Advanced Considerations
Exemptions 6 & 7(C)
The Basics – Exemptions 6 and 7(C)

The same analysis is used for both Exemptions 6 and 7(C):

Step 1 -- Threshold satisfied?
Step 2 -- Privacy interest implicated?
Step 3 -- “FOIA public interest” in disclosure?
Step 4 -- Balancing interests.
Roadmap for Advanced Concepts

Glomar: When presented with a targeted third-party request, first consider a Glomar response.
• Glomars protect the existence of responsive records

Categorical denial: If the Glomar has been pierced, next consider a categorical denial.
• Categorical denials protect the contents
The Glomar Response

When a request seeks records concerning an identifiable individual and the records are of a particularly sensitive nature, it may be necessary to neither confirm nor deny the existence of the records, or “Glomarize.”
The Glomar Response

- Must be a targeted third-party request.
- Cannot acknowledge the very existence of records.
- May need to “bifurcate” a request to process it – separate third-party subjects from other subjects.
The Glomar Response

- Official Acknowledgement or admission waives a Glomar response

- Gets to the core purpose of a Glomar response, which distinguishes between existence and content
The Glomar Response

Who Made the Acknowledgment:

- Your Component
- Another DOJ Component
- Another Federal Agency
- The Target of the Investigation
The Glomar Response

How was the Investigation Acknowledged?

- Statement to media
- Formal press release
- Unofficial leak
The formality of admission is important:

“[A]n individual’s privacy interest is not extinguished merely because the media reports or the public speculates that the individual may have been the subject of an investigation.”

The Glomar Response

“A statement to the media made by a person authorized to speak for the agency certainly suffices.”

The Glomar Response

An acknowledgement or admission by one agency component can operate as an acknowledgement or admission by the entire agency.

The Glomar Response

An acknowledgement or admission by one agency generally does not operate as an acknowledgement or admission for another agency.

People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals v. NIH, 745 F.3d 535 (D.C. Cir. 2014).
“Here, not withstanding other entities’ acknowledgment of investigations, NIH’s own official acknowledgment that it had investigated the named researchers would carry an added and material stigma.”

People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals v. NIH, 745 F.3d 535, 542 (D.C. Cir. 2014).
Categorical Denials

When a third-party request seeks records concerning an identifiable individual and the agency or the subject of the request has already publically confirmed the existence of the records.

Categorical Denials

- Must be a targeted third-party request
- May still need to “bifurcate” a request to process it – separate third-party subjects from other subjects
Categorical Denials

What privacy interest is being protected?

➢ Effectively operates similarly to a Glomar response, but with one key distinction.
Categorical Denials

A Glomar response protects a third-party’s privacy interest as to whether or not the government even maintains records on them.

A categorical response protects a third-party’s privacy interest in the content of the records. Existence of the records is known.
Categorical Denials

Select Cases

Court held that the investigatory file of former Congressmen Tom Delay could not be withheld, in full, on a categorical basis.

Crew v. DOJ, 746 F.3d 1082 (D.C. Cir. 2014).
Categorical Denials

Considerations:

Privacy Interest:

- Avoiding stigma of having name associated with criminal investigation
- Avoiding disclosure of the contents of the investigative files
Categorical Denials

Public Interest:

“Weighty interest in shining a light on the FBI’s investigation of major political corruption and the [Department’s] ultimate decision not to prosecute a prominent member of Congress . . . .”

Crew v. DOJ, 746 F.3d 1082, 1093 (D.C. Cir. 2014).
Categorical Denials

Balancing:

In such cases, the agency should look to the “rank of the public official involved and the seriousness of the misconduct alleged.”
Categorical Denials

Agency must clearly define the category, or categories, of responsive records being withheld.

“The purpose of [a] categorical exemption is to provide ‘workable rules’ for agencies in future cases.”

Considerations

Glomar responses and categorical denials are not appropriate when:

➤ There is a substantial FOIA Public interest in the requested information that outweighs the privacy interest

➤ The third-party subject is deceased

➤ The subject waives privacy rights
Practical Application

Generally, an agency can issue a Glomar response or categorical denial without first conducting a search.

If a Glomar response or categorical denial are not appropriate, the agency generally needs to search for and process responsive records.
Conclusion

When presented with a targeted third-party request, first consider a Glomar response, and then consider a categorical denial.

- Glomar responses protect the existence of records
- Categorical denials protect the content of records when their existence is known