UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA OCALA DIVISION

2016 JUN 15 PM 4: 31

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

JANICE MARIE HAMMOCK THURMAN OTTIS HAMMOCK CASE NO. 5:16-cr-18-0e-10-02/ 18 U.S.C. § 641 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) - Forfeiture 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) - Forfeiture

INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges:

COUNT ONE

Beginning on or about January 1, 2004, and continuing to on or about May 31, 2016, in Marion and Citrus Counties, in the Middle District of Florida, and elsewhere,

JANICE MARIE HAMMOCK and THURMAN OTTIS HAMMOCK,

the defendants herein, did knowingly and willfully steal, embezzle, and convert to their own use and the use of another, money of the United States, that is, Title XVI Supplemental Security Income (SSI) funds from the Social Security Administration, an agency of the United States, totaling in excess of \$1,000, with the intent to deprive the United States and the Social Security Administration of the use and benefit of that money.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 641 and 2.

FORFEITURE

- 1. The allegations contained in Count One of this Indictment, are hereby alleged and incorporated by reference for purpose of alleging forfeitures, pursuant to the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
- 2. From their engagement in the violations alleged in Count One of this Indictment, punishable by imprisonment for more than one year, the defendants,

JANICE MARIE HAMMOCK and THURMAN OTTIS HAMMOCK,

shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), all of their interest in any property constituting or derived from proceeds obtained directly or indirectly as a result of said violation, including, but not limited to a money judgment in the amount of \$176,513.96.

- 3. If any of the property described above, as a result of any acts or omissions of the defendant:
 - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
 - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
 - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
 - e. has been commingled with other property, which cannot be divided without difficulty,

the United States of America shall be entitled to, and shall pursue, forfeiture of substitute property under the provisions of Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

A TRUE BILL

A. LEE BENTLEY, III United States Attorney

By:

William S. Hamilton

Assistant United States Attorney

By:

Katherine M. Ho

Assistant United States Attorney

Chief, Ocala Division