NICOLA T. HANNA 1 United States Attorney 2 BRANDON D. FOX Assistant United States Attorney 3 Chief, Criminal Division DANIEL J. O'BRIEN (Cal. Bar No. 141720) 4 Assistant United States Attorney Deputy Chief, Public Corruption & Civil Rights Section 1500 United States Courthouse 5 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 6 Telephone: (213) 894-2468 Facsimile: (213) 894-2927 7 E-mail: daniel.obrien@usdoj.gov 8 ELISA FERNANDEZ (Cal. Bar No. 172004) Assistant United States Attorney 1500 United States Courthouse 9 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 10 Telephone: (213) 894-7383 11 Facsimile: (213) 894-2927 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 13 14 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 1908 100642-VAP 15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff,

IMAAD SHAH ZUBERI,

Defendant.

PLEA AGREEMENT

1. This constitutes the plea agreement between Imaad Shah Zuberi ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California (the "USAO") in the above-captioned This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authorities:

28

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

25 ° 1° 2. ₹

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

. 22

23

24

25

26 27

- 2. Defendant agrees to:
- Post a \$3 million bond at arraignment secured by \$3 million transferred into his attorney's trust account prior to arraignment and no later than October 25, 2019.
- b. Give up the right to indictment by a grand jury and, at the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, appear and plead quilty to a three-count information in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit A or a substantially similar form, which charges defendant with violations of the Foreign Agents Registration Act ("FARA") in violation of 22 U.S.C. §§ 612, 618(a)(2), tax evasion in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7201, and Federal Election Campaign Act ("FECA") offenses aggregating in excess of \$25,000 in violation of 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116, 30118, 30121, 30122, and 30109(d)(1).
 - Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement. C.
- d. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- f. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- Be truthful at all times with Pretrial Services, the United States Probation Office, and the Court.

h. Pay the applicable special assessments at or before the time of sentencing.

PAYMENT OF TAXES OWED

- 3. Defendant admits he owes additional federal income taxes for the years 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015 as reflected in an Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") closing agreements ("the Closing Agreements") executed by defendant and his spouse on September 17 and on October 4, 2019. Defendant agrees to:
- a. Pay the tax deficiencies, fraud penalties imposed by the Internal Revenue Code, and statutory interest obligations as acknowledged in the Closing Agreements, prior to January 31, 2020.
- b. Acknowledge that nothing in this agreement forecloses or limits the ability of the IRS to examine and make adjustments to any tax returns filed by defendant for any other year.
- i. In the event defendant fails to pay the tax deficiencies, fraud penalties, and statutory interest obligations acknowledged in the Closing Agreements by January 31, 2020, agree the IRS may file and record three quit claim deeds executed by defendant on September 17, 2019 on behalf of the LLCs that own properties in favor of the defendant, file and record liens on these properties, and sell the properties in partial or complete satisfaction of the debt identified in the Closing Agreements. Any proceeds that exceed amounts owed under the Closing Agreements plus monetary obligations imposed by the court regarding special assessments, restitution or fines at sentencing shall be returned to defendant.

- _

- c. Not file any claim for refund of taxes, penalties, or interest for amounts attributable to the returns filed in connection with this plea agreement.
- d. Give up any and all objections that could be asserted to the Examination Division of the IRS receiving materials or information obtained during the criminal investigation of this matter, including materials and information obtained through grand jury subpoenas.
- e. Allow all funds posted as bond in this matter to be applied by the Court to pay, in order of application, the tax deficiencies, fraud penalties, and statutory interest obligations acknowledged in the Closing Agreements, special assessments, criminal fines, and costs that defendant is required to pay, and execute papers as necessary to accomplish this application.

FARA COMPLIANCE

4. Defendant agrees to satisfy any and all obligations under FARA prior to sentencing, including registering for any and all activity, past or present, that requires registration under FARA and amending any deficiencies in existing FAFA filings.

THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

- 5. The USAO agrees to:
 - a. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- c. Provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the charged offenses as defined in U.S.S.G.
- § 1BE1.1, including as further explained in its application notes and

in particular Note 1(A), recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.

- d. Not criminally prosecute defendant for (i) wire fraud or mail fraud during the period 2012 through 2016 arising out of defendant's conduct as described in the agreed-to factual basis or in the information filed in this matter, (ii) Foreign Bank and Financial Account Report ("FBAR") violations for the tax years 2012 through 2016, (iii) money laundering, and (iv) obstruction of justice.

 Defendant disputes that he committed any such crimes but understands that the USAO is free to argue, and that the Court may consider, the aforementioned allegations and any other uncharged conduct in determining applicable Sentencing Guidelines enhancements, the Sentencing Guideline range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed after consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and all other relevant factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).
- e. Not criminally prosecute defendant's spouse for any criminal offense related to (i) her 2012 individual tax return, (ii) the tax returns she co-signed with defendant for the 2013, 2014, and 2015 calendar years, and (iii) any of the offenses recited in paragraph 5(d) above. Defendant disputes that his spouse committed any such offenses. Defendant acknowledges that he has discussed with his attorney and carefully considered the possible advantages and disadvantages to defendant of entering into this Agreement which includes a package deal, that is, a non-prosecution agreement with

respect to defendant's spouse. Defendant acknowledges that he is entering into this Agreement as part of the package deal freely and voluntarily because defendant believes this Agreement and the package deal to be in defendant's best interests. Defendant acknowledges that he is not entering into this Agreement as part of the package deal because of threats, coercion, or other undue influence by the USAO, his spouse, their counsel, anyone acting on their behalf, or anyone else.

f. Defendant understands that the USAO is free to criminally prosecute defendant for any other unlawful past conduct or any unlawful conduct that occurs after the date of this agreement.

NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

- 6. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in count one, that is, FARA offenses in violation of 22 U.S.C. §§ 612, and 618(a)(2), the following must be true:
- a. Defendant acted in the United States as an agent of a foreign government or foreign national;
- b. Defendant was required to file a registration statement with the U.S. Attorney General within ten days of becoming such an agent;
- c. Defendant either filed, or caused to be filed, a registration statement, knowing that the statement contained materially false information, omitted a material fact required to be stated in the registration statement, or withheld material facts or documents necessary to make the statement not misleading; and
 - d. Defendant acted willfully.

- 7. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in count two, that is, tax evasion, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201, the following must be true:
- a. A substantial income tax was due in addition to that declared on the defendant's tax return;
- b. The defendant attempted to evade or defeat this additional tax; and
 - c. The defendant acted willfully.
- 8. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charge in count three, FECA offenses aggregating in excess of \$25,000, in violation of 52 United States Code §§ 30116, 30118, 30121, 30122, and 30109(d)(1), the following must be true:
- a. Defendant solicited, aided, caused to be made, or made FECA contributions (i) in excess of quantitative limits, (ii) from foreign nationals or entities, (iii) in the name of another person, or (iv) through conduits;
- b. Defendant knew the contributions were unlawful and acted willfully; and
- c. The aggregate value of the illegal contributions exceeded \$25,000 within a calendar year.

PENALTIES AND RESTITUTION

9. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 22, United States Code, Sections 612 and 618(a)(2) is: five-years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice

the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

- 10. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201, is: five-years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 11. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 52, United States Code, Sections 30116, 30118, 30121, 30122, and 30109(d)(1) is: five-years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 12. Defendant understands, therefore, that the total maximum sentence for all offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is: 15-years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$750,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offenses, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$300.
- 13. Defendant understands and agrees that the Court: (a) may order defendant to pay restitution in the form of any additional taxes, interest, and penalties that defendant owes to the United States based upon the count of conviction and any relevant conduct; and (b) must order defendant to pay the costs of prosecution, which may be in addition to the statutory maximum fine stated above.

- Defendant agrees that any FECA contribution refunds disbursed from political campaign committees relating to offenses identified in this plea agreement or sentencing briefs should be made, by certified check and/or money order, to "Clerk, United States District Court," reference the case name and case number, and be delivered to the Fiscal Department of the Clerk's Office for the Central District of California at 255 East Temple Street, Room 1178, Los Angeles, California 90012. Cf. United States v. Gaytan, 342 F.3d 1010 (9th Cir. 2003). Defendant agrees that in the event he receives any contribution refunds prior to sentencing he will disgorge those amounts by certified check and/or money order as set forth above. Defendant agrees that at the time of sentencing, restitution should be ordered in an amount equal to the disgorgements received by the Clerk of the Court in favor of the Federal Election Commission. Nothing in this agreement waives or limits in any way the authority of the Federal Election Commission to seek civil penalties or other administrative remedies for violations of FECA pursuant to Title 52, United States Code, Section 30109(a).
- 15. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury.

 Defendant understands that once the court accepts defendant's guilty plea, it will be a federal felony for defendant to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the conviction in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.
 - 17. Defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the felony conviction in this case may subject defendant to: removal, also known as deportation, which may, under some circumstances, be mandatory; denial of citizenship; and denial of admission to the United States in the future. The court cannot, and defendant's attorney also may not be able to, advise defendant fully regarding the immigration consequences of the felony conviction in this case. Defendant understands that unexpected immigration consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.

FACTUAL BASIS

18. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offenses to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant and the USAO agree to the statement of facts attached as Exhibit B

and agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support a plea of guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 20 below but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

SENTENCING FACTORS

- 19. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crime of conviction.
- 20. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable Sentencing Guidelines factors:

22 Tax

Base level (loss \$3.5m - \$9.5m) = 24 [U.S.S.G \$2T1.1(a)(1); 2T4.1]

24 FECA

25 | Base offense level = 8 [U.S.S.G. §2C1.8(a)]

Value (\$250,000 - \$1,500,000) +12 or 14 [U.S.S.G. §2C1.8(b)(1)]

Number of transactions (>30) +2 [U.S.S.G. §2C1.8(b)(4)]

FARA

The defendant and the USAO agree that the Sentencing Guidelines do not contain a guideline for a FARA violation, but call for the use of the most analogous guideline. U.S.S.G. §§ 2B1.2(a) and 2X5.1. Under the facts of this case, the parties agree that there is no sufficiently analogous guideline. Thus, the 3553 factors shall control, except that any guidelines and policy statement that can be applied meaningfully shall remain applicable. U.S.S.G. § 2X5.1.

- 21. Defendant and the USAO agree that the FECA and tax fraud offenses do not group for purposes of U.S.S.G § 3D1.2(d).
- 22. Defendant understands that the USAO reserves the right to argue that the following additional specific offense characteristics, adjustments, and departures under the Sentencing Guidelines are appropriate:
 - a. unreported income derived from criminal activity exceeding \$10,000 pursuant to U.S.S.G § 2T1.1(b)(1);
 - b. tax offense involving sophisticated means pursuant toU.S.S.G § 2T1.1(b)(2);
 - c. aggregate amount of FECA violations pursuant to
 U.S.S.G § 2C1.8(b)(1);
 - d. foreign sourced FECA contributions pursuant to U.S.S.G
 § 2C1.8(b)(2); and
 - e. obstruction or impeding the administration of justice pursuant to U.S.S.G § 3C1.1.

Subject to paragraph 36 below, defendant and the USAO agree not to seek, argue, or suggest in any way, either orally or in writing, that any other specific offense characteristics, adjustments, or departures relating to the offense level be imposed.

23. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.

Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 24. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
 - a. The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
 - b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c. The right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the court appoint counsel -- at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the court appoint counsel -- at every other stage of the proceeding.
- d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.
- g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.

h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

WAIVER OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

25. Having been fully advised by defendant's attorney regarding application of the statute of limitations to the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty, defendant hereby knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waives, relinquishes, and gives up:

(a) any right that defendant might have not to be prosecuted for the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty because of the expiration of the statute of limitations for those offenses prior to the filing of the information alleging those offenses; and (b) any defense, claim, or argument defendant could raise or assert that prosecution of the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is barred by the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations, pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial violation.

WAIVER OF VENUE

26. Having been fully advised by defendant's attorney regarding the requirements of venue with respect to the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty, to the extent the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty were committed, begun, or completed outside the Central District of California, defendant knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waives, relinquishes, and gives up:

(a) any right that defendant might have to be prosecuted only in the district where the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty were committed, begun, or completed; and (b) any defense, claim, or

argument defendant could raise or assert based upon lack of venue with respect to the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty.

WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

27. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty plea was involuntary, by pleading guilty, defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's convictions on the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant understands that this waiver includes any and all claims that the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's plea of guilty.

LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

28. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a total term of imprisonment on all counts of conviction within or below the range corresponding to an offense level of 32 and the criminal history category calculated by the Court, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following: (a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court; (c) the fine imposed by the court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) to the extent permitted by law, the constitutionality or legality of defendant's sentence, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (e) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (f) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the conditions set forth in General Order 18-10 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C.

§§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).

1.3

29. The USAO agrees that, provided that the Court imposes a term of imprisonment within the statutory maximum, to give up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence, with the exception that the USAO reserves the right to appeal the amount of restitution ordered.

RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

30. Defendant agrees that if, after entering guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's guilty pleas on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement; and (b) should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then (i) any applicable statute of limitations will be tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action; and (ii) defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

RESULT OF VACATUR, REVERSAL OR SET-ASIDE

31. Defendant agrees that if any count of conviction is vacated, reversed, or set aside, the USAO may: (a) ask the Court to resentence defendant on any remaining count of conviction, with both

the USAO and defendant being released from any stipulations regarding sentencing contained in this agreement, (b) ask the Court to void the entire plea agreement and vacate defendant's guilty plea on any remaining count of conviction, with both the USAO and defendant being released from all their obligations under this agreement, or (c) leave defendant's remaining conviction, sentence, and plea agreement intact. Defendant agrees that the choice among these three options rests in the exclusive discretion of the USAO.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

32. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

33. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the signature of this agreement and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if defendant has previously entered a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea, and (b) the USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement.

- 34. Following the Court's finding of a knowing breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then:
- a. Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action.
- b. Defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.
- c. Defendant agrees that: (i) any statements made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing (if such a hearing occurred prior to the breach); (ii) the agreed to factual basis statement in this agreement; and (iii) any evidence derived from such statements, shall be admissible against defendant in any such action against defendant, and defendant waives and gives up any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that the statements or any evidence derived from the statements should be suppressed or are inadmissible.

COURT AND UNITED STATES PROBATION AND PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICE NOT PARTIES

35. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States
Probation and Pretrial Services Office are not parties to this

agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing factors.

- 36. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) arque on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and the sentence it chooses to impose are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 20 are consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.
- 37. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one -- not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court -- can make a binding prediction or promise regarding

the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum. 2 NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS 3 Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, 4 there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO 5 and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional 6 promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a 7 writing signed by all parties or on the record in court. 8 PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING 9 The parties agree that this agreement will be considered 10 39. part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the 11 entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding. 12 AGREED AND ACCEPTED 13 14 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF 15 CALIFORNIA 16 NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorne 17 18 DANTEL J. O'BRIEN Assistant United States Attorney 19 20 IMAAD SHAH ZUBERI 21 Defendant/ 22 THOMAS PO O'BRIEN Attorney for Defendant 23 Imaad Shah Zuberi

20

24

25

26

27

28

EVAN J. DAVIS

Imaad Shah Zuberi

Attorney for Défendant

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading guilty because I am guilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

JMZ4 10/6/2019

IMAAD SHAH ZUBERI Defendant

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

We are Imaad Shah Zuberi's attorneys. We have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with our client. Further, we have fully advised our client of his rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors

set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To our knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to our client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced our client in any way to enter into this agreement; our client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support our client's entry of a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement.

7	Λ
ㅗ	U

THOMAS P. O'BRIEN

Imaad Shah Zuberi

EVAN J. DAVIS

Imaad Shah Zuberi

Attorney for Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

Particular ton	0	٠	7	- Nov	-	9
ě.	\cup		i		V	1

Date

Date

EXHIBIT A 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 11 Plaintiff, INFORMATION 12 [22 U.S.C. §§ 612, 618(a)(2): v. Violations of the Foreign Agents 26 U.S.C. 13 IMAAD SHAH ZUBERI, Registration Act; § 7201: Tax Evasion; 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116, 30118, 30121, 14 Defendant. 30122, 30109(d)(1): Foreign, Conduit, and Other Illegal 15 Campaign Contributions 16 17 The United States Attorney charges: 18 INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS 19 Defendant's Political & Business Activities 20 1. From in or about 2010 through the present, defendant IMAAD 21 SHAH ZUBERI operated an informal entity named Avenue Ventures, a 22 venture capital firm. As part of his operation of Avenue Ventures, defendant ZUBERI told foreign nationals, representatives of foreign 23 24 governments, and others that he could implement changes to United 25 11 26 11 27 // 28 DOB

States foreign policy by wielding his influence in Washington, D.C.

Defendant ZUBERI typically advertised these political policy changes
as devices to construct profitable business and investment
opportunities for his clients as well as himself.

- 2. Defendant ZUBERI and Avenue Ventures obtained funds from this business plan in multiple ways. Some of defendant ZUBERI's clients agreed to pay him consulting or retainer fees. Other clients agreed to transfer money to defendant ZUBERI to invest in specific business ventures for the benefit of these clients. Other clients agreed to transfer money to defendant ZUBERI to fund political campaign contributions in an effort to create business opportunities for themselves.
- 3. Defendant ZUBERI used a portion of these funds to donate contributions to the political campaigns of federal and state officials. Between on or about September 1, 2011 and on or about February 24, 2017, the day when defendant ZUBERI became aware of the U.S. Government's criminal investigation, defendant ZUBERI made over \$3,000,000 in contributions, in either his own name or that of his spouse, to various Democratic and Republican federal election campaigns, and Presidential Inauguration Committees. Between on or about September 1, 2011 and on or about November 7, 2016, defendant ZUBERI also "bundled" over a million dollars of contributions from various third parties as a fundraiser for campaigns for Presidential elections and several other candidates for elected office.
- 4. Through these political contributions, defendant ZUBERI obtained access to high-level United States officials. Defendant ZUBERI attempted to persuade these public officials to modify existing United States policies and take other actions on behalf of,

1.3

1.5

1.8

- and favorable to, defendant ZUBERI's clients. In an effort to convince his clients of his ability to wield influence, defendant ZUBERI broadcast this access by distributing photographs of defendant ZUBERI meeting with high-ranking elected officials and describing conversations in which they purportedly discussed public policies of international importance.
- 5. Defendant ZUBERI used a portion of the funds from his business plan to hire lobbyists and public relations consultants who assisted his efforts to influence and transform public policy and opinion in the United States.
- 6. Defendant ZUBERI's campaign contributions, public relations work, and lobbying efforts generated marginal results. Some United States officials, however, were willing to adopt defendant ZUBERI's requested political positions or otherwise accommodate defendant ZUBERI's wishes.
- 7. Defendant ZUBERI's business ventures were largely unsuccessful for his clients. Indeed, most of defendant ZUBERI's clients suffered significant monetary losses stemming from their business associations with defendant ZUBERI. Many of the lobbyists, public relations consultants, and other subcontractors also suffered losses when defendant ZUBERI refused to pay them the agreed-upon fees for services they rendered.
- 8. In contrast to the losses suffered by his clients and subcontractors, defendant ZUBERI gained substantial wealth. This newfound wealth was almost entirely obtained as a result of (a) fraudulent representations concerning defendant ZUBERI's education, experience, family wealth, business, employees, investment successes, financial condition, political power, and the disposition of client

funds, and (b) the outright conversion of client money for defendant ZUBERI's own personal benefit.

B. The Foreign Agents Registration Act

- 9. The Foreign Agents Registration Act ("FARA") was, and is, a disclosure statute that requires any person acting as "an agent of a foreign principal" to register with the Attorney General of the United States in connection with certain types of activities, such as political or public relations efforts on behalf of the foreign principal. Such registrations are made to the U.S. Department of Justice, National Security Division's FARA Unit. It is a crime to knowingly and willfully fail to register, and to make false and misleading statements or material omissions in documents submitted to the FARA Unit under the law's provisions.
- 10. One purpose of FARA is to create transparency regarding the existence and extent of the relationship between individuals operating in the United States and their foreign principals. Proper registration under the statute allows the U.S. government and the American people to evaluate the statements and activities of individuals who are serving as agents of foreign principals. Among other things, a FARA registration reveals the identity of the foreign principal on whose behalf a registrant performs services, the type of services the registrant provides the foreign principal, the source and amount of compensation the registrant receives from the foreign principal, and political campaign contributions made by the registrant while the registrant was acting as an agent of the foreign principal. The Government of Sri Lanka, a country in South Asia, was, and is, a foreign principal under FARA.

C. The Federal Election Campaign Act

- 11. The Federal Election Campaign Act ("FECA") governed, and governs, contributions to candidates, their campaign committees, and political committees in United States elections.
- 12. FECA requires that federal candidates for public office designate a principal campaign committee to solicit, accept, and receive contributions and to make expenditures for the campaign. FECA also permits the establishment of other political committees, such as national party committees, state party committees, and political action committees, which can solicit, accept, and receive contributions and make expenditures for candidates and political parties.
- 13. FECA requires that each treasurer of a political committee that participates in federal elections file periodic reports to the Federal Election Commission ("FEC") identifying contributors by name, address, and occupation, and the contributions provided by those contributors by date and amount.

14. FECA prohibits:

- a. Contributions from foreign nationals, meaning individuals who are neither citizens of the United States nor permanent residents of the United States, directly or through any other person, in connection with any federal, state, or local election or any presidential inaugural;
- b. Contributions from foreign or domestic corporations, partnerships, associations, or organizations in connection with any federal election for federal office;

- c. Conduit contributions, contributions in the name of another, or using one name to effect a contribution by another in connection with any federal election for federal office;
- d. Contributions from any person (i) in excess of \$2,500 during the 2012 election cycle, \$2,600 during the 2014 election cycle, and \$2,700 during the 2016 election cycle to any candidate's authorized political committee per federal election; (ii) in excess of \$30,800 during the 2012 election cycle, \$32,400 during the 2014 election cycle, and \$33,400 during the 2016 election cycle per year to any national party committee; (iii) in excess of \$10,000 per year to any state, district, and local party committee, and (iv) in excess of \$5,000 per year to any other political committee.

D. Individuals, Entities, & Non-Existent Person

- 15. Person A was a foreign national, namely, a citizen of both Saudi Arabia and the United Kingdom who resided in the United Kingdom and Switzerland. Person A was the chairman of Company A, a foreign corporation headquartered in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Person A's spouse, Person B, was a citizen of the United States.
- 16. Person C was a citizen of both Lebanon and the United States, residing in Kuwait. Person C was the Chief Executive Officer of Company B, a foreign corporation headquartered in Kuwait City, Kuwait. Person C's spouse, Person D, was a foreign national, namely, a citizen and resident of Kuwait.
- 17. Person E was a foreign national, namely, a citizen of Venezuela who resided in Saudi Arabia and was employed by Company A. Person E's spouse, Person F, was a foreign national, namely, a Venezuelan citizen residing in Saudi Arabia.

2

8

9

1.0

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

- Person G was a citizen of the United States. Person G's spouse, Person H, was a foreign national, namely, a citizen of Brazil.
- Person I was a non-existent person created by defendant ZUBERI to aid in his schemes.
- U.S. Cares, LLC ("U.S. Cares"), also known as America Cares, was a Delaware limited liability corporation, created at the direction of defendant ZUBERI on or about December 13, 2013. On or about November 24, 2014, defendant ZUBERI submitted an application on behalf of U.S. Cares for a license from the Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC") to allow U.S. Cares to export humanitarian items, including food, medicine, and medical supplies, to Iran.
- 21. Person J was a foreign national, namely, a citizen of Bahrain, executive of Company C, and Chairman of Company D, both foreign entities. In or about August 2013, Company D invested in U.S. Cares.
- Person K was a foreign national, namely, a citizen of the United Arab Emirates and Singapore and Managing Partner of Company E, a foreign entity. In or about August 2013, Company E invested in U.S. Cares.
- Person L was a foreign national, a citizen of United Arab Emirates and Chief Executive Officer of Company F, a foreign entity. In or about October 2013, Company F invested in U.S. Cares. On or about April 29, 2014, OFAC designated both Person L and Company F as Specially Designated Nationals. This designation prohibited United States persons from engaging in business with, blocked the assets of, and imposed other restrictions against Person L and Company F.

_ ,

about November 2014, Company F sold its shares in U.S. Cares to Company D.

- 24. Person M was a foreign national, namely, a citizen of Kuwait and principal of Company G, a foreign entity. In or about December 2013, Company G invested in U.S. Cares.
- 25. Person N was a foreign national, namely, a citizen of India and principal of Company H, a foreign entity. In or about December 2013, Company H invested in U.S. Cares.
- 26. From on or about April 9, 2009 through July 14, 2014, WR Group was an informal entity. On or about April 19, 2009, Person O opened a Bank of America account in the name of WR Group ("WR"), with herself as sole signatory, in which she described WR as a sole proprietorship.
- 27. Person P was a former college classmate of defendant ZUBERI. From January 2014 through October 2015, defendant ZUBERI paid Person P \$5,000 per month to perform a variety of tasks on behalf of defendant ZUBERI and Avenue Ventures. On or about July 14, 2014, at the direction of defendant ZUBERI, Person P obtained a certificate of organization in Washington, D.C. designating WR Group as a limited liability company ("WR, LLC").
- 28. On or about May 23, 2014, at the direction of defendant ZUBERI, Person P incorporated Beltway Government Services, Inc. ("BGS"). That same day, Person P opened several Wells Fargo bank accounts (the "BGS accounts") in which he identified himself as owner and sole signatory.
- 29. Person Q was an associate of defendant ZUBERI. From July 2014 through September 2014, defendant ZUBERI directed Person P to pay \$2,500 per month out of the BGS accounts to the benefit of Person

Q in return for his agreement to act as a second signatory on the BGS accounts.

- 30. Throughout the existence of WR LLC and BGS, Person P nominally governed both entities but they were actually always subject to the control of defendant ZUBERI. Defendant ZUBERI directed Person P to sign contracts, issue invoices, transfer funds, pay expenses, and forebear from paying expenses. Person P followed defendant ZUBERI's instructions with respect to how to operate these entities.
- 31. Person R was a business associate of defendant ZUBERI and a candidate for federal elective office during the 2016 election cycle.
- 32. Person S was a foreign national, namely, a citizen of Bahrain, and high-ranking official of the Bahrain government.

E. Conduit Campaign Contributions

33. From on or about April 27, 2012 through on or about October 26, 2016, defendant ZUBERI paid for campaign contributions donated in the name of other individuals by making the following online payments with credit cards belonging to defendant ZUBERI and his spouse:

Date	Campaign	Contributor	Amount
4/27/12	Campaign A	Person BB	\$2,500
4/27/12	Campaign A	Person CC	\$2,500
4/27/12	Campaign A	Person DD	\$2,500
10/26/12	Campaign B	Person EE	\$5,000
4/5/13	Campaign C	Person FF	\$2,600
4/14/13	Campaign C	Person FF	\$2,600
4/22/13	Campaign D	Person B	\$2,600
4/22/13	Campaign D	Person B	\$2,600
5/31/13	Campaign C	Person B	\$2,600
5/31/13	Campaign C	Person B	\$2,600
5/31/13	Campaign C	Person C	\$2,600
5/31/13	Campaign C	Person C	\$2,600
12/23/13	Campaign E	Person FF	\$2,600
12/23/13	Campaign E	Person FF	\$2,600

12/26/13	Campaign F	Person FF	\$2,600
12/26/13	Campaign F	Person FF	\$2,600
1/27/14	Campaign C	Person GG	\$2,400
1/27/14	Campaign F	Person GG	\$2,600
1/27/14	Campaign C	Person GG	\$2,600
1/27/14	Campaign F	Person GG ·	\$2,600
1/27/14	Campaign C	Person P	\$2,400
1/27/14	Campaign F	Person P	\$2,600
1/27/14	Campaign C	Person P	\$2,600
1/27/14	Campaign F	Person P	\$2,600
4/19/14	Campaign G	Person FF	\$2,600
4/19/14	Campaign G	Person FF	\$2,600
5/14/14	Campaign G	Person FF	\$2,600
5/14/14	Campaign G	Person HH	\$2,600
9/25/14	Campaign H	Person FF	\$2,600
2/3/15	Campaign I	Person C	\$5,200
3/3/15	Campaign J	Person B	\$2,700
3/3/15	Campaign J	Person FF	\$2,700
3/3/15	Campaign J	Person A	\$2,700
3/3/15	Campaign J	Person C	\$2,700
5/27/15	Campaign C	Person B	\$5,400
9/28/16	Campaign J	Person C	\$400
9/28/16	Campaign J	Person B	\$2,700
9/28/16	Campaign J	Person B	\$2,700
9/28/16	Campaign J	Person A	\$2,700
9/28/16	Campaign J	Person A	\$2,700
9/28/16	Campaign J	Person II	\$2,700
9/28/16	Campaign J	Person II	\$2,700
10/26/16	Campaign K	Person B	\$2,700
	L +	1	L

34. From on or about February 26, 2013 through on or about October 28, 2016, defendant ZUBERI paid for campaign contributions donated in the name of Person AA, a family member who passed away on or about April 2, 2016, by making the following online payments with credit cards belonging to defendant ZUBERI:

Date	Campaign	Contributor	Amount
4/22/13	Campaign D	Person AA	\$2,600
4/22/13	Campaign D	Person AA	\$2,600
12/6/13	Campaign E	Person AA	\$2,600
12/6/13	Campaign E	Person AA	\$2,600
12/26/13	Campaign F	Person AA	\$2,600

- 1				
	12/26/13	Campaign F	Person AA	\$2,600
	1/3/14	Campaign L	Person AA	\$2,600
	1/15/14	Campaign M	Person AA	\$2,600
İ	1/15/14	Campaign M	Person AA	\$2,600
	2/19/14	Campaign N	Person AA	\$2,600
	2/19/14	Campaign N	Person AA	\$2,600
	2/28/14	Campaign O	Person AA	\$2,600
	2/28/14	Campaign B	Person AA	\$2,600
-	2/28/14	Campaign B	Person AA	\$2,600
	2/28/14	Campaign O	Person AA	\$2,600
	3/21/14	Campaign L	Person AA -	\$2,600
	3/21/14	Campaign L	Person AA	\$2,600
	4/19/14	Campaign O	Person AA	\$2,600
	4/19/14	Campaign O	Person AA	\$2,600
1	4/19/14	Campaign P	Person AA	\$2,600
	4/19/14	Campaign L	Person AA	\$2,600
	4/19/14	Campaign G	Person AA	\$2,600
	4/19/14	Campaign G	Person AA	\$2,600
	6/14/14	Campaign Q	Person AA	\$2,600
	7/3/14	Campaign R	Person AA	\$2,600
	7/3/14	Campaign R	Person AA	\$2,600
	7/3/14	Campaign S	Person AA	\$2,600
	7/3/14	Campaign S	Person AA	\$2,600
	8/16/14	Campaign J	Person AA	\$2,600
	1/23/15	Campaign F	Person AA	\$2,600
	1/23/15	Campaign F	Person AA	\$2,600
	2/3/15	Campaign I	Person AA	\$5,200
	2/5/15	Campaign T	Person AA	\$5,000
	3/3/15	Campaign J	Person AA	\$2,700
	3/9/15	Campaign C	Person AA	\$2,700
	3/9/15	Campaign C	Person AA	\$2,700
	3/21/15	Campaign U	Person AA	\$2 , 700
	3/21/15	Campaign U	Person AA	\$2,700
	3/22/15	Campaign V	Person AA	\$2,700
	3/22/15	Campaign V	Person AA	\$2,700
	4/12/15	Campaign W	Person AA	\$2,700
	6/12/15	Campaign X	Person AA	\$2,700
	12/16/15	Campaign Y	Person AA	\$2,700
	12/16/15	Campaign Y	Person AA	\$2,700
	10/28/16	Campaign Z	Person AA	\$2,700
Ш				-

35. Although Person AA shared certain joint bank accounts with defendant ZUBERI, none of those joint accounts financed the above-referenced contributions in Person AA's name, Person AA lacked sufficient income to pay for all the contributions made in Person

AA's name, and at the time the October 28, 2016 contribution was made in Person AA's name, Person AA was deceased.

36. From on or about April 1, 2014 through on or about May 17, 2015, defendant ZUBERI reimbursed the following conduits for campaign contributions they had donated at defendant ZUBERI's direction:

Date	Campaign	Contributor	Amount
4/1/14	Campaign E	Person P	\$400
4/1/14	Campaign E	Person P	\$2,600
5/5/15	Campaign W	Person JJ	\$2,700
5/17/15	Campaign W	Person KK	\$1,350

37. From on or about September 27, 2013 through on or about April 14, 2016, defendant ZUBERI solicited and received the following reimbursements for campaign contributions, or portions of campaign contributions, defendant ZUBERI had donated in his own name:

Date	Campaign	Source of Funds	Amount
9/27/13	State Campaign AA	Person J	\$25,000
9/16/14	Campaign BB	Person LL	\$16,000
4/14/16	Campaign CC	Person LL	\$35,000

F. Foreign Sources of Campaign Contributions

38. Beginning on or about May 2, 2012 and continuing to on or about February 11, 2016, in response to defendant ZUBERI's solicitations, Person A and Person C caused Company A and Company B to issue the following wire transfers into a foreign bank account in the name of defendant ZUBERI ("the IZ Barclays Dubai account") that either funded or reimbursed contributions to the following political campaigns and a FECA-regulated event ("Event EE"):

1	Company A	Date	Company B	Date	Zuberi Acct.	Purpose
	to		to Zuberi			
2	Company B					
}			\$136,600	5/1/12	Zuberi	Campaign B &
,					Barclays Dubai	Campaign DD
			\$71,600	6/11/12	Zuberi	Campaign DD
					Barclays Dubai	
			\$90,000	9/26/12	Zuberi	Campaign DD
					Barclays Dubai	
	\$180,000	10/10/13	\$180,000	10/1/12	Zuberi	Campaign DD
					Barclays Dubai	
İ			\$100,000	10/21/12	Zuberi	Campaign DD
					Barclays Dubai	
			\$100,000	11/12/12	Zuberi	Event EE
					Barclays Dubai	
			\$500,000	12/10/12	Zuberi	Event EE
ļ					Barclays Dubai	
	\$150,000	1/16/13	\$150,000	1/14/13	Zuberi	Event EE
					Barclays Dubai	
I	\$100,000	5/2/13	\$100,000	2/27/13	Zuberi	Event EE
l					Barclays Dubai	photos
			\$5,000	4/30/13	Zuberi	Campaign D
					Barclays Dubai	
			\$100,200	2/11/16	Avenue	Campaign DD
					Ventures	
5					Wells Fargo US	

- 39. Defendant ZUBERI caused Avenue Ventures to issue invoices that disguised the nature of these foreign conduit campaign contributions, typically by falsely characterizing the transfers as "international consulting."
- 40. These foreign, conduit contributions were donated in the names of Person A, Person B, Person C, Person D, Person E, Person F, Person I, Person O, Person AA, and defendant ZUBERI.
- 41. From on or about April 14, 2015 through on or about May 5, 2015, defendant ZUBERI also solicited, and caused the following campaign committees to receive, the following direct contributions from foreign nationals knowing that they were foreign nationals:

Date	Campaign	Contributor	Amount
4/14/15	Campaign W	Person D	\$2,700
4/19/15	Campaign W	Person A	\$2,700
5/5/15	Campaign W	Person H	\$2,700

G. Conversion of Funds Intended for Political Campaigns

42. Contrary to the representations made by defendant ZUBERI to Person A and Person C, defendant ZUBERI directed only a portion of the money wired by Company A and Company B to political campaigns and Event EE and converted the remaining amounts to his personal benefit:

Wire to	Date	Intended Campaign	Amounts Donated	Amounts
Zuberi			to Campaign	Converted
\$136,600	5/1/12	Campaign B &	\$100,000	\$36,600
		Campaign DD		
\$71,600	6/11/12	Campaign DD	\$0	\$71,600
\$90,000	9/26/12	Campaign DD	\$89,000	\$1,000
\$180,000	10/1/12	Campaign DD	\$40,000	\$140,000
\$100,000	10/21/12	Campaign DD	\$0	\$100,000
\$100,000	11/12/12	Event EE	\$0	\$100,000
\$500,000	12/10/12	Event EE	\$62,500 ¹	\$437,500
\$150,000	1/14/13	Event EE	\$35,000	\$115,000
\$100,000	2/27/13	Event EE (photos)	\$0	\$100,000
\$100,200	2/11/16	Campaign CC	\$100,000	\$200
Total			Total Converted	\$1,101,900
			by ZUBERI	

43. For example, on or about September 24, 2012, in connection with his solicitation of the \$90,000 and \$180,000 wire transfers, defendant ZUBERI falsely informed Person C and Person E that he had incurred expenses of \$270,000 by making contributions on their behalf. Deliberately using the name of a fictitious employee, defendant ZUBERI sent an email from Robert.Reed@avenueventure.com that falsely stated:

 $^{^1}$ From on or about December 27, 2012 through on or about January 15, 2013, defendant ZUBERI made contributions of \$62,500, \$62,500, \$62,500, \$35,000 and \$35,000, totaling \$257,500, and thereafter obtained refunds from the inauguration committee of \$160,000, making the actual expenses incurred only \$97,500.

Please get me the forms and the wire as soon as possible because there are limited number of seats available. I have used American Express of Imaad to pay for everyone's dues. We need to put the names through vettin [sic] process as well. After the wire is done, please email me so we can move it into the correct sub-account. Thanks Rob

- 44. That same day, defendant ZUBERI falsely informed Person C that defendant ZUBERI was approaching the credit limit on his American Express card because of the \$270,000 in contribution expenses incurred, and attached a notice from American Express to purportedly confirm that assertion. In fact, defendant ZUBERI fraudulently prepared the American Express notice to inflate his outstanding balance from approximately \$3,943 to \$279,998 and his credit limit from \$19,000 to \$300,000.
- 45. From on or about September 27, 2012 through on or about October 12, 2012, defendant ZUBERI converted approximately \$141,000 of the \$270,000 he received as part of this scheme and used the majority of those funds to (a) pay off a \$68,679 mortgage balance on his personal residence, (b) transfer \$40,000 to a business operated by his spouse and her close relative, and (c) withdraw \$5,000 in cash for himself.
- 46. On or about January 21, 2013, defendant ZUBERI falsely informed Person A, Person C, and Person E that there was a shortfall in their contributions to Event EE and that a hold had been placed on their photographs with the President and Vice-President, writing:
 - [Y]ou guys were supposed to take only two people for the photo. These were \$250,000 per person with President and Vice President. I told you by mistake they had put wrong names on the photo line. When the photo (sic) are out they will match it with who went to the photo line versus who paid for them or how many paid. It won't take long for them to figure this out. There were four people instead of two. It will make me look like I am trying to play a fast one with them. I do not want to take chance with my

reputation for a couple hundred thousand dollars. It is not worth it for me and I have too much to lose. I told them there was a mistake made and to hold our photo until I tell them which one to release and not release. You need to tell me which two people photo you want released. Let me make it clear, except for [Person C], both [Person E] and [Person A] have not paid enough for this specific photo.

- 47. In fact, as defendant ZUBERI knew, there was no shortfall in contributions to Event EE and, in the weeks ahead, defendant ZUBERI actually received refunds for some contributions defendant ZUBERI had made to Event EE.
- 48. From on or about January 22, 2013 through or about February 25, 2013, defendant ZUBERI falsely informed Person C that defendant ZUBERI personally paid \$250,000 for the additional photo and that defendant ZUBERI expected Person A to reimburse him for that expense or else he would inform business associates not to work with Person A. Ultimately, defendant ZUBERI informed Person A that he would accept the reduced amount of \$100,000 from Person A for the purported reimbursement.

H. Income From anti-Bahrain Lobbying Effort

- 49. In or about February 2013, Person J informed defendant ZUBERI that he was engaged in a financial dispute with Person S. According to Person J, Person S won a monetary arbitration award against Person J for services rendered in connection with the development of the Al Areen Palace & Spa ("Al Areen"), a master planned development in southern Bahrain. According to Person J, as a result of this dispute with Person S, the Government of Bahrain had frozen Person J's personal assets and delayed further development of Al Areen, which was causing significant financial harm to Person J.
- 50. To remedy Person J's financial dispute, defendant ZUBERI proposed to use his connections with current and former high-ranking

- 51. Defendant ZUBERI further proposed that he and Person J convey the appearance that Avenue Ventures was investing in Al Areen. By injecting a U.S. "investor" into the project, defendant ZUBERI believed he could convince high-ranking U.S. Government officials to apply political pressure on the Bahrain government into stopping its interference in the Al Areen development because of its adverse financial impact on a U.S. entity. Defendant ZUBERI proposed a circular scheme through which the appearance of a U.S. investment would be created: (a) Person J would invest in a U.S. company; (b) the U.S. company would invest in Avenue Ventures; and (c) Avenue Ventures would then invest in an Al Areen Holding Company ("AHC") that purportedly owned Al Areen.
- 52. From on or about March 3, 2013 through on or about May 28, 2013, defendant ZUBERI enlisted the support of a former high-ranking U.S. official to speak with members of the Bahrain government, U.S. State Department officials, and a Member of Congress in furtherance of the anti-Bahrain lobbying efforts.
- 53. From on or about March 12, 2013 through on or about March 5, 2014, defendant ZUBERI enlisted the support of a high-ranking U.S. State Department official in Bahrain to visit Al Areen, meet with members of the Bahrain government, and speak with Members of Congress in furtherance of the anti-Bahrain lobbying effort.
- 54. From on or about March 15, 2013 through on or about June 1, 2013, defendant ZUBERI discussed with Members of Congress and their staffs how to enlist the support of the U.S. State Department in

furtherance of the anti-Bahrain lobbying effort and arranged for these Congresspersons to meet with Bahrain government officials.

- 55. On or about April 29, 2013, defendant ZUBERI staged a public event in Los Angeles, California, at which Person J and other individuals purported to sign a partnership agreement in which Avenue Ventures acquired a 35% stake in AHC in return for its having made a sizable U.S. foreign investment in Al Areen. In fact, no such agreement was executed.
- 56. Defendant ZUBERI solicited Person J for money in return for acting as an unregistered foreign agent of Person J. On or about August 3, 2013, defendant ZUBERI told Person J and others:

It has been several months since the Al-Areen press conference. We need to put closure on this by Thursday August 15. We have been asked about this project by [State Department Official] and others including calls from [Bahrain government officials . . .] If it is not moving forward then we will let US State Department know that we are not moving forward with this project. . . .

57. On or about August 18, 2013, defendant ZUBERI warned Person J:

Next week we need to either get documents signed or we need to have a press release that we agreed not to move forward thereby disengaging. It has been over 5-6 months which is way too long. Tomorrow, if someone challenged this then what document do I have to prove this is a real transaction?

58. On or about August 26, 2013, Person J executed a contract with Avenue Ventures on behalf of Company D. Under the terms of the contract, Avenue Ventures would provide consultancy services to further Company D's attempts to enter into business relationships throughout the world on an as-needed basis. In return, Company D would pay Avenue Ventures a retainer of \$250,000 per year plus

additional retainers and success fees as projects were realized, as well as expenses.

- 59. Defendant ZUBERI failed to register under FARA as an agent of Person J, a foreign national, and received income for acting as an unregistered agent of Person J. For example, in or about August 2013, Avenue Ventures issued an invoice to Company D seeking \$250,000 per the "2013 contract." On or about August 29, 2013, Avenue Ventures issued an invoice to Company D for \$27,900 for expenses incurred in relation to the anti-Bahrain lobbying effort. On or about September 2013, Company D wired \$277,858 to the IZ Barclays Dubai account in payment on those invoices related to defendant ZUBERI's anti-Bahrain lobbying efforts.
- 60. From on or about January 15, 2014 through on or about March 5, 2014, defendant ZUBERI prevailed upon Members of Congress to issue official letters to the Foreign Minister of Bahrain in support of the anti-Bahrain lobbying efforts. In order to achieve this goal, defendant ZUBERI falsely informed Members of Congress:
 - [A] major investment by a US company in Bahrain is experiencing significant interference from Bahraini authorities acting on behalf of a member of the royal family. . . . [Avenue Ventures] is seeking support from its government for a cessation of interference in its project in Bahrain.
- 61. In fact, Avenue Ventures had not invested any money or acquired any stake in either AHC or Al Areen and the true purpose of the lobbying efforts was to financially benefit Person J.
- 62. From on or about January 15, 2014 through on or about March 5, 2014, in response to the lobbying efforts of defendant ZUBERI on behalf of Person J, twelve Members of Congress issued official letters to the Foreign Minister of Bahrain citing the purported

"harassment" of "U.S. investors" and asking that his government stop its "interference."

I. Conversion of Funds/Income Received From U.S. Cares Investors

- 63. From on or about August 12, 2013 through on or about January 27, 2014, defendant ZUBERI solicited Person J, Person K, Person L, Person M, and Person N to invest in U.S. Cares and caused Avenue Ventures to enter into an operating agreement with their respective companies.
- 64. The operating agreement that defined the investment assigned the following ownership percentages in the venture: Avenue Ventures (28.5%), Company D (20%), Company F (9.5%), Company E (2%), Company G (20%), and Company H (20%). The agreement required the establishment of a capital account with respect to each member's capital contributions.
- 65. From on or about September 28, 2013 through on or about March 11, 2014, the U.S. Cares investors wired approximately \$7,000,000 into the IZ Barclays Dubai account, an individual Emirates Bank account in the name of defendant ZUBERI located in Dubai ("the IZ Emirates Dubai account"), and a Bank of America account in the United States held jointly in the name of defendant ZUBERI and his spouse ("the IZ/WR BofA account").
- 66. Capital investments from Person N and his business, Company H, and Person M's business, Company G, were wired to defendant ZUBERI as follows:

Transfer Date	Transfer Amount	Payor	Zuberi Account
12/10/2013	\$500,000	Person N	IZ/WR BofA US
12/12/2013	\$490,070	Person N	IZ Emirates Dubai
12/22/2013	\$245,031	Person N	IZ Emirates Dubai
12/24/2013	\$500,000	Company H	IZ/WR BofA US
1/27/2014	\$2,000,000	Company G	IZ Emirates Dubai

68. Out of approximately \$7,000,000 wired to defendant ZUBERI by U.S. Cares investors, less than \$250,000 was spent in furtherance of defendant ZUBERI's advertised business purpose. On or about October 7, 2013 and on or about December 9, 2013, defendant ZUBERI issued two \$90,000 checks to a law firm in connection with the creation of U.S. Cares and preparing a license application to OFAC. From in or about October 2013 through in or about February 2016, defendant ZUBERI paid another attorney approximately \$20,000 in connection with the U.S. Cares license application.

meal, entertainment, and personal expenses.

- 69. In or about January 2016, United States sanctions against Iran were lifted, which impacted OFAC regulations pertaining to U.S. Cares.
- 70. On or about February 22, 2016, OFAC responded to U.S. Cares license application, stating that ". . . the Applicant's proposed

transactions related to the exportation and reexportation of the goods as described in the application appear to be generally authorized[.]"

71. After the OFAC response, defendant ZUBERI took no further action with respect to the license application, nor did he use any of the converted funds to engage in the planned distribution of humanitarian supplies to Iran.

J. Conversion of Funds/Income Received From Sri Lankan Government

- 72. From on or about November 28, 2013 through on or about March 5, 2014, defendant ZUBERI met with high-ranking officials of the Government of Sri Lanka and negotiated an agreement whereby Avenue Ventures, or a special-purpose company created by Avenue Ventures, would engage in lobbying and public relations efforts to rehabilitate Sri Lanka's image in the United States. This proposed lobbying and public relations effort focused on modifying United States policies, particularly through the issuance of Congressional resolutions, and improving public perception relating to Sri Lanka's alleged persecution of its minority Tamil population.
- 73. On or about March 5, 2014, defendant ZUBERI pledged to expend \$2,250,000 per quarter in support of this effort with \$1,500,000 per quarter for lobbying expenses, \$500,000 per quarter for legal expenses, and \$250,000 per quarter for media buys.

 Defendant ZUBERI told Sri Lankan officials that the effort would include introducing Sri Lankan officials to executive branch administration officials, Senators, and Congresspersons, and recruiting Sri Lankan expatriates to engage in political organizing in the United States.

On or about April 26, 2014, WR entered into a contract, effective May 1, 2014, with the Central Bank of Sri Lanka ("the Sri Lanka-WR contract"). The Sri Lanka-WR contract described WR's services as "(i) strategic advice related to commercial and public policy considerations related to the US and other parties;" and (ii) "identification of consultants or other legal or non-legal advisors to [Sri Lanka] and the Central Bank as may effectuate Sri Lanka's commercial and diplomatic objectives." In return, the Sri Lanka-WR contract required the payment of \$8,500,000 in accordance with the following schedule: \$3,500,000 on May 1, 2014, and five payments of \$1,000,000 per month from June through October 2014.

75. On or about May 9, 2014, at defendant ZUBERI's request, the Central Bank of Sri Lanka wired \$3,500,000 to the IZ/WR BofA account.

76. In or about May 2014, defendant ZUBERI transferred \$1,600,000 of the Sri Lankan money into his personal brokerage accounts, \$1,500,000 to escrow accounts for the purchase of real property in the name of an IZ/WR Real Property LLC, \$300,000 to pay debt on personal credit card accounts, and most of the remaining \$100,000 into bank accounts held by IZ/WR Real Property LLCs ("IZ/WR Real Property LLC accounts").

77. On or about June 18, 2014, at defendant ZUBERI's request, the Central Bank of Sri Lanka wired \$1,000,000 to the IZ/WR BofA account.

78. In or about June 2014, defendant ZUBERI transferred approximately \$650,000 of Sri Lanka money into his brokerage accounts and almost \$200,000 of Sri Lanka money into IZ/WR Real Property LLC accounts.

- 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |

- 79. In or about July 2014, Sri Lankan officials insisted that the payments in connection with the Sri Lanka-WR contract be disbursed to the contracting party, WR, rather than to defendant ZUBERI's personal bank account. In addition, Sri Lankan officials requested receipts from WR with respect to the wire transfers already made.
- 80. On or about July 9 and 10, 2014, defendant ZUBERI directed Person P to issue fraudulent receipts on behalf of WR for the \$3,500,000 and \$1,000,000 wire transfers, despite the funds having been received into defendant ZUBERI's personal bank account and spent for defendant ZUBERI's personal benefit.
- 81. On or about July 18, 2014, the Central Bank of Sri Lanka wired \$1,000,000 into a WR bank account.
- 82. From in or about July 2014 through in or about September 2014, defendant ZUBERI directed Person P to transfer over \$500,000 of Sri Lankan money from WR to corporate entities controlled by defendant ZUBERI and his spouse, including Avenue Capital Group, LLC ("ACG"), ISZ 9 LLC ("ISZ"), Fountain, LLC ("Fountain"), and Clary LLC ("Clary"). Defendant ZUBERI then transferred most of the Sri Lankan money into the IZ/WR BofA account, which were then used for defendant ZUBERI's personal benefit, including brokerage account investments, real estate investments, and to pay debt on his personal credit cards. The transactions are summarized below:

1st Transfer	2 nd Transfer	3 rd Transfer	Beneficiary
\$250,000 to	\$225,000 to	\$100,000 to	
ISZ 9	IZ/WR BofA	Etrade	IZ/WR
		\$100,000 to	
		Etrade	IZ/WR

1	\$250,000 to	\$225,000 to	\$113,484 to	
	Fountain	IZ/WR BofA	American Express	IZ/WR
2			\$100,000 to	
3			Etrade	IZ/WR
٧	\$250,000 to	\$240,000 to	\$135,000 to	
4	Clary	AIS	BGS	BGS
			\$50,000 to	
5			American Ctrl	
			Escrow	IZ/WR
6			\$50,000 to	
7			American Ctrl	
′			Escrow	IZ/WR
8	\$200,000 to	\$149,000 to		
	ACG	BGS		BGS
9		\$25,000 to		
10		Person R		PERSON R
10		\$25,000 to		
11		Person R		PERSON R
	\$40,000 to			
12	BGS			BGS
10	\$5,000 to			
13	BGS			BGS
14	\$5,000 to			
	Person P			PERSON P

- 83. On or about September 10, 2014, the Central Bank of Sri Lanka wired \$1,000,000 into a WR bank account.
- 84. From in or about September 2014 through in or about October 2014, defendant ZUBERI directed Person P to transfer approximately \$725,000 of Sri Lankan money from WR to corporate entities controlled by defendant ZUBERI, including ACG and Avenue Investment Services, LLC ("AIS"). Defendant ZUBERI then transferred most of the funds into the IZ/WR BofA account, which were then used for defendant ZUBERI's personal benefit, including brokerage account investments and to pay debt on his personal credit cards. The transactions are summarized below:

1 st Transfer	2 nd Transfer	3 rd Transfer	Beneficiary
\$475,000 to	\$390,000 to	\$36,674 to	
ACG (2 cks)	IZ/WR BofA	American Express	IZ/WR
		\$100,000 to	
		Etrade	IZ/WR
		\$100,000 to	
		Schwab	IZ/WR
		\$100,000 to	
		Schwab	IZ/WR
	\$35,000 to		
	Nelson		
	Mullins		NM
	\$13,500 to		
	BGS		BGS
\$475,000 to	\$355,000 to	\$100,000 to	
AIS (2 cks)	IZ/WR BofA	Etrade	IZ/WR
		\$100,000 to	
· .		Etrade	IZ/WR
		\$100,000 to	
		Etrade	IZ/WR
		\$66,674 to	
		American Express	IZ/WR
	\$50,000		
	to BGS		BGS
	\$6,000 to		
	BGS		BGS
\$24,750 to			
BGS			BGS
\$8,750 to			
BGS			BGS
\$16,500 to			
Person P			PERSON P

85. Out of the \$6,500,000 wired from Sri Lanka pursuant to the Sri Lanka-WR contract, defendant ZUBERI directed over \$5,650,000 to the benefit of defendant ZUBERI and his spouse.

- 86. Out of the \$6,500,000 wired from Sri Lanka pursuant to the Sri Lanka-WR contract, less than \$850,000 was paid to lobbyists, public relations firms, law firms, and other subcontractors identified by defendant ZUBERI and retained by BGS.
- 87. At defendant ZUBERI's direction, Person P failed to pay certain invoices issued by these subcontractors. Defendant ZUBERI

falsely represented to these unpaid subcontractors that Sri Lanka had provided insufficient funds to make payment and that BGS and Person P were in possession of funds received from Sri Lanka when, in fact, defendant ZUBERI had directed Person P to transfer the Sri Lankan funds to benefit defendant ZUBERI personally.

K. Income Received in 2015

3.

1.3

- 88. On or about March 27, 2015, Company I, a Ukrainian foreign entity, wired \$1,000,000 to the IZ/WR BofA account as payment on an invoice for consulting services issued by defendant ZUBERI.
- 89. Defendant ZUBERI used the majority of the Company I funds for his personal benefit as follows: \$650,000 to defendant ZUBERI's personal brokerage accounts, \$174,000 to pay debt on his personal credit card, \$39,500 to purchase a BMW automobile, and \$22,408 to pay taxes he owed to the California Franchise Tax Board.
- 90. On or about August 4, 2015, Person J wired \$1,000,000 to an ACG bank account for "Consultancy Fees from Al-Areen project."
- 91. On or about August 5, 2015, defendant ZUBERI used the majority of the funds received from Person J to issue a \$770,000 check that he deposited into the IZ/RW BofA account. These funds were combined with other funds to wire \$3,183,800 to an escrow company in connection with the purchase of a property in the name of an IZ/WR Real Property LLC.
- 92. On or about October 26, 2015, Company C wired \$999,980 to an ACG bank account for an "Engagement Fee." The fee constituted a retainer for Avenue Ventures to provide consultancy services to assist Company C to engage in business relationships with government entities and private companies throughout the world on an as-needed basis.

1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1

93. On or about October 28, 2015, defendant ZUBERI's spouse used the majority of the funds received from Company D to purchase a \$626,000 cashier's check payable to an escrow company in connection with the purchase of a property in the name of an IZ/WR Real Property LLC.

L. Unreported Income

- 94. Defendant ZUBERI had a legal obligation to file an U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, IRS Form 1040 ("Form 1040") for the 2012 calendar year because, at a minimum, defendant ZUBERI received approximately \$886,700 from Company B for campaign contributions that defendant ZUBERI instead converted to his own benefit.

 Notwithstanding this obligation, defendant ZUBERI did not file a Form 1040 reporting income he received during the 2012 calendar year.
- 95. On or about April 15, 2014, defendant ZUBERI and his spouse caused the electronic filing of a Form 1040 for the 2013 calendar year. Defendant ZUBERI falsely reported on line 22 of that tax return a total income of \$182,211. This amount was understated because, at a minimum, the Form 1040 failed to account for (a) approximately \$215,000 defendant ZUBERI received from Company B for contributions to Event EE that defendant ZUBERI instead converted to his own benefit and (b) approximately \$2,223,000 defendant ZUBERI converted from U.S. Cares investors during the 2013 calendar year.
- 96. On or about April 15, 2015, defendant ZUBERI and his spouse caused the electronic filing of a Form 1040 for the 2014 calendar year. Defendant ZUBERI falsely reported on line 22 of that tax return a total income of \$558,233. This amount was understated because, at a minimum, the return failed to account for (a) approximately \$5,650,000 defendant ZUBERI received either directly

2.4

2.6

- 97. On or about October 14, 2016, defendant ZUBERI and his spouse caused the electronic filing of a Form 1040 for the 2015 calendar year. Defendant ZUBERI falsely reported on line 22 of that tax return a total income of \$1,959,992. This tax amount was understated because the return failed to account for (a) \$1,000,000 received from Company I by ACH, which should have been accounted for on defendant ZUBERI's Form 1040 as business income, and (b) approximately \$1,000,000 defendant ZUBERI converted from U.S. Cares investors during the 2015 calendar year.
- M. <u>Defendant Zuberi's Lobbying and Public Relation Activities</u>

 <u>Conducted at the Direction and Control of the Sri Lankan</u>

 <u>Government</u>
- 98. From in or about December 2013 through in or about October 2014, defendant ZUBERI directed and personally engaged in lobbying and public relations activities targeting United States elected officials and their staff, at the direction and control of Sri Lanka. Defendant ZUBERI (a) solicited, on behalf of Sri Lanka, Members of Congress to accept all-expenses-paid trips to Sri Lanka, (b) authored emails and wrote proposals for Sri Lanka setting forth the strategy of the influence campaign, (c) interviewed, recommended, and negotiated subcontracts with lobbyists for Sri Lanka, (d) organized conference calls with lobbyists to set forth their responsibilities, (e) coordinated a series of meetings in Washington, D.C. between Sri

Lankan officials and United States Senators, Congresspersons, and their staff, (f) personally introduced members of a Sri Lanka delegation to Members of Congress, and (g) participated in meetings with United States Government officials at which the goals of the Sri Lanka delegation were discussed.

99. From on or about April 26, 2014 through on or about July 14, 2014, defendant ZUBERI directed Person P to sign the Sri Lanka-WR contract, create BGS and WR, and open bank accounts for those companies to insulate and conceal defendant ZUBERI's control of the Sri Lanka lobbying and public relations effort, and defendant ZUBERI's personal receipt of money from Sri Lanka. In reality, BGS and WR acted as alter egos of defendant ZUBERI and all efforts undertaken by those entities were, in fact, directed by defendant ZUBERI.

100. Beginning on or about June 2, 2014, defendant ZUBERI instructed Person P to sign contracts on behalf of BGS in which it retained various lobbyists to support the Sri Lanka-WR contract.

Defendant ZUBERI instructed Person P when to pay lobbyists and other subcontractors on behalf of BGS and WR. Defendant ZUBERI instructed Person P not to pay certain lobbyists, despite their rendering of services.

N. FARA Violations Pertaining to Sri Lanka

- 101. From in or about December 2013 through in or about October 2014, defendant ZUBERI was the agent of Sri Lanka, and therefore had personal registration obligations under FARA.
- 102. Defendant ZUBERI failed to register with the Department of Justice as a foreign agent of Sri Lanka prior to acting on Sri Lanka's behalf.

11 | 12 |

1.0

103. In an effort to conceal his conduct at the direction and control of Sri Lanka, defendant ZUBERI directed Person P to register BGS, rather than defendant ZUBERI himself. On or about June 2, 2014, Person P filed a FARA registration statement for BGS as an agent of Sri Lanka. On or about August 14, 2014, BGS filed a supplemental registration statement. In each of these 2014 registration statements, BGS failed to report any of the money it received from Sri Lanka, the money disbursed to companies under defendant ZUBERI's control, or the money disbursed to various subcontractors that had engaged in the Sri Lanka lobbying and public relations effort.

104. On or about June 2, 2014, Person P filed a "short-form" FARA registration statement, identifying himself as a director of BGS.

105. On or about June 2, 2014, at defendant ZUBERI's direction, Person Q filed a short-form FARA registration statement, identifying himself as a director of BGS.

106. On or about August 14, 2014, defendant ZUBERI filed a short-form FARA registration statement, claiming that BGS contracted with him to provide consulting work on behalf of Sri Lanka.

107. Defendant ZUBERI's short-form statement contained material false statements and omitted material facts. These included, but were not limited to, that defendant ZUBERI willfully and falsely claimed that (a) BGS retained him as a consultant when, in fact, he orchestrated all of BGS's activities, (b) he engaged in no political activity on behalf of Sri Lanka, when, in fact, he lobbied the United States Congress on behalf of Sri Lanka, (c) he merely received a salary from BGS "[n]ot based solely on services rendered to the foreign principal," when, in fact, he received not a salary from BGS,

but rather over \$5,650,000 of Sri Lanka funds, and (d) he had made no political contributions on his own behalf during the period beginning 60 days prior to the date of his obligation to register to the time of the filing of the short-form FARA registration statement, when, in fact, defendant ZUBERI made contributions to dozens of election campaigns during this timeframe. In addition, in that short-form statement, defendant ZUBERI did not disclose the \$3,500,000 and \$1,000,000 wire transfers he had received into the IZ/WR BofA account in May and June 2014, or the \$500,000 in Sri Lanka funds he had converted from WR to his own benefit in July 2014.

108. Notwithstanding WR's contract with Sri Lanka, WR did not register under FARA at any time during the 2014 calendar year.

109. The net result of defendant ZUBERI's failure to register under FARA and the 2014 FARA filings containing material false statements and material omissions was that the American public was unaware of millions of dollars routed by Sri Lanka to defendant ZUBERI and the scope of his concerted and foreign-funded efforts to transform United States foreign policy and public opinion to the benefit of that foreign government.

110. In or about late July 2015, defendant ZUBERI was contacted by a reporter for an online magazine, who ultimately published an article reporting that defendant ZUBERI had received millions of dollars from the former Government of Sri Lanka, failed to make requisite FARA disclosures, and was the subject of an investigation by the U.S. Department of Justice in Washington, D.C.

111. After his contact with the reporter, but prior to the publication of the article, defendant ZUBERI directed Person P to file FARA registration material prepared by an attorney retained by

defendant ZUBERI. On or about August 11, 2015, Person P issued a letter to NSD to "disclose voluntarily a relationship between FARA registrant [BGS and WR], which was not on BGS's FARA registration." The letter falsely asserted that:

2.7

[WR] is a US business consulting entity which performed services for the Government of Sri Lanka [] including consulting, research, and related services. [WR] did not perform any lobbying services. [WR] appointed BGS to help identify and engage with consultants who could advance [Sri Lanka] public policy interests in the US. There was no written contract or specific correspondence to disclose on Exhibit B to BGS' Registration Statement. Additionally, there was no set fee for these services, and there was no specific term for these activities. The activity has ended and BGS has since filed its termination statement.

112. On or about September 9, 2015, over 20 months after having begun working at the direction and control of Sri Lanka, defendant ZUBERI filed a FARA registration statement, including an attached supplemental statement. In the filing, defendant ZUBERI disclosed his receipt of \$3,500,000 on May 9, 2014, and \$1,000,000 on June 18, 2014, for "business consulting service, including non-specified amount for public affairs." Defendant ZUBERI also disclosed the Sri Lanka-WR contract, defendant ZUBERI's personal campaign contributions during the relevant timeframe, and disbursements to various lobbyists and other subcontractors.

113. Defendant ZUBERI's September 2015 FARA filling nevertheless contained material false statements and omitted material facts.

These included, but were not limited to, that defendant ZUBERI willfully and falsely claimed that he provided no services to Sri Lanka after September 2014. In fact, on October 15, 2014, defendant ZUBERI reported to Sri Lanka officials a pledge from a Member of Congress to visit Sri Lanka in January 2015. In addition, on December 4, 2014, defendant ZUBERI promised a Sri Lanka official that

he would "extend[] the current contract up to March 2015 at no cost to [Sri Lanka]."

accompanied by a letter from his attorney that falsely described his involvement as merely providing business consulting services to Sri Lanka and funding to BGS for public affairs consulting purposes. The letter falsely stated that FARA registration was not required because defendant ZUBERI engaged in non-political work in furtherance of commerce, the Sri Lanka-WR contract was only a business consulting contract that did not call for lobbying by defendant ZUBERI or WR, and defendant ZUBERI himself did not conduct or plan to conduct any lobbying or public relations work for Sri Lanka. In fact, defendant ZUBERI was the primary organizer of paid political efforts to mold the opinion of Members of Congress and executive branch administration officials, at the direction and control of Sri Lanka.

115. On or about September 15, 2015, more than 16 months after WR contracted with Sri Lanka, WR filed its initial FARA registration statement and associated forms. The filing disclosed the two \$1,000,000 wire transfers WR received from Sri Lanka in July 2014 and September 2014. The filing falsely declared that most of WR's activities were not reportable pursuant to FARA because only a portion of the money directed to BGS was for public affairs consulting that had been previously disclosed by registered entities.

116. On or about September 15, 2015, BGS filed supplemental FARA registration statements. The BGS filing reported that BGS had engaged in "general consultative services related to some of foreign principal's work on government affairs" and finally reported the

2.6

money received from defendant ZUBERI and WR as well as disbursements to various lobbyists and other subcontractors.

117. These Introductory Allegations are incorporated into each count of the Information.

COUNT ONE

[22 U.S.C. §§ 612, 618(a)(2)]

On or about August 14, 2014 and September 9, 2015, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, defendant IMAAD SHAH ZUBERI knowingly and willfully made and caused to be made false statements of material fact, willfully omitted material facts required to be stated therein, and willfully omitted material facts necessary to make the statements therein and the copies of documents furnished therewith not misleading, in documents filed with and furnished to the Attorney General under the provisions of the Foreign Agent Registration Act. Specifically:

In box 11 of the short-form registration statement filed on or about August 14, 2014, defendant ZUBERI falsely stated that he was a "Consultant to Beltway Government Strategies." In fact, as defendant ZUBERI knew at time he made the statement, he created and controlled Beltway Government Strategies.

In box 12 of the short-form registration statement filed on or about August 14, 2014, defendant ZUBERI falsely stated that his services to the Government of Sri Lanka did not include political activity, as defined by FARA. In fact, as defendant ZUBERI knew at the time he made the statement, ZUBERI's services to the Government of Sri Lanka included an extensive amount of political activity.

In box 14 of the short-form registration statement filed on or about August 14, 2014, in response to the question, "What compensation or thing of value have you received to date or will you receive for the above services?", defendant ZUBERI falsely stated that he received a salary from BGS "[n]ot based solely on services rendered to the foreign principal" and intentionally omitted that he

received \$3,500,000 in May 2014 and \$1,000,000 in June 2014 solely based on lobbying services rendered to Sri Lanka.

In box 15 of the short-form registration statement filed on or about August 14, 2014, defendant ZUBERI stated that he had made no political contributions on his own behalf during the period beginning 60 days prior to the date of his obligation to register to the time of the filing of the short-form FARA registration statement. In fact, as defendant ZUBERI knew at the time he made the statement, he had contributed to dozens of election campaigns during this timeframe.

In box 7 of the supplemental statement filed on or about September 9, 2015, defendant ZUBERI listed "09/30/2014" as the date when his connection with the Government of Sri Lanka terminated. Similarly, in box 14(a) of the supplemental statement filed on or about September 9, 2015, defendant ZUBERI stated that his relationship with the Government of Sri Lanka "ended in September 2014." In fact, as defendant ZUBERI knew at the time he made these statements, he continued to act as an agent for the Government of Sri Lanka after September 30, 2014.

COUNT TWO

[26 U.S.C. § 7201]

From on or about May 9, 2014, to on or about April 15, 2015, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, defendant IMAAD SHAH ZUBERI, a resident of El Monte, California, willfully attempted to evade and defeat the assessment and payment of the income tax due and owing by him and his spouse to the United States of America for the calendar year 2014, by committing the following affirmative acts, among others:

- a. Diverting over \$5,650,000 received for the purposes of the Sri Lanka-WR contract to the benefit of defendant ZUBERI and his spouse; and
- b. Causing to be filed with the Director, Internal Revenue Service Center, at Fresno, California, a false and fraudulent joint U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 that stated the joint total income of defendant ZUBERI and his spouse for the calendar year was \$558,233 and that the amount of tax due and owing thereon was \$52,069. In fact, as defendant ZUBERI then knew, their joint total income for the calendar year 2014 was in excess of \$5,650,000, and, upon the additional taxable income, an additional tax was due and owing to the United States of America.

COUNT THREE

[52 U.S.C. §§ 30116, 30118, 30121, 30122, 30109(d)(1)]

During the calendar year 2015, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, defendant IMAAD SHAH ZUBERI knowingly and willfully violated the Federal Election Campaign Act, in amounts aggregating at least \$25,000 during that calendar year, by (a) making contributions in the names of other individuals, (b) reimbursing contributions made by other individuals, (c) receiving reimbursements from other individuals for contributions he made, (d) soliciting contributions made by foreign nationals, and (e) making contributions with money received from, or reimbursed by, foreign nationals and foreign entities.

NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney

BRANDON D. FOX
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Criminal Division

MACK E. JENKINS
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Public Corruption &
Civil Rights Section

DANIEL J. O'BRIEN
Assistant United States Attorney
Deputy Chief, Public Corruption &
Civil Rights Section

ELISA FERNANDEZ
Assistant United States Attorney
Public Corruption &
Civil Rights Section

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

FARA Violations

Between November 28, 2013 through March 5, 2014, defendant met with high-ranking officials of the Government of Sri Lanka ("Sri Lanka") and negotiated an agreement whereby Avenue Ventures, or a special purpose company created by Avenue Ventures, would engage in lobbying and public relations efforts to rehabilitate Sri Lanka's image in the This proposed lobbying and public relations effort United States. focused on modifying U.S. Government policies, particularly through the issuance of Congressional resolutions, and improving public perception relating to Sri Lanka's alleged persecution of its minority Tamil population.

On April 26, 2014, WR Group ("WR") entered into a contract, effective May 1, 2014, with the Central Bank of Sri Lanka ("Sri Lanka-WR The Sri Lanka-WR contract described WR's services as "(i) strategic advice related to commercial and public policy considerations related to the US and other parties; " and (ii) "identification of consultants or other legal or non-legal advisors to [Sri Lanka] and Central Bank as may effectuate Sri Lanka's commercial and diplomatic objectives." In return, Sri Lanka-WR contract required the payment of \$8,500,000 in accordance with the following schedule: \$3,500,000 on May 1, 2014, and five payments of \$1,000,000 per month from June through October 2014.

Defendant knowingly and willfully acted as an unregistered agent of Sri Lanka by taking the following actions:

Between April 26, 2014 through July 14, 2014, defendant directed Person P to sign the Sri Lanka-WR contract, create Beltway Government Strategies ("Beltway") and, later, WR to engage in lobbying and public relations services, open bank accounts for those companies, sign contracts on behalf of Beltway with various lobbyists and public relations firms, and directed whom Person P was to pay and not pay on behalf of Beltway and WR, all to insulate and conceal defendant's own involvement with Beltway and WR. In reality, Beltway and WR acted as alter egos of defendant and efforts undertaken by those entities were directed by defendant.

From December 2013 through October 2014, defendant knowingly and willfully acted as an unregistered agent of the GOSL. Defendant solicited, on behalf of Sri Lanka, Members of the U.S. Congress to accept all-expenses-paid trips to Sri Lanka, authored emails and wrote proposals for Sri Lanka setting forth the strategy of the campaign, interviewed, recommended, and negotiated contracts with lobbyists for GOSL, and coordinated and participated in a series of meetings in Washington, D.C. between a GOSL delegation and members of the U.S. Congress and their staff.

2.0

On May 9, 2014 and June 18, 2014, Sri Lanka wired \$3,500,000 and \$1,000,000, respectively, into defendant's personal bank account for services related to the Sri Lanka-WR contract. On each of July 18, 2014 and September 10, 2014, Sri Lanka wired \$1,000,000 into a WR bank account. At defendant's direction, Person P transferred the majority of these funds into accounts controlled by defendant, which defendant then used for his personal benefit.

Defendant knowingly and willfully failed to register under FARA, as required by law. Instead, on June 2, 2014, he caused Person P to file FARA registration statement identifying Beltway as an agent of its principal, Sri Lanka, when in fact he was the agent of Sri Lanka. The registration statement contained material false statements and omitted material facts. On August 14, 2014, defendant caused Person P to file a supplemental statement on behalf of Beltway, which also contained material false statements and omitted material facts. At defendant's direction, these two 2014 FARA registration filings failed to report any of the money Beltway received from Sri Lanka, the money disbursed to companies under defendant's control, or the money disbursed to subcontractors that had engaged in the Sri Lankan lobbying and public relations effort.

Defendant knew that he, personally, was legally required to file with the Attorney General a registration statement pursuant to FARA because he had acted as the agent of a foreign principal, specifically Sri Lanka. Defendant also knew that it was unlawful to file a FARA registration statement containing material false statements or material omissions of fact.

Defendant knowingly and willfully failed to file a FARA Registration as required by law. Instead, on August 14, 2014, defendant filed

with the Attorney General a short-form FARA registration statement, and affirmed the truth and accuracy of the statement under penalty of perjury. Defendant knowingly and willfully filed the short-form FARA registration that contained materially false statements and omitted material facts. In particular, defendant represented in section 7 that he was merely a consultant of Beltway, when, in fact, defendant directed all of the activities of Beltway. In addition, defendant represented in section 14 that he merely received a salary from Beltway that was "[n]ot based solely on services rendered to the foreign principal." In fact, defendant received not a salary from Beltway, but \$6,500,000 million from the foreign principal, GOSL, in return for lobbying and public relations services rendered to GOSL. Finally, in section 15, defendant represented that, for the period beginning 60 days prior to the date of his obligation to register to the time of the short-form, he had not made any contributions of money or other things of value from his own funds on his own behalf in connection with any election to political office or in connection with any primary election, convention or caucus held to select candidates for any political office. In truth, between February 26, 2014 and August 14, 2014, defendant had made dozens of contributions of money from his own funds in connection with elections to political office or primary elections to select candidates for political Defendant knowingly and willfully made these material false statements and material omissions on the short-form FARA registration statement.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On September 9, 2015, defendant filed a FARA registration statement and an attached supplemental statement for himself personally, which also contained materially false statements and omitted material facts. In the filing, defendant willfully and falsely claimed that he provided no services to Sri Lanka after September 2014. In fact, on October 15, 2014, defendant reported to GOSL officials a pledge from a United States Congressman to visit Sri Lanka in January 2015. In addition, on December 4, 2014, defendant promised a GOSL official that he would "extend[] the current contract up to March 2015 at no cost to the [GOSL]." Defendant's September 2015 filing was accompanied by a letter from his attorney that falsely described his involvement as merely providing business consulting services to Sri Lanka and funding to Beltway for public affairs consulting purposes. The letter falsely stated that FARA registration was not required because defendant engaged in non-political work in furtherance of

commerce. In fact, defendant was the primary organizer of paid political efforts to mold the opinion of Members of Congress and Obama Administration officials, all at the direction and control of, and to benefit, Sri Lanka.

Tax Violations

On April 15, 2015, defendant caused the electronic filing of an IRS Form 1040, U.S. Individual Income Tax Return for the 2014 calendar year, for himself and his spouse. Line 22 of the tax return showed total income of \$558,233 and line 44 of the tax return showed a tax amount of \$238,105. The total income and tax amounts were understated, because the return failed to account for payments of \$3,500,000 and \$1,000,000 wired into defendant's personal bank account and two payments of \$1,000,000 each wired into a WR bank account by Sri Lanka for services rendered in accordance with the Sri Lanka-WR contract. These amounts, less any unclaimed business expenses, should have flowed through to defendant's tax return as business income. As a result, Mr. Zuberi owed substantially more federal income tax for the 2014 calendar year than was declared due on his income tax return for 2014.

When defendant caused the tax return to be electronically filed, he knew that the Sri Lankan wire transfers were not included in the information provided to the tax return preparer to prepare the return and, as a result, that more tax was owed than was declared due on his income tax return. By filing this false return, defendant attempted to evade and defeat this additional tax and he did so willfully, in that he knew federal tax law required that he file accurate tax returns and report accurate tax due amounts, and he intentionally and voluntarily caused the filing of a tax return that he knew contained understated tax due amounts.

Defendant received gross income in 2012 that required him to file an income tax return with the IRS. Defendant willfully failed to file a tax return for 2012 despite knowing of his obligation to file.

Defendant knowingly and willfully attempted to evade and defeat an income tax by providing inaccurate numbers to his tax return preparer for 2013 and 2015 that resulted in a substantial income tax due and

owing from the defendant in addition to that declared in his income tax return.

The total amount of tax loss for the 2012 through 2015 tax years is between \$3.5 million and \$9.5 million.

FECA Violations

During the calendar year 2015, defendant knowingly and willfully (a) made contributions in the names of other individuals, (b) reimbursed contributions made by other individuals, and (c) received reimbursements from other individuals for contributions he made in an amount aggregating \$25,000 and more, in connection with federal elections.

Defendant knowingly and willfully made campaign contributions in the name of other individuals by making online contributions with credit cards belonging to himself and his spouse. Defendant knew that the names of the other individuals were not the true sources of the money used for the contributions because he used his own money as the whole or partial source of those contributions. Defendant also knew that it was unlawful to make these contributions in the names of other individuals using his own money.

Defendant knowingly and willfully solicited and reimbursed conduit contributors for campaign contributions they had made. Defendant knew that it was unlawful to make conduit contributions or reimburse the contributions of others.

Defendant knowingly and willfully solicited and accepted reimbursements from other individuals for campaign contributions defendant ZUBERI had made.

From 2012 through 2016, defendant made or solicited more than \$250,000 but less than \$1,500,000 in campaign contributions in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act.