

Steve Beaver

From: Steve Beaver
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2017 11:38 AM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Scandals

Would you please assign a Special Attorney to look into the Clinton e-mail server and the Clinton Foundation?

It would do go a long way to destroying the culture of pay-to-pay and all the treason is stopped.

Steve Beaver

(b) (6)

Office : 806-368-3859

Cell : (b) (6)

This electronic mail (including any attachments) may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or otherwise protected from disclosure to anyone other than its intended recipient(s). Any dissemination or use of this electronic email or its contents (including any attachments) by persons other than the intended recipient(s) is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by reply email so that we may correct our internal records. Please then delete the original message (including any attachments) in its entirety. Thank you

OIP-0470

AnnaRose King

From: AnnaRose King
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 8:58 AM
To: rjrosenstein@usdoj.gov; Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD); rjr@usdoj.gov
Subject: Please appoint an independent prosecutor to investigate Russia

You must have seen today's open letter to you in the New York Times editorial pages.

Please do not let your integrity be exploited by this administration.

Appoint a special counsel to investigate the Trump family's and campaign's and administration's ties to Russia.

Thank you
AnnaRose King
Brooklyn, NY

OIP-0471

Karen Telis

From: Karen Telis
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 8:41 AM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Please Appoint a Special and Independent Investigator Regarding Trump Administration and Campaign Activities with Russia

You must have seen today's open letter to you in the New York Times editorial pages.

Please do not let your integrity be exploited by this administration.

Appoint a special counsel to investigate the Trump family's and campaign's and administration's ties to Russia.

Thank you,

Karen A. Telis, Esq.

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

Tel. +1 202 244 6858

Mob. (b) (6)

The above communication contains information that may be confidential and/or privileged. Except for use by the intended recipient, or as expressly authorized by the sender, any person who receives this information is prohibited from disclosing, copying, distributing, and/or using it. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately delete it and all copies, and promptly notify the sender at the above telephone number or electronic mail address.

OIP-0472

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Sunday, May 21, 2017 1:43 PM
To: (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (USMS)
Subject: RE: Inappropriate Communications Received at Residence

Thanks.

From: (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (USMS) [mailto:(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F)]
Sent: Sunday, May 21, 2017 1:24 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG) <rosenstein@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F)
Subject: Inappropriate Communications Received at Residence

Good Afternoon Sir,

I would like to give you an update with regards to the letters you received earlier this week at your residence. I opened each letter and reviewed them for any threatening language, to which I found none.

Most of the letters had nearly identical verbiage, to include:

"...my faith in our Justice System is shaken..."

"...restore my faith by swiftly appointing a special prosecutor..."

If you have any questions or concerns please let me know.

Thank you,

(b) (6), (b)(7)(C)

(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F)

Senior Inspector
Deputy Attorney General's Protection Detail
Cell: (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F)



United States Marshals Service
Justice Integrity Service



Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 9:52 AM
To: (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (JMD)
Subject: Re: Mail 5/16/17

Thanks.

On May 16, 2017, at 9:46 AM, (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (JMD) (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) wrote:

Sir:

I reviewed the mail materials you provided me this morning. The 27 separate pieces of mail contained no inappropriate communications, and the majority of which followed the same form language in requesting you to "appoint a special prosecutor".

If you have any questions or concerns please let us know.

Thank you

(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) Senior Inspector
U.S. Marshals Service
Office of Protective Operations
Deputy Attorney General's Protection Detail
Desk: (202) 514- (b) (6), (b)(7)
Cell: (202) 441- (b) (6), (b)(7)

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 9:13 AM
To: b(6)- Mark Wolf, U.S. Courts email
Subject: Re: Edward Levi on Special Prosecutors

Thanks.

> On May 16, 2017, at 8:42 AM, b(6)- Mark Wolf, U.S. Courts email

wrote:

>

>

>

> Dear Rod,

>

> Thank you for encouraging me to stay in touch. I know you have little time > for extra reading, but you may want to look at the attached testimony that > Edward Levi gave in 1976 concerning Special Prosecutors. As you will see, > Edward opposed the proposed legislation concerning Special Prosecutors. In > view of the understandable pressures at the time, he proposed that a new, > permanent position be established in the Department of Justice instead. I > do not mean to suggest that I believe that anything similarly permanent is > now needed, but rather that, if doing something proves necessary, you may > want to consider whether a special appointment within the Department would > most appropriate.

>

> In any event, again, good luck.

>

> With best wishes,

>

> Sincerely,

>

> Mark

>

> (See attached file: 2017_05_15_08_52_55.pdf) > <2017_05_15_08_52_55.pdf>

b(6)- Mark Wolf, U.S. Courts email

From: b(6)- Mark Wolf, U.S. Courts email
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 8:42 AM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Subject: Edward Levi on Special Prosecutors
Attachments: 2017_05_15_08_52_55.pdf

Dear Rod,

Thank you for encouraging me to stay in touch. I know you have little time for extra reading, but you may want to look at the attached testimony that Edward Levi gave in 1976 concerning Special Prosecutors. As you will see, Edward opposed the proposed legislation concerning Special Prosecutors. In view of the understandable pressures at the time, he proposed that a new, permanent position be established in the Department of Justice instead. I do not mean to suggest that I believe that anything similarly permanent is now needed, but rather that, if doing something proves necessary, you may want to consider whether a special appointment within the Department would most appropriate.

In any event, again, good luck.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

Mark

(See attached file: 2017_05_15_08_52_55.pdf)



Department of Justice

STATEMENT

OF

THE HONORABLE EDWARD H. LEVI
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

BEFORE

THE HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE,
SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

CONCERNING

H.R. 14476

9:45 A.M.
FRIDAY, JULY 23, 1976
RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, D.C.

OIP-0477

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

I appear here today in response to your invitation to comment upon H.R. 14476 which, as you know, provides for appointment of special prosecutors in certain cases and establishes a Division of Government Crimes within the Department of Justice.

H.R. 14476 represents an understandable effort to remove personal or partisan bias -- or the public perception of such bias -- from Federal law enforcement. The effort is an important one. My view is, however, that H.R. 14476 is not the most effective or appropriate means for curing the evils at which it is directed. The President has proposed an alternative to H.R. 14476 which I would also like to discuss with you.

I should like to summarize very quickly the main provisions of H.R. 14476. It provides for the appointment of a temporary special prosecutor for each case in which the President or Attorney General has a conflict of interest or appearance of a conflict. "Conflict of interest" is defined in section 594(c) (1) as "a direct and substantial personal or partisan political interest in the outcome of the proposed criminal investigation or prosecution."

Under the next paragraph, a conflict of interest or its appearance is automatically deemed to exist in all cases

involving the President, the Vice President, any Cabinet officer, an individual in the Executive Office of the President compensated at a rate of Level V or above, the Director of the FBI, and any person who has held such a position in the four years prior to the investigation or prosecution. In cases not involving these stated individuals a conflict of interest or its appearance still may be held to exist under other circumstances and to require the appointment of a special prosecutor. The test would be the direct and substantial personal or partisan interest of the President or Attorney General.

Section 594(a) provides that within thirty days of learning of a matter in which a conflict of interest or appearance of conflict may exist, the Attorney General must file with a special division of three judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia a memorandum, which would be available to the public, setting forth (1) a summary of the allegations received; (2) the results of his preliminary investigation; (3) a summary of the information relating to the possible conflict of interest; and (4) a finding on whether the case is "clearly frivolous" and therefore does not justify any further investigation or prosecution. A decision that an allegation is "clearly frivolous" is not judicially reviewable. It will terminate, unless new allegations or evidence are received, the Court's ability to appoint a special prosecutor. Absent such a finding by the Attorney General, the question of conflict of interest becomes material. When the Attorney General determines that a case does not involve a conflict of interest, the court reviews his .

decision de novo and appoints a special prosecutor if it disagrees with his conclusion. If the Attorney General has determined that the case does involve a conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict, the Attorney General must appoint a special prosecutor and define his jurisdiction. The court will then review this action to assure that the appointee meets the statutory criteria, including breadth of authority, and may make a superseding appointment.

In addition, Section 594(b) establishes a procedure by which a private citizen may initiate court consideration of the appointment of a special prosecutor thirty days after the citizen has requested the Attorney General to consider such an appointment.

Under section 594(d), no employee of the Federal government, including a special prosecutor, may be appointed a special prosecutor. This requires that a new special prosecutor, if one is to be named, be named for each case. Thus there could and indeed would be a multitude of independent special prosecutors.

Section 595(e) gives a temporary special prosecutor the same authority as the Assistant Attorney General for Government Crimes -- whose authority is not defined in the bill -- and, in addition, empowers him to appeal any court decision without obtaining the Attorney General's approval. Pursuant to section 595(d) (2) a special prosecutor could be removed by the Attorney General only for extraordinary improprieties and then only subject to court review.

OIP-0480

In my view, H.R. 14476 is of highly questionable constitutionality. It would create opportunities for actual or apparent partisan influence in law enforcement; publicize and dignify unfounded, scurrilous allegations against public officials; result in the continuing existence of a changing band of multiplicity of special prosecutors; and promote the possibility of unequal justice.

The role of the judiciary under H.R. 14476 raises substantial constitutional questions. These include:

(1) The conferral upon a court of the power to appoint an official who is to perform significant "executive functions" and who is not "inferior" to any other official in the sense of being subject to direction and control;

(2) The assignment to a court of powers (I don't know whether Article II or II), such as the reviewing of Attorney General appointments and decisions, which are unrelated to the constitutionally prescribed function of deciding "cases and controversies."

H.R. 14476 might have several significant unintended effects, which should be recognized. The bill requires that the Attorney General determine whether he or the President has a "direct and substantial personal or partisan interest in the outcome of a proposed criminal investigation or prosecution." It would often be necessary for the Attorney General to consult the President concerning matters which are, under the bill, apparently regarded as particularly sensitive. This would, I think, require checking with the White House with respect to names which might arise, in fact, would arise, in the course of routine criminal investigations -- a kind of checking as to interest which otherwise I should not think the bill would wish to require.

The bill requires that whenever the Attorney General receives an allegation of wrongdoing which is directed against certain high government officials or which would otherwise present a possible conflict of interest, he must file a detailed memorandum describing the charge and the results of the investigation into it with the special court. Any individual who submits an allegation of criminal wrongdoing to the Attorney General has the power to compel a similar reference. No safeguards for confidentiality are set forth.

This procedure enables any individual to convert a private allegation against a high government official into a highly publicized investigation. Charges of this sort could well become the natural corollary and complement to most civil suits involving government officials. The fact that such charges would be disseminated and dignified by the process

established by the bill would inevitably encourage those who wish to use it for partisan or other improper purposes.

In enabling the criminal investigative process to be transformed into a media event each time high state or federal officials or members of Congress are involved, the bill casts aside one of the most decent traditions of our criminal law system. This procedure for spreading improper charges contributes to a public attitude of cynicism and distrust of government officials -- again a problem which the bill is intended to help solve.

I understand that some supporters of H.R. 14476 expected that it would rarely require the appointment of special prosecutors. But so far as we can tell from the definitions used, the contrary would be true. There might, for example, at the present time be twelve investigations where a per se conflict of interest would exist under H.R. 14476. The Criminal Division has located recent or current cases involving at least 40 public officials, in the Executive Branch, the Judiciary and the Congress, in which it would be necessary to determine whether the President or Attorney General have, or appear to have a substantial partisan or personal interest. There are other cases involving campaign contributions or politically active labor unions, or associates of prominent political figures which conceivably under the definition of the bill might trigger the appointment of a special prosecutor.

I realize that the appointment of a temporary special prosecutor would not be required if there is a certification

of "clearly frivolous" made by the Attorney General. But I believe that in most matters such a certification would be difficult to give after only thirty days of investigation. The wildest allegations often require the most careful investigation and review -- and wild allegations are to be expected. I do not believe any Attorney General with a sense of responsibility and a modicum of sense would give such a certification often.

The cumulative effect of these provisions would be the referral of many matters to numerous special prosecutors. The existence of a multiplicity of special prosecutors each with only one case enhances the likelihood of unequal justice. This kind of a special prosecutor would be subject to formidable public -- and perhaps self-imposed -- pressure to indict in the one case he was appointed to pursue.

Decisions regarding electronic surveillance, immunity and every other area of prosecutorial discretion from plea bargaining to appeals would be made on an ad hoc basis by many special prosecutors who are independent of each other and have not regularly engaged in making such decisions.

These objections to H.R. 14476 have been shared with the Senate Government Operations Committee when it was considering the verbatim counterpart of this bill. Some of these problems can be ameliorated but in my view not cured by relatively simple amendments. But I believe these fundamental constitutional and practical difficulties still remain.

The President has submitted alternative proposed legislation, which I hope this committee will consider along with this bill. The President's proposal would establish a permanent Office of Special Prosecutor to investigate and prosecute criminal wrongdoing committed by high level government officials. The Special Prosecutor would be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, for a single three year term. At the end of the term, a new Special Prosecutor would be appointed. An individual would be disqualified for such an appointment if during the five previous years the individual held a high level position of trust on the personal campaign staff of, or in an organization or political party working on behalf of, a candidate for any

elective Federal office.

Any allegation of criminal wrongdoing concerning the President, Vice President, Members of Congress, or persons compensated at the rate of Level I or II of the Executive Schedule would be referred ~~directly to the~~ Special Prosecutor for investigation and, if warranted, prosecution. Although allegations involving these officials would have to be referred to the Special Prosecutor, he could decline to assert jurisdiction if the allegation or information has a peripheral or incidental part of an investigation or prosecution already being conducted elsewhere in the Department or if, for some other reason, the Special Prosecutor determined that it would be in the interest of the administration of justice to permit the matter to be handled elsewhere in the Department. In such cases, the Special Prosecutor could establish such procedures as he thought necessary and appropriate to keep him informed of the progress of the investigation or prosecution and at any time he could assume direct responsibility for undertaking the investigation or prosecution.

The Attorney General could also refer to the Special Prosecutor any other allegation involving a violation of criminal law whenever he found that it was in the best interest of the administration of justice. The Special Prosecutor could, however, decline to accept the referral of the allegation. In that event, the allegation would be investigated by the Department of Justice

in the normal course which of course means that the investigation might be under the supervision of the Section on Government Crimes in the Criminal Division or conducted by a United States Attorney's office.

Under the President's proposal, the Special Prosecutor would have plenary authority to investigate and prosecute matters within his jurisdiction, including the authority to appeal adverse judicial rulings. In the event of a disagreement with the Special Prosecutor on an issue of law, the Attorney General would be free to present the views of the United States to the court before which the prosecution or appeal was lodged. In exercising his authority, the Special Prosecutor would not be subject to the direction or control of the Attorney General, except as to those matters which by statute specifically require the Attorney General's personal action, approval, or concurrence.

The President's proposal provides that the grounds for removal of a Special Prosecutor should be, and to the maximum extent permitted by the Constitution shall be, limited to those which constitute extraordinary impropriety.

This approach, I believe, avoids the serious constitutional issues -- I don't say all -- posed by the judicial appointment process set forth in H.R. 14476 by adopting the traditional model for the appointment of officials who perform functions exclusively executive in nature -- nomination by the President and appointment with the advice and consent of the Senate. Other unfortunate consequences of H.R. 14476 are avoided as well. The possibility of multiple special prosecutors being appointed is eliminated. The appointment process is not fraught with vexing problems that arise from the vague standards which trigger the appointment and will not publicize allegations that may ultimately prove to be unfounded, because the appointment is not limited to a specific allegation. Unlike H.R. 14476 which places undue pressure upon a temporary special prosecutor to seek and secure a conviction for the single allegation over which he has jurisdiction, this approach allows the proper exercise of prosecutorial judgments because a permanent special prosecutor will have a broader jurisdiction.

I assume all recognize that in times of the greatest doubt concerning the ability of the administration of justice to function a special prosecutor is necessary. In the past, a special prosecutor has been appointed during at least some of those occasions. I believe it must be recognized that in addition that in those times of lingering concern, following periods of great doubt, a special prosecutor may be a necessary response. The law has to rest upon the confidence and faith of the citizenry. I realize people will judge differently when events cry out for this unusual remedy, or when

the aftermath of such events makes the retention or creation of such a remedy wise public policy. The remedy itself can cause a message of unevenness in the enforcement of the law, unless the remedy itself is perhaps regarded as vestigial, left over from a crisis of the past, or as established in permanent form because that is the way to avoid some of the trauma of prior days. And even then the fact of the remedy may create an unevenness. But the failure to have a special prosecutor when there is a need for reassurance can further undermine faith. The dilemma of the public policy decision is obvious. I believe the prevailing sentiment of those scholars and lawyers who have considered the question over the last two years has been in general against the institution of a permanent special prosecutor. I need hardly remind the Chairman and this committee of those discussions.

As one approaches the question of the appointment of a special prosecutor today--for this period--one alternative would be to merely continue the Watergate Special Prosecutor's office now in place through the orders of the Department until such time as this is seen to be unnecessary. Such an alternative seems insufficient. The order would have to be revised in any event and there would be a strong desire to have it stand in statutory form. The attempt to put it in statutory form then becomes an exercise in the creation of a temporary special prosecutor, which can require a trigger mechanism as to when it is used, or comes into being, as in

H.R. 14476, or some other kinds of mechanism, presumably not yet tried or developed, as to when the mechanism is no longer necessary.

A confrontation with these problems and other institutionalized forms for the temporary special prosecutor suggests that it is better to go against what was the prevailing wisdom and to decide that among these alternatives a permanent special prosecutor with succeeding incumbents limited to fixed periods of appointment, and with a defined area of automatic jurisdiction, and further jurisdiction by discretionary referral, is the preferable course.

That is the course which the President has taken and I urge your favorable consideration of the President's proposal.

Mr. Chairman, there are other matters on which I might comment in connection with H.R. 14476, particularly with respect to the proposal for a Division of Government Crimes where the President has proposed an alternate way which recognizes the steps which have been taken under his administration in the Department of Justice to create such units in a way which I believe to be more workable. We can submit these views to you in writing or in further testimony if you desire. But I believe it is the Special Prosecutor point which requires and of course has received the greatest attention.

Mr. Chairman, I found when I came to my present office about a year and a half ago that there was some kind of a

division in Washington between those who had lived through the Watergate experience in this city, and those who like myself had come lately. Perhaps the perspective is different. I am rather sure it is. But the whole country, of course, lived through Watergate. And our constitutional system did work. I assume that whatever the perspective we have we all agree we must learn from the past but not cherish -- or at least overly cherish -- the scars. In saying this I do not mean to detract in the slightest from the awesome concerns of that time nor for that matter from the awesome responsibilities which government, this Committee, and citizenship always carry. I mean rather to suggest the mood with which all of us, I believe, would hope to approach the question of appropriate reforms. I have tried to do this. It has resulted in my own abandonment of the received wisdom against a permanent special prosecutor and in my advocacy for it as against the temporary special prosecutor.

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2017 2:41 PM
To: (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (ODAG)
Subject: Re: Letters Received by the DAG

Thanks.

On May 14, 2017, at 1:10 PM, (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (ODAG) <(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F)> wrote:

Good afternoon Sir. In reference to the letters you recently received, there was nothing of concern. Please see email below.

(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F)

Begin forwarded message:

From: "(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (JMD)" (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) >
Date: May 14, 2017 at 12:36:01 PM EDT
To: '(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) >
Cc: '(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (USMS)" (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) >
Subject: Re: Letters Received by the DAG

Copy sir. Thanks.

On May 14, 2017, at 12:32 PM, (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (ODAG) (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) wrote:

(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F)

The DAG received five letters in the mail which he gave us this morning for review. I opened each letter and read the contents for any threatening language, to which I found **none**. Each letter contained verbatim phrases and sentences, phrases to the effect of:

"Along with millions of my fellow citizens..."

"my faith in our Justice System is shaken..."

"restore my faith by swiftly appointing a special prosecutor..."

Four of the letters are postmarked from New York, one from Pennsylvania and all contain the senders name. These letters will be forwarded to the PII handling the (b) (6) for the DAG.

Thank you.

OIP-0492

Thank you,

(b) (6), (b)(7)(C)

(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F)

Senior Inspector

Deputy Attorney General's Protection Detail

Cell: (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F)

Marc Chodera

From: Marc Chodera
Sent: Saturday, May 13, 2017 1:14 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: The Nation is depending upon you

Mr. Rosenstein:

It is imperative that you appoint a special prosecutor who is independent of the Trump administration and the Department of Justice. There is no sign that the Republican-led Congress or Senate investigations will be properly staffed, competently run or be truly non-partisan. To date, despite withering information issuing daily, Republicans continue to place their party above the Constitution and the Country.

Americans are losing faith in the Department of Justice and F.B.I. at an alarming rate and Trump clearly believes that he is above the law. This cannot continue.

I have heard that you are a straight shooter, Mr. Rosenstein. A straight shooter would want this investigation handled properly, completely and expediently.

The fate of the nation is in your hands. Do the right thing, please.

Very truly yours,

Marc D. Chodera

OIP-0494

(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (ODAG)

From: (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (ODAG)
Sent: Saturday, May 13, 2017 12:11 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Subject: Re: Letter

Yes Sir.

(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F)

On May 13, 2017, at 12:06 PM, Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG) <rosenstein@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

An AG staffer is picking it up for me. Thanks.

On May 13, 2017, at 10:44 AM, (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) wrote:

Sir, for lunch if you are wanting something that someone from the detail can go out and get for you to bring back, just let (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) know. Press is still set up outside DOJ.

(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Saturday, May 13, 2017 10:41 AM
To: (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F)
Subject: Re: Letter

Thanks.

On May 13, 2017, at 10:19 AM, (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) wrote:

Sir, I've reviewed the letter that you provided (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) this morning. The letter and postcard disclose no inappropriate communications but will be forwarded to our threat investigator. The individual that sent the letter was asking to have a special prosecutor appointed to look into Russian interference with the election. It was very short, two sentences and he clearly disclosed all his contact information. If there are any developments that you (b) (6) need to be made aware of, those notifications will be made as soon as I have them.

(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F)

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Saturday, May 13, 2017 11:00 AM
To: (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (ODAG)
Subject: Re: Letter

Thanks.

On May 13, 2017, at 10:44 AM, (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) wrote:

Duplicate

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 6:37 PM
To: Horwitz, Sari
Subject: Re: Is this true?

Off the record: I have not said anything about that.

**Please delete my old .gov email address if it is in your contacts list.*

On May 12, 2017, at 6:09 PM, Horwitz, Sari <Sari.Horwitz@washpost.com> wrote:

CNN is reporting that you have said that you "do not see the need for a special prosecutor?" Is that accurate? Did you say that today?

Sari Horwitz
Washington Post Staff Writer
(202) 334-7284
Cell: (b) (6)
@sarihorwitz
Bio and stories: wapo.st/sarihorwitz

Elizabeth Leland

From: Elizabeth Leland
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 12:42 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Special prosecutor

Good afternoon,

I am writing to ask you to appoint a special prosecutor to oversee the investigation into possible Russian interference in our election. I am very troubled by the accusations and believe our country needs -- and deserves -- an independent investigation to get to the bottom of this.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Leland
Charlotte, N.C.

OIP-0498

Melinda Wood

From: Melinda Wood
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 12:29 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Special Prosecutor Essential

Dear Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein,

Please rebuild the trust of the American people by appointing a special prosecutor on the investigation of Russian meddling in the 2016 election.

I am deeply concerned about the existing and potential ramifications of this and what also appears to be systematic and targeted efforts to mislead and redirect inquiry into this.

Concerned citizen,

Melinda Wood

(b) (6)

Gail Magnuson

From: Gail Magnuson
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 12:29 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Please appoint appoint a special prosecutor to oversee the ongoing investigation of Russian meddling in the 2016 election!

--
Gail Ann Magnuson
Mobile: (b) (6)
Residence: Ponce Inlet, FL

Mailing Address

(b) (6)

Alberto Alonso

From: Alberto Alonso
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 12:20 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Special Prosecutor to save Democracy in the United States

Dear Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein,

I urge you to appoint a special prosecutor to oversee the ongoing investigation of Russian meddling in the 2016 election. Without a true, thorough, and free non-partisan investigation our democratic future will be tainted at best and destroyed at worst.

If you are a true American and really care about this country you must do this not just to clear your name in the history books, but to give America a bright future.

Sincerely,

Alberto R. Alonso
Charlotte, NC

OIP-0501

Alan White

From: Alan White
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 11:31 AM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Special prosecutor

Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein:

Given your record, I'm confident that you know that appointing a special prosecutor to guide the investigation into Russian meddling in the 2016 Presidential election. I write to urge, as strongly as I can, to choose the right person for that appointment, and then to make the appointment.

Thank you.

Alan White

Mark Hopkins Professor of Philosophy

Williams College

Williamstown, MA 01267

OIP-0502

Margie Storch

From: Margie Storch
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 10:05 AM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Amercia needs special prosecutor

Americans need to know if our President or other top administration officials are colluding with foreign powers.

We need to uphold the separation of powers to get to the truth in this matter.

It is vitally important that each of us do what we can in this challenging situation to create an impartial independent investigation. Unfortunately, the President has shown multiple attempts to interfere in the FBI's work.

Our country needs a special prosecutor NOW.

Margie Storch

(b) (6)

Michael Maltz

From: Michael Maltz
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 9:37 AM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Special Prosecutor

Dear Mr. Rosenstein,

A special prosecutor with the unmatched integrity of Patrick Fitzgerald should be appointed to continue the investigation of Russian activity during the last election.

Mike Maltz

--

Michael D. Maltz

(b) (6)

<http://uic.academia.edu/MichaelMaltz>

Klein Rosemary

From: Klein Rosemary
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 9:18 AM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Russia investigation

I am a resident of Davidson, North Carolina, and I am writing you to urge you to appoint a special prosecutor to continue the investigation into Russia's contact with our current governing team.

Thank you,

Rosemary Klein

OIP-0505

Crowell, James (ODAG)

From: Crowell, James (ODAG)
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 2:57 AM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Subject: Fwd: Proposed statement
Attachments: Crossfire -- proposed public statement on Special Prosecutor.docx; ATT00001.htm

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)" <tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Date: May 10, 2017 at 11:56:34 PM EDT
To: "Crowell, James (ODAG)" <jcrowell@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: Proposed statement

(b) (5)

Thanks,
Tash

From: Laufman, David (NSD)
Sent: Wednesday, May 10, 2017 3:56 PM
To: Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG) <tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: McCord, Mary (NSD) <mmccord@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Toscas, George (NSD) <gtoscas@jmd.usdoj.gov>; (b) (6) (NSD) <(b) (6)> (b) (6) (NSD) <(b) (6)> Van Grack, Brandon (NSD) <bvangrack@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Proposed statement

Tash:

Per Rod's request, here is some suggested language for a possible public statement.

Thanks –

David

David H. Laufman
Chief
Counterintelligence and Export Control Section
National Security Division
U.S. Department of Justice

Office: 202.233.2134

OIP-0506

Mobile: (b) (6)
CMS: (b) (6)
David.Laufman@usdoj.gov

Ryan Williams

From: Ryan Williams
Sent: Wednesday, May 10, 2017 7:05 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Maryland begs you - please appoint an independent special prosecutor!

As a fellow Marylander, I beg you - please do all you can to ensure transparency within this administration. The facts we have learned are discouraging at best and terrifying at worst -- we need to learn the full truth and I have no confidence in President Trump's desire or ability to get us there.

Please honor the Constitution and your country and do everything you can to protect our nation's vital institutions. Please.

Thanks for your time,

Ryan Williams, a voter from Smithsburg, Maryland

(b) (6)

#

**Remarks of
The Honorable Rod J. Rosenstein
Deputy Attorney General
National Missing Children's Day Ceremony
Washington, DC
Wednesday, May 24, 2017**

Thank you, Eileen [Garry], for that kind introduction. It's my privilege to welcome all of you to the Department of Justice, and to join Eileen as we recognize these extraordinary honorees.

I'd like to take just a moment to thank the leadership and staff of our Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, who organize this event every year. They've done an outstanding job again this year, and I'm grateful for all they do, every day, to make our country safer for our children.

I want to welcome my fellow speakers, Gina DeJesus and John Clark. Gina, I want to thank you for taking the time to be with us today to tell your incredible story. It's a story of remarkable courage in the face of unimaginable odds. We are honored to have you here to share it with us.

And my great thanks to John Clark and our friends from the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. The Department of Justice and the National Center have enjoyed a long-standing partnership that has realized tremendous gains in child and community safety, both measurable and immeasurable. The CyberTipline manned by the Center's staff has allowed citizens and Internet service providers to send law enforcement agencies millions of reports of online enticement.¹ And its 24-hour hotline fields tens of thousands of calls each year from families and concerned citizens sharing information about missing and exploited children. There is no question that countless young people have been spared harm thanks to the National Center's resources. Our kids are safer because of all they do.

I'm pleased to be here today to honor these outstanding individuals and teams for their efforts to find and rescue missing and exploited children and to bring perpetrators to justice. Their achievements, and the stories behind them, remind us that it takes a very special type of person to do the work that they do. Perseverance and ingenuity, vigilance and fortitude – these are qualities they possess in abundance, and those same qualities animate the work of everyone in this hall.

Detective Eric Kjorness showed these very traits as he investigated 15 child pornography cases across two states, winning guilty pleas from 4 offenders, with more legal action in the works. Special Agent Kathryn Gamble displayed them, as well, when she helped lead an international operation that rescued 22 children across 9 countries. The Alabama and Georgia Internet Crimes Against Children Task Forces applied their

¹ More than 12.7 million as of June 2016.

own savvy and resourcefulness to Operation Southern Impact, which yielded 29 arrests for the possession and distribution of child pornography. And T.J. Davis demonstrated his own heroic turn of mind when he identified an abducted 13-year-old girl and alerted authorities, saving her from a predator and almost certain harm – and helping preserve potential evidence in the process.

These exceptional men and women are shining examples of the courage and compassion we need today. They exemplify what it means to be a dedicated public servant, or a concerned citizen. Because of their diligence, their alertness, their deeply felt commitment to the most vulnerable among us, children are safer and their communities are healthier and more vibrant places.

As a federal prosecutor, I understand how very important it is to have smart, well-trained, devoted people handling these cases. Missing children and child exploitation are among the most difficult and wrenching matters for any criminal justice professional to handle. They reveal the worst of human nature. You need people who can withstand the powerful emotional impact and keep their sense of perspective. I saw this incredible resilience in the men and women I worked with during my time as U.S. Attorney. They won and continue to have my highest respect and esteem.

Now that I've been honored to take the number two post at the Department of Justice, I am proud to help lead an agency that supports the excellent work of these officers, and of the many law enforcement professionals and advocates in this room.

Our Internet Crimes Against Children task force program, led by OJJDP, is a prime example. I had the good fortune to work with some of the best investigators on our own task force in Maryland. And I know there are many more like them across the country. More than 4,500 federal, state, local, and tribal law enforcement and prosecutorial agencies nationwide are combatting computer-facilitated child exploitation under the aegis of the ICAC [Eye-kack] task force program. Task force investigations have led to the arrests of more than 73,000 individuals suspected of sexually exploiting children – 8,800 in the last year alone. Task force personnel have also given more than 14,000 presentations on Internet safety over the last year and supported almost 3,000 regional law enforcement trainings.

I'm proud, as well, of our involvement in the AMBER Alert program, another of the many fruits of our partnership with the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. Thanks to a strong network of law enforcement partners, transportation officials, and state coordinators, and an ever-expanding secondary distribution system through which Internet providers and wireless carriers send alerts, the AMBER Alert program has helped to recover more than 870 abducted children.

We continue to strive to make this vital public safety tool even more effective, because we know there's room for improvement. The tragic abduction and murder of Ashlynn Mike on the Navajo Indian reservation last year make it clear that, for all we've done over the years to strengthen the AMBER Alert network, there are still serious gaps in service. Eileen was on Capitol Hill earlier this month to participate in a listening

session with the Senate Indian Affairs Committee about our efforts to improve the way the system operates in Indian country. As she made very clear, we are committed to closing the gaps exposed by Ashlynn's death. Her family has honored us with their presence today, and to them, we open our hearts. Though it may be small consolation, we pledge to them that we will honor Ashlynn's memory by working harder than ever to prevent this from happening to another child.

We remember others who have gone missing, as well – Etan Patz [E-ton Pates] and Jacob Wetterling, whose families each received a measure of justice recently after decades of waiting and agony. We continue to mourn the loss of Etan and Jacob even as we are heartened by the superior work of the investigators who remained committed to solving these cases over so many years.

We are in awe of what they have done. We are in awe of everything each of you has done. And we will continue to stand with you as you carry out this vital work.

We are fortunate to have an Attorney General who has an almost single-minded focus on the safety of America's citizens, our children foremost among them. In carrying out the President's executive order on reducing crime, Attorney General Sessions has created a national task force to determine how we can make our neighborhoods safer. The task force is meeting with crime-fighters and experts across the country to recommend ways we in the federal government can strengthen relationships with state, local, and tribal partners – as we've done through the ICAC program – to combat violent crime across the country.

When the Attorney General talks about fighting crime and of the urgent task of reducing violence in America, he is thinking first of the young people of our country. He believes that it is a fundamental right of our citizens – and especially our kids – to live and thrive, free from the threat of harm, free to pursue one's dreams. That is why this Department of Justice is honored to be your partner, and it is why we will never let up in the fight to protect our children.

I thank you all for taking up this important work, and I look forward to accomplishing great things in the months and years ahead.

Thank you.

###

Leslie Caldwell

From: Leslie Caldwell
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 10:50 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Re: Hi

Rod: thanks for following up. Understatement that you have been busy!

I very much would still like to chat about the San Francisco US attorney job. I know many of the applicants and I have some thoughts that you can accept or reject.

Let me know what time might work for you to discuss.

Best,

Leslie

> On May 22, 2017, at 10:43 PM, Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD) <Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov> wrote:

>

> Sorry we did not connect. Busy couple of weeks! Please let me know if you still want to talk.

>

> *Please delete Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov<mailto:Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov> from your contacts and use my new DOJ email address instead: Rod.Rosenstein5@usdoj.gov<mailto:Rod.Rosenstein5@usdoj.gov>.

>

> On May 7, 2017, at 4:17 PM, Leslie Caldwell (b) (6) wrote:

>

> Rod:

>

> I can talk any time tmw. My # is (b) (6)

>

> Leslie

>

> On May 6, 2017, at 5:30 PM, Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD) <Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov<mailto:Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov>> wrote:

>

> Sure. What is the best phone number?

>

> *Please delete Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov<mailto:Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov><mailto:Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov> from your contacts and use my new DOJ email address instead:

stein@usdoj.gov> from your contacts and use my new DOJ email address instead:
Rod.Rosenstein5@usdoj.gov<mailto:Rod.Rosenstein5@usdoj.gov><mailto:Rod.Rosenstein5@usdoj.gov>.

>

> On May 6, 2017, at 4:32 PM, Leslie Caldwell (b) (6)

(b) (6) wrote:

>

> Rod:

>

> Do you have a few minutes to chat re next NDCA USA? At your convenience.

>

> Hope you are having a blast! I don't know Brian benczkowski but have heard good things from people I respect and trust, which makes me happy.

>

> Best,

>

> Leslie

>

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

Subject: Call Senator Capito

Start: Tuesday, May 23, 2017 10:00 AM

End: Tuesday, May 23, 2017 10:15 AM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Meeting organizer

Organizer: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 9:51 PM
To: Bressack, Leah (ODAG)
Subject: Senator Capito
Attachments: image1.PNG

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 8:13 PM
To: Hunt, Jody (OAG)
Subject: Re: Wray

Thanks.

Sent from my iPhone

On May 22, 2017, at 7:40 PM, Hunt, Jody (OAG) <johunt@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

Just a reminder for your planning purposes that Chris is scheduled to be here tomorrow 5:00-6:00 p.m.

OIP-0550

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 8:02 PM
To: Hunt, Jody (OAG)
Subject: RE: Wray

Thanks.

From: Hunt, Jody (OAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 7:41 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG) <rrosenstein@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Wray

Duplicate

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 7:31 PM
To: Hunt, Jody (OAG)
Subject: Fwd: Application Letter
Attachments: AG Sessions Letter.052217.pdf; ATT00001.htm

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: (b) (6) >
Date: May 22, 2017 at 7:29:44 PM EDT
To: <rod.rosenstein5@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Application Letter

Dear Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein:

Please find attached a corrected copy of the letter to General Sessions with his correct name! Geez!

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 7:31 PM
To: (b) (6)
Subject: Re: Application Letter

Thanks.

Sent from my iPhone

On May 22, 2017, at 7:30 PM, (b) (6) wrote:

Duplicate

Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 6:34 PM
To: (b) (6)
Subject: Re: Application-Director of FBI

Thank you. I will pass this on.

**Please delete Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov from your contacts and use my new DOJ email address instead: Rod.Rosenstein5@usdoj.gov.*

On May 22, 2017, at 4:38 PM, (b) (6) wrote:

Dear Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein:

Attached please find my letter application for the position of Director of the FBI, along with the documents referenced therein.

(b) (6)

<AG Sessions Letter.052217.pdf>

<(b) (6) .CV.052217.pdf>

<Dep AG Rosenstein.ltr.052217.pdf>

Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 6:34 PM
To: Hunt, Jody (OAG) (JMD)
Subject: Fwd: Application-Director of FBI
Attachments: AG Sessions Letter.052217.pdf; ATT00001.htm; (b) (6) :CV.052217.pdf; ATT00002.htm; Dep AG Rosenstein.ltr.052217.pdf; ATT00003.htm

Begin forwarded message:

From: (b) (6) >
Date: May 22, 2017 at 4:38:21 PM EDT
To: <rod.rosenstein@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Application-Director of FBI

Dear Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein:

Attached please find my letter application for the position of Director of the FBI, along with the documents referenced therein.

(b) (6)

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 5:59 PM
To: Rosenberg, Chuck (DEA); Patterson, Robert W. (DEA); Bumatay, Patrick (ODAG); Crowell, James (ODAG)
Subject: DEA Meet and Greet

POC: Marcia Murphy, Nathaniel Gamble 202-514-2101

Attendees:

ODAG: DAG Rosenstein, Patrick Bumatay, Jim Crowell

DEA: AA Chuck Rosenberg, Robert Patterson

Note: This meeting is limited to the invited attendees only. You are not authorized to forward this invitation. If you believe other individuals should be included, please contact the ODAG Front Office.

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 5:59 PM
To: Simms, Donna Y. (ODAG); Henderson, Charles V (ODAG)
Subject: DEA Meet and Greet

POC: Marcia Murphy, Nathaniel Gamble 202-514-2101

Attendees:

ODAG: DAG Rosenstein, Patrick Bumatay, Jim Crowell

DEA: AA Chuck Rosenberg, Robert Patterson

Note: This meeting is limited to the invited attendees only. You are not authorized to forward this invitation. If you believe other individuals should be included, please contact the ODAG Front Office.

(b) (6)

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 4:38 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Application-Director of FBI
Attachments: AG Sessions Letter.052217.pdf; (b) (6) .CV.052217.pdf; Dep AG
Rosenstein.ltr.052217.pdf

Dear Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein:

Attached please find my letter application for the position of Director of the FBI, along with the documents referenced therein.

(b) (6)

Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 4:05 PM
To: (b) (6)
Subject: Re: Court of Special Appeals

OK. Good luck!

**Please delete Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov from your contacts and use my new DOJ email address instead: Rod.Rosenstein5@usdoj.gov.*

On May 22, 2017, at 2:58 PM, (b) (6) (b) (6) wrote:

Rod,

I hope you are well...I know that you are quite busy. I will be brief. I applied for a seat on Maryland's Court of Special Appeals...one of my dream jobs. I am asking that you consider a brief letter of support for my application. If that is something you are unable or uncomfortable in doing, I certainly understand. You owe me nothing. If so, just a short couple of sentences would be great. Again, you owe me nothing.

I am attaching instructions and my resume.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

<LetterInstructionsCSA.pdf>

<(b) (6).Resume.doc>

Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 4:04 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG) (JMD)
Subject: Fwd: Court of Special Appeals
Attachments: LetterInstructionsCSA.pdf; ATT00001.htm; (b) (6) :Resume.doc; ATT00002.htm

**Please delete Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov from your contacts and use my new DOJ email address instead: Rod.Rosenstein5@usdoj.gov.*

Begin forwarded message:

From: (b) (6) <(b) (6)>
Date: May 22, 2017 at 2:56:13 PM EDT
To: "Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)" <Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Court of Special Appeals

Duplicate

Any letters written on your behalf should be sent to the Appellate Judicial Nominating Commission, c/o Administrative Office of the Courts, Human Resources Department, Maryland Judicial Center, 580 Taylor Avenue, Building A, First Floor, Annapolis, Maryland 21401 by Tuesday, May 30, 2017. Letters may also be scanned and emailed to b(6)- Debra kaminski, MD Courts Email. This will allow us time to photocopy and distribute letters to the Commission before it meets in Annapolis, Maryland on Tuesday, June 6, 2017 and Wednesday, June 7, 2017. Please note that we only need to receive one copy of each support letter.

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 3:35 PM
To: Simms, Donna Y. (ODAG); Henderson, Charles V (ODAG)
Subject: Walk about - Criminal Tax Division

POC: Marcia Murphy, Nathaniel Gamble 202-514-2101

Attendees:

ODAG: DAG Rosenstein, Amelia Medina, Jim Crowell

TAX: Stuart Goldberg

Note: This meeting is limited to the invited attendees only. You are not authorized to forward this invitation. If you believe other individuals should be included, please contact the ODAG Front Office.

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 3:35 PM
To: Goldberg, Stuart M. (TAX); Medina, Amelia (ODAG); Crowell, James (ODAG)
Subject: Walk about - Criminal Tax Division

POC: Marcia Murphy, Nathaniel Gamble 202-514-2101

Attendees:

ODAG: DAG Rosenstein, Amelia Medina, Jim Crowell

TAX: Stuart Goldberg

Note: This meeting is limited to the invited attendees only. You are not authorized to forward this invitation. If you believe other individuals should be included, please contact the ODAG Front Office.

From: OIPL@ondcp.eop.gov
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 1:55 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Please RSVP: Briefing on the President's FY18 Budget



May 22, 2017

Dear Friend,

Please join ONDCP senior leadership and me for a briefing on the President's FY 2018 budget. The conference call will be held on Tuesday, May 23rd at 3:30 PM (EST).

Please register to be included in this important discussion and learn how the President's FY18 budget supports the *National Drug Control Strategy* and programs in your communities.

[Register here](#)

Please note that you will be able to ask questions on this outreach call utilizing the prompts from our moderator.

We look forward to your participation on Tuesday, May 23rd.

Sincerely,

Richard Baum
Acting Director, National Drug Control Policy

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Simms, Donna Y. (ODAG); Henderson, Charles V (ODAG)
Subject: FISA signature

POC: Tashina Gauhar

OAG: Rachael Tucker

ODAG: Jim Crowell, Tashina Gauhar

NSD: Stuart Evans, Gabriel Sanz-Rexach, (b) (6) (b) (6)

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Tucker, Rachael (OAG); Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG); Crowell, James (ODAG); Evans, Stuart (NSD); Sanz-Rexach, Gabriel (NSD); (b) (6) (NSD); (b) (6) (NSD)
Cc: Crowell, James (ODAG)
Subject: FISA signature

POC: Tashina Gauhar
OAG: Rachael Tucker
ODAG: Jim Crowell, Tashina Gauhar
NSD: Stuart Evans, Gabriel Sanz-Rexach, (b) (6)

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 1:29 PM
To: (b) (6) (JMD)
Subject: FISA signature

POC: Tashina Gauhar
OAG: Rachael Tucker
ODAG: Jim Crowell, Tashina Gauhar
NSD: Stuart Evans, Gabriel Sanz-Rexach, (b) (6)

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 1:08 PM
To: Simms, Donna Y. (ODAG); Henderson, Charles V (ODAG)
Subject: FISA signature

POC: Tashina Gauhar
OAG: Rachael Tucker
ODAG: Jim Crowell, Tashina Gauhar
NSD: Stuart Evans, Gabriel Sanz-Rexach, (b) (6)

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 1:08 PM
To: Tucker, Rachael (OAG); Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG); Crowell, James (ODAG); Evans, Stuart (NSD); Sanz-Rexach, Gabriel (NSD); (b) (6) (NSD); (b) (6) (NSD)
Cc: Crowell, James (ODAG)
Subject: FISA signature

POC: Tashina Gauhar
OAG: Rachael Tucker
ODAG: Jim Crowell, Tashina Gauhar
NSD: Stuart Evans, Gabriel Sanz-Rexach, (b) (6)

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 1:08 PM
To: (b) (6) (JMD)
Subject: FISA signature

POC: Tashina Gauhar
OAG: Rachael Tucker
ODAG: Jim Crowell, Tashina Gauhar
NSD: Stuart Evans, Gabriel Sanz-Rexach, (b) (6)

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 11:17 AM
To: Walczak, Debra (OCDETF); Simms, Donna Y. (ODAG); Mchich, Lisa (OCDETF); Heckler, Tracy P (OCDETF); Cardwell, Christine (ODAG)
Subject: Staff Meeting with DAG

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 10:29 AM
To: Ghattas, Carl (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: Meeting with the DAG

POC:

Attendees: DAG Rosenstein and Carl Ghattas

Note: This meeting is limited to the invited attendees only. You are not authorized to forward this invitation. If you believe other individuals should be included, please contact the ODAG Front Office.

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 9:04 AM
To: (b) (6) (JMD)
Subject: FISA signature

POC: Tashina Gauhar
OAG: Rachael Tucker
ODAG: Jim Crowell, Tashina Gauhar
NSD: Stuart Evans, Gabriel Sanz-Rexach, (b) (6)

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 9:04 AM
To: Simms, Donna Y. (ODAG); Henderson, Charles V (ODAG)
Subject: FISA signature

POC: Tashina Gauhar
OAG: Rachael Tucker
ODAG: Jim Crowell, Tashina Gauhar
NSD: Stuart Evans, Gabriel Sanz-Rexach, (b) (6)

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 9:04 AM
To: Tucker, Rachael (OAG); Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG); Crowell, James (ODAG); Evans, Stuart (NSD); Sanz-Rexach, Gabriel (NSD); (b) (6) (NSD); (b) (6) (NSD)
Cc: Crowell, James (ODAG)
Subject: FISA signature

POC: Tashina Gauhar
OAG: Rachael Tucker
ODAG: Jim Crowell, Tashina Gauhar
NSD: Stuart Evans, Gabriel Sanz-Rexach, (b) (6)

Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 8:54 AM
To: Braga, Christopher R. (BS) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Belated congratulations

Thanks!

**Please delete Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov from your contacts and use my new DOJ email address instead: Rod.Rosenstein5@usdoj.gov.*

On May 22, 2017, at 7:33 AM, Braga, Christopher R. (BS) (FBI) <(b) (6), (b)(7)(C)> wrote:

Congratulations on new appointment. Would have said it earlier but you seem to be busy of late.
CB

(b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (JMD)

From: (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (JMD)
Sent: Sunday, May 21, 2017 2:23 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Subject: Re: (b) (6)

Thank you

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 21, 2017, at 2:18 PM, Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG) <rosenstein@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:
>
>
>
> <IMG_0038.JPG>
>

Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Sunday, May 21, 2017 2:18 PM
To: (b) (6), (b)(7)(C), (b) (7)(F) (JMD)
Subject: (b) (6)
Attachments: IMG_0038.JPG; ATT00001.txt

(b) (6)

Going

Maybe

No

- **Game Notes**

Check-in has been changed to be at the State Cup Headquarters Tent.

All players MUST arrive promptly to the State Cup Headquarters Tent (above Field # 8) one hour prior to game time for State Cup check-in. ALL players must be present for check in.

OIP-0598

Boente, Dana J. (NSD)

From: Boente, Dana J. (NSD)
Sent: Sunday, May 21, 2017 11:25 AM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Subject: RE: Time for a quick call?

(b) (6)

From: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG)
Sent: Sunday, May 21, 2017 11:24 AM
To: Boente, Dana J. (NSD) <djboente@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Time for a quick call?

Sure. What number?

From: Boente, Dana J. (NSD)
Sent: Sunday, May 21, 2017 11:11 AM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (ODAG) <rosenstein@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Time for a quick call?

Wray, Chris

From: Wray, Chris
Sent: Saturday, May 20, 2017 9:29 PM
To: Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD)
Subject: Re: Please call when you have a chance

Just tried you back. My cell is (b) (6)

Sent from my iPhone

On May 20, 2017, at 6:29 PM, Rosenstein, Rod (USAMD) <Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov<mailto:Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov>> wrote:

(b) (6)

*Please delete Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov<mailto:Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov><mailto:Rod.Rosenstein@usdoj.gov> from your contacts and use my new DOJ email address instead:
Rod.Rosenstein5@usdoj.gov<mailto:Rod.Rosenstein5@usdoj.gov><mailto:Rod.Rosenstein5@usdoj.gov>.

King & Spalding Confidentiality Notice:

This message is being sent by or on behalf of a lawyer. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete all copies of the message.