

Lederman, Marty

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Monday, May 3, 2010 7:30 AM
To: Barron, David; Guerra, Joseph R.; Monaco, Lisa (ODAG)
Subject: RE: Statement Options
Attachments: (b) (5) DOJ 5-03 corrected draft (2).doc (b) (5) .Statements Options
Insert doc.msl (2).docx

I had the same reaction as David: In all of these options (b) (5)

[REDACTED]

(b) (5)

[REDACTED]

Finally, as noted in my suggested edit, attache (b) (5)

[REDACTED]

I'm also attaching a few comments on the main document.

From: Barron, David
Sent: Sunday, May 02, 2010 6:34 PM
To: Guerra, Joseph R.; Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Lederman, Marty
Subject: Re: Statement Options

I get these are options but they leave out, I think, one conspicuous one (b) (5)

[REDACTED]

From: Guerra, Joseph R.
To: Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Barron, David
Sent: Sun May 02 14:17:05 2010
Subject: Statement Options

Lisa,

I can't remember if you were still at the meeting when Dan assigned us the task of preparing options for

(b) (5)

Attached is a draft of such an options memo. (David, let me know if the introductory discussion clarifies the issue that led to the confusion between Bob, you and me.)

I've also attached a mark-up of the dra

(b) (5)

On my screen, my changes appear in blue on pp. 3-4.

Joe

<<Statements.Options.Insert.doc.docx>> < (b) (5) DOJ 5-03 corrected draft.docx>>

(b) (5)

5. The only thing about the memo that gives me pause

(b) (5)

So on that one, I think your draft would give away too much, too soon.

6. (b) (5), I think it's worth noting that,

(b) (5)

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2010 7:11 PM
To: Barron, David
Cc: Rhee, Jeannie
Subject: RE: New draft

Finally got my computer service back up and reading through it now. It's terrific so far. On page 11, something missing here:

(b) (5)

Also, please join me to the internal DOJ and external email threads, thanks.

From: Barron, David
Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2010 5:47 PM
To: Lederman, Marty
Subject: Fw: New draft

Here it is

From: Barron, David
To: Grindler, Gary (ODAG); Dreeben, Michael R; Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Rhee, Jeannie; Olsen, Matthew (ODAG);

Stemler, Patty (CRM); Hinnen, Todd (NSD)
Cc: Kris, David (NSD); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG)
Sent: Sun May 09 17:28:53 2010
Subject: RE: New draft

Here is out draft as revised in light of your helpful suggestions. It is in redline for ease of review, and a clean version is provided as well. My hope would be to get this out this evening.

From: Grindler, Gary (ODAG)
Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2010 3:04 PM
To: Barron, David; Dreeben, Michael R; Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Rhee, Jeannie; Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Hinnen, Todd (NSD)
Cc: Kris, David (NSD); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG)
Subject: Re: New draft

Thanks to all for your incredibly hard work on this important project! Gary

From: Barron, David
To: Grindler, Gary (ODAG); Dreeben, Michael R; Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Rhee, Jeannie; Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Hinnen, Todd (NSD)
Cc: Kris, David (NSD); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG)
Sent: Sun May 09 14:14:25 2010
Subject: Re: New draft

I agree

From: Grindler, Gary (ODAG)
To: Dreeben, Michael R; Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Rhee, Jeannie; Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Hinnen, Todd (NSD)
Cc: Barron, David; Kris, David (NSD); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG)
Sent: Sun May 09 14:05:48 2010
Subject: Re: New draft

Great pont. Yes, let's add this back in.

From: Dreeben, Michael R
To: Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Rhee, Jeannie; Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Hinnen, Todd (NSD)
Cc: Barron, David; Kris, David (NSD); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Grindler, Gary (ODAG)
Sent: Sun May 09 14:02:01 2010
Subject: Re: New draft

One other though (b) (5) I know tha (b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5) . But what (b) (5)
(b) (5) Do we wan (b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)

From: Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI)
To: Dreeben, Michael R; Rhee, Jeannie; Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Hinnen, Todd (NSD)

Subject: RE: New draft

I thin (b)(5)-per FBI

Subject: Re: New draft

(b) (5)

I absolutely agree with Val's suggestio (b)(5)-per FBI

Subject: RE: New draft

(b)(5)-per FBI

(b)(5)-per FBI

I like Michael's suggestion below also.

From: Dreeben, Michael R [mailto: (b) (6)]
Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2010 12:33 PM
To: Rhee, Jeannie (SMO); Olsen, Matthew (ODAG) (SMO); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Hinnen, Todd (NSD) (SMO)
Cc: Barron, David (SMO); Kris, David (NSD) (SMO); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG) (SMO); Caproni, Valerie E.
Subject: Re: New draft

OLC has done an excellent job with this analysis, particularly in such a short time frame. I have a few thoughts, which you may or may not be able to consider given the applicable deadlines.

(b) (5)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(b) (5)

(b) (5), which I wanted to put on the table for consideration.

Again, great work. Michael

From: Rhee, Jeannie
To: Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Hinnen, Todd (NSD)
Cc: Barron, David; Kris, David (NSD); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Dreeben, Michael R
Sent: Sun May 09 06:52:06 2010
Subject: RE: New draft

Attached for your review is a preliminary assessment from OLC of the proposed legislation (b) (5)

From: Olsen, Matthew (ODAG)
Sent: Saturday, May 08, 2010 5:13 PM
To: Stemler, Patty (CRM); Rhee, Jeannie; Hinnen, Todd (NSD)
Cc: Barron, David; Kris, David (NSD); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Dreeben, Michael R
Subject: New draft

All --

Here is a draft with suggested revisions (and comments (b) (5)). I used the version Todd sent earlier with his change (b) (5) on the scope.

The revision (b) (5):

(b) (5)

Please feel free to make changes to this. This is consistent with the discussions at our meetings yesterday, but I'm sure there are many ways this can be improved.

Matt

From: Stemler, Patty (CRM)
Sent: Saturday, May 08, 2010 4:17 PM
To: Rhee, Jeannie; Hinnen, Todd (NSD)
Cc: Barron, David; Kris, David (NSD); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Dreeben, Michael R
Subject: Re: Section 1 Thoughts

I've been focusing on (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Rhee, Jeannie (b) (6)
To: Hinnen, Todd (NSD) (b) (6)
Cc: Barron, David (b) (6); Kris, David (NSD) (b) (6)
Monaco, Lisa (ODAG) (b) (6); Olsen, Matthew (ODAG)
(b) (6); Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Stemler, Patty; Dreeben, Michael R
(b) (6)
Sent: Sat May 08 14:39:53 2010
Subject: Re: Section 1 Thoughts

Some more research/thoughts from OLC:

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Rhee, Jeannie
To: Rhee, Jeannie; Hinnen, Todd (NSD)
Cc: Barron, David; Kris, David (NSD); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Rhee, Jeannie; Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Dreeben, Michael R
Sent: Sat May 08 13:31:28 2010
Subject: RE: Section 1 Thoughts

[Apologies. Adding the full distribution list.](#)

From: Rhee, Jeannie
Sent: Saturday, May 08, 2010 1:30 PM
To: Hinnen, Todd (NSD)
Cc: Barron, David; Monaco, Lisa (ODAG)
Subject: Section 1 Thoughts

Todd,

OLC has the following comments for your consideration:

(b) (5)

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

(b) (5)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(b) (5)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Lederman, Marty

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2010 12:28 PM
To: Dreeben, Michael R; Stemler, Patty (CRM); Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Rhee, Jeannie; Olsen, Matthew (ODAG)
Subject: Our draft memo
Attachments: (b) (5) REVISION final REDLINE sunday2.docx

Here's the most recent version, as of Sunday evening. Of course, we're still working through the issues.

< (b) (5) REVISION final REDLINE sunday2.docx >>

Lederman, Marty

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 9:10 AM
To: Barron, David
Cc: Rhee, Jeanni (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)
Subject: RE: Draft legislation
Attachments: May1 (b) (5) djb.msl.docx

Amazing job. My comments and suggestions attached. Other than (b) (5), my main suggestion is simply to press harder on recommending more of the amendments that might make this a bit more palatable. If DOJ is ok with it, we should continue to press for (b) (5)

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: Barron, David
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 4:12 AM
To: Schroeder, Christopher H.; Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Delery, Stuart F. (ODAG); Guerra, Joseph R.; Hinnen, Todd (NSD); Kris, David (NSD); De, Rajesh; Lederman, Marty; Carlin, John (FBI); Jeffress, Amy (OAG); Lewis, Aaron (OAG); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Dreeben, Michael R
Cc: Sesker, Sonya J (ODAG)
Subject: RE: Draft legislation

I am sending around this very very preliminary (and very very rough) analysis of the new proposal. We will send along a redline of the proposal itself in the morning. I send it to you mostly because I think it is helpful for our discussions and thinking of how to respond to the proposal to have some idea of the legal landscape given the very short time lines, and I offer it up to everyone now in that spirit and not as any conclusive analysis of OLC as to the constitutionality of the proposed bill. It does reflect, however, our (b) (5), and our reactions to some of the clear improvements that the new draft reflects as well as suggestions for further improvements. We'll continue to refine the analysis -- as we apparently have all day tomorrow to do so . . .

-----Original Message-----

From: Schroeder, Christopher H.
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 8:47 PM
To: Barron, David; Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Delery, Stuart F. (ODAG); Guerra, Joseph R.; Hinnen, Todd (NSD); Kris, David (NSD); De, Rajesh; Lederman, Marty; Carlin, John (FBI); Jeffress, Amy (OAG); Lewis, Aaron (OAG); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Dreeben, Michael R
Cc: Sesker, Sonya J (ODAG)
Subject: Re: Draft legislation

I agree with David's reading.

----- Original Message -----

From: Barron, David
To: Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Delery, Stuart F. (ODAG); Guerra, Joseph R.; Hinnen, Todd (NSD); Kris, David (NSD); De, Rajesh; Schroeder, Christopher H.; Lederman, Marty; Carlin, John (FBI); Jeffress, Amy (OAG); Lewis, Aaron (OAG); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Dreeben, Michael R
Cc: Sesker, Sonya J (ODAG)
Sent: Wed May 12 20:42:53 2010
Subject: Re: Draft legislation

We just had that discussion; I think (b) (5)

[REDACTED]

----- Original Message -----

From: Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI)

To: Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Delery, Stuart F. (ODAG); Guerra, Joseph R.; Hinnen, Todd (NSD); Kris, David (NSD); De, Rajesh; Schroeder, Christopher H.; Barron, David; Lederman, Marty; Carlin, John (FBI); Jeffress, Amy (OAG); Lewis, Aaron (OAG); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Dreeben, Michael R

Cc: Sesker, Sonya J (ODAG)

Sent: Wed May 12 20:32:37 2010

Subject: RE: Draft legislation

Am I readin [REDACTED] (b) (5)

From: Olsen, Matthew (ODAG [REDACTED] (b) (6)

Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 8:13 PM

To: Monaco, Lisa (ODAG) (SMO); Delery, Stuart F. (ODAG) (SMO); Guerra, Joseph R. (SMO); Hinnen, Todd (NSD) (SMO); Kris, David (NSD) (SMO); De, Rajesh (SMO); Schroeder, Christopher H. (SMO); Barron, David (SMO); Lederman, Marty (SMO); Caproni, Valerie E.; Carlin, John; Jeffress, Amy (OAG) (SMO); Lewis, Aaron (OAG) (SMO); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Dreeben, Michael R (SMO)

Cc: Sesker, Sonya J (ODAG) (SMO)

Subject: Draft legislation

All --

We just received the attached revised draft proposal o [REDACTED] (b) (5). Our comments are due back by 6:00 tomorrow. We'd like to meet tomorrow at 10:00 in room 4133 to go over this draft as a group and see if we can reach consensus on any changes. I will send an invite. Thanks.

[REDACTED] (b) (5) legislation 051210 v.2.doc>> Matt Olsen Associate Deputy Attorney General

[REDACTED] (b) (6)

[REDACTED] (b) (6) (secure)

[REDACTED] (b) (6) (SIPR)

Lederman, Marty

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 10:08 AM
To: Rhee, Jeannie
Subject: RE: Draft legislation

Do you agree we should be strongly pushing 1 and 6 from my list below -- and I'd say 7, too -- in addition to what you guys have recommended?

-----Original Message-----

From: Rhee, Jeannie
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 10:02 AM
To: Lederman, Marty
Subject: RE: Draft legislation

Matt left me off in the initial send.

-----Original Message-----

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 9:59 AM
To: Rhee, Jeannie
Subject: FW: Draft legislation

Why aren't you on this thread?

-----Original Message-----

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 9:31 AM
To: Schroeder, Christopher H.; Barron, David; Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Delery, Stuart F. (ODAG); Guerra, Joseph R.; Hinnen, Todd (NSD); Kris, David (NSD); De, Rajesh; Carlin, John (FBI); Jeffress, Amy (OAG); Lewis, Aaron (OAG); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Dreeben, Michael R
Cc: Sesker, Sonya J (ODAG)
Subject: RE: Draft legislation

Afraid I won't be able to join the group, as I'm out today. For convenience, however, thought I'd collect in one place some of the main changes we might think about whether to propose (in no particular order):

- 1 [REDACTED] (b) (5)
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- 2 [REDACTED] (b) (5)
[REDACTED]
- 3 [REDACTED] (b) (5)
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- 4 [REDACTED] (b) (5)
[REDACTED]
- 5 [REDACTED] (b) (5)
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- 6 [REDACTED] (b) (5)

7 (b) (5)

8 (b) (5)

-----Original Message-----

From: Schroeder, Christopher H.

Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 8:39 AM

To: Barron, David; Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Delery, Stuart F. (ODAG); Guerra, Joseph R.; Hinnen, Todd (NSD); Kris, David (NSD); De, Rajesh; Lederman, Marty; Carlin, John (FBI); Jeffress, Amy (OAG); Lewis, Aaron (OAG); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Dreeben, Michael R

Cc: Sesker, Sonya J (ODAG)

Subject: RE: Draft legislation

David: Nice to see you can keep your sense of humor at 4 in the morning.

The memo is above and beyond the call of duty. Thanks. As I was reading it on my screen, I corrected a few typos and suggested a handful of wording changes. They are in the attached document.

I seems to me th (b) (5)

See you at 10.

-----Original Message-----

From: Barron, David

Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 4:12 AM

To: Schroeder, Christopher H.; Caproni, Valerie E. (FBI); Olsen, Matthew (ODAG); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Delery, Stuart F. (ODAG); Guerra, Joseph R.; Hinnen, Todd (NSD); Kris, David (NSD); De, Rajesh; Lederman, Marty; Carlin, John (FBI); Jeffress, Amy (OAG); Lewis, Aaron (OAG); Stemler, Patty (CRM); Dreeben, Michael R

Cc: Sesker, Sonya J (ODAG)

Subject: RE: Draft legislation

Duplicative Material, Document ID: 0.7.4.4704.7102

Lederman, Marty

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 2:55 PM
To: (b) (6) (b) (6)
Subject: FW: Urgent clearance request
Attachments: Chapter 18-draft-5-25.docx; detainee litigation entries for doj.docx
Importance: High

Let's try to give this a review in the next couple of days, thanks

From: Guerra, Joseph R.
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 1:33 PM
To: Loeb, Robert (CIV); Gershengorn, Ian (CIV); Lederman, Marty; Delery, Stuart F. (ODAG); Vieira, Donald
Subject: FW: Urgent clearance request
Importance: High

This feels a little like a reprise of our last-minute review of Harold's speech last month, except (based on my very quick scan) it appears mostly to recite passages from our briefs or the courts' decisions. I'm not entirely sure what the "clearance" exercise is supposed to be, but I thought I should forward to this group for additional review. In particular, there are descriptions of criminal matters that are entirely outside the purview of my office. Please let me know if you have any concerns with this.

Thanks.

Joe

From: (b) (6) [mailto:(b) (6)]
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 1:04 PM
To: Guerra, Joseph R.; Hardee, Christopher
Cc: (b) (6) (b) (6)
Subject: Urgent clearance request
Importance: High

Joseph and Christopher – I'm a colleague of (b) (6) and (b) (6) in L, and they suggested I contact you to review and clear on some litigation-related entries for the 2009 Digest of U.S. Practice in International Law. The relevant entries are included in the second attachment but I've also attached the entire chapter in case you need context. L/PM has reviewed and cleared these entries.

The Digest, which I edit and L produces annually, includes USG materials from the previous calendar year that are selected for their significance in illustrating our practice in international law. The chapter has included entries on detainee litigation since 2001. The Digest is posted on the State Department's website at www.state.gov/s/l/c8183.htm and is published annually in print by the International Law Institute and Oxford University Press.

I would be grateful if you could provide your clearance as soon as possible.

Please let me know if you have any questions. I can be reached by email at this address or (b) (6)

Best regards,

(b) (6)

Lederman, Marty

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 5:11 PM
To: Miller, Matthew A
Subject: RE: We'd like to talk with you about

Matt: [please buzz me when you're ready to talk, thanks](#)

From: Miller, Matthew A
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 4:48 PM
To: Lederman, Marty
Cc: Barron, David
Subject: Re: We'd like to talk with you about

I'm on your floor - should be done around 5 or 530 and will come by david's ofc

From: Lederman, Marty
To: Miller, Matthew A
Cc: Barron, David
Sent: Tue May 25 16:44:26 2010
Subject: We'd like to talk with you about

These stories, sooner rather than later, if possible (5:00 would be ideal).

Thanks

<http://emptywheel.firedoglake.com/2010/05/25/squabble-in-administration-over-rule-of-war-khadr-drones/>

<http://www.canada.com/news/Obama+officials+pushed+rules+Khadr+tribunal/3065816/story.html>

Lederman, Marty

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 7:27 PM
To: Miller, Matthew A
Cc: Barron, David
Subject: RE: We'd like to talk with you about

Success, at least as far as Marcy's site! Thanks very much.

From: Miller, Matthew A
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 4:48 PM
To: Lederman, Marty
Cc: Barron, David
Subject: Re: We'd like to talk with you about

Duplicative Records Document ID: 0.7.4704.8681

Lederman, Marty

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Wednesday, June 2, 2010 12:51 PM
To: Barron, David
Subject: FW: Special Rapporteur report o (b) (5)
Attachments: alston.doj.docx

Ok for me to send?

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Wednesday, June 02, 2010 9:44 AM
To: Barron, David; Koffsky, Daniel L; Baker, James A. (ODAG)
Subject: RE: Special Rapporteur report o (b) (5)

That is the point of the third bullet, David. I would suggest only minor edits, attached. The bigger question—but it's more for State and others, not DOJ—is whether the document should als (b) (5). In particular, should the response note that (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Barron, David
Sent: Wednesday, June 02, 2010 9:26 AM
To: Lederman, Marty; Koffsky, Daniel L; Baker, James A. (ODAG)
Subject: RE: Special Rapporteur report o (b) (5)

These looked basically good to me (b) (5). Marty – can you be the lede on this to get us to a place where we could have final comments on these by cob today and let state know we are in process to provide them? thanks

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 7:49 PM
To: Koffsky, Daniel L; Barron, David; Baker, James A. (ODAG)
Subject: FW: Special Rapporteur report o (b) (5)

From: Heinemann, Thomas B [mailto: (b) (6)]
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 4:38 PM
To: (b) (6) (b) (6) Muehlheuser, John, Mr, DoD OGC; Allen, Charles, Mr, DoD OGC; Lederman, Marty
Subject: Special Rapporteur report o (b) (5)

Duplicative Material - Previously Processed by OLC

journalist.) We learned today that it will be presented in Geneva on Thursday and that there will be an “interactive dialogue” immediately following at the Human Rights Council. This means that we have to say

(b) (5)

|

|

|

(b) (5)

Lederman, Marty

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Thursday, June 3, 2010 12:55 PM
To: Chipman, Jaso (b) (6)
Cc: Baker, James A. (ODAG (b) (6); Barron, David
Subject: RE: Document for DAG
Attachments: (b) (5).DOJ.doc

Jason: Here's the updated version – please let us know if it does the trick, thanks < (b) (5).DOJ.doc>>

From: Chipman, Jason
Sent: Thursday, June 03, 2010 11:03 AM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: Lederman, Marty; Baker, James A. (ODAG)
Subject: Document for DAG

(b) (6):

I looked in my email for messages from Tom or Allison and didn't find anything. I do vaguely recall that OLC previously wrote up a summary for Gary on the March 13th standard, and there might have been something on some of the topics David mentioned in the scif this morning but I can't seem to find anything. I'll check my ts system too. It might be that it was handed to Gary at a meeting (in which case I wouldn't have it).

Jason

Lederman, Marty

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Monday, June 7, 2010 3:47 PM
To: Anderson, Trish (b) (6) (b) (6) Colborn, Paul P; Rhee, Jeanni (b) (6)
Cc: Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Forrester, Nate; Price, Zachary
Subject: RE: Part I of draft responses to QFRs for AG Holder from 04-14-10 hearing re Oversight of DOJ
Attachments: AG QFRs SJC Oversight Hearing 041410 Component Responses DRAFT 1 - 051910 (olc edits).doc

Very minor edits attached. Please make sure (i) our internal comment bubbles are omitted before we send; and (ii) that OLA sends us for review the responses that have not yet been drafted.

Thanks very much. <<AG QFRs SJC Oversight Hearing 041410 Component Responses DRAFT 1 - 051910 (olc edits).doc>>

From: Anderson, Trisha
Sent: Monday, June 07, 2010 3:17 PM
To: (b) (6) Lederman, Marty (b) (6) Colborn, Paul P; Rhee, Jeannie (b) (6)
Cc: Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Forrester, Nate; Price, Zachary
Subject: RE: Part I of draft responses to QFRs for AG Holder from 04-14-10 hearing re Oversight of DOJ

I've made two small changes in response to Paul's comments on (b) (5) questions. He told me that he was otherwise okay with the responses. Please use this version for purposes of further review/editing. Thanks!

<< File: AG QFRs SJC Oversight Hearing 041410 Component Responses DRAFT 1 - 051910 (olc edits).doc >>

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Monday, June 07, 2010 2:57 PM
To: Anderson, Trisha; Lederman, Marty (b) (6) Colborn, Paul P; Rhee, Jeannie (b) (6)
Cc: Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Forrester, Nate; Price, Zachary
Subject: RE: Part I of draft responses to QFRs for AG Holder from 04-14-10 hearing re Oversight of DOJ

Here are my suggested edits on the questions that Trisha flagged for my review. (b) (6) i and Jeannie, it might be helpful to get any thoughts you might have on (b) (5).

Thanks,

(b) (6)

<< File: AG QFRs SJC Oversight Hearing 041410 Component Responses DRAFT 1 - 051910 (KA).doc >>

From: Anderson, Trisha
Sent: Monday, June 07, 2010 10:59 AM
To: Lederman, Marty (b) (6); (b) (6) Colborn, Paul P; Rhee, Jeannie
Cc: Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Forrester, Nate; Price, Zachary
Subject: FW: Part I of draft responses to QFRs for AG Holder from 04-14-10 hearing re Oversight of DOJ

Attached are draft QFR responses that were referred to us for review. I have reviewed the document and proposed some edits in redline but am referring certain questions out to you all for further review. OLA has followed up to ask about this referral, and so if we could complete our review today, that would be great. They've asked us to let them know if we're not likely to be able to provide a response by tomorrow morning.

Mart (b) (6) , an (b) (6) : Could you please take a look at question (b) (5) ?

Marty and Paul (or others as appropriate): Could you please take a look at question (b) (5) e?

There is also a question related t (b) (5); the answer is framed as a matter of policy, so I don't see any basis for concern, but if there is someone who should take a closer look at that question, please let me know. It' (b) (5)

Jeannie: I have also made minor suggested edits to the responses to questions 9 and 12, so could you please take a look to make sure they're okay to send?

Thanks!

Trisha

<< File: AG QFRs SJC Oversight Hearing 041410 Component Responses DRAFT 1 - 051910 (ta).doc >>

From: Clifton, Deborah J

Sent: Friday, May 21, 2010 3:23 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) - per ATF (ATF) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) - per ATF (ATF) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) - per ATF (ATF); Rubenstein, Steve R. (ATF); Brass, Catheryn; Eliasberg, Edward; Rathbun, Douglas; Ed Ross; Edens, Jennifer L. (BOP); Garrett, Judi (BOP); Sussman, Scott; Cummings, Holly (CIV); Orawsky, Christine (CIV); Buchanan, David (COPS); Long, Shannon (COPS); Hendley, Scott (CRM); Jones, Gregory M. (CRM); Lofton, Betty (CRM); Opl, Legislation (CRM); Wroblewski, Jonathan (CRM); Diegor, Melody L. (CRS); Harris, Ondray T. (CRS); Henderson, George (CRS); Kho, Irene (CRS); Mitchum, Diane (CRS); Monroe, Becky L. (CRS); Lee, Connie (CRT); Nosanchuk, Matt (CRT); Policy, CRT (CRT); Boobar, Jeffrey R. (DEA-US); Johnson, Robert A. (DEA-US); Perkins, Keith C (DEA-US); Shoemaker, Sheldon R (DEA-US); Sparks, Stacey E.; Stolaruk, Lisa J. (DEA-US); Strait, Matthew J. (DEA-US); Day, Kathy; Genovese, Pam; Day, Regina (ENRD); Rowan, Pearlie (ENRD); Wardzinski, Karen (ENRD); Williams, Kim (ENRD); Woolner, Rhodora (ENRD); Alder Reid, Lauren (EOIR); Eastwood, Susan (EOIR); USAEO-Legislative (USA); Beamon, Vivian C. (USTP); Figueroa, Linda (USTP); Rose, Megan (USTP); Salahuddin, Saleela (USTP); Tracy, Lisa (USTP); Beers, Elizabeth R. (FBI); Hayn, Linda S. (FBI); Lee, Lashan S. (FBI); Mack, Kristan E. (FBI); Schwartz, Tyler (FBI); Spinola, Theresa M. (FBI); Barrett, Jaleh; Fitzgerald, Joanne (USNCB); Harrington, Alex (USNCB); Miller, LaTonya (USNCB); Atwell, Tonya M; Faulkner, Lila; Hitch, Vance (OCIO); Lauria-Sullens, Jolene; Lofthus, Lee J; Long, Mariana; Michaelson, Melanie (CIV); Miguel, Amy (JMD); Murphy, Justin; Rodgers, Janice; Schultz, Walter H; Miller, Charles (NDIC); Smith, William T. (NDIC); Haas, Alexander (NSD); NSD LRM Mailbox; Sittner, Rebekah; DeFalaise, Lou (OARM); Kimball, Sharon (CRM); Nash, Stuart (ODAG); Padden, Tom (CRM); Blier, William M.(OIG); Schnedar, Cynthia A. (OIG); O'Neill, Sean; Rossi, Katherine M.; Roberson, Portia L.; Bernhardt, Gena (OJP); Brien, Peter (OJP); Carradini, Rosemary Cavanagh (OJP); Dirham, Sue (OJP); Duncan, Summer (OJP); Lowry, Kim (OJP); Searby, Susan (OJP); Anderson, Trisha; Cedarbaum, Jonathan; Forrester, Nate; Price, Zachary; Rhee, Jeannie; Davis, Valorie A; De, Rajesh; Hemmick, Theresa; Jackson, Wykema C; Matthews, Matrina (OLP); Moran, Molly; Overton, Spencer; Kuzma, Susan (UNICOR); Wish, Judith (OPR); Chaney, Christopher (USAEO); Tenoso, Gaye (USAEO); Toulou, Tracy (USAEO); Zebell, Kathy (USAEO); Kaplan, Jennifer E; Shiels, Marnie R; Schmalter, Tracy; Talamona, Gina; Dunston, Jerri (PRAO); Calogero, Valerie P; Chung, Joo; Libin, Nancy C. (ODAG); Moncada, Kirsten J; Proie, Meghann; Kneedler, Edwin S; Shatz, Eileen M. (TAX (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(F)-P (USMS) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(F)-P (USMS); (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(F)-P (USMS) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(F)-P (USMS); Carter, Judy (USPC); Fulwood, Isaac (USPC); Moore, Patricia W. (USPC)

Cc: Wilkinson, Monty (OAG); Jeffress, Amy (OAG); Weich, Ron; Burrows, Charlotte; Columbus, Eric (ODAG); Luck, Stacey (ODAG); Adiga, Mala; Greenfeld, Helaine; Gunn, Currie (SMO); Hauck, Brian; Hirsch, Sam; Appelbaum, Judy; Agrast, Mark D.; Aguilar, Rita C.; Burton, Faith; Erb, William (OLA); Lopez, Jonathan; Schlieter, Courtney H; Scott-Finan, Nancy; Silas, Adrien; Simpson, Tammi (OLA); Stout, Stacey L; Wade, Jill C; Wilson, Karen L

Subject: Part I of draft responses to QFRs for AG Holder from 04-14-10 hearing re Oversight of DOJ

PLEASE PROVIDE COMMENTS TO MICHAEL REDDING, OLA,

NO LATER THAN COB 06/02/10.

<< File: AG QFRs SJC Oversight Hearing 041410 Component Responses DRAFT 1 - 051910.doc >> << File: H19control.pdf >>

Lederman, Marty

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Wednesday, June 9, 2010 4:57 PM
To: Guerra, Joseph R.
Subject: R (b) (5)

• (b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5).

From: Guerra, Joseph R.
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 4:38 PM
To: Lederman, Marty
Subject: FW (b) (5)

From: Barron, David
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 4:33 PM
To: Guerra, Joseph R.
Subject: FW (b) (5)

Is this ok?

From: Koffsky, Daniel L
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 4:30 PM
To: Barron, David
Subject: (b) (5)

David: Is this what you had in mind?

• (b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)

Lederman, Marty

From: Lederman, Marty
Sent: Wednesday, June 16, 2010 10:35 PM
To: Delery, Stuart F. (ODAG)
Subject: your question

Here's what we drafted; don't know if it's left the building yet:

- [REDACTED] (b) (5) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED].