

Raman, Sujit (ODAG)

From: Raman, Sujit (ODAG)
Sent: Thursday, June 8, 2017 11:45 AM
To: Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC); Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG)
Cc: Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Subject: RE: This Thursday's update meeting with the DAG

Thanks, Curtis. As mentioned, I have another meeting off-site today so will miss our 2 pm, but Zach will cover for me, and I will check in with him (and with you) afterwards to ensure everything is covered.

Many thanks,

Sujit

From: Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC)
Sent: Thursday, June 8, 2017 11:28 AM
To: Raman, Sujit (ODAG) <sraman@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG) <zterwilliger@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: This Thursday's update meeting with the DAG

I'm sorry to take so long for this, but here are some items that I think would be good for us to discuss or note during the DAG's monthly meeting with OLC.

I discussed the first two yesterday with Scott Schools, who may plan to attend the beginning of our meeting today:

- [REDACTED] (b) (5) per OLC

From: Raman, Sujit (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, June 5, 2017 7:11 PM
To: Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC) <[REDACTED] (b) (6) per OLC>
Cc: Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG) <zterwilliger@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: This Thursday's update meeting with the DAG

Curtis,

Please let us know if there is anything in particular (or any agenda items writ large) you would like to discuss

with the DAG during this Thursday's update meeting. I will unfortunately have to miss as I have a meeting out of the office that afternoon but Zach will stand-in on the ODAG staff side.

Many thanks,

Sujit

Boente, Dana J. (NSD)

From: Boente, Dana J. (NSD)
Sent: Tuesday, August 1, 2017 9:44 AM
To: Schools, Scott (ODAG); Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC)
Subject: RE: Office of Special Counsel appts

Scott, (b) (5)

[REDACTED]

From: Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Sent: Tuesday, August 1, 2017 9:40 AM
To: Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC) <(b) (6) per OLC> Boente, Dana J. (NSD) (b)(6) per EOUSA
Subject: RE: Office of Special Counsel appts

Thanks for all of the analysis. I think this is OBE for the time being, but Dana, I will pass along that (b) (5) [REDACTED]. Brandon Van Grack, who is on Mueller's team, is a SAUSA already, and he can make any necessary appearances for the time being. Dana, if you see any issues with that, please let me know.

From: Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC)
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2017 11:06 AM
To: Boente, Dana J. (NSD) (b)(6) per EOUSA
Cc: Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Office of Special Counsel appts

Thanks, Dana. (b) (5) per OLC

[REDACTED]

(b) (5) per OLC

[REDACTED]

From: Boente, Dana J. (NSD)
Sent: Sunday, July 30, 2017 11:24 AM
To: Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC) <(b) (6) per OLC>
Cc: Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Office of Special Counsel appts

Curtis (b) (5)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. As a general matter, the court requires Department attorneys and non-EDVA AUSAs to obtain local counsel. Local Criminal Rule 57.4, Attorneys and Pro Se Parties, states: "Federal government attorneys appearing pursuant to the authority of the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Virginia are not required to secure private local counsel. All other federal government attorneys representing the interests of the United States, including the United States Department of Justice, shall secure local counsel

by working with an assistant United States attorney assigned to the Eastern District of Virginia." We serve as local counsel and/or appoint the attorneys as SAUSAs.

(b) (5)
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

I spoke with the DAG on Friday and he mentioned that he would refer this to Scott Schools. I am copying Scott on this email.

Dana

From: Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC)
Sent: Friday, July 28, 2017 7:34 PM
To: Boente, Dana J. (NSD) (b)(6) per EOUSA
Subject: Office of Special Counsel appts

Dana,

I'm sorry to take so long to follow-up on the question you asked this morning about (b) (5) per OLC
[Redacted]

After discussion within OLC, (b) (5) per OLC
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

So, we're wondering (b) (5) per OLC
[Redacted]?

Thanks,

Curtis

Tucker, Rachael (OAG)

From: Tucker, Rachael (OAG)
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 12:16 PM
To: Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC)
Subject: Fwd: conversations with the President
Attachments: SJC clips.docx; ATT00001.htm

All I got.

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Boyd, Stephen E. (OLA)" <seboyd@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Date: October 16, 2017 at 8:39:34 PM EDT
To: "Slawson, Guice Chip (OLA)" <gcslawson@jmd.usdoj.gov>, "Hankey, Mary Blanche (OLA)" <mhankey@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: "Tucker, Rachael (OAG)" <ratucker@jmd.usdoj.gov>, "Cutrona, Danielle (OAG)" <dcutrona@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Fwd: conversations with the President

Oh, the irony.

Adding Danielle and Rachel.

This is great. May be more to dig into tomorrow but I really think these SJC hearings were our best bet. Usually this comes up in doc production not personal conversations, as reflected here.

Good work - SB

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Slawson, Guice Chip (OLA)" <gcslawson@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Date: October 16, 2017 at 7:49:42 PM EDT
To: "Boyd, Stephen E. (OLA)" <seboyd@jmd.usdoj.gov>, "Hankey, Mary Blanche (OLA)" <mhankey@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: conversations with the President

Stephen and Mary Blanche:

Below are my findings with all SJC oversight hearings of the DOJ, DEA, ATF, and FBI since the start of the Obama Administration. I combed the HRC House Benghazi hearing as well. Attached are full-length excerpts of possibly relevant finds with a summary of all findings below, although as you'll see, I wasn't able to find anything completely on point with what you're looking for. I hate that there

anything completely on point with what you're looking for. Note that there wasn't anything more specific, but hopefully this helps clear the air.

CS

Loretta Lynch SJC DOJ oversight, 3/9/16: Lynch is asked by Graham whether she has discussed HRC investigation with President Obama or anyone at WH. She says she has not had any such conversations, nor does she anticipate doing so.

Eric Holder SJC DOJ oversight, 1/29/14: nothing relevant

Eric Holder SJC DOJ oversight, 3/6/13: Holder discusses the scope of Executive Privilege as applied to Fast & Furious between the DOJ and WH communications (see attachment)

Eric Holder SJC DOJ oversight, 6/12/12: Holder discusses withholding emails from Congress as part of Fast & Furious- no mention of direct conversations, however (see attachment)

Eric Holder SJC DOJ oversight, 11/8/11: nothing relevant

Eric Holder SJC DOJ oversight, 4/14/10: nothing relevant

Eric Holder SJC DOJ oversight, 11/18/09: Holder is asked if Obama agrees with his decision to civilian courts in lieu of military tribunals; Holder says he has not had a direct conversation with Obama about this, but he presumes that Obama agrees with him.

Eric Holder SJC DOJ oversight, 6/17/09: nothing relevant

Jim Comey SJC FBI oversight, 5/3/17: Comey mentions, in passing, not wanting to discuss certain aspects of an ongoing (but publically-known) investigation because he isn't familiar with DOJ communications protocol off the top of his head; Senators accept this answer.

Jim Comey SJC FBI oversight, 12/9/15: nothing relevant

Jim Comey SJC FBI oversight, 5/21/14: nothing relevant

Robert Mueller SJC FBI oversight, 5/16/12: Mueller admits under questioning to having discussed his potential successors with Obama as Mueller's term is wrapping up, although he doesn't delve into specifics, nor is he asked.

Robert Mueller SJC FBI oversight, 3/30/11: nothing relevant

Robert Mueller SJC FBI oversight, 3/25/09: nothing relevant

Chuck Rosenberg SJC DEA oversight, 6/22/16: nothing relevant

~~Sharon Rosenberg DOJ DOJ Oversight 9/24/2015 Hearing relevant~~

Michele Leonhart SJC DEA oversight, 4/30/14: Then-Sen JBS pressed her about President Obama's statement's appearing to make light of marijuana use. She did not consult with Obama before he made the statement (See attachment).

Hillary Benghazi hearing, 10/22/15

Martha's line of questioning that gave us "Bubba wasn't home that night" may have also yielded the best ammunition of the bunch. Hillary answered questions about conversations with Obama in vagaries, but reserved the right to not delve into specifics.

Otherwise, that was the only hint of quasi-Executive Privilege between POTUS and Secretaries.

###

Eric Holder SJC DOJ oversight, 6/12/12: Holder discusses withholding emails from Congress as part of Fast & Furious

GRASSLEY:

On Fast and Furious, I've had a chance to review some of the details of wiretap. I happen to disagree with your claim that they don't have details about the tactics of Fast and Furious. ATF Acting Director Kenneth Melson described reading those same wiretap affidavits in March of last year. He said he was alarmed that the information in the affidavit contradicted the public denials to Congress. He immediately sent an e-mail warning others, quote, "Back off the letter to Senator Grassley in light of the information in the affidavits," end of quote. Yet the department didn't withdraw the letter to me until December, 2011.

In July, 2011, we asked for that e-mail from Acting Director Melson. We need to see it to corroborate his testimony. Yet the department is withholding that e-mail, along with every other document after February 4th, 2011. On what legal ground are you withholding that e-mail? The president can't claim executive privilege to withhold that e-mail. Is that correct?

HOLDER:

Well, let me just say this. We have reached out to Chairman Issa, members of the leadership on the House side to try to work our way through these issues. We've had I think sporadic contacts and we are prepared to make -- I am prepared to make compromises with regard to the documents that can be made available.

There is a basis for the withholding of these documents if they deal with deliberative...

GRASSLEY:

But not on executive privilege, right?

HOLDER:

Well, the tradition has always been by members of the Justice Department, whether they were led by Republicans or Democrats, to withhold deliberative material. But in spite of that -- in spite of that, I want to make it very clear that I am offering to sit down -- by myself, I am offering to sit down with the speaker, the chairman, with you, whoever, to try to work our way through this in an attempt to avoid a constitutional crisis and come up with ways, creative ways perhaps, in which we can make this material available.

But I've got to have a willing partner. I've extended my hand and I'm waiting to hear back.

Eric Holder SJC DOJ oversight, 3/6/13: Holder discusses scope of Executive Privilege

CRUZ:

Given that, last year my understanding is you exerted executive privilege against handing over documents concerning Fast and Furious. Now executive privilege, the Supreme Court has made clear, protects communication and advice with the president. If the White House was not involved, executive privilege does not apply to those documents.

If executive privilege applies to those documents, it necessarily implies that the White House and the president personally was involved. So which of the two is it, General Holder?

HOLDER:

You're cutting too fine a line. The president, the White House was not involved in the operational component of Fast and Furious. There were certainly interactions, conversations between the Justice Department and the White House about the operation after all of the operative facts had occurred, after all of the controversy -- controversial actions had been taken.

When then we got into the situation where we were talking about the congressional investigation of Fast and Furious, there were communications between the White House and the Justice Department. But nothing...

CRUZ:

Do I understand you correctly? My time hash expired, so I want to just understand your response correctly. Is it your position that executive privilege only applies after

the details of Fast and Furious became public and it was with subsequent communications, but there is no executive privilege that is applicable before it becoming public because, as you just said a minute ago, the White House was not involved in any way, shape or form with Fast and Furious?

HOLDER:

Executive privilege protects communications between the White House and the -- the executive branch agency. And to my knowledge, there are no communications that deal with the operational components of Fast and Furious between the White House and the Justice Department.

CRUZ:

So executive privilege does not apply to them?

HOLDER:

There's nothing there for executive privilege to apply to, as best I know.

CRUZ:

Thank you, General Holder.

Jim Comey SJC FBI oversight, 5/3/17: Comey discusses FBI deliberations

WHITEHOUSE:

It has been disclosed and publicly reported that there was a two day interval, between the FBI interview of Michael Flynn related to his conversations with Ambassador Kislyak and then deputy attorney general's report to White House Counsel about those calls.

Did you participate in conversations related to this matter during that two day interval and what can you tell us about why that interval took two days, was there some standard operating procedure that needed to be vindicated? Was there -- you'd think that that could've flipped over to a conversation to the White House a good deal quicker than that once the agent's report came back from the interview.

COMEY:

Yes, I don't -- I don't know whether two days is right. I think it might have been a day. I could be wrong. It could have been two days. And I did participate in conversations about that matter, and I think I'll stop there because I don't ...

WHITEHOUSE:

OK.

COMEY:

... I don't know the department's position on -- on speaking about those communications.

WHITEHOUSE:

But as you sit here, you don't have any hesitation about that delay, about it representing any kind of, you know, mischief or misconduct?

SESSIONS:

Without the nudge from law enforcement. That's what the treatment people and experts I dealt with said. I they -- some of the best -- you have to be able to arrest people and then you intervening in their destructive habit, and you have a chance, then, to change their lives many times. And I've seen it with drug courts and other type of ideas. And I've got to tell you, you said the messaging changed, and I think some people are irresponsible in what we're hearing about particularly marijuana, I thank you for you and some of your officials in DEA for speaking out and telling the truth about the dangers of marijuana. This is not a non-dangerous drug, I've got to tell you in terms of messaging, the president's statement, to me, I spent 12 years working with grassroots citizens groups to change the approach to drugs and the climate of drugs, and to make it hostile on climate for drugs and explain the dangers of drug use.

For one year, we met every single week, the mayor, the chief of police, the head of the mental health, and we discussed these issues. And then this is why it's so painful to me and to every person who is dedicated themselves and given time, effort, and money to reverse in drugs. For the president of the United States to say, quote, as has been well documented, I smoke pot as a kid and I view it as a bad habit and a vice not very different from cigarettes I smoked as a young person, up through a big chunk of my adult life. I don't think it's more dangerous than alcohol. That's the president of the United States gratuitously making a statement, extraordinary imports. It has a potential (Inaudible) the work of thousands of Americans -- hundreds of thousands really to try and explain the dangers of drugs. So, let me ask you, did the president consult with you, before you made that statement?

LEONHART:

I have not talked to the president about that interview.

SESSIONS:

Do you know if he talk to the drug czar, the office of national narcotics?

LEONHART:

I don't know who he talked to.

Eric Holder SJC DOJ oversight, 11/18/09

CORNYN:

And so your decision to try some of these 9/11 coconspirators in Article III court is not compelled by any law? It was a matter of your judgment and discretion?

HOLDER:

A matter -- my judgment, my discretion, my experience, my interaction with the secretary of Defense, my interaction with prosecutors both on the military side and on the civilian side, all of that went into making that determination.

CORNYN:

Does the president of the United States agree with you?

HOLDER:

I -- I -- I believe that he does. I have not had a direct conversation with him. I've seen reports indicating that he agrees with the decision that I made, but the decision I made I think is consistent with his archives speech where he laid out how he viewed how the detainees at Guantanamo should be handled.

CORNYN:

Well, you -- you acknowledge that you worked for the President of the United States. He could fire you if he disagreed with you. He could overrule you, correct?

HOLDER:

I -- yes, he -- he could do that.

CORNYN:

Well, I want to ask you. You mentioned that we are currently offering Miranda rights or reading Miranda rights to suspected terrorists on the battlefield; is that correct?

HOLDER:

No. What I said was that happens very, very rarely. It happened during the Bush administration. It happens very rarely.

I've talked to the FBI about this. There was some misreporting about the notion that people captured on the battlefield are automatically being read their Miranda warnings and the reality is that are thousands of people who are captured and a very, very small number have been Miranda warnings after military lawyers, civilian lawyers, investigators from both sides made the determination that there was some reason to give Miranda warnings to those captives.

ROBY: Did you meet with the president that night?

CLINTON: I talked with the president. I did not meet with him.

ROBY: How many times did you talk to the president?

CLINTON: I talked to the president that evening. That was the only time I talked with him on the 11th. And then I went over to the White House the next morning.

ROBY: So, once. And do you recall what time you spoke to the president? You said that evening. Do you recall more specifically what time?

CLINTON: I think it was late in the evening. I don't know exactly when.

ROBY: What did you discuss?

CLINTON: I'm sorry? What?

ROBY: What specifically did you discuss with the president?

CLINTON: Well, I don't usually talk about my discussions with the president, but I can tell you we talked about what had happened during the day. I thanked him for his very strong support because he made it absolutely clear that everyone was supposed to be doing all they could, particularly DOD, to assist us wherever possible. And I'm sure I thanked him for that.

ROBY: What did he say to you?

CLINTON: Again, I don't talk about the conversations I have with the president. We talked about the events of the day and his determination to do everything he could to try to help our people in Benghazi.

ROBY: Did you meet with Secretary Panetta?

Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC)

From: Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC)
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 1:09 PM
To: Tucker, Rachael (OAG)
Subject: Re: conversations with the President


(b) (5) per OLC

On Oct 18, 2017, at 12:50 PM, Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC) <(b) (6) per OLC> wrote:

Thanks. (b) (5) per OLC

On Oct 18, 2017, at 12:16 PM, Tucker, Rachael (OAG) <ratucker@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

Duplicative Material (Document ID: 0.7.23922.82174)



To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
Cc: Per OIG (b)(6) [REDACTED] USDOJ.GOV]
From: Per OIG (b)(6) [REDACTED] (OIG)
Sent: Tue 11/6/2018 6:47:51 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: RE: OIG Interview
Received: Tue 11/6/2018 6:47:00 PM

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

I just wanted to confirm that you received this email since I didn't receive a response from you.

Thanks!

Per OIG (b)(6)

From: Per OIG (b)(6) [REDACTED] (OIG)
Sent: Thursday, November 1, 2018 3:26 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
Cc: Per OIG (b)(6) [REDACTED] USDOJ.GOV> Per OIG (b)(6) [REDACTED] USDOJ.GOV>
Subject: OIG Interview

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

It was nice speaking with you a few moments ago. This is to confirm our Per OIG (b)(5) [REDACTED] (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI [REDACTED] at our office located at 1425 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 13100.

(b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

Per OIG (b)(5)

Per OIG (b)(5)

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me at Per OIG (b)(6) [REDACTED] or my colleague, Don Berthiaume at Per OIG (b)(6) [REDACTED]

Kind regards,

Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight & Review Division
U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General
Office: Per OIG (b)(6) [REDACTED]
Email: Per OIG (b)(6) [REDACTED]@usdoj.gov

To: ked (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Clinesmith, Kevin E. (OGC) (FBI) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
Cc: Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV]; Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV];
KEC (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
From: Per OIG (b)(6) OIG)
Sent: Wed 11/29/2017 12:18:20 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: OIG Interview (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI
Received: Wed 11/29/2017 12:18:00 PM

Kevin,

Thank you again for calling yesterday Per OIG (b)(5)
Per OIG (b)(5)

Let's set the interview for (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI That should ensure you leave here in plenty of time to return to your office for your 5pm meeting.

The interview will be held in our offices at 1425 New York Ave NW, near the corner of New York Ave NW and 14th Street. We are a block from the MacPherson Metro and two blocks from Metro Center if you decide to take the metro here. Joining me will be my colleagues, Investigative Counsel Per OIG (b)(6) and Senior Special Agent Per OIG (b)(6)

You'll enter the building between a café and convenience store and then walk down the hallway to our security desk. You can show your Per OIG (b)(6) We are on the top floor (marked "PH" in the elevators) in suite 13100.

If you have any questions or concerns, you can reach me at the number below or call our main line at Per OIG (b)(6) I will also send you a separate calendar invite.

Thank you,
Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight & Review Division
U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General
Office: Per OIG (b)(6)
Email: Per OIG (b)(6) @usdoj.gov

To: [redacted] (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) [redacted] Per OIG (b)(6) [redacted] USDOJ.GOV; [redacted] Per OIG (b)(6)

[redacted] Per OIG (b)(6) [redacted] USDOJ.GOV]

Required Attendees: [redacted] (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) [redacted] Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG); [redacted] Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)

Location: 1425 New York Ave NW, Suite 13100 Conference Room

Importance: Normal

Subject: Interview - Clinesmith

Start Date/Time: (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

End Date/Time: [redacted]

Recurrence Pattern: None

Kevin,

The interview will be held in our offices at 1425 New York Ave NW, near the corner of New York Ave NW and 14th Street. We are a block from the MacPherson Metro and two blocks from Metro Center if you decide to take the metro here. Joining me will be my colleagues, Investigative Counsel [redacted] Per OIG (b)(6) and Senior Special Agent [redacted] Per OIG (b)(6)

You'll enter the building between a café and convenience store and then walk down the hallway to our security desk. You can show your [redacted] Per OIG (b)(6) We are on the top floor (marked "PH" in the elevators) in suite 13100.

If you have any questions or concerns, you can reach me at the number below or call our main line at [redacted] Per OIG (b)(6)

Thank you,

[redacted] Per OIG (b)(6)

[redacted] Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel

Oversight & Review Division

U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General

Office: [redacted] Per OIG (b)(6)

Email [redacted] Per OIG (b)(6) @usdoj.gov

To: kec (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
From: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)
Sent: Tue 12/5/2017 4:05:54 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI Please Call
Received: Tue 12/5/2017 4:05:00 PM

Kevin,

Good morning! I just left you a voicemail as well. I'm checking in to confirm that all is still good for Per OIG (b)(5)

(b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

Also, there's something I would like to chat with you briefly about before (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Please give me a call if possible today. If it's after about 3:30 or 4:00pm that you get a chance to call, please call my cell phone - Per OIG (b)(6)

Thank you,

Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight & Review Division
U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General
Office: Per OIG (b)(6)
Email: Per OIG (b)(6) @usdoj.gov

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
From: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)
Sent: Thur 4/25/2019 11:25:49 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: Call
Received: Thur 4/25/2019 11:25:51 PM

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

Thanks for the response. I was just going to tell you about two particular topics I was going to discuss with you (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) We discussed them (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and I had a few follow up questions. I was contacting you in case you wanted to look over some materials on those topics before we meet (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) However, it is no big deal and we will be fine for (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) See you (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI Thanks again.

Per OIG (b)(6), (b)(7)

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 25, 2019, at 7:07 PM (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI wrote:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI Per OIG (b)(6) apologies; away from my unet email today. Please feel free to call (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI or email me here throughout the evening.

On Apr 25, 2019 12:41 PM, Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)" <Per OIG (b)(6)@usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

Good afternoon. If you have a moment this afternoon, I would like to speak with you briefly. It concerns the meeting (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI I am away from my phones a lot, so maybe if there is a particular time that is good for you then I can call you at that time. Thanks.

Per OIG (b)(6)

Senior Special Agent
U.S. Department of Justice, Office of the Inspector General
Oversight and Review Division
1425 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 13100
Washington, D.C. 20530
Work: Per OIG (b)(6)
Cell: Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
From: Per OIG (b)(6)
Sent: Fri 4/6/2018 1:28:43 AM
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: OIG
Received: Fri 4/6/2018 1:28:44 AM

Thanks.

On Apr 5, 2018, at 9:27 PM, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI wrote:

That works. See you then.

----- Original message -----

From: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)" Per OIG (b)(6) @usdoj.gov>
Date: 4/5/18 9:16 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
Subject: Re: OIG

How does Per OIG (b)(6) sound?

Per OIG (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

On Apr 5, 2018, at 8:45 PM, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI wrote:

Your office is good for me. Let me know the time and I'll see you there.

----- Original message -----

From: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)" Per OIG (b)(6) @usdoj.gov>
Date: 4/5/18 8:44 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
Subject: Re: OIG

I will get back to you on the time shortly. If you have a preference, please let me know. Also, if you look at my initial email, it has our office address under my name. You can walk from FBI HQ. It takes about 10-15 minutes. The McPherson Square Metro stop is one block away. I will get back to you soon. Thanks.

Per OIG (b)(6)

On Apr 5, 2018, at 8:30 PM, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI wrote:

That works. Let me know what time and where to meet you.

----- Original message -----

From: "Per OIG (b)(6) [redacted] (OIG)" <Per OIG (b)(6) [redacted]@usdoj.gov>

Date: 4/5/18 8:17 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI

Subject: Re: OIG

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

I already have something scheduled for (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI. How about the (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI
Thanks.

Per OIG (b)(6)

On Apr 5, 2018, at 7:45 PM (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI wrote:

Per OIG (b)(6)

I am free all next week. Want to shoot for (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

----- Original message -----

From: "Per OIG (b)(6) [redacted] (OIG)" <Per OIG (b)(6) [redacted]@usdoj.gov>

Date: 4/5/18 6:48 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI

Subject: OIG

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

Good afternoon. I am contacting you to arrange a (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI
(b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI I was wondering what your
schedule might be for next week. (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI
I would estimate that the interview would take
approximately one to two hours. (b)(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI
Per OIG (b)(5) Our standard procedure
is to record our interviews and place the witness under oath and the
beginning of the interview.

Thank you and have a good evening.

Per OIG (b)(6)

Special Agent

Oversight & Review Division

U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General

1425 New York Avenue, NW

Suite 13100

Washington, D.C. 20530

Per OIG (b)(6)(office)

Per OIG (b)(6)(cell)

To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV]
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI
Sent: Thur 4/25/2019 11:06:51 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: Call
Received: Thur 4/25/2019 11:07:30 PM

Per OIG (b)(6) apologies; away from my unet email today. Please feel free to call (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI or email me here throughout the evening.

On Apr 25, 2019 12:41 PM, Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)" <Per OIG (b)(6) @usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

Good afternoon. If you have a moment this afternoon, I would like to speak with you briefly. It concerns the meeting (b)(6) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI. I am away from my phones a lot, so maybe if there is a particular time that is good for you then I can call you at that time. Thanks.

☐

Per OIG (b)(6)

Senior Special Agent
U.S. Department of Justice, Office of the Inspector General
Oversight and Review Division
1425 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 13100
Washington, D.C. 20530

Work: Per OIG (b)(6)

Cell: Per OIG (b)(6)

☐

To: AM (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) JLC (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI

To: RSMS (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Location: OSC Large Conference
Importance: High
Subject: Canceled: Prep for Hill Meeting
Start Date/Time: Mon 6/12/2017 5:30:00 PM
End Date/Time: Mon 6/12/2017 6:30:00 PM

0

To: JLO (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Dreeben, Michael R (OSG) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI

Location: Dial-in details at notes
Importance: Normal
Subject: AG SSCI testimony
Start Date/Time: Sun 6/11/2017 3:00:00 PM
End Date/Time: Sun 6/11/2017 4:00:00 PM

UNCLASSIFIED//LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

THE CONTENT OF THIS EMAIL IS LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES). THIS EMAIL AND ITS CONTENT MAY ONLY BE FORWARDED TO A GOVERNMENT EMAIL ACCOUNT.

Mr. Strzok,

Your unclassified conference call was set up for tomorrow 6/11/2017 at 11:00 am ET as requested. Instructions to gain access to the conference call are below. Please forward to all participants.

- Dial (b)(7)(E) per FBI
- When prompted to do so, please enter your pass code followed by the # sign. Your pass code is (b)(7)(E) per FBI
- If you need assistance, please call the SIOC's main number, 202-323-3300.

To: Dreeben, Michael R. (OSG) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Kelly, Stephen D. (OGC) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(E) per FBI; JLQ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Location: Dial-in details at notes
Importance: Normal
Subject: AG SSCI testimony
Start Date/Time: Sun 6/11/2017 3:00:00 PM
End Date/Time: Sun 6/11/2017 4:00:00 PM

UNCLASSIFIED//LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

THE CONTENT OF THIS EMAIL IS LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES). THIS EMAIL AND ITS CONTENT MAY ONLY BE FORWARDED TO A GOVERNMENT EMAIL ACCOUNT.

Mr. Strzok,

Your unclassified conference call was set up for tomorrow 6/11/2017 at 10:30 am ET as requested. Instructions to gain access to the conference call are below. Please forward to all participants.

- Dial (b) (7)(E) per FBI

- When prompted to do so, please enter your pass code followed by the # sign. Your pass code is (b) (7)(E) per FBI

- If you need assistance, please call the SIOC's main number, 202-323-3300.

To: AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) JLC (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI Moffa,
Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI Dreeben, Michael R (OSC) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Strzok,
Peter P. (HRD) (FBI) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
Location: OSC Conf room
Importance: Normal
Subject: Background brief
Start Date/Time: Thur 6/15/2017 2:00:00 PM
End Date/Time: Thur 6/15/2017 3:30:00 PM

Michael, please forward to members of your team as appropriate.

To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV
Cc: AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) JLQ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
From: AMZ
Sent: Wed 6/28/2017 3:22:42 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: RE: following up
Received: Wed 6/28/2017 3:22:42 PM

Per OIG (b)(6) I'm sorry about that. I just talked to folks; they will call you today. Aaron

From: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) [mailto:Per OIG (b)(6)@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 11:12 AM
To: AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); JLQ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: following up

Hi Aaron – Haven't heard anything re timing of a Russia briefing, nor has my FBI POC. Do you happen to have any further information on this?

From: AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 6:01 PM
To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV>
Cc: AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Beckhard, Dan C. (OIG) Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV>; JLQ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: following up

Hi Per OIG (b)(6) understand they are aiming for the middle of next week. You should hear from your INSP POC about scheduling soon.
Thanks.
Aaron

From: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) [mailto:Per OIG (b)(6)@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 2:03 PM
To: AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Beckhard, Dan C. (OIG) <Dan.C.Beckhard@usdoj.gov>; JLQ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: following up

Hi Aaron – Do we have potential dates for the Russia briefing? Thanks.

From: AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2017 7:42 AM
To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV>
Cc: AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Beckhard, Dan C. (OIG) Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV>; JLQ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: Re: following up

Per OIG (b)(6) learned overnight that Dina now has the docs for review. It shouldn't take long.
Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 13, 2017, at 10:37 PM, AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) wrote:

Per OIG (b)(6)

It will be a DAD (Dina Corsi, I believe). I do not know her timing, but understand the volume is not significant. I'm going to bow out and ask (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Per FBI to coordinate the delivery to you, without (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI doing the review. (I will otherwise become a choke point that unnecessarily slows this down.)

I have also passed your dates to the analyst who will do the brief and asked (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI to coordinate dates/times. She does not have to sit in the brief if you'd prefer her not to do that.

Thanks.
Aaron

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 13, 2017, at 8:37 AM, Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) <Per OIG (b)(6)@usdoj.gov> wrote:

Hi Aaron,

Thanks for following up. I am sure things are busy over there for you.

Per OIG (b)(5)

per FBI Per OIG (b)(5)

Per OIG (b)(5)

Per OIG (b)(5) b(5) per OIG, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI Per OIG (b)(5) I can reach out to him today to start the discussion, if that is fine with you.

Next week is busy for us, but I think Friday (June 23) would work for a briefing. Monday through Thursday the following week (June 26-29) should be more open. If you want to have someone propose a few dates and times I will check with the team.

Thanks again for your assistance. Let us know if you have any other concerns.

Julie

From: AMZ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Monday, June 12, 2017 9:46 PM

To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) Per OIG (b)(6)@USDOJ.GOV>; Beckhard, Dan C. (OIG) Per OIG (b)(6)@USDOJ.GOV>

Cc: JLQ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: following up

Per OIG (b)(6)

We are asking an executive in CD to review the notes rather than (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI. We are also asking an analyst who is working with us to put together the briefing we discussed; are there times next week when he could do that for you?

As to the witness interviews, can I make (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI (FBI GS15 helping us on coordination/management issues) the POC for discussion of those issues?

Thanks very much.

Aaron

From: AMZ

Sent: Thursday, June 8, 2017 10:02 AM

To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) Per OIG (b)(6)@usdoj.gov>; Beckhard, Dan C. (OIG) <Dan.C.Beckhard@usdoj.gov>

Cc: JLQ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: following up

Thanks.

I'll ask someone other than (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI to take on these tasks.

I'll also ask someone to address (b) (5) issues you raised. (Jim and I are likely not the right folks given all current demands and our interest in not slowing you down.)

More to follow.

Best, Aaron

To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI
Sent: Tue 6/18/2019 2:47:10 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: RE: OIG
Received: Tue 6/18/2019 2:47:28 PM

I will call at 3pm.

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

On Jun 18, 2019 10:19 AM, Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)" <Per OIG (b)(6)@usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI - how about 3:00? I will be occupied until then. Our call should only take a couple minutes.

Thanks,

Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight and Review Division
Office of the Inspector General
United States Department of Justice
Suite 13100
1425 New York Avenue
Washington D.C. 20530

Per OIG (b)(6)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2019 10:14 AM
To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) <Per OIG (b)(6)@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: OIG

Per OIG (b)(6)

I'm back from vacation, but having to hot-desk at FBIHQ while they fix my computers. If we still need to talk, please reply to this email and we can arrange a time and number for a call. I'll be checking this phone/email periodically throughout the day.

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

On Jun 11, 2019 4:02 PM, Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)" <Per OIG (b)(6)@usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI - can you please give me a call - Per OIG (b)(6)

Thanks,

Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight and Review Division
Office of the Inspector General
United States Department of Justice
Suite 13100
1425 New York Avenue
Washington D.C. 20530

Per OIG (b)(6)

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
From: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)
Sent: Thur 4/4/2019 2:29:00 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: RE: OIG
Received: Thur 4/4/2019 2:28:00 PM

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI – please call me at Per OIG (b)(6) If I do not pick up, try Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight and Review Division
Office of the Inspector General
United States Department of Justice
Suite 13100
1425 New York Avenue
Washington D.C. 20530

Per OIG (b)(6)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI
Sent: Thursday, April 4, 2019 9:02 AM
To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG); Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV>
Subject: RE: OIG

Per OIG (b)(6)

It's probably easiest to email me at this address for the next few weeks. I'm not always able to get into my FBI UNET email, so for the very near term, this is still probably a better email.

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

From: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG); Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV>
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 11:49 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
Subject: RE: OIG

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI – are you free now?

Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight and Review Division
Office of the Inspector General
United States Department of Justice
Suite 13100
1425 New York Avenue
Washington D.C. 20530

Per OIG (b)(6)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 11:15 AM
To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) <Per OIG (b)(6)@USDOJ.GOV>
Subject: RE: OIG

Per OIG (b)(6)

Just saw your call number – can't get into my voice mail at present (password reset)

Is there a good time to call you back?

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per F

From: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) <Per OIG (b)(6)@USDOJ.GOV>
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 9:48 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
Subject: RE: OIG

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

- I will be down in a second.

Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight and Review Division
Office of the Inspector General
United States Department of Justice
Suite 13100
1425 New York Avenue
Washington D.C. 20530

Per OIG (b)(6)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per F
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 9:42 AM
To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) <Per OIG (b)(6)@USDOJ.GOV>
Subject: Re: OIG

Downstairs in lobby – arrived earlier than anticipated, but I have a book to keep me occupied

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 10, 2018, at 1:29 PM, Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) <Per OIG (b)(6)@USDOJ.GOV> wrote:

Yes, that works for me; it shouldn't take more than 10-15 minutes.

Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight and Review Division
Office of the Inspector General
United States Department of Justice
Suite 13100
1425 New York Avenue
Washington D.C. 20530

Per OIG (b)(6)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 1:18 PM
To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV>
Cc: BAM (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: OIG

I certainly can do that, but I'll have a hard 2PM stop time. If 30 minutes works for you, I'm available next Wednesday.

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

From: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)

Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 1:16 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
Cc: BAM (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: OIG

How about 1:30 then? It won't take long.

Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight and Review Division
Office of the Inspector General
United States Department of Justice
Suite 13100
1425 New York Avenue
Washington D.C. 20530

Per OIG (b)(6)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 1:08 PM
To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV>
Cc: BAM (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: OIG

Per OIG (b)(6)

Unfortunately, Wednesdays in the fall are early-in, early out days in the office for me. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI so I'm out of the office by about 2PM. Possibly another day?

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

From: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)

Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 1:04 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
Subject: OIG

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

- I will be back at the SCO next Wednesday afternoon. Are you available between approximately 3-4? I have documents to review and would like to meet briefly afterwards.

Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight and Review Division
Office of the Inspector General
United States Department of Justice
Suite 13100
1425 New York Avenue
Washington D.C. 20530

Per OIG (b)(6)

To: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG) Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI
Sent: Wed 4/3/2019 11:23:26 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: OIG
Received: Thur 4/4/2019 7:31:19 AM

Certainly. For scheduling, do you anticipate one more session, two more sessions? 8 hours? Next week may work depending on how much time is necessary.

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

----- Original message -----

From: "Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)" Per OIG (b)(6) @usdoj.gov
Date: 4/3/19 10:04 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
Subject: OIG

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

- can you please give me a call. We need to find a time to Per OIG (b)(5)

Thank you,

Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight and Review Division
Office of the Inspector General
United States Department of Justice
Suite 13100
1425 New York Avenue
Washington D.C. 20530

Per OIG (b)(6)

Ⓜ

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
From: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG)
Sent: Mon 10/1/2018 5:37:40 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: OIG
Received: Mon 10/1/2018 5:37:00 PM

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI - I tried telephoning you at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI but the voicemail did not appear to be for you. Can you please contact me at the number below.

Thank you,

Per OIG (b)(6)

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight and Review Division
Office of the Inspector General
United States Department of Justice
Suite 13100
1425 New York Avenue
Washington D.C. 20530

Per OIG (b)(6)

☐

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
Cc: Per OIG (b)(6) (OIG Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV]
From: Per OIG (b)(6) OIG
Sent: Tue 10/30/2018 2:44:34 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: DOJ-OIG Request
Received: Tue 10/30/2018 2:44:00 PM

Hi (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per

My names is Per OIG (b)(6) and I am an Investigative Counsel with the Department of Justice, Office of the Inspector General. My office is conducting an examination involving, among other things, Per OIG (b)(5) Per OIG (b)(5) As part of our review, we need to interview you as a fact witness.

Can you please call me when you receive this message at Per OIG (b)(6) to set up a time for you to come to our office for an interview.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Kind regards,

Per OIG (b)(6)

Investigative Counsel
Oversight and Review Division
Office of the Inspector General
United States Department of Justice
Office: Per OIG (b)(6)
Cell: Per OIG (b)(6)
E-mail: Per OIG (b)(6) @usdoj.gov

Bcc: Per OIG (b)(6) [OIG Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV]; Per OIG (b)(6)
(OIG) Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV; Per OIG (b)(6) [OIG Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV]; Per OIG (b)(6)
(OIG) Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV; Per OIG (b)(6) [OIG Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV]
Cc: Per OIG (b)(6) [OIG Per OIG (b)(6) USDOJ.GOV]
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI
From: Per OIG (b)(6) [OIG]
Sent: Wed 10/31/2018 8:31:59 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: OIG Interview
Received: Wed 10/31/2018 8:32:01 PM

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

It was nice speaking with you a few moments ago. This is to confirm our interview of you as a fact witness (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI [redacted] our office located at 1425 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 13100.

As we discussed, the interview will be taken under oath and recorded.

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me at Per OIG (b)(6) [redacted]

Kind regards,

Per OIG (b)(6) [redacted]

Sent from my iPhone