor, a Black woman who was shot eight times and killed in March 2020 by three Louisville police officers who entered her home in the middle of the night without knocking. This was a continuation of protests in Washington, D.C. and elsewhere since Mr. Floyd's killing. Without provocation, Defendants directed their agents in the U.S. Secret Service, U.S. Park Police, D.C. National Guard, and U.S. Military Police to fire tear gas, pepper spray capsules, rubber bullets and flash bombs into the crowd to shatter the peaceful gathering, forcing demonstrators to flee the area. Many peaceful demonstrators were injured, some severely, by this unprovoked attack.

4. Defendants had no legitimate basis to destroy the peaceable gathering. Defendants professed purpose to clear the area to permit the President to walk to a photo opportunity at a nearby church was a wholly illegal reason for abridging the constitutional rights of Plaintiffs and the others assembled in Lafayette Square. Indeed, the President has consistently demonstrated hostility towards viewpoints different than his own, and in the days and moments leading up to the attack, expressed his intent to violently attack protesters and "dominate" them.

5. The Department of Justice has officially acknowledged that Defendant Barr ordered Lafayette Square cleared minutes before the assault started. Defendant Barr issued this order following a series of statements from Defendant Trump in the days and hours leading up to this attack in which he clearly threatened to use and encouraged violence against protestors.

6. The police violence that Plaintiffs and other lawful, peaceful demonstrators were met with on June 1, 2020 is a continuation of an unlawful history of oppression of civil rights activists. The peaceful assembly of people seeking systemic change in the criminal justice system, like the assembly of Plaintiffs and others on June 1, 2020, in Lafayette Square, is based on a decades-old history of civil rights activism in this nation. Following the long tradition of those who marched for voting rights on Sunday, March 7, 1965, in Selma, Alabama,¹ Plaintiffs

¹ Ronald J. Krotoszynski, Jr., "Celebrating Selma: The Importance of Context in Public Forum Analysis," 104 Yale L.J. 1411 (1995).

seek to address racial inequities. But like that "Bloody Sunday" fifty-five years ago, Plaintiffs' peaceful, lawful assembly was met by police violence.

7. For Defendants to describe their actions as "domination" is telling. To dominate is

to establish supremacy by subjugation of others. It is precisely such domination in the form of

centuries of white supremacy and subjugation of Black lives that was the core focus of the

peaceful demonstration in Lafayette Square. Just as in Tulsa,² Scottsboro,³ Anniston,⁴

Birmingham,⁵ Selma,⁶ Philadelphia,⁷ Los Angeles,⁸ Ferguson,⁹ New York City,¹⁰ Baltimore,¹¹

Minneapolis,¹² and countless other times in our nation's bloody history, the Lafayette Square

assault was violence against Black people and their supporters committed by state actors. What

https://www.cbsnews.com/news/march-3rd-1991-rodney-king-lapd-beating-caught-on-video/.

https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/opa/press-

² Alicia Lee and Sara Sidner, *99 years ago today, America was shaken by one of its deadliest acts of racial violence*, CNN, June 1, 2020, <u>https://www.cnn.com/2020/06/01/us/tulsa-race-massacre-1921-99th-anniversary-trnd/index.html</u>.

³ N. Jeremi Duru, *The Central Park Five, the Scottsboro Boys, and the Myth of the Bestial Black Man*, 25 CARDOZO L. REV. 1315, 1334 (describing state violence against nine black boys accused of raping two white women in 1931; while the women eventually recanted and confessed to making up the story the "Scottsboro boys" spent years in prison).

⁴ Terri Gross, Get On the Bus: The Freedom Riders of 1961, NPR, Jan. 12, 2006,

<u>https://www.npr.org/2006/01/12/5149667/get-on-the-bus-the-freedom-riders-of-1961</u> (describing a white mob's attack a bus of freedom riders in 1961, while the city government remained unresponsive).

⁵ Steven H. Hobbs, Alabama's Mirror: The People's Crusade for Civil Rights, 6 Ala. C.R. & C.L. L. Rev. 1, 2 (describing the 1963 attack on African-American children who were marching peacefully for civil rights by Birmingham Commissioner of Public Safety Eugene "Bull" Connor).

⁶ J. Gerald Hebert & Renata E. B. Strause, *The Future of the Voting Rights Act*, 64 RUTGERS L. REV. 953, 953–54 (2012) (describing how police attacked civil rights activists calling for equal voting rights in Selma, Alabama in 1965).

⁷ Lindsey Norward, *The day Philadelphia bombed its own people*, Vox, Aug. 15, 2019, <u>https://www.vox.com/the-highlight/2019/8/8/20747198/philadelphia-bombing-1985-move</u>.

⁸ Cydney Adams, March 3, 1991: Rodney King beating caught on video, CBS NEWS, Mar. 3, 2016,

⁹ Dep't of Justice, "Department of Justice Report Regarding the Criminal Investigation Into the Shooting Death of Michael Brown by Ferguson, Missouri Police Officer Darren Wilson," Mar. 4, 2015.

releases/attachments/2015/03/04/doj report on shooting of michael brown 1.pdf.

¹⁰ Joseph Goldstein & Marc Santora, *Staten Island Man Dies From Chokehold During Arrest, Autopsy Finds*, N.Y. TIMES, Aug. 1, 2014, https://www.nytimes.com/2014/08/02/nyregion/staten-island-man-died-from-officers-chokehold-autopsy-finds.html.

¹¹ Leah Donnella, Reflecting On The Death Of Freddie Gray, One Year Later, NPR, Apr. 20, 2016, <u>https://www.npr.org/sections/codeswitch/2016/04/20/474668796/reflecting-on-the-death-of-freddie-gray-one-year-later</u>.

¹² Chris McGreal, *Dispatch from Minneapolis: the night the city cracked down on George Floyd Protests*, THE GUARDIAN (May 31, 2020), <u>https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2020/may/31/minneapolis-george-floyd-protests-saturday-crackdown</u>

differentiates the actions here from the others is that the President and Attorney General of the United States ordered the violence.

8. Defendants' actions to shut down the Lafayette Square demonstration is the manifestation of the very despotism against which the First Amendment was intended to protect. This action seeks to uphold, against uncivil, unwarranted, unjust, and blatantly unlawful attack, cherished rights enshrined in the First and Fourth Amendment to the Constitution and foundational to our Democracy: the rights to peaceful assembly, petition for redress of grievances, freedom of speech, freedom of the press, and freedom from unwarranted seizures by the government.

PARTIES

9. Plaintiff Black Lives Matter DC ("BLMDC") is a District of Columbia limited liability corporation. As the local chapter of the nationwide "Black Lives Matter" movement, BLMDC organizes against systemic racism in particular the racially disproportionate use of state-sanctioned violence against the Black community through protests, public accountability campaigns, coalition-building, and other programming. Members of BLMDC were demonstrating in Lafayette Square on June 1, 2020. Other members of BLMDC were engaged in cop-watch activities and in providing aid to demonstrators.

10. Plaintiff Toni Sanders is a resident of Washington, D.C. who was demonstrating peaceably in Lafayette Square on June 1, 2020 with her 9-year-old stepson, Plaintiff J.N.C., who proceeds here through his mother and next friend Demetria Bright.

11. Plaintiff Kishon McDonald is a resident of Washington, D.C. who was demonstrating peaceably in Lafayette Square on June 1, 2020.

12. Plaintiff Garrett Bond is a resident of Maryland who was demonstrating peaceably in Lafayette Square on June 1, 2020.

13. Plaintiff Keara Scallan is a resident Washington, D.C., who was demonstrating peaceably in Lafayette Square on June 1, 2020.

14. Defendant Donald J. Trump is the President of the United States. He is sued in his official capacity. He was personally responsible for the actions complained of in this lawsuit.

15. Defendant William Barr is the Attorney General of the United States. He is sued in his individual and official capacity. He personally issued the order that resulted in the unlawful actions complained of in this lawsuit.

16. Defendant Mark Esper is the U.S. Secretary of Defense. He is sued in his official capacity. In that capacity, he is responsible for the actions of the U.S. armed forces, including U.S. Military Police officers.

Defendant Gregory T. Monahan is the Acting Chief of the United States Park
 Police. He is sued in his official capacity. In that capacity, he is responsible for the actions of the
 U.S. Park Police officers.

18. Defendant James M. Murray is the Director of the U.S. Secret Service. He is sued in his official capacity. In that capacity, he is responsible for the actions of Secret Service agents.

19. Defendants Major General William J. Walker, is the Commanding General of the District of Columbia National Guard. He is sued in his official capacity. In that capacity, he is responsible for the actions of the D.C. National Guard troops.

20. Defendant General James C. McConville is the Chief of Staff of the United States Army. He is sued in his official capacity. In that capacity, he is responsible for the actions of the U.S. Army troops, including U.S. Military Police officers.

Defendants John Does 1-100 are officers of the U.S. Park Police, agents of the
 U.S. Secret Service, members of the U.S. Armed Forces, officers of other federal law

7

enforcement agencies, and other federal government officials who authorized, planned, or participated in the attack on peaceful protesters in and near Lafayette Square on June 1, 2020. They are sued in their individual capacities.

22. Defendants John Poe 1 20 are officers of the Arlington County Police Department and other non-federal law enforcement officials who participated in the attack on peaceful protesters in and near Lafayette Square on June 1, 2020. They are sued in their individual capacities.

JURISDITION AND VENUE

23. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case under 28 U.S.C. §1331 because this action presents federal questions and seeks to redress the deprivation of rights under the First and Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, and under 28 U.S.C. §1343 because this action seeks to redress the deprivation of rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§1985 - 1986.

24. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §1391(e)(1) because all of the events giving rise to the claims took place in this District of Columbia.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

25. Beginning on May 29, 2020, demonstrators began to gather daily in Lafayette Square to protest police brutality against Black people in the United States of America, and specifically the recent murders of George Floyd, a Black man killed by police officers, and Breonna Taylor, a Black woman killed by police officers who broke inter her home and shot her without provocation or reason. Lafayette Square is located directly across Pennsylvania Avenue from the White House and is a public venue frequently and historically used by activists to protest and exercise First Amendment rights. As a public park, and as *the* public park closest to the White House, Lafayette Square is a traditional public forum where First Amendment rights are at their apex. 26. From May 29, 2020 through May 31, 2020, large crowds of thousands of people gathered in front of the White House in Lafayette Square. Multiple federal police forces gathered to respond to the protests including, at least, the Secret Service and D.C.'s Metropolitan Police Force. Over the course of these three days, law enforcement tactics escalated: they arrested protesters, used riot shields, and released tear gas, increased the presence of federal police presence, and used flash bombs, and rubber bullets.

President Trump Has Made Clear His Intent to Infringe on Demonstrators' Constitutional and Civil Rights.

27. In the days and hours leading up to the events of June 1, 2020, President Trump repeatedly advocated the use of force against Black demonstrators and civil rights activists who were protesting in D.C. and around the nation.

28. On May 29, President Trump posted on social media about the protests, stating that "when the looting starts, the shooting starts," which is a racist slogan used by a former Miami police chief Walter Headley in 1967 to advocate for police brutality and discriminatory practices targeting African Americans. Barbara Sprunt, *The History Behind 'When the Looting Starts, the Shooting Starts*', NPR (May 29, 2020).¹³ On the same day, President Trump issued a tweet describing all protesters as "THUGS."

29. On May 31, President Trump tweeted, "These people [civil rights protesters] are ANARCHISTS. Call in our National Guard NOW."

30. On May 31, after a series of tweets about the protests, President Trump retweeted a tweet stating that "This isn't going to stop until the good guys are willing to use overwhelming force against the bad guys.".

¹³Barbara Sprunt, *The History Behind 'When the Looting Starts, The Schooting Starts'*, NPR, May 29, 2020, https://www.npr.org/2020/05/29/864818368/the-history-behind-when-the-looting-starts-the-shooting-starts.

31. On June 1, prior to the violent attack on the demonstrators, President Trump had a conference call with governors. On this call, he urged the governors to take much harsher action, "dominate your city and your state." He then issued an ominous warning of what was to come in a few short hours: "In Washington we're going to do something people haven't seen before."

32. During the call, in the context of a discussion about arrests, when South Carolina Governor Henry McMaster stated that "I think we have to be careful, but we've got to be tough," President Trump corrected him, stating that "You don't have to be too careful."

33. On the call with governors, Secretary of Defense Esper said that governors needed to "dominate the battle space," where the so-called "battle space" is the streets of the United States of America where people had gathered to peaceably protest.

34. On the same day, President Trump told senior advisors that they had to show that they could control the streets of Washington and the area around the White House. A Justice Department spokesperson said that President Trump directed Attorney General Barr to personally lead the response to the unrest.

35. At the same time law enforcement officers were violently attacking demonstrators in Lafayette Square, President Trump gave remarks in the White House Rose Garden. He painted all the demonstrators as violent and vowed to take immediate action against them, stating "I have strongly recommended to every governor to deploy the National Guard in sufficient numbers that we dominate the streets." and "[if] a city or a state refuses to take the actions that are necessary to defend the life and property of their residents, then I will deploy the United States military and quickly solve the problem for them." Statement by the President, Whitehouse.gov (June 1, 2020 6:43 PM).¹⁴

¹⁴ Statement by the President, Whitehouse.gov, June 1, 2020 6:43 PM, <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefings-statements/statement-by-the-president-39/</u>

36. President Trump's statements about Black demonstrators and civil rights activists were markedly different from his comments about other demonstrators. President Trump has routinely been sympathetic to protesters whose views align with his own.

37. For example, just one month ago, President Trump expressed support when heavily armed and predominantly white demonstrators threatened lawmakers and stormed statehouses to object to coronavirus stay-at-home rules. In response to the 2017 white nationalist Unite the Right rally in Charlottesville, Virginia, President Trump said, "You had very fine people, on both sides."

38. President Trump is even happy to have demonstrators in Lafayette Square so long as their message aligns with his views. On May 30, while criticizing the protestors outside the White House, he specifically encouraged his supporters to engage in a counter-demonstration, tweeting: "The professionally managed so-called 'protesters' at the White House had little to do with the memory of George Floyd. The @SecretService handled them easily. Tonight, I understand, is MAGA NIGHT AT THE WHITE HOUSE???"

Violent Attacks on Demonstrators in Lafayette Square

39. On June 1, 2020, Plaintiffs and other civil rights activists assembled in Lafayette Square in Washington, D.C. to protest police brutality against Black people. Members and supporters of Plaintiff Black Lives Matter D.C. and Plaintiffs Sanders, J.N.C., McDonald, Bond, and Scallan assembled peacefully in Lafayette Square. People present in Lafayette Square, including Plaintiffs, chanted "I can't breathe" in remembrance of George Floyd's last words, knelt, raised their hands up, and engaged in other legal activities to protest police brutality against Black people.

40. Plaintiffs and other civil rights activists were exercising their First Amendment right to assemble, speak, and petition the government in Lafayette Square. Plaintiffs and other

civil rights activists were engaging in political speech to address, through the exercise of their constitutional rights, the infection of overt and systemic racism in the American criminal justice system. Black people are arrested at twice the rate of their population, detained pretrial at a rate three-and-a-half times higher than white people, and imprisoned at a rate of almost six times that of white people. Black people are three times more likely to be killed by the police than white people. This is part of the system that Plaintiffs seek to change.

41. Law enforcement officers from local and federal law enforcement agencies and the military surrounded Plaintiffs and other civil rights activists assembled in Lafayette Square. This included, at least, U.S. Park Police, Arlington County Police, U.S. Secret Service, D.C. National Guard, and military police from the 82nd Airborne Division of the U.S. Army.

42. At 6:03 pm, approximately 30 minutes before attacking the assembled demonstrators, law enforcement officers donned gas masks in preparation for their deployment of tear gas, smoke canisters, and/or pepper spray and pepper balls against Plaintiffs and other civil rights activists.

43. At approximately 6:08 pm, Defendant Barr entered Lafayette Square.

44. At 6:10 pm, Defendant Barr was behind the law enforcement officials in Lafayette Square pointing north towards St. John's Church. The Department of Justice subsequently acknowledged that Defendant Barr personally ordered that Lafayette Square be cleared.

45. At approximately the same time, White House Deputy Chief of Operations Tony Ornato contacted the Secret Service to notify them that President Trump planned to make an appearance outside St. John's Church. The Secret Service requested other law enforcement agencies to assist clearing the area.

12

46. Additional law enforcement officers appeared at the demonstration and began to stand in double lines, wearing shields and other riot gear.

47. At approximately 6:30 pm, law enforcement officers rushed and attacked the assembled protesters without warning or provocation, climbing and jumping over barriers behind which the demonstrators were standing.

48. Plaintiffs did not hear law enforcement officers asking the demonstrators to disperse or leave the Lafayette Square.

49. Plaintiffs did not hear law enforcement officers issue any warnings before using force to remove demonstrators from Lafayette Square.

50. Law enforcement officers used force to disrupt the protest and drive Plaintiff and other activists out of Lafayette Square. Officers fired flash-bang shells, tear gas, smoke canisters, pepper balls, and/or rubber bullets into the crowd.¹⁵

51. Police canisters gathered after the demonstration confirm that officers used tear gas. Nathan Baca, a reporter with WUSA9, tweeted on June 4, 2020: "Breaking: police canisters gathered by @wusa9 crews Monday night show federal police DID use artificial CS tear gas in addition to natural OC gas on #BlackLivesMatter." These photographs accompanied the tweet:

¹⁵See Ashley Parker, Josh Dawsey & Rebecca Tan, *Inside the Push to Teargas Protesters Ahead of a Trump Photo* Op, Wash. Post, June 1, 2020, <u>https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/inside-the-push-to-tear-gas-protesters-ahead-of-a-trump-photo-op/2020/06/01/4b0f7b50-a46c-11ea-bb20-ebf0921f3bbd_story.html;</u>. Reuters, Graphic Warning: Peaceful Protesters Fired at with Tear Gas, Rubber Bullets by U.S. Military Police, YouTube, June 1, 2020, <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UrMoqSPZym0;</u> Dan Zak et al., '*This Can't Be Happening': An Oral* History of 48 Surreal, Violent, Biblical Minutes in Washington, Wash. Post, June 2, 2020, <u>https://www.washingtonpost.com/lifestyle/style/this-cant-be-happening-an-oral-history-of-48-surreal-violent-</u> biblical-minutes-in-washington/2020/06/02/6683d36e-a4e3-11ea-b619-3f9133bbb482_story.html.



[Image description: three silver canisters with labels identifying them a "SPEDE-HEAR CS Long Range 150 YD"; serial numbers, and "SKAT SHELL."]
52. The officers hit, punched, shoved, and otherwise assaulted the demonstrators with their fists, feet, batons, and shields. The police action "injected danger into what had been a calm protest as those in the street fled mounted police to avoid being trampled, struck by projectiles or

gassed."16

53. Law enforcement officers attacked the civil rights activists with no warning, forcefully ejecting them from Lafayette Square, and pursued them for several blocks thereafter.

54. Law enforcement officers also made unprovoked assaults on journalists in

Lafayette Square who were reporting on the protests. The reporting of these journalists spread the voice of the demonstrators to the world.

55. Defendants began their attack well before the 7:00 pm curfew.

56. By the morning of June 3, 2020, federal law enforcement officers blocked access to Lafayette Square entirely, setting up a perimeter on I Street NW between 15th Street NW and 17th Street NW. Lafayette Square is situated just south of H Street NW between 15th Street NW and 17th Street NW and can be accessed from any of those streets. The perimeter prevents demonstrators from using any of the entrances and from demonstrating in Lafayette Square.

¹⁶ Jonathan Allen, Dartunorro Clark & Rebecca Shabad, *Police, National Guard Clash with Protesters to Clear Streets Before Trump Photo Op*, NBC News, June 1, 2020, <u>https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/politics-news/after-night-significant-damage-d-c-mayor-bowser-imposes-earlier-n1221126</u>.

Because of this perimeter, there is no place for demonstrators to gather within sight of the White House.

Defendants' Illegal Actions Caused and Are Causing Injuries to Plaintiffs Plaintiff Black Lives Matter D.C.

57. Plaintiff Black Lives Matter D.C.'s mission is to end systemic racism, in particular the racially disproportionate use of state-sanctioned violence against the Black community. BLMDC achieves this mission, through protests, public accountability campaigns, coalition-building, and other programming.

58. BLMDC pursued its mission in the days since the death of George Floyd by directing members and individuals affiliated with the organization to attend protests throughout D.C. The organization sponsored an event on May 30, 2020 in which a caravan of cars drove through D.C. and protestors held signs and made statements raising awareness of police violence and racial justice issues. BLMDC has also provided first aid supplies, snacks, and water to demonstrations arranged by other organizations, while also assisting those organizations by coordinating with them to ensure that, at the events, there are legal observers and individuals prepared to record police officers who commit unlawful actions.

59. On June 1, 2020, BLMDC provided snacks, masks, waters, and fliers that were disseminated at the Lafayette Square demonstrations. BLMDC also dispatched members to record any officer misconduct and ensured that the demonstration had legal observers present.

60. Multiple members of BLMDC were standing in or near Lafayette Square at the time law enforcement used force to disrupt the protest on June 1, 2020 and experienced Defendants' use of force and chemical irritants.

15

61. Defendants' actions have frustrated the mission of BLMDC to fight racial injustice by chilling BLM members and supporters from exercising their rights to demonstrate and by creating fear when they do.

62. Members of BLMDC felt so traumatized by law enforcement's violence at Lafayette Square that they had to take time off from organizing work and skipped calls with coalition members as well as calls with people who participated in social actions.

63. BLMDC leaders and members fear that law enforcement will meet future protests with extreme violence.

64. Since the Lafayette Square attack, BLMDC has chosen to refrain fromparticipating in multiple demonstrations organized by the Movement 4 Black Lives between June2 and June 3, 2020. This was done to protect BLMDC members from feared harm at the hands oflaw enforcement.

65. April Goggans, a leader of BLMDC, has noticed a significant reduction in the number of people attending in-person protests since June 1, 2020. People who ordinarily attend in-person protests have informed Ms. Goggans that they are afraid to do so because of the violence that occurred at Lafayette Square.

66. In response to Defendants' actions, BLMDC has been forced to:

 a. divert resources to assessing and planning for potential violence by police, including increased needs for medical support and supplies to counteract the effects of chemical agents. For example, the organization has purchased goggles to protect demonstrators from chemical irritants and paid for mental health services for a member who was present when

16

Defendants forcibly expelled protestors from Lafayette Square, and suffered trauma as a result;

- enhance efforts to educate members and supporters regarding the potential dangers of police violence, how to protect themselves and what to do if there is another assault like the one in Lafayette Square;
- engage in a communications campaign about the events in Lafayette
 Square to reduce the deterrent effects of the Defendants' actions on the
 participation of their members or supporters;
- arrange for transportation from the demonstration for persons injured by the Defendants' conduct; and
- e. facilitate medical care for persons injured by the Defendants' actions.

67. The time and effort BLMDC has expended due to Defendants' conduct has reduced its capacity to plan events and programming consistent with its mission. For example, the time BLMDC has spent on assessing safety considerations has prevented it from organizing trainings, including a know-your-rights training. This is curtailing the organization's capacity to fulfill its mission by effecting community change through peaceful demonstrations.

Plaintiffs Toni Sanders and J.N.C.

68. Toni Sanders is a Black resident of Southeast Washington, D.C.

69. Ms. Sanders has joined demonstrations at the White House every night since Friday May 29, 2020. She intends to continue protesting at the White House every day that the demonstrations continue.

70. Ms. Sanders choose to demonstrate at the White House because she wants to stop the murder of Black people at the hands of law enforcement. She believes that the White House is the best place to demonstrate because it can help convince President Trump to take action to combat racism in policing. She believes that protesting at the White House is a powerful symbol and is much more impactful than protesting in another part of the District.

71. On the afternoon of June 1, Ms. Sanders traveled to Lafayette Square to demonstrate with her wife, Demetria Bright, and Ms. Bright's nine-year-old son, J.N.C. Ms. Sanders had explained to her stepson about what had happened to George Floyd and wanted him to learn about peaceful protesting.

72. When Ms. Sanders and her family arrived at Lafayette Square they stood between St. John's Church and the fence that surrounded the park. They arrived around 4:30 that afternoon.

73. Ms. Sanders viewed the mood of the demonstrators as peaceful. People were passing out water and it made her hopeful that there was a diverse crowd nonviolently fighting for racial justice. The only aggressive behavior she witnessed from the demonstrators was an occasional obscenity directed towards the President.

74. After being at the protest for around two hours, a reporter from a local television affiliate approached Ms. Sanders for an on-camera interview. She was in the middle of giving that interview when she suddenly heard very loud pops and bangs.

75. Ms. Sanders looked toward the fence and saw smoke. Federal law enforcement had released irritants into the air that were causing her to tear up. There had been no warning or announcement from law enforcement before the chaos started.

76. Ms. Sanders and Ms. Bright grabbed J.N.C. and ran. Ms. Sanders was very concerned that J.N.C. would be injured by the police or in the crowd that was trying to get away. They ran until they reached their car, which was parked near Thomas Circle. They headed home from the protest.

18

77. Ms. Sanders continues to protest because she believes that change is necessary, but J.N.C. is traumatized by having to escape the tear gas from the federal law enforcement officers. J.N.C. speaks about the incident frequently and now worries when Ms. Sanders leaves to go protest.

Plaintiff Kishon McDonald

78. Kishon McDonald is a resident of Washington D.C. and former member of the U.S. Navy. As an African American man, he is keenly interested in issues of racial justice.

79. Mr. McDonald participated in peaceful demonstrations protesting the murder of George Floyd on two occasions in the District of Columbia. The first demonstration that he attended was on the night of May 30, 2020 outside of the United States Capitol. The second demonstration he attended was the June 1, 2020 demonstration outside the White House in Lafayette Square.

80. Mr. McDonald arrived at Lafayette Square at approximately 6:00 pm on Monday, June 1. There was a large gathering of other demonstrators, peacefully protesting near a security barricade lined with police officers on the opposite side. Mr. McDonald did not witness any acts that were aggressive or dangerous that could be perceived as a threat by law enforcement.

81. At approximately 6:25 pm, law enforcement officers, suddenly and without warning, began to charge the crowd of demonstrators. Mr. McDonald was repeatedly struck by the shields of multiple officers which left bruises on his body. Officers continued to physically strike Mr. McDonald even after he began to leave the site of the demonstration.

82. Simultaneously, at approximately 6:25 pm, tear gas canisters and concussion grenades were fired into the crowd. Tear gas obscured Mr. McDonald's vision, stung his eyes, and caused him to severely cough. Mr. McDonald witnessed the concussion grenades exploding with enough force to put holes into the ground.

83. The efforts of law enforcement forced Mr. McDonald to retreat to the intersection of 16th Street NW and I Street NW, one block away from Lafayette Square. As Mr. McDonald approached the intersection, he saw that police officers were arresting demonstrators. Soon after he left the scene of the protest, he was detained by a police officer, but the officer let him go.

84. The day after the attack in Lafayette Square, Mr. McDonald still suffered symptoms related to inhaling tear gas, which included thick discharge from his nose. He also had bruising in several locations on his body.

85. Mr. McDonald has protested against police violence towards African Americans in the past, and had planned to continue demonstrating in D.C. for George Floyd. However, the events of June 1, 2020 have discouraged him. He fears that he will suffer serious harm at the hands of law enforcement.

Plaintiff Garret Bond

86. Garrett Bond is a white man who lives in Mount Rainier, Maryland.

87. Mr. Bond participated in peaceful demonstrations protesting the murder of George Floyd on two occasions in Washington D.C. The first demonstration he attended was as part of the car caravan throughout the District on May 30, 2020. The second demonstration he attended was the June 1, 2020 demonstration outside the White House in Lafayette Square.

88. Mr. Bond is an Eagle Scout and trained in basic first aid methods. He brought a backpack containing first aid supplies with him to the June 1 demonstration. The supplies included gauze pads, band aids, water, sanitizer, and extra masks and gloves which he brought to protect himself and others from COVID-19 infection.

89. Mr. Bond arrived at Lafayette Square at approximately 6:20 pm on Monday, June1, 2020. As he arrived, he positioned himself near the security barrier, which was lined with

demonstrators. He did not witness any acts that were aggressive or dangerous or that could be perceived as a threat by law enforcement.

90. Mr. Bond heard an announcement made through a megaphone by law enforcement, reminding the demonstrators that the curfew would go into effect at 7:00 pm. Almost simultaneously, Mr. Bond heard explosions from somewhere outside his field of vision. Demonstrators began to flee in all directions. He fled north towards St. John's Church.

91. As Mr. Bond approached the church, he noticed an obviously injured demonstrator leaning against the wall. The victim was dazed and bleeding profusely. As Mr. Bond neared him, he noticed that victim had an object lodged in his face. At first, Mr. Bond thought it was his tooth, but upon closer inspection Mr. Bond saw it was a rubber bullet that had pierced his lower lip. Mr. Bond asked him to sit down and used gauze from my first aid kit to stem his bleeding. Almost immediately after Mr. Bond applied the gauze someone yelled, "They're coming!" Mr. Bond then turned around to see several fully-armored police officers charging at him with batons and shields.

92. Several nearby demonstrators helped him lift the injured man and carry him a block away where they found another medic to give the victim medical assistance.

93. Mr. Bond left the demonstration area with his hands raised, avoiding further encounters with law enforcement.

94. The events of June 1, 2020, were intimidating, but Mr. Bond intends to continue participating in demonstrations in the future.

Plaintiff Keara Scallan

95. Keara Scallan is a white resident of Northwest Washington, D.C.

96. On June 2, 2020, Ms. Scallan decided to join the demonstrations near the White House with a friend. At approximately 6:20 pm, Ms. Scallan and her friend walked down 16th Street NW and arrived at fence surrounding Lafayette Square.

97. Ms. Scallan witnessed law enforcement in riot gear when she arrived, all of whom were behind the fence.

98. The crowd at Lafayette Square was non-violent and chanting. Ms. Scallan did not witness any demonstrators provoking law enforcement.

99. Suddenly and without warning, Ms. Scallan felt the crowd begin to rush towards 17th Street NW. She was pushed against the fence as other demonstrators were running away, and she was briefly separated from her friend.

100. Ms. Scallan was hit with rubber bullets and felt sudden pain in her face, arm, and leg.

101. Ms. Scallan saw and heard three flash bang grenades and then noticed two tear gas canisters thrown at her and at other demonstrators.

102. The irritants in the air made it very difficult to breathe. She had water and baking soda spray with her, but it did nothing to help her burning eyes. Ms. Scallan could hear other demonstrators retching.

103. After fleeing the irritants in the air, Ms. Scallan reunited with her friend and they aided demonstrators as they got away from the White House. More law enforcement, including U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration ("DEA") vehicles, were blocking demonstrators from returning to Lafayette Square.

22

104. Ms. Scallan received bruises on her arm and cuts on her lips and face, making it painful to use her arm and open her jaw for days. She has had difficulty eating and brushing her teeth because of her swollen lips and jaw.

The White House and the Attorney General Ordered the Lafayette Square Attack.

105. President Trump, Attorney General Barr, and/or other senior White House officials ordered law enforcement to take the actions described above to drive demonstrators out of Lafayette Square.¹⁷

106. Immediately after Attorney General Barr ordered law enforcement officers to forcibly remove Plaintiffs and other civil rights activists, the President and his senior advisors, including Attorney General Barr, Secretary of Defense Esper, and White House Chief of Staff Mark Meadows, and Ivanka Trump, walked from the White House to St. John's Church, located across Lafayette Square from the White House. The President paused for a few minutes on the sidewalk outside the church for a photo opportunity, made brief remarks, and then walked back to the White House. The President did not enter St. John's Church.

107. The President and his entourage lingered at the church and encouraged photographs from the press until at least 7:09 pm, nine minutes after the District's curfew went into effect.

108. On Tuesday, June 2, President Trump praised the results of the prior evening's law enforcement attack, tweeting that "D.C. had no problems last night. Many arrests. Great job done by all. Overwhelming force. Domination."

¹⁷ Carol D. Leoning et al., *Barr Personally Ordered Removal of Protesters Near White House, Leading to Use of Force Against Largely Peaceful Crowd*, Wash. Post, June 2, 2020, <u>https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/barr-personally-ordered-removal-of-protesters-near-white-house-leading-to-use-of-force-against-largely-peaceful-crowd/2020/06/02/0ca2417c-a4d5-11ea-b473-04905b1af82b_story.html.</u>

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

CLAIM 1:

Violation of First Amendment Rights to Speech, Assembly, and Petition/*Bivens* (Plaintiffs Sanders, J.N.C., McDonald, Bond, and Scallan against Defendants Barr, John Does 1-100, and John Poes 1-20)

109. The actions of Defendants John Does 1-100 and John Poes 1-20 namely, the suppression of a peaceful demonstration and the viewpoint it represented and the actions of Defendants Barr in ordering such suppression, deprived Plaintiffs of their rights under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution to freedom of speech, freedom of assembly, and freedom to petition the government for a redress of grievances.

110. Defendants deliberately violated well-established limitations on the exercise of speech in and assembly in public places.

111. Defendants' actions were based on the viewpoint being expressed by the demonstrators.

112. Defendants' violent actions were not a reasonable regulation of the time, place, or manner of Plaintiff's First Amendment protected activity. These actions were not justified by a compelling or even substantial government interest justifying the infringement of Plaintiffs' First Amendment rights. Even assuming, arguendo, that there was a compelling government interest in clearing Lafayette Square of demonstrators, Defendants' actions were not narrowly tailored to serve that government interest in a lawful manner.

113. Defendants are jointly and severally liable to Plaintiffs pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388, (1971), for this violation of their rights.

114. Defendants acted with reckless or callous indifference to the federally protected rights of Plaintiffs and therefore are liable for punitive damages.

CLAIM 2:

Violation of Fourth Amendment Right to Freedom from Unreasonable Seizure/*Bivens* (Plaintiffs Sanders, J.N.C., McDonald, Bond, and Scallan against Defendants Barr, John Does 1-100, and John Poes 1-20)

115. The actions of Defendants John Does 1-100 and John Poes 1-20 namely the use of physical force, including but not limited to chemical agents, frightening loud munitions, batons and shields, and a physical charge at Plaintiffs themselves in order to forcibly remove or force them to move from the area in and around Lafayette Square, without a warrant or probable cause to arrest them and the actions of Defendant Barr, in ordering such uses of force, violated Plaintiffs' rights under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution to be free from unreasonable seizures.

116. Defendants are jointly and severally liable to Plaintiffs pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388, (1971), for this violation of their rights.

117. Defendants acted with reckless or callous indifference to the federally protected rights of Plaintiffs and therefore are liable for punitive damages.

CLAIM 3:

First Amendment/Threatened Violation of Freedoms of Speech, Assembly, and Petition (Plaintiffs Black Lives Matter D.C., Sanders, and Bond, against Defendants Trump, Barr, Esper, Monahan, Murray, Walker, and McConville)

118. Defendants' practice of deploying physical force against demonstrators to remove them from places in which they have gathered with others to express their political opinions, as manifest by their actions against Plaintiffs in and around Lafayette Square on June 1, 2020, by their repeated threats to deploy violence against protestors demonstrating against racial injustice generally and in D.C. specifically; and by President Trump's statements at ¶¶ 27-38, threatens Plaintiffs with violations of their First Amendment rights of freedom of speech and assembly when they carry out their stated intention to return to Lafayette Square when it is again open to the public to express their political views.

119. By depriving Plaintiffs of the opportunity to express their views on such future occasions, Defendants will impose irreparable harm upon those Plaintiffs.

120. Plaintiff Black Lives Matter D.C. also faces imminently the harm of diverting resources to protect its members' and supporters' ability to engage in free speech and assembly, in responses to Defendants' practices. Its effectiveness as a political entity will also be irreparably harmed by its inability to generate participation in protest events, because potential participants will have been deterred from participating by Defendants' threats of unjustified violence.

CLAIM 4:

Fourth Amendment/Threatened Unreasonable Seizure (Plaintiffs Black Lives Matter D.C., Sanders, and Bond against Defendants Trump, Barr, Esper, Monahan, Murray, Walker, and McConville)

121. Defendants' practice of deploying physical force without provocation, warning, or legal grounds to do so, against demonstrators to force them to halt or to move, as manifest by their actions against Plaintiffs in and around Lafayette Square on June 1, 2020, by their repeated threats to deploy violence against protestors demonstrating against racial injustice generally and in D.C. specifically; and by President Trump's statements at ¶¶ 27-38, threatens Plaintiffs with unreasonable seizures in violation of their Fourth Amendment rights when they carry out their stated intent to return to Lafayette Square when it is again open to the public to express their political views.

122. By subjecting Plaintiffs to such unreasonable seizures, Defendants will impose irreparable harm upon Plaintiffs.

123. Plaintiff Black Lives Matter D.C. also faces imminently the harm of diverting resources to protect its members and supporters from unreasonable seizures in responses to Defendants' practices. Its effectiveness as a political entity will also be irreparably harmed by its inability to generate participation in protest events, because potential participants will have been deterred from participating by Defendants' threats of unjustified violence.

CLAIM 5:

Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3) (Conspiracy to Deprive Rights) (Plaintiffs Black Lives Matter DC, Sanders, J.N.C., McDonald, Bond, and Scallan against Defendants Barr, John Does 1-100, and John Poes 1-20)

124. Defendants conspired together to deprive Plaintiffs of their civil rights in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3).

125. The conspiracy included those involved with law enforcement actions in and around Lafayette Square on June 1, 2020 between 6:00 and 7:00 pm including President Trump, Defendant Barr, Defendants John Does 1-100, and Defendants John Poes 1-20.

126. The conspirators engaged in overt acts in furtherance of the conspiracy, including

but not limited to using violent force against civil rights activists in Lafayette Square.

127. This conspiracy targeted Black people and their supporters. Both groups are protected classes under 42 U.S.C. §1985(3).

128. President Trump, Defendant Barr, and Defendant Esper directed the conspiracy to take these actions because of their adverse effects upon an identifiable group namely, civil rights activists.

129. The conspiracy targeted protected rights of Plaintiffs, who are civil rights activists.

130. The conspiracy targeted Plaintiffs' protected First Amendment activities. because Defendants held animus towards Plaintiffs' viewpoints. The violent actions of the conspirators directly and unlawfully interfered with these activities.

131. The conspiracy violently interfered with Plaintiffs' right to use public accommodations, and therefore their right to be free from the badges and incidents of slavery. Lafayette Square and its environs are a place of public accommodation.

132. The conspiracy targeted and violently interfered with Plaintiffs' right to be free from racial violence, as protected by the Thirteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

CLAIM 6:

Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1986 (Failure to Prevent a Conspiracy to Deprive Rights) (Plaintiffs Black Lives Matter DC Sanders, J.N.C., McDonald, Bond, and Scallan against Defendants Barr, John Does 1-100, and John Poes 1-20)

133. Defendant Barr, Defendants John Does 1-100, and Defendants John Poes 1-20 violated 42 U.S.C. § 1986 by failing to meet their duty to prevent or aid in preventing conspiracies to deprive civil rights. Defendant Barr, Defendants John Does 1-100, and Defendants John Poes 1-20 knew that a Section 1985 violation was about to occur or was occurring, had the power to prevent or aid in preventing it, and neglected or refused to prevent or aid in preventing it.

134. Law enforcement's failure to stop unlawful violence by a Section 1985(3) conspiracy when they know it is about to occur is a quintessential Section 1986 violation.

135. As discussed above in ¶ 125-133, the Section 1985 conspiracy consisted of using violence against peaceful civil rights activists. Defendant Barr, Defendants John Does 1-100, and Defendants John Poes 1-20 knew that such violence was planned and could have taken actions to

stop or limit that violence. Defendant Barr, Defendants John Does 1-100, and Defendants John Poes 1-20 willfully or negligently took no such action.

136. Defendant Barr, Defendants John Does 1-100, and Defendants John Poes 1-20 could and should have refused to comply with unlawful orders, refused to use force when clearing Lafayette Square, or attempted to appeal to superiors to take a different course of action.

137. As a result of Defendants' failure to prevent or aid in preventing the Section 1985 conspiracy, Plaintiffs were injured and their rights were violated.

138. Defendants acted with reckless or callous indifference to the federally protected rights of Plaintiffs and therefore are liable for punitive damages.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court:

139. Issue a judgment declaring that the acts of Defendants described herein violate the First Amendment, the Fourth Amendment, 42 U.S.C. § 1985, and 42 U.S.C. §1986.

140. Issue an injunction ordering Defendants to cease engaging in the unlawful acts described herein.

141. Award compensatory and punitive damages to Plaintiffs according to proof at trial, including damages for pain and suffering.

142. Award costs of suit and attorney's fees; and

143. Provide such other and further relief as the Court may deem just, proper, and appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

144. Plaintiffs request a trial by jury on any and all issues raised by this Complaint which are triable by right of a jury.

Dated June 4, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kaitlin Banner

Kaitlin Banner (D.C. Bar No. 1000436) Dennis Corkery (D.C. Bar No. 1016991) Hannah Lieberman (D.C. Bar No. 336776) Jonathan Smith (D.C. Bar No. 396578) WASHINGTON LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS AND URBAN AFFAIRS 700 14th Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005 Phone: (202) 319-1000 Fax: (202) 319-1010 kaitlin banner@washlaw.org dennis corkery@washlaw.org hannah lieberman@washlaw.org jonathan smith@washlaw.org

Scott Michelman (D.C. Bar No. 1006945) Arthur B. Spitzer (D.C. Bar No. 235960) Michael Perloff (D.C. Bar No. 1601047) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 915 15th Street NW, Second Floor Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 457-0800 smichelman@acludc.org aspitzer@acludc.org mperloff@acludc.org

Jon Greenbaum (D.C. Bar No. 489887) Arthur Ago (D.C. Bar No. 463681)* David Brody (D.C. Bar No. 1021476) Arusha Gordon (D.C. Bar No. 1035129) Noah Baron (D.C. Bar No. 1048319)* LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER LAW 1500 K Street N.W., Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 662-8600 jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org aago@lawyerscommittee.org dspence@lawyerscommittee.org

agordon@lawyerscommittee.org nbaron@lawyerscommittee.org

*Application to this Court pending

John A. Freedman (D.C. Bar No. 453075) David E. Kouba (D.C. Bar No. 483145) Thomas D. McSorley (D.C. Bar. No. 1001890) ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP 601 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 942-5000 John.Freedman@arnoldporter.com David.Kouba@arnoldporter.com Tom.McSorley@arnoldporter.com

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Office of the Attorney General



ATTORNEY GENERAL KARL A. RACINE

June 4, 2020

William P. Barr Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530 *via e-mail*

Mark Esper Secretary of Defense 1400 Defense Pentagon Washington, DC 20301 *via e-mail*

Mark Meadows Chief of Staff The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20500 *via e-mail*

Dear Attorney General Barr, Secretary Esper, and Chief of Staff Meadows,

The Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia is reviewing the legality of aspects of the federal government's response to the George Floyd protests in the District. Specifically, the federal government has called in several out-of-state National Guards and federal law-enforcement entities (including, the Bureau of Prisons, according to public reports) to police District streets. The District government has received very little information about the legal basis for these entities' presence.

We are seeking information regarding the legal authority for these entities' presence in the District and their actions. Specifically, we request responses to the following questions by 5 p.m. on June 6, 2020:

- 1) Did any federal government official contact any state regarding deployment of its National Guard to the District of Columbia? If so, which states were contacted, by whom, and when?
- 2) What authority is the federal government relying on for deploying out-of-state National Guard units to the District of Columbia?
- 441 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 1100S, Washington, DC 20001, (202) 727-3400, Fax (202) 741-0580

Attorney General Barr Secretary Esper Chief of Staff Meadows June 4, 2020 Page 2

- 3) What is the stated mission of any out-of-state National Guard personnel deployed to the District of Columbia and who determined that mission?
- 4) What is the scope of the law-enforcement authority of any out-of-state National Guard troops deployed to the District of Columbia? Specifically, do they have authority to make arrests and, if so, for what offenses and in what geographical boundaries? Please provide the legal basis for any asserted law-enforcement authority.
- 5) To whom do any out-of-state National Guard personnel deployed to the District of Columbia report?
- 6) Are any out-of-state National Guard personnel deployed to the District of Columbia armed? If so, what is the legal basis for this?
- 7) What federal law-enforcement personnel (such as, but not limited to Bureau of Prisons personnel) have been sent to the District from other locations or, if already in the District, reprogrammed to respond to protests and demonstrations in the District of Columbia since May 25, 2020? For each responsive entity, please provide the following information:
 - a. What is the legal authority for the entity's presence in the District?
 - b. What is the stated mission of the entity's presence in the District?
 - c. What is the scope of law-enforcement authority for the entity in the District? Specifically, do they have authority to make arrests and if so, for what offenses and in what geographical boundaries? Please provide the legal basis for any asserted law-enforcement authority.
 - d. To whom does the entity report concerning activities in the District?
 - e. Are officers from the entity armed? If so, what is the legal basis for this?
 - f. Do personnel from this entity acting in the District have law-enforcement training, including knowledge about the rights to protest and assemble?
 - g. How do personnel from each entity handle requests from District law-enforcement and District residents to identify themselves?

Please send your responses to Senior Counsel Vikram Swaruup at <u>vikram.swaruup@dc.gov</u> and contact him by email or phone at (202) 724-6533 if you have any questions. I would also welcome a meeting to discuss the need for accountability, transparency, and compliance with federal and District law in connection with the extraordinary actions taken regarding these protests.

Sincerely,

Karl A. Racine Attorney General for the District of Columbia
QUINTON D. LUCAS Mayor



July 7, 2020

United States Attorney Tim Garrison U.S. Attorney's Office 400 E. 9th St. Kansas City, MO 64106

Dear U.S. Attorney Garrison:

Thank you for contacting my office today regarding a proposal by the Department of Justice to send a "surge" of agents into Kansas City to assist with violent crime investigations. We welcome and support such action and the additional resources provided to help reduce our high crime rate and improve the quality of life for Kansas Citians.

Our office has a good working relationship with the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Missouri and we have confidence in their ability to successfully prosecute violent crimes. We will also continue to find solutions at the local and state level to help curb the increase in violent crime alongside all agents sent to assist our cause.

Now more than ever we must rally together behind our common enemy, violent crime, as it continues to directly and indirectly affect our children, law enforcement community, and Kansas Citians at large. We look forward to working together and joining our efforts to reduce crime in our city.

I thank you for your consideration and assistance in this very important matter. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me or my staff.

Sincerely,

Quinton D. Lucas

City Hall, 414 East 12th St., Kansas City, MO 64106 Office: (816) 513 3500 MayorQ@KCMO.org STATE CAPITOL 201 W. CAPITOL AVENUE, ROOM 216 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65101



(573) 751-3222 WWW.GOVERNOR.MO.GOV

Michael L. Parson

GOVERNOR STATE OF MISSOURI

July 7, 2020

United States Attorney Tim Garrison U.S. Attorney's Office 400 E. 9th St. Kansas City, MO 64106

Dear Mr. Garrison:

Thank you for contacting my office with regard to providing federal assistance to help fight violent crime in the Kansas City area. It is my understanding that the Department of Justice is willing to commit additional federal agents in that area to team up with local law enforcement and target some of our state's most dangerous offenders.

Such assistance is greatly welcomed. Right now is an imperative time for us to work together and use all of our resources and ideas to combat violent actors who would seek to harm our communities and law enforcement officers. These violent actors must be caught and brought to justice immediately. Sending a swift and powerful message to this extent will let those would be offenders know that violence in Missouri will not be tolerated. It is further my understanding that Kansas City Mayor Quinton Lucas and Kansas City police Chief Rick Smith share my support for this type of collaboration.

My administration will look forward to working together with local leadership and the federal government to tackle this important issue. As such, the Department of Justice has my full support to vigorously engage in these endeavors.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Parson



ARSON AND DESTRUCTION AT JUSTICE CENTER PORTLAND, OREGON MAY 29, 2020







Individual #6



Individual #2



Individual #7



Individual #3



Individual #8

DETAILS



Individual #4



Individual #9



Individual #5



Individual #10

The FBI's Portland Field Office is assisting partner agencies by asking for the public's help in identifying the individuals who participated in criminal activity or may have been a witness to criminal activity inside the Multnomah County Justice Center on May 29, 2020. The Justice Center is located at 1120 SW 3rd Ave, Portland, Oregon 97204.

At approximately 11:00 p.m. on that evening, several people broke windows near the northwest corner of the Justice Center where the Corrections Records Office is located. It is then believed that approximately 30 people entered the secured office space through the broken windows. Three Multnomah County employees were in the office at the time and fled to safety as the windows were being broken.

Some of those who entered the space damaged computer equipment and office furniture, damaged interior windows, and started fires inside the office. The Justice Center building is shared by Multnomah County and the Portland Police Bureau. Multnomah County houses several hundred adults-in-custody in this facility. Portland Police Bureau's Central Precinct is also located in this building.

If you have any information concerning these individuals or this incident, please contact the FBI's Toll-Free Tipline at 1-800-CALL-FBI (1-800-225-5324), your local FBI office, the nearest American Embassy or Consulate, or you can submit a tip online at tips.fbi.gov. Field Office: Portland

www.fbi.gov



2/20/2019 11:52:02 PM - 2/9/2020 3:05:02 PM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

14.1 iOS

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

(b) (6) , Kerri Kupec

(b)(6) Brian Rabbitt Phone

	Wednesday, February 20, 2019 Kerri Kupec	
(13)	https://www.vanityfair.com/news/2019/02/sarah-isgur- flores-former-trumper-talked-to-msnbc-before-signing- with-cnn	11:52 PM
	Келті Кирес	
•	Ed said Sarah denies story premise of pitching inside Mueller knowledge. Just FYI.	11:52 PM
	Thursday, February 21, 2019 Kerri Kupec	
	Concerned that pushing the off-the-record meet and greet (b) (5) So I'd recommend either keeping it as scheduled for tomorrow or (b) (5)	11:12 AM
(CE)	Me	
	Ok. You are right.	11:15 AM







Brian Rabbitt

Usage of term "spy"	12:30 PM
Kerri Kupec	
I've talked to the reporters and fed it to Fox to get on air.	12:31 PM
Kerri Kupec	
It's not a formal one pager, just some examples.	12:31 PM
Kerri Kupec	
Have a good weekend, I'll be available by phone and ema	ail. 12:33 PM
Brian Rabbitt	
Have a great weekend	12:35 PN
Brian Rabbitt	
Thanks for all your work	12:35 PM
	Me
Have a good ti	me. 12:36 PM
Kerri Kupec	
Thank you	12:48 PM
Kerri Kupec	
Also, Sadie appeared on MSNBC and then will go on FOX	(1:27 PM
later to talk about her story.	
Kerri Kupec	
Just FYI.	1:27 PM
	Me









	Me Thanks.	7:33 PM
	Monday, September 23, 2019 Kerri Kupec	
(1)	Of course you both are welcome to stop by the courtyard reception - everyone would be thrilled of course	5:43 PM
	Brian Rabbitt	
æ	We're going to come down	5:44 PM
	Brian Rabbitt	
	For 10 mins to say hi	5:44 PM
	Kerri Kupec	
KK	Excellent	5:44 PM
× .	Kerri Kupec	
RK	Can you let me know when?	5:44 PM
×.	Brian Rabbitt	
63	Now	5:44 PM
~	Brian Rabbitt	
6	Actually hold - Ed's back	5:44 PM
~	Kerri Kupec	
	Great - everyone will be thrilled	5:47 PM
~	Brian Rabbitt	
	On our way	5:48 PM
6	Kerri Kupec	
0	Excellent	5:49 PM
9		
	Monday, September 30, 2019 Kerri Kupec	64.00
KK	In print today	8:21 AM
	Kerri Kupec	
KK	https://www.wsj.com/articles/john-durhams-ukrainian- leads-11569786611	8:21 AM

	Wednesday, November 27, 2019 Kerri Kupec	
KK	WaPo: "'Social justice reform' is no justice at all," Jeffrey A. Rosen, November 27, 2019	9:49 AM
	Kerri Kupec	
R	https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/social-justice- reform-is-no-justice-at-all/2019/11/27/139dff76-109b-11ea- b0fc-62cc38411ebb_story.html	9:49 AM
	Kerri Kupec	
ß	And this: Justice's Rear Antitrust Window The competition cops catch up to the digital movie times.	9:51 AM
	Kerri Kupec	
RK	https://www.wsj.com/articles/justices-rear-antitrust- window-11574814773	9:51 AM
	Friday, January 10, 2020	
1	Brian Rabbitt	8:21 PM
e	1pm Sunday at the Buffalo's ranch?	
	Kerri Kupec	
KK	Yes	10:09 PM
	Me Yup	10:11 PM
	Thursday, January 16, 2020 Kerri Kupec Verizon LTE 8:58 AM 9 23% mobile.twitter.com Comparison Victoria Toensing OVicToensing Victorensing Clast night on @maddow show @LevParnas absolutely lied. Joe and I never ever discussed Ukraine corruption with AG Barr. Not ever. Any discussion with AG was about	8:58 AM
	 any discussion with AG was about our own client. Never about anything @RudyGiuliani was doing. 8:27 AM - Jan 16, 2020 - Twitter for iPhone 	
88	777 Retweets 1.3K Likes	





		Sunday, February 9, 2020
	Kerri Kupec	
	3102 - and LTE -	
	FBI Director Christopher Wray just admitted that the FISA Warrants and Survailence of my campaign were illegal. So was the Fake Dossier. THEREFORE, THE WHOLE SCAM INVESTIGATION, THE MUELLER REPORT AND EVERYTHING ELSE FOR THREE YEARS, WAS A FIXED HOAX. WHO PAYS THE PRICE?	
	2:52 PM · 2/9/20 · Twitter far iPhon	3:04 PM
	4,120 Rotwents 11.3K Likes	
	Consider J. Trump © RevealDonaid. 10m This is the biggest political crime in American History, by far. SIMPLY DUT, THE PARTY IN POWER ILLEGALLY SPIED ON WATER THE ELECTION, IN ORDER TO CAMPAIGN BUTH BEFORE AND AFTER THE ELECTION. IN ORDER TO CHANGE ON FULLY THE RESULTS OF THE ELECTION. IT CONTINUED ON WITH IN EUROPACHMENT MOAX. TENDER DISC. 12741 107568 C	
0	ğ a ğ B	
	Kerri Kupec	
	(b) (5)	3:04 PM
	Kerri Kupec	
	(b) (5)	3:05 PM

Chat with Kerri Kupec

Export Data		
Export Deta	Barr OAG 2/10/21	
Device ID	c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641	
Backup Date	Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM	
	ory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c	-1286160217257446-0494854215-16
		130010921723700000000034213010
iOS		
	Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)	
Created with	iExplorer v4.4.2.0	
Participants		
(1	(6) Kerri Kupec	
Ke	Wednesday, February 20, 2019 erri Kupec	
	What email address should I send the info to?	3:59 PM
		Me
	My DOJ add	dress. 3:59 PM
Ke	erri Kupec	
(Dkay. I wasn't sure if that was real!	4:00 PM
Ke	erri Kupec	
1	hey usually change the AG address	4:00 PM
Ke	erri Kupea	
	$ h \rangle \langle \Gamma \rangle$	
	b) (5)	6:38 PM
		Me
	Yu (b) (5)	. 6:41 PM

Kerri Kupec

Okay. The statement is fine. Just wanted you to be aware. 6:45 PM





	Wednesday, March 6, 2019	
Kerri Kupec	a de la companya de l	8:57 AM
Was the crew gor	he this morning?	
		Me es. Thanks 10:07 AM
Kerri Kupec		
	OPA and managing the elder fraud even up shortly. Taking care (b) (5)	nt- she's 3:05 PM
Kerri Kupec		
	features/7-on-your-side/7-on-your-side ect-yourself-from-friending-a-fraud	-shows- 3:49 PM
Kerri Kupec		
Stafford found th	e story about the scam involving your fa	ce 3:50 PM
Kerri Kupec	Thursday, March 7, 2019	
There are news ar binder you take h	ticles about today's press conference in ome	the 6:01 PM
Kerri Kupec		
(The binder There	esa gives you)	6:01 PM
Kerri Kupec	Friday, March 8, 2019	
	ssie and have a plan I'm executing.	5:20 PM
Kerri Kupec		
Brian relayed to n	ne the latest.	5:20 PM
		Me
	Tha	anks Kerri. 5:50 PM
	Thursday, March 14, 2019	
Kerri Kupec		6:15 PM
Hi - how tall are y	ou? (Logistics for a different podium)	
		Me 6:45 PM
		6'0 6:45 PM
Kerri Kupec		7.00 D14
Thank you!		7:00 PM



	Me Come up 2:27 PM
Kerri Kupec	
Coming!	2:29 PM
Kerri Kupec	
(b) (5)	7:15 PM
Kerri Kupec	
That's another option	7:16 PM
Tuesday, March 26, 2019 Kerri Kupec	
Getting Qs from reporters-(b) (5) ?	2:53 PM
Kerri Kupec	
(b) (5)	2:53 PM
	Me
	Come up 2:54 PM
Kerri Kupec	
Coming!	2:55 PM

Wednesday, March 27, 2019

Kerri Kupec

"In the aftermath of the mass murder in New Zealand earlier this month, we are reminded that a diverse and pluralistic community such as ours can have zero tolerance for violence on the basis of race, religion, or association with people of other races and religions," Attorney General William P. Barr said. "Prosecuting hate crimes is a priority for me as Attorney General. The defendant in this case has pled guilty to 29 hate crimes which he committed by driving his car into a crowd of protesters. These hate crimes are also acts of domestic terrorism. I want to thank the FBI for leading this investigation along with our partners with the Charlottesville Police Department and the Virginia State Police. I also want to thank Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights Eric Dreiband and our Civil Rights Division and U.S. Attorney Cullen and his office for their hard work in reaching today's guilty plea, which brings us one step closer to bringing the defendant to justice. We will continue to vigorously prosecute violent crimes of hate and we will not allow violence to supplant our pluralism." Kerri Kupec Are you okay with this? It's been cleared by every division and the 11:26 AM FBI Kerri Kupec This is the Charlottesville case happening today

11:26 AM

11:26 AM Me 11:27 AM Good. Kerri Kupec 11:27 AM Great thanks Kerri Kupec By the way, CNN has that story the NYT was supposed to run. We 11:28 AM will see if they run it. Friday, March 29, 2019 Kerri Kupec 4:43 PM It's all playing well thus far Me 4:43 PM Thanks. Great

Kerri Kupec	Wednesday, April 3, 2019	
NYT story publishing to	oniaht	7:08 PM
Kerri Kupec	<u>-</u>	
Soon. We will see.		7:09 PM
Kerri Kupec	Saturday, April 6, 2019	
The only caveat I'd add	is(b) (5)	5:31 PM
	Me happy you weigh in on things. It is one of the h wanted you to stay.	5:36 PM
Kerri Kupec		
Thank you for saying th	nat (b) (5)	5:42 PM
	Me	
	You are essentially correct in the merits.	5:43 PM
Kerri Kupec		
(b) (5) they pay you the big bu	And that's why	5:55 PM
they pay you the big bi		
Kerri Kupec	Sunday, April 7, 2019	
Nadler being Nadler or	anscripts of Sunday shows. Other than n one show, all was fine. Mulvaney and orting our current course of action. It was	4:47 PM

Face the Nation (CBS) – Rudy Giuliani (Mueller Rep ort, Cohen) http://mms.tveyes.com/transcript.asp?PlayClip=FALS E&DTSearch=TRUE&DateTime=04%2F07%2F2019+10%3A40%3A 15&market=m101&StationID=270

MARGARET BRENNAN: we're going to turn now to presi dent trump's personal attorney, rudy giuliani, who isñr hereñi with me. would you like to respond to the congressman who says he has the right and the committee has the right for all of this informati on? do you agree that the public has the right?

RUDY GIULIANI: i would like him to get all the inf ormation.

BRENNAN: including the things --

GIULIANI: everything.

BRENNAN: grand jury

GIULIANI: i can't control that. i can't change the law. and the attorney general has a difficult job . i didn't appreciate his suggestion that the atto rney general would be biased. i know bill barr for many, many years. i think people in this town kno w him. he's man of the highest integrity. also, ev erything he's doing is also being run by rod rosen stein. that report was put out by barr and rosenst ein. rosenstein started the investigation, supervi sed the investigation, allowed the special counsel to do things that i thought were off base. he cer tainly gave them full scope to do their entire inv estigation. there would becó nocó reason why rod r osenstein would sign his name to something that sa ys they found no evidence of collusion, no evidenc e of obstruction. they couldn't reach a conclusion on obstruction.

BRENNAN: right.

GIULIANI: so rosenstein and barr did, no obstructi on. i guarantee you, except for I quibble, i'm not worried about the report at all. there is no way those two good lawyers would have written that kin d of let ferres there is any issue.

BRENNAN: is the president waiving all executive pr ivilege? he thinks this report should be made publ ic?

GIULIANI: the president's cooperation was unpreced ented. bill clinton fought every single subpoena. it was a knockdown, drag-out battle.

BRENNAN: but he ultimately sat down.

GIULIANI: that's why he had to.

BRENNAN: that's why the president didn't have to.

GIULIANI: they couldn't have won in court. they co uldn't suggest a question they didn't have the ans wer to, because we supplied them with the answers to everything, and the president did answer questi ons in writing.

BRENNAN: i want to ask you about, that but to be c lear here, is the president waiving all executive privilege...

GIULIANI: as far as i know. he can't waive all exe cutive privilege. other people have executive priv ilege.

BRENNAN: so there will be. withheld from this report?

GIULIANI: i don't know, margaret. the attorney gen

eral has said he's going to put out the maximum am ount of information possible. the only thing that will stop him will be legal barriers. i hope there are few, because i don't like what jerry nadler j ust did, innuendo and there must be more. jerry na dler prejudged this case a year ago. he was talkin g about impeachment. he was overheard on amtrak ta lking about impeachment well before the report cam e out some when he talks about the attorney genera l being biased, my goodness, on this committee he' s got some of the most rabid people that hate trum p. we're not going before a court here, we're goin g before a political body.

BRENNAN: well do, you fear...

GIULIANI: that is highly partisan and has made up its mind.

BRENNAN: you and the president have welcomed the f our-page summary. do you think the 400-page report is going to be more damaging?

GIULIANI: no. i don't think so.

BRENNAN: so when you say --

GIULIANI: i'll give you another reason i don't thi nk so.

BRENNAN: you support this coming out? well the pre sident tell the attorney general to --

GIULIANI: the president has left it to the attorne y general. the president can't make the decision.

BRENNAN: you butt you said he's not decided on exe cutive privilege yet.

GIULIANI: the president has told the world, i am c

omfortable with everything being released. now, th e president can't change the law. now the attorney general has to apply that. he wants to do maximum transparency. i'm sure we'll get just about al it . i hop we get all of it.

BRENNAN: do you think the interview the president didn't sit for but provided written answers to, wi Il you make those answers public?

GIULIANI: i'm not going to make anything public. t he attorney general will make it public if he beli eves it should be made public.

BRENNAN: can't the president decide to make his ow n answers public?

GIULIANI: once it's all over, maybe. let's see wha t the attorney general does first. why not wait un til the attorney general files the report, and the n if we want to complain about it, we can complain about it.

BRENNAN: are you confident there will be no eviden ce of obstruction of justice?

GIULIANI: i'm going to tell you why i'm confident there will be no evidence of anything really bad. because the leakers and nadler was wrong about tha t, too, the mueller group has been leaking all alo ng. how does cnn end up at roger stone's raid.

BRENNAN: well, they have their own explanation for good report, but for 22 months, you have to ackno wledge, the special counsel's office did not leak.

GIULIANI: hell no. hell. no i got plenty of, they' re saying this, they're saying, that they knew all about our battle over questions. they knew about the positions we took.

BRENNAN: but you're impugning their credibility an d their conduct, but you are actually accepting an d supporting their --

GIULIANI: yes.

BRENNAN: that's a bit contradictory.

GIULIANI: no, it's not, margaret.

BRENNAN: you're accusing them of bias, but you agr ee with their conclusion.

GIULIANI: that's because there is no if they could have found evidence. anything, if andrew weissman , who was crying at hillary clinton's losing party , couldn't find anything, if ms. reid, who was cou nsel to the clinton foundation, couldn't find anyt hing, believe me, there was nothing there. and the y tried the make things up. they put so much press ure on people, keeping them in solitary confinemen ts.

BRENNAN: the repubans shouldn't be pushing back on full disclosure of the report.

GIULIANI: no republican is pushing back on full di sclosure.

BRENNAN: jerry nadler is making an argument.

GIULIANI: jerry nadler is making a phony argument. jerry nadler can't change the law. the law is the law. the attorney general has to apply the law. j erry nadler is ase completely predetermined -- doe s he want us to believe that he's going to give us a fair hearing? some of his members have announce d a year ago he should be impeached.

BRENNAN: you just said he wants to have some of th e investigators come before his committee and answ er questions. do you support that?

GIULIANI: what? for a political show? why?

BRENNAN: why not? they have oversight.

GIULIANI: mueller --

BRENNAN: if some people in the justice department did think the president commited obstruction of ju stice, shouldn't they be heard out? and if there i s nothing it to, why not allow it?

GIULIANI: can we listen to the report first? the l eakers who leaked to the any time has the there we re problems in the report, notice they leak nod sp ecifics. i can't imagine that the reporter didn't ask, give me an example. give me an example of som ething in the report that suggests that the presid ent obstructed justice.

BRENNAN: some have argued it's almost forcing barr 's hand to not keep that private.

GIULIANI: in other words, these people who hate hi m who were willing to commit a criminal act, if th ey have dynamite, they're not giving it to them.

https://twitter.com/realdonaldtrump/ status/1117040007016009728?s=12	8:54 AM
Kerri Kupec	
George T wanted me to check with you re: talking to WaPo about the word spying. Brian and Burnham thought (b) (5)	9:13 AM
Me	
Sure. But I will talk to him first.	9:14 AM
Kerri Kupec	
Okay great	9:14 AM
Kerri Kupec	
Context is that WaPo running story this weekend and they have strong quote from "former DOJ" person saying it was disgrace you used that word	9:15 AM
Kerri Kupec	
"It was highly irresponsible for the attorney general to have used such language. The fbi and the justice department were working strenuously to learn as much as possible as quickly as possible about the activities of a hostile foreign power to subvert our democratic system and to characterize such efforts as 'spying on a campaign' both minimizes the seriousness of that threat and unfairly casts the efforts to counter it in a derogatory light. The attorney general's use of language similar to the inflammatory rhetoric used by the president and his political supporters now casts him in a distinctly more political light undermining public confidence in the independence and integrity of the department."	9:17 AM
Sunday, April 14, 2019	
Kerri Kupec Talked to Brian this morning about what ABC reported on "This Week;" in case you are interested in seeing it, see below. Lindsay Graham was helpful on FOX. Lots from Mark Meadows on the IG report/ spying/ McCabe. Pulled the relevant stuff from the shows. Nothing too crazy. Things have tamped down a bit.	4:16 PM

Meet the Press - Chuck Todd -Chuck Todd complained you didn't present "evidence " of spying, but that was extent of it, no real di scussion; panel thought you could "win back" moder ate Dems if redactions are reasonable Fox News Sunday - Chris Wallace -Very fair - focused on release of report and redac tions. Asked if Congress should waste their time o n something Mueller investigated for two years. Ci ted this interesting FOX poll that was taken a few weeks ago: 70 percent of those surveyed said it m ade little or no difference what was in the report , their minds were already made up. so given that, how big of a deal do you expect the report to be? "This Week with George Stephanopoulos" - most newsy of the bunch, due to what ABC reporter Jonathan K arl said (see below). Chris Christie was helpful. And he and Rahm got into an argument about what co nstitutes spying. JONATHAN KARL: george, they [WH] have been briefed

JONATHAN KARL: george, they [WH] have been briefed in broad brush strokes. the white house counsel o ffice has been briefed on the logistics and timing of the release. the white house will not invoke e xecutive privilege to get further redactions. but there's significant concern on the president's tea m what will be in this report and unredacted. STEPHANOPOULOS: even stuff that will be unredacted

KARL: yes, yes. the good news is already out there , broad conclusion o conspiracy between the campai gn and the russians on the hack. but, there's sign ificant concerns about what will be in here, new i nformation on the obstruction of justice question. on what the president was doing regarding some of the big questions, was he trying -- how far did h e go down the line of firing mueller? the situatio ns surrounding the comey firing. what worries them most is what don mcgahn told the special counsel. it was reported that he spent 30 hours before the special counsel. i'm told significantly more than 4:17 PM

30 hours. here's the astounding thing, after all that time before the special counsel no one on the president's team debriefed mcgahn what he was ask ed. so, they really don't know.

STEPHANOPOULOS: chris christie, is how mueller ch aracterized that one sentence. he doesn't exonerat e the president. did he intend it to go to congres s?

CHRIS CHRISTIE: my guess is, he didn't care that, you know, he was not going to take a position on t hat. in the end, we don't exonerate people when we 're prosecutors.

STEPHANOPOULOS: that's in criminal law. it's diffe rent when you're dealing with the president? CHRISTIE: i don't think so. what bob mueller was w as a special prosecutor for the justice department conducting himself as an assistant united states attorney would conduct himself. again, he didn't h ave any special responsibility to congress. so, th is is totally different. he reports to the attorne y general. he sends the report to the attorney gen eral. in the end, the attorney general's the one w ho has to advise congress that he's received the r eport. this is very different than we have experie nced in the past. we investigate. if we have charg es, we charge. if we don't, we say nothing. it's t he norm and what's accepted by prosecutors. RAHM EMANUEL: everything's going to be measured ou t of against that four-page memo. everything else is going to be a problem for them. everybody drew one conclusion, that four page isn't a summary. wh at democrats should have done, isn't asked for the whole report, egs each section has a summary. the y could have gotten the sum mares. because of what was just said by the attorney general, a counteri ntelligence investigation, it was approved by the courts. there was no spying. CHRISTIE: rahm, that is spying. STEPHANOPOULOS: that's not spying. CHRISTIE: wait, george.

4:17 PM

EMANUEL: having sat through the situation room, t his was a loaded term used for loaded impact. this this is all approved by the courts. the supreme c ourt makes that appointment. they're going to rue the day -- as democrats constantly in the tone has got to be we're just looking at and see where the facts take us. the bigger worry for the white hou se isn't the mueller report it's going to be what' s going on in new york.

CHRISTIE: there's legal spying and illegal spying

STEPHANOPOULOS: spying generally has a --CHRISTIE: electronic surveillance that the person being surveilled doesn't know they're being survei lled. what do you call that? that's another adject ive.

ALICE STEWART: i think the democrats are so busy b eing word police on this, they're losing sight of the fact of what the governor said. there's differ ent types of spying. look, we can all agree attorn ey general barr has a tremendous reputation a trem endous history of his work in the law, he is not g oing to jeopardize his legacy by mischaracterizing the mueller report. surely, i think this four-pag e summary may have been dmrosed over a little bit. he's not going jeopardize his legacy and mischara cterize information what the american people want to know. i think he's right to redact the informat ion that needs to be redacted. it will never be en ough for the democrats. he's doing it this way. we don't need to prejudge the mueller report. 4:17 PM

Graham on FOX (Sunday Morning Futures: BARTIROMO: and there you go. we're back now with judiciary ch airman lindsey graham and mr. chairman let me ask you about what barr said this week. there was spyi ng on the campaign , where does this go now

SEN. GRAHAM: well, we're going to find out whethe r or not there was legal authority to get a fisa w arrant against carter page, whose associated with the trump campaign. by definition the foreign inte lligence surveillance act allows our government to spy on people we think working that are working w ith foreign governments the question was was there any legitimate reason to believe that. if the war rant was issued on the dossier that's a bunch of g arbage the answer would be "no ." most importantly how could a counterintelligence investigation be opened up against the trump campaign, congress ne ver hear about it, was there a legitimate reason t o open up a counter intelligence investigatio n ag ainst the trump campaign which is spying and why w as president trump never informed of the fact that people working for him, our government suspected of working with the russians, because the purpose of a counterintelligence investigation is to prot ect the people being targeted by foreign governmen t, but what happened after the election is equally important to me. the counterintelligence investig atio n continued on on on the transition team that 's how general flynn got in trouble. what basis di d the government have to follow the trump transiti on team? how did it get leaked out to the public t hat there was a counter intelligence investigatio n going on against general flynn , while he was in transition, leaking this information, after the e lection, is just as important to me as spying befo re the election.

BARTIROMO: and there were two really important th ings that came outlast week that barr suggested nu

4:19 PM
mber one that it wasn't just a bunch of small peop le at the top of the fbi but there was information going through lots of different intel agencies th e state department, the cia, that's one thing i'd like to get your reaction on as

SEN. GRAHAM: wide was the problem? how deep was i t? and what was the basis of it? why was the unite d nations un masking people, american citizens cau ght up in conversations, was there any legitimatic y at all to did it come from him working with the russians or somebody working with us, at the end o f the day, was there any reason to believe that ca rter page was working with the russians, or was it all based on a dossier that's a bunch of garbage and in transition, as the trump team is trying to take over the government, why was the counter inte lligence investigatio n still ongoing? who leaked the fact that there was one to the washington post , who dimed out all of these people that were in t ransition to the media. that's a violation of the law and was there an attempt to invoke the 25th am endment after the election? democrats, if you're t rying to get trump they really don't care how you' re trying to get him. i really do care about the r ule of law. most democrats don't care about this b ecause they thought it was a worthy endeavor to tr y to take trump down, so i'm hoping some democrats will change their tune because if you can do this to a republican one-day you can do it to a democr at.

BARTIROMO: i think this is a really important poin t you're making it feels like a kavanaugh moment, senator where the democrats and the media just thr ew out all of what we deem is so valuable and impo rtant as americans in a free democracy that is due process, innocent until proven guilty. here we go again, as they try to rip down attorney general b ill barr. 4:19 PM

SEN. GRAHAM: well you know they accuse trump of c hanging all the rules in washington. i would sugge st that all the rules have been changed to get tru mp. kavanaugh's nominee was not presumed innocent because he was the way they treated brett kavanaug h was to try to destroy his life and drive him out of wanting the job, hoping he would guit. they're attacking bill barr now one of the most seasoned, highly -respected legal minds in the united state s. nothings changed about bill barr since he was a ttorney general under bush 41. the only thing that 's changed is the desire to get trump no matter ho w you have to get it so if you need to destroy bar r they will and if you need to destroy kavanaugh t hey will, if you need to make up stories to get a warrant they will, if you need to open up a counte rintelligence investigatio n based on bogus inform ation to try to get into the trump campaign to fin d out what he's up to, to create an insurance poli cy i think they will. there was nothing they would n't do to get trump and america needs to understan d this can happen to both parties, let's hold them accountable and stop it, now.

4:19 PM











"Yesterday in the Great Hall at the Department of Justice, we celebrated Rod Rosenstein's 29 years of outstanding service to the Department of Justice, and bid a fond farewell to an exceptional Department leader and friend. Over the last year, Rod has been well served by his Principal Deputy, Ed O'Callaghan. Ed is a topnotch attorney whose intellect, competence, judgment, and experience are evident in every task he takes on. That is why I have asked him to serve as the Acting Deputy Attorney General until the Senate confirms Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein's successor, Jeffrey Rosen. I am confident that Ed will be an excellent Acting Deputy Attorney General during this interim period."

Saturday, May 11, 2019 Kerri Kupec For what it's worth, (b) (5) Also, I'm 11:16 AM bringing Sadie and a WSJ photographer. It will be a good trip. Me 11:17 AM agree. Sunday, May 12, 2019 Me 10:24 AM Kerri. Ping me when you can. Thanks. Kerri Kupec By the way, the Sunday shows were surprisingly light on you. 12:37 PM Mostly POTUS-focused. I'll take it! Me 12:38 PM Yup Kerri Kupec Also, Anela Mangum will be the OPA staffer on site with you toniaht (b) (5) 2:20 PM **n**(b) (6) Me 3:03 PM Got it.

5:18 PM

Monday, May 13, 2019 Kerri Kupec	
Ken Starr gave excellent quotes to Tom Hamburger for the WaPo "profile."	7:46 PM
Tuesday, May 14, 2019 Kerri Kupec	
You are having a great news day btw	4:10 PM
Kerri Kupec	
Have an interesting update for you	7:04 PM
Kerri Kupec	
Just FYI	7:04 PM
Wednesday, May 15, 2019 Kerri Kupec	
	8:56 PM
	8:56 PM

 Kerri Kupec
 8:57 PM

 And CNN, Fox, PBS streamed the live video of the service
 8:57 PM

 Thursday, May 16, 2019
 Thursday, May 16, 2019

 Kerri Kupec
 7:07 PM

 about (b) (5)
 7:07 PM

Kerri Kupec	Friday, May 17, 2019	
Channel 223 if you	want to watch	8:53 AM
Kerri Kupec	Saturday, May 18, 2019	
and the second	no, but (b) (5), (b) (6)	9:23 PM
Kerri Kupec		
(I haven't told her b consideration)	out just putting it out there for your	9:24 PM
Kerri Kupec		
If too personal, I get	t it	9:26 PM
		Me
Too personal.	b) (5)	9:26 PM
Kerri Kupec		
Makes sense		9:27 PM
Kerri Kupec	Sunday, May 19, 2019	
WSJ profile running	on Tuesday in both print and online	5:33 PM
		Me 5:34 PM
Kerri Kupec		
I think so		5:34 PM
Kerri Kupec		
Btw, virtually no me today	ntion of you on mainstream Sunday shows	5:34 PM
Kerri Kupec	Monday, May 20, 2019	
and the second second	editor about the headline	6:48 PM
Kerri Kupec		
They are changing t	he headline	7:02 PM
they are changing t	ne neddine	



Me Thanks for everything, Kerri. You are the best!	8:03 AM
Kerri Kupec	
R445.500ms Q 27 12.200 (2) not (1)	
AUGodzilla o	
ebilying to ElementaryopecDOJ @Rivdalatrix and The Austice Dept	
Sood to see. Had any other A.G. done his, the msm would cover it wall to	
vall. But with Barr, most have to find ut about it via twitter or facebook. kow there's something truly '	8:04 AN
Now there's someting indiv inprecedented ' that is actually contributile.	
37 AN B//19 Twitter Web App	
Renverses 14 Likes	
Theodel youn enably	
• 0. ¢ 15	
Kerri Kupec	8:04 AM
It's my pleasure. YOU are the best!	0.04 Al
Kerri Kupec	
Btw, I'm going to tweet some trip pics and law enforcement facts	5:31 PM
in a bit.	
Kerri Kupec	
H L	
	5:31 PM
MOVE	
IMG_0054.mov	
Kerri Kupec	
(b) (5)	5:32 PM
Kerri Kupec	
Kerri Kupec (b) (5)	
	5:32 PM



HUGH HEWITT: -- that they said, "No, it's 22- or 2 3-year-old staffer. And he won't be going out." Bu t what really struck me is when you asked him, "We re the tariffs rushed out the door to cover Muelle r?" Said, "Absolutely not." And I believe that. Th e Mueller report was melting like papier-mâché in the rain before Bob Mueller walked out. And I thou ght the statement like the report was irresponsibl e, indecipherable, completely inconclusive except the report made a conclusion, as did Mueller, ther e is no concrete evidence to charge any crime of -

CHUCK TODD: Insufficient evidence. HUGH HEWITT: Insufficient. That --CHUCK TODD: That's a different phraseology though. Insufficient evidence --

HUGH HEWITT: But that's the prosecutor's job. And so that's why it was so irresponsible. Bob Mueller knows -- and I've sat here for two years doing th is. Leave him alone. Let him finish. Bob Mueller k nows there is no crime. So when Attorney General B ill Barr, now fondly known among conservatives as Bill "the honey badger don't care" Barr, comes out and says, "We don't have a crime here, it's over. CHUCK TODD: You know, Jon Meacham, it's interestin g watching Mueller. Mark Mazzetti and Katie Benner wrote this in the New York Times about Mueller. " He said nothing, and the president said everything . He worked in secret, allowing the president to f ill the void with reckless accusations of a witch hunt. His damning conclusions were encased in dens e legal jargon. And the president distorted into v indication." And in some ways, Mueller I guess tri ed to have a do-over on Wednesday. JON MEACHAM: Yeah.

CHUCK TODD: And the do-over lacked clarity. JON MEACHAM: He took a mulligan and hit it in the lake, which I do a lot. So I know. CHUCK TODD: I appreciate it. JON MEACHAM: Still three. Yeah, yeah. No, it's tru 7:53 PM

e. And Trump would be a negative two. CHUCK TODD: Yeah, right. JON MEACHAM: He would not count it. Yes, we've all talked about it all week. Basically you had a tot al clash of cultures. This was the easiest scene t o write you can imagine. Because you have two scen es. You have a guy from the Dean Acheson school of Washington establishment making a very coherent, seems to me, and responsible, and reasoned stateme nt. And then you cut to across Pennsylvania Avenue Donald Trump was tweeting. And the tweeting, righ t now, the tweeting is going to win out over the f act and the reason. That's the great issue of the time.

CHUCK TODD: I want to play this quote from an atte ndee at Justin Amash's town hall. Listen to what s he said about the Mueller report.

[BEGIN TAPE]

CATHY GARNAAT: I was surprised to hear there was a nything negative in the Mueller report at all abou t President Trump. I hadn't heard that before. And I mainly listen to conservative news. And I hadn' t heard anything negative about that report. And P resident Trump had been exonerated. [END TAPE]

CHUCK TODD: It's fascinating. You know, somebody a t the White House could look at that quote and say , "Huh. It's working."

KRISTEN WELKER: It's working. And I think to some extent the public had made up its mind from the mo ment that Barr put out the summary, even before th e day that the Mueller report came out. And, Chuck , look at what happened on that day. Barr spoke an hour and a half before the report was made public and before those excerpts were released. And so I think it's hard to put the toothpaste back into t he tube. The White House knows it. The president's attorneys know it. And they're basically making t he case, "Look, the attorney general said it's tim e to move on. So we can." And, again, to your poin 7:53 PM

t, and you raised this, what would this have looke d like if Mueller had spoken first --HUGH HEWITT: But it's not just the president. I wa nt to emphasize that legal writers and scholars wh o are as esteemed in our circles as Jon is among h istorians, Jack Goldsmith at Harvard, many others have said the Mueller report is exactly what a spe cial counsel should not do, which is their job, re ach a conclusion. And as a result, Judge Luttig, p robably the most influential conservative not serv ing in government, was guoted in the Washington Po st, he never allows himself to be quoted, as sayin g, "You can't prosecute a president, but you can a lways declare that he's committed a crime." And Mu eller did not either in the do-over or the mulliga n. It was a fiasco.

CHUCK TODD: Well, here's what it's done, Carol Lee , is that I think it's put Democrats in this uncom fortable spot. They don't know what to do. They do n't even know how to stay on the same talking poin ts. Take a listen to this. 7:53 PM







Kerri Kupec		
Broader first step act s	tory out next week	3:56 PM
Kerri Kupec	Saturday, July 6, 2019	
He can tweak it as he l	ft q&a for your Monday interviews to Brian. ikes and then the three of us can get on the t when you are in SC to talk through it if that	12:54 PM
Kerri Kupec	Sunday, July 7, 2019	
Brian is emailing you t a skim and start thinkin	he questions I drafted so if you want to take ng about them	1:40 PM
	Me Good.	1:40 PM
Kerri Kupec		4.44 044
Just a heads up, there	are a lot, but better to safe than sorry	1:41 PM
Kerri Kupec	Monday, July 8, 2019	
and the second se	Brian is going to give you a heads up (b) (5)	2:58 PM
Kerri Kupec	Sunday, July 14, 2019	
Completely quiet on ye	ou on the shows today.	9:34 PM
Kerri Kupec		
No census talk. Chris V Mueller testifying. But	Vallace was the only one who discussed nothing about you.	9:34 PM
Kerri Kupec	Wednesday, July 17, 2019	
and the second second second	eJusticeDept/status/1150831298560307200	7:01 PM
	Me	
	Thanks	7:02 PM
Kerri Kupec		
That was on Monday		7:02 PM
	Me	
	Right	7:02 PM





2:34 PM

2:34 PM Did I ever send this to you? Great picture. Thursday, August 1, 2019 Kerri Kupec Just checking in. From press perspective (b) (5) 6:09 PM Just a reminder, President has a rally tonight. Tuesday, August 6, 2019 Kerri Kupec 11:52 AM Just a reminder, we have lunch in 8 mins with Sammon Monday, August 12, 2019 Kerri Kupec 8:55 AM Have it for you Kerri Kupec 8:55 AM See you at 8:10 Tuesday, August 13, 2019 Kerri Kupec For your situational awareness, I'm being told by reporters that 9:25 PM Epstein's lawyers hired a medical examiner who is backgrounding the press that Epstein have been killed. Points to a broken neck bone as support for his theory. Saturday, August 17, 2019 Kerri Kupec 6:53 PM Emailed you likely topics for Dallas press avail

Me	
Thanks, Kerri.	6:53 PM
Kerri Kupec	
We will also have some statements ready for review on Monday morning (b) (5)	6:59 PM
Kerri Kupec	
News has significantly quieted down on Epstein since release of autopsy report. (b) (5)	7:01 PM
Me	
Good deal.	7:03 PM
Kerri Kupec	
You'll also have the opportunity to give some limited info at Dallas press avail. (b) (5)	7:03 PM
Thursday, August 22, 2019	
Me	
Kerri, Thanks. (b) (5)	8:26 PM
Kerri Kupec	-
Thanks. It's ridiculous. I have a plan I'd like to run by you.	9:04 PM
Sure. If this becomes an issue, (b) (5)	
Sure. If this becomes an issue. (3) (3)	9:08 PM
Kerri Kupec	
Thanks. I agree. ((b) (5)	9:30 PM



Kerri Kupec	Friday, September 20, 2019	
	k is at your dinner in case you didn't know	7:19 PM
Kerri Kupec		
	FFA	7:20 PM
	Thursday, September 26, 2019	
Kerri Kupec		4:45 PM
Btw, I like your i	dea on (b) (5)	
	(b) (5) ?	4:46 PM
Kerri Kupec		
Yep		4:46 PM
Kerri Kupec		
That's what Step	ohen was thinking	4:46 PM
	Saturday, September 28, 2019	
Plassa get DOI		8:55 AM
	statement about me not talking to Ukrainians to P. He called me because he is going on talk shows.	0.00 AM
Kerri Kupec		
Ok		9:35 AM
Kerri Kupec		
Don't forget -	b) (5)	6:03 PM
	<i>n</i>	



Kerri Kupec		
He's looking into	what happened.	8:43 PM
Kand Kunaa	Thursday, October 3, 2019	
Kerri Kupec People loved your	Greenland joke	7:40 PM
Kerri Kupec		
It was hilarious		7:41 PM
Kerri Kupec	Friday, October 4, 2019	
	ack - will touch base this weekend	7:02 PM
Kerri Kupec JI Verizon LTE 10:35 AM a mobile twitter.com	Saturday, October 5, 2019	
 Tweet KerrikupecDOJ KerrikupecDOJ KerrikupecDOJ Ke	for Ig	10:35 AM
ID:17 AM · Oct: 5, 2019 - Twitter Web App		10:35 AM
Kerri Kupec		
worked on this for country on local to of partnering with elder fraud. They I Oakridge Boys. Th things have gone fraud and are spot you this to give yo	te, you should watch this PSA - my team has in the past year and it will be aired across the iv stations. (Backstory: We pitched AARP the ide in us and sponsoring a local tv commercial on liked it and we ultimately teamed up with the ne Oakridge Boys have been so pleased with he and have actually written a song about elder tlighting the issue on their national tour). I tell but a glimpse of some of the good stuff we are and to do with our day to day knife fight.	11:03 AM

arrest since			
Kerri Kupec			11:03 AM
Kerri Kupec			
https://twitter.com/ s=20	/TheJusticeDept/s	tatus/1180188331776323584?	11:03 AM
Kerri Kupec			
Dana Perino did an great!	exclusive segmer	nt on our PSA yesterday. It was	11:04 AM
		Me	
	Terrific job!	This is great work. Thank you.	1:32 PM
Kerri Kupec	Sunday, Octobe	r 6, 2019	
talked about you d NYT article on Durk news they use to pa of you pretty low k	oing your job (for nam/you okay (no aint you as shado ey), Biden op-ed i	nday shows, Graham briefly reign travel) on Maria (FOX), at great, not terrible mostly old wy figure), SNL skit depiction in WaPo last night condemning red as conspiracy theories	2:51 PM
Kerri Kupec			
But WSJ piece is ro	ugh.		4:06 PM
Kerri Kupec			
I need to talk to yo when you get a sec		ig important. Please call me	4:39 PM
Kerri Kupec			
(b) (5)			4:39 PM
Kerri Kupec	Wednesday, Octol	per 9, 2019	
I'll be back at the h around 4:15	otel the same tim	e you are getting back -	1:43 PM

Friday, October 11, 2019 Kerri Kupec Hope you have a great trip. Sarah Sutton will be there in case you need anything (including printing out speech, notes, etc). She is 11:47 AM managing the press at your speech. Praying you get a miraculous break this weekend and have a good, relaxing time. Call me if you need anything. Kerri Kupec 8= 11:48 AM Sarah Sutton.vcf Kerri Kupec 11:48 AM Was that you calling me? Me 11:48 AM Thanks. Kerri Kupec 6:39 PM Heard you did great! Sunday, October 13, 2019 Kerri Kupec 3:14 PM https://amp.cnn.com/cnn/2019/10/12/politics/who-is-geoffreyberman/index.html Kerri Kupec 3:14 PM Btw, this is the story. Saturday, October 26, 2019 Kerri Kupec 10:40 AM https://americanmind.org/essays/bill-barr-gives-a-speech/ Sunday, October 27, 2019

Kerri Kupec

What a fantastic press conference

10:13 AM

10:13 AM

Me

Yup











Mr. Bolton also said that after the president's July phone call with the president of Ukraine, he raised with Attorney General William P. Barr his concerns about Mr. Giuliani, who was pursuing a shadow Ukraine policy encouraged by the president, and told Mr. Barr that the president had mentioned him on the call. A spokeswoman for Mr. Barr denied that he learned of the call from Mr. Bolton; the Justice Department has said he learned about it only in mid-August.

Monday, January 27, 2020

6:20 PM

Kerri Kupec

We're preparing a second story on the Bolton book. It's our understanding that the book says that Bolton had a meeting with Barr shortly after Barr become A.G. In the meeting, Bolton expressed his concerns to Barr about Trump's habit of favoring dictators and the problems that created. Bolton says that Barr agreed with him. And that Barr said he was concerned in particular about a meeting Trump had had with Erdogan in 2018 related to Halkbank.

6:33 PM

Mr. Bolton's statements in the book align with oth er comments he has made since leaving the White Ho use in September. In November, he said in a privat e speech that none of Mr. Trump's advisers shared the president's views on Turkey and that he believ ed Mr. Trump adopted a more permissive approach to the country because of his financial ties there, NBC News reported. Mr. Trump's company has a prope rty in Turkey.

Mr. Trump has repeatedly praised dictators through out his presidency. Last year, he said, "Where's m y favorite dictator?" as he waited to meet with Pr esident Abdel Fattah el-Sisi of Egypt, The Wall St reet Journal reported.

Mr. Trump's soft spot for authoritarians dates at least to his presidential campaign, when he praise d Saddam Hussein for being "good" at killing terro rists and suggested that the world would be better off were Col. Muammar el-Qaddafi, the deposed Lib yan dictator who was killed in a violent uprising in 2011, "in charge right now." Mr. Trump then sug gested the ouster of both men was ultimately worse for the Middle East because the Islamic State had filled the void.

Mr. Trump declared himself "a big fan" of Mr. Erdo gan as they sat side by side in the Oval Office la st fall after Mr. Trump cleared the way for Turkis h forces to invade Syria, though he warned Mr. Erd ogan behind the scenes against the offensive. Of Mr. Xi, Mr. Trump has been similarly effusive. When the Chinese Communist Party eliminated term I imits, allowing Mr. Xi to keep his tenure open-end ed, Mr. Trump extolled the outcome.

Mr. Xi had personally asked Mr. Trump to intervene to save ZTE, which was on the brink of collapse b ecause of tough American penalties for sanctions v iolations.

Lifting the sanctions on ZTE, a Chinese telecommun ications giant that also serves as a geopolitical pawn for its government, most likely helped Mr. Tr 8:47 PM
Kerri Kupec

ump negotiate with Mr. Xi in the trade war between the two countries. But Republican lawmakers and o thers objected to helping a Chinese company that b roke the law and has been accused of posing a nati onal security threat.

Mr. Bolton's reputation for muscular foreign polic y was always an odd fit with Mr. Trump, who often threatens excessive force but rarely reacts with i t. Mr. Bolton was pleased when Mr. Trump withdrew from the nuclear deal between Iran and six world p owers, including the United States, that the Obama administration had entered into. Other Trump advi sers had urged him against it.

But Mr. Trump's lack of action after Iranian aggre ssion against the United States rankled Mr. Bolton

Mr. Bolton's book has already netted significant s ales. Shortly after the disclosure of its contents on Sunday night, Amazon listed the book for purch ase. By Monday evening, it was No. 17 on Amazon's best-seller list. 8:47 PM

Kerri Kupec

From Paul: Kerri - that was a delightful evening. Very good to see you all. I enjoyed it and really appreciate the interesting and at times existential nature of the conversation. Thank you very much indeed - emphatically - for making it happen. We will be in touch on various topics going forward. I appreciated the question about our priorities and think there is a lot of common ground there. Please pass my thanks and regards and to the AG and Brian.

Me 7:00 AM Thanks Kerri. You are the best. Kerri Kupec Also, cant remember if I told you, but I'm out today. Flying to 7:01 AM Florida for (D) (6) . Will be available by phone and email of course. Me Yup. Have good trip 7:01 AM Kerri Kupec 7:01 AM Thanks! 💆 Wednesday, February 5, 2020 Kerri Kupec 7:09 PM Just as a reminder in case you haven't talked to him - Wray did a good job today. :) Me 7:09 PM Got it Thursday, February 6, 2020 Kerri Kupec 2:45 PM I am trying to call you back Wednesday, February 12, 2020 Kerri Kupec We could conside (b) (5) 9:28 AM . See you soon.

6:59 AM

Kerri Kupec

This is in CNN story and they didn't run it by me: "In recent months, as Attorney General William Barr stood on the sidelines of President Donald Trump's impeachment drama, he noted to associates how problematic the episode was for Secretary of State Mike Pompeo. As details about the President's dealing with Ukraine surfaced, Pompeo became engulfed in the drama, and Barr and associates remarked how damaging it was to Pompeo's reputation inside the State Department."

Iwant(b)(5)Saturday, February 22, 2020 Me 7:59 AM Totally Sunday, February 23, 2020 Kerri Kupec Bernie and House intelligence leak dominated this morning. Some 12:08 PM (minor) discussion of you and whether you will resign. Chris Christie noted your vindication in Stone. Kerri Kupec President has been publicly calling for investigation of leak but not 12:09 PM singling our DOJ. Kerri Kupec Maria asked Collins what he plans to ask you at hearing. Sent it to 12:09 PM Boyd. Kerri Kupec 12:16 PM POTUS also talked about tainted juror in Stone

9:07 PM



Kerri Kupec	
https://apnews.com/25dfa8052209e1970db7f5a58913657b	6:27 PM
Kerri Kupec	
Also I asked them to change headline bc current one is not understandable. They obliged.	7:17 PM
Kerri Kupec	
https://apnews.com/25dfa8052209e1970db7f5a58913657b	7:17 PM
Wednesday, March 18, 2020 Kerri Kupec	
https://apnews.com/ec3e62cc13269650022399ca7cde4213	2:10 PM
Kerri Kupec	
As long as you don't object, I'm going to have you sit down with Sadie tomorrow afternoon for her profile. Same deal as yesterday.	4:49 PM
Me	4:49 PM
Kerri Kupec	
Great! 2:30.	4:53 PM
Me	
Ok	4:54 PM
Saturday, March 21, 2020	
Me Can I call you later?	7:14 PM
Kerri Kupec	
Sure - no rush - just an update on (b) (5)	7:14 PM
Sunday, March 22, 2020	
Kerri Kupec	
Sadie wants to use your below answer. Are you okay with it?	
Sadie: I actually wondered if you are worried about losing ground on the things you have made progress on, if you are worried about stuff like terrorism and violent crime.	11:18 AM
AG: I am worried about all of the above. In some cities they are saying were not going to prosecute certain things and so I am worried about things getting out of control.	



		Me
		Yes 7:15 PM
Kerri Kupec		
Thank you		7:16 PM
		Me
	Thar	nk you 7:16 PM
16 16	Monday, April 6, 2020	
Kerri Kupec Did she read the r	nemo?	10:45 AN
	nemo:	
Kerri Kupec	ould be read that way	10:45 AN
Not sure now it co		
Kerri Kupec	Friday, April 10, 2020	
Are you watching	the President right now? Incredible.	12:26 PM
Kerri Kupec		
If you missed it, w	atch the clip.	12:29 PM
	Tuesday, April 14, 2020	
Kerri Kupec	statement and the SOL	3:41 PM
	statement and the SOI	
Kerri Kupec	ad to come on tonight to discuss	3:41 PM
	ed to come on tonight to discuss	
Kerri Kupec (Btw I'm consideri	(h)(5)	3:43 PM
(btw rin consider		
Kerri Kupec	Wednesday, April 15, 2020	
FYI - Pushed TV to	o tomorrow. (b) (6)	3:58 PM
		Me
	ok. (b) (6)	3:59 PM
Kerri Kupec		
Thanks. (b) (6)		3:59 PM
	Edday April 17, 2020	
	Friday, April 17, 2020	







you gave the order to move the perimeter.



Tuesday, June 30, 2020

Kerri Kupec

I'd like to put out a a statement in your name on the win in Espinoza. Jeff/Hash have cleared the following:

We are pleased with the Supreme Court's decision today in Espinoza v. Montana Department of Revenue. Montana's Blaine Amendment excluded religious schools from state scholarship programs that are open to other educational institutions. It thus prevented parents who send their children to religious schools from receiving scholarship funds that are available to the rest of the community.

The Supreme Court concluded today that Montana's Blaine Amendment violates the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment. The Court recognized that the Free Exercise Clause "condemns discrimination against religious schools and the families whose children attend them." As a result of the Court's decision, a State may no longer disqualify religious schools from scholarships or other programs "solely because they are religious."

The Court's decision represents an important victory for religious liberty and religious equality in the United States. As the Court explained, religious people are "members of the community too," and their exclusion from public programs because of their religion is "odious to our Constitution" and "cannot stand." We were pleased to see the Court agree with the Trump Administration that such blatant discrimination against religion has no place in our constitutional system.



11:29 AM





Postdates Search Cutoff

Chat with Lindsey Graham

8/24/2020 9:20:48 PM - 8/24/2020 9:20:48 PM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:



Monday, August 24, 2020

9:20 PM

Me



Document ID: 0.7.3068.5042

Chat with Michael Sherwin

6/1/2020 5:58:57 PM - 9/2/2020 8:30:46 PM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

+ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Michael Sherwin

Monday, June 01, 2020	
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	5.58 PM
Wednesday, September 02, 2020	
Michniel Sherwin	
	BIEO PM
Pete Newsham.vcf	

Chat wit Brian Rabbitt

(b)(6) Stephen Boyd Phone

9/22/2019 5:09:44 PM - 9/22/2019 5:10:34 PM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

4

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

(b)(6) Brian Rabbitt Phone

(b)(6) Stephen Boyd Phone

	(0X6) Stephen Boyd Phone Sunday, September 22, 2019	
n	Sir - Good afternoon. Just wanted you to know that LIndsey may be you calling shortly. He has your work cel number Boyd	5:09 PM
	(b)(6) Stephen Boyd Phone	5:10 PM
. 0	Ok, thanks	5,10 PM

Chat wit Brian Rabbitt

4/15/2019 7:36:23 PM - 2/9/2020 9:22:10 AM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

(b)(6) Brian Rabbitt Phone



Brian Rabbitt	Saturday, July 6, 2019	
I need to speak with	you urgently.	12:59 PM
	Sunday, August 4, 2019	
Brian Rabbitt		1:29 PM
Call you right back		
Brian Rabbitt	Wednesday, August 7, 2019	
The 7am meeting to	morrow is apparently cancelled.	7:44 PM
	Tuesday, August 20, 2019	
Brian Rabbitt		4:40 PM
Got a message you o you are free.	called. Just back from JCC. Available whenever	4.40 PM
	Friday, August 23, 2019	
Brian Rabbitt		
8		5:10 PM
Jeff Rosen.vcf		
Brian Rabbitt	Tuesday, August 27, 2019	
	. Kerri working with her on what we can say.	10:49 AN
	Thursday, August 29, 2019	
Brian Rabbitt		12:07 PN
(b) (b)		
Brian Rabbitt	Friday, August 30, 2019	
Going to be without	good cell access till mid morning	6:36 AM
Brian Rabbitt		
Back online now		11:19 AM
	Saturday, August 31, 2019	
Brian Rabbitt		7-20 014
Just sent you an ema scene.	ail readout of my call with the FBI agent on	7:38 PM





Chat with Senator Toomey

9/18/2019 9:32:31 AM - 9/24/2019 1:30:38 PM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

(b) (6) Senator Toomey

Wednesday, September 18, 2019 Senator Toomey	
General Barr, I had a good conversation with Chris Murphy this morning about your idea. He was interested. I suggested to him that you, Murph Manchin and I get together as soon as possible to discuss. Can w do that today or tomorrow?	y, 9:32 AM
Pat Toomey	
Working on	Me it. 10:34 AM
Eric Euland will be reaching out to schedule this meeting. Looki forward to talking.	Me ng 11:26 AM
forward to talking. Senator Toomey	
	ng 11:26 AM

Chat with Steve Engel

3/21/2020 1:24:07 PM - 12/18/2020 7:15:01 PM

Export Details:

Device Name Barr OAG 2/10/21

Device ID c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d70dda641

Backup Date Wednesday, February 10, 2021 10:25 AM

Backup Directory C:\Users\pmdavis\AppData\Roaming\Apple Computer\MobileSync\Backup\c13861b9217257dd6c0d8d854215c16d

iOS 14.1

Current Time Zone (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Created with iExplorer v4.4.2.0

Participants:

+ (b) (6) Steve Engel



Friday, December 18, 2020

Postdates Search Cutoff