

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

PARKER–HANNIFIN CORPORATION,

and

CLARCOR INC.,

*Defendants.*

C.A. No. 17-1354-JEJ

**UNITED STATES’ EXPLANATION OF CONSENT DECREE PROCEDURES**

The United States submits this short memorandum summarizing the procedures regarding the Court’s entry of the proposed Final Judgment. This Judgment would settle this case pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h) (the “APPA”), which applies to civil antitrust cases brought and settled by the United States.

1. Today, the United States has filed this Explanation of Consent Decree Procedures, a proposed Final Judgment (D.I. 29-1) and a [Proposed] Order Stipulating to Modification of Order to Preserve and Maintain Assets (D.I. 29) between the parties by which they have agreed that the Court may enter the proposed Final Judgment after the United States has complied with the APPA. The United States has also filed a Competitive Impact Statement relating to the proposed Final Judgment.

2. The [Proposed] Order Stipulating to Modification of Order to Preserve and Maintain Assets is a document that has been agreed to by both the United States and the

defendants. The United States and the defendants ask that the Court sign this Order, which ensures that the defendants comply with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment during the pendency of the proceedings required by the Tunney Act. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h).

3. The APPA requires that the United States publish the proposed Final Judgment and the Competitive Impact Statement in the *Federal Register* and cause to be published a summary of the terms of the proposed Final Judgment and the Competitive Impact Statement in certain newspapers at least sixty (60) days prior to entry of the proposed Final Judgment. Defendants in this matter have agreed to arrange and bear the costs for the newspaper notices. The notice will inform members of the public that they may submit comments about the proposed Final Judgment to the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(c).

4. During the sixty-day period, the United States will consider, and at the close of that period respond to, any comments that it has received, and it will publish the comments and the United States' responses in the Federal Register.

5. After the expiration of the sixty-day period, the United States will file with the Court the comments and the United States' responses, and it may ask the Court to enter the proposed Final Judgment (unless the United States has decided to withdraw its consent to entry of the Final Judgment, as permitted by Paragraph III.A of the [Proposed] Order Stipulating to Modification of Order to Preserve and Maintain Assets, *see* 15 U.S.C. § 16(d)).

6. If the United States requests that the Court enter the proposed Final Judgment after compliance with the APPA, 15 U.S.C. § 16(e)-(f), then the Court may

enter the Final Judgment without a hearing, provided that it concludes that the Final Judgment is in the public interest.

**Dated: December 18, 2017**

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Samer Musallam

SAMER M. MUSALLAM

SOYOUNG CHOE

Trial Attorneys

United States Department of Justice

Antitrust Division

Defense, Industrials, and Aerospace  
Section

450 Fifth Street, NW, Suite 8700

Washington, DC 20530

Tel: (202) 598-2990

Fax: (202) 514-9033

Email: samer.musallam@usdoj.gov

JENNIFER HALL (#5122)

LAURA HATCHER (#5098)

Assistant United States Attorneys

United States Attorney's Office

1007 Orange Street, Suite 700

Wilmington, DE 19801

(302) 573-6277

Email: jennifer.hall@usdoj.gov

*Attorneys for Plaintiff United States  
of America*