1

2 T 3 4 NI EDS A ESDIS RIC CO R 5 NOR HERN DIS RIC OF CALIFORNIA 6 Case No. 21-cv- 22 1-JCS 7 I re AX LIABILI Y OF JOHN DOES. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY PETITION SHOULD NOT BE DENIED Re: Dkt. No. 1 1 11 12 he ited States has filed a petitio to authorize service of a I ter al Reve ue Service 13 ("IRS") "Joh Doe" summo s to the cryptocurre cy excha ge Payward Ve tures I c. d/b/a/ 14 Krake ("Krake") a dits subsidiaries u der 26 .S.C. § 76 (f), to aid i assessi g the pote tial 15 tax liability of Krake users. hat statute provides as follows: 16 A y summo s described i subsectio (c)(1) which does ot ide tify the perso with respect to whose liability the summo s is issued may 17 be served o ly after a court proceedi g i which the Secretary establishes that— 1 (1) the summo s relates to the i vestigatio of a particular perso or 1 T ascertai able group or class of perso s, 2 (2) there is a reaso able basis for believing that such personor group or class of perso s may fail or may have failed to comply with a y 21 provisio of a y i ter al reve ue law, a d 22 (3) the i formatio sought to be obtai ed from the exami atio of the records or testimo y (a d the ide tity of the perso or perso s with 23 respect to whose liability the summo s is issued) is ot readily available from other sources. 24 he Secretary shall ot issue a y summo s described i the precedi g 25 se te ce u less the i formatio sought to be obtai ed is arrowly tailored to i formatio that pertai s to the failure (or pote tial failure) 26 of the perso or group or class of perso s referred to i paragraph (2) to comply with o e or more provisio s of the i ter al reve ue law 27 which have bee ide tified for purposes of such paragraph.

Γ26 .S.C. § 76 (f).

2

Norther District of Ca ifor ia

1 k

2 1

3

4

5

6 k

7 k

1

11

12 k

13 k

14 k

15 k

16 k

17

1

2

21

22

23 k

24

25

26 k

27

2

1 k

The ited States has li ely made a sufficie t showi g of the first three eleme ts of the statute to warra t issua ce of at least some form of summo s. See generally Ci cotta Decl. (d t. 1-2); In re Tax Liability of John Does, 671 F.2d 77 (6th Cir. 1 2). The Court has co cer s, however, with respect to scope.

I additio to basic registratio, ide tificatio, a d tra sactio i formatio, the proposed summo s see s broad categories of i formatio such as "complete user prefere ces," "[a] y other records of K ow-Your-Customer due dilige ce," a d"[a]ll correspo de ce betwee Kra e a d the ser or a y third party with access to the accou t pertai i g to the accou t," amo g other similarly expa sive requests. See Prop'd Summo s (d t. 1-3) at ECF p. 13. The IRS relies o Supervisory I ter al Reve ue Age t Kare Ci cotta's declaratio to support its request. Although Ci cotta addresses each category of i formatio sought, her expla atio s for some of them rest o co clusory assertio s that such i formatio "may be releva t i determi i g, a d verifyi g, the ide tity of the accou t user" or "reveali g other accou ts co trolled by the same user." See, e.g., Ci cotta Decl. ¶ (addressi g correspo de ce).

Addressi g the a alogous sta dard of whether i formatio sought is "releva t" i a postissua ce challe ge u der *United States v. Powell*, 37 .S. 4 (1 64), to e forceme t of a IRS summo s issued to a other cryptocurre cy excha ge, the Ho orable Jacqueli e Scott Corley rejected the IRS's positio that similarly broad categories of i formatio were releva t, a d held that the IRS should first review basic user i formatio a d tra sactio histories before determi i g whether further subpoe as—either to the cryptocurre cy excha ge or to i dividual users—were k ecessary. United States v. Coinbase, Inc., No. 17-cv- 1431-JSC, 2 17 WL 5 52, at *6–7 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 2, 2, 17).

The ited States is therefore ORDERED TO SHOW CA SE why its petitio should ot be de ied for failure to meet the "arrowly tailored" requirement of 26 .S.C. § 76 (f), by filing a respo se to this order (which may i clude a ame ded petitio or summo s) o later tha

Due to the relatively complex structure of attachme ts-to-attachme ts submitted i support of the petitio, each with its ow page umberi g scheme, the Court cites this docume t usi g the page k umbers assig ed by the ECF fili g system.

ited States District Court Norther District of Ca ifor ia A ril 14, 2 21. A y such res o se must s ecifically address why each category of i formatio sought is arrowly tailored to the IRS's i vestigative eeds, i cludi g whether requests for more i vasive a d all-e com assi g categories of i formatio could be deferred u til after the IRS has p reviewed basic account registration i formation and transaction histories. After the mitted States has filed its resho se, the Court will determine whether to set a hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED. p

Dated: March 31, 2 21

JOSEPH C. SPERO Chief Magistrate Judge p

3 p