ase 2:22-sw-00217 Doc ication for a Search Warrant

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of California

FILED Apr 05, 2022

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Search of

AO 106 (Rev. 04/10) Application for

DEVICE AT IP ADDRESS ASSOCIATED WITH CYCLOPS BLINK BOTNET

 $\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}$ 

I, a federal law enforcement officer or an attorney for the government, request a search warrant and state under penalty of perjury that I have reason to believe that on the following person or property *(identify the person or describe the property to be searched and give its location):* 

# SEE ATTACHMENT A, attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

located in the Eastern District of California , there is now concealed (identify the person or describe the property to be seized):

#### SEE ATTACHMENT B, attached hereto and incorporated by reference

The basis for the search under Fed. R. Crim. P. 41(c) is (check one or more):

- $\square$  evidence of a crime;
- □ contraband, fruits of crime, or other items illegally possessed;
- D property designed for use, intended for use, or used in committing a crime;
- a person to be arrested or a person who is unlawfully restrained.

The search is related to a violation of:

| Offense Description             |
|---------------------------------|
| Theft from a protected computer |
| Damage to a protected computer  |
| Conspiracy                      |
|                                 |

The application is based on these facts:

#### SEE AFFIDAVIT, attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

- Continued on the attached sheet.
- ☑ Delayed notice <u>30</u> days (give exact ending date if more than 30 days: \_\_\_\_\_\_) is requested under 18 U.S.C. § 3103a, the basis of which is set forth on the attached sheet.

|  | Applicant's signature |                 |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|
|  | Special Agent         | FBI             |
|  | name and              | l title         |
| Sworn to me and signed telephonically. |                       |                 |
| Date: 3-23-22                          |                       |                 |
|  | s signati             | ıre             |
| City and state: Sacramento, California | U.S. M                | agistrate Judge |
|  |                       |                 |

Printed name and title

| Case 2:22-sw-00217 | Document 4 | Filed 04/05/22 | Page 2 of 19 |
|--------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|
|--------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|

| 1  |  |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  |  |  |
| 3  |  |  |
| 4  |  | REDACTED   |
| 5  |  |  |
| 6  |  |  |
| 7  | Attorneys for Plaintiff<br>United States of America    |  |
| 8  | United States of America                               |  |
| 9  | IN THE UNITED ST                                       | TATES DISTRICT COURT                                     |
| 10 | EASTERN DIST   | RICT OF CALIFORNIA                                       |
| 11 |  |  |
| 12 | In the Matter of the Search of:                        | CASE NO.   |
| 13 | DEVICE AT IP ADDRESS                                   | AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF AN APPLICATION                   |
| 14 | ASSOCIATED WITH CYCLOPS BLINK<br>BOTNET                | FOR A SEARCH WARRANT                                     |
| 15 |  | FILED UNDER SEAL   |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 | I, being first duly sworn                              | h, hereby depose and state as follows:                   |
| 18 | I. <u>IN</u>   | TRODUCTION   |
| 19 |  | unauthorized computer intrusions being perpetrated by    |
| 20 | a group known to private cybersecurity investiga       | tors as "Sandworm," which is a cyber-attack and          |
| 21 | espionage group from Russia. As alleged in an in       | ndictment returned by a grand jury sitting in the U.S.   |
| 22 | District Court for the Western District of Pennsyl     | lvania on October 15, 2020 (Criminal No. 20-316) (the    |
| 23 |  | rised of officers working for Military Unit 74455 of the |
| 24 | Russian Federation's Main Intelligence Directora       | te of the General Staff of the Armed Forces ("GRU").     |
| 25 | Relevant to this application, the FBI is investigation | ing the Sandworm actors' unauthorized access to          |
| 26 |  | e ("SOHO") routers, most of which are manufactured       |
| 27 |  | Technologies, Inc. ("WatchGuard"). Subsequent to         |
| 28 | such access, Sandworm actors infected these netw       | work devices with malicious software (or "malware")      |

#### Case 2:22-sw-00217 Document 4 Filed 04/05/22 Page 3 of 19

and used that malware to create and control a "botnet" – a network of other compromised network
 devices (individually referred to as "bots"). Although Sandworm compromised only the WatchGuard
 and similar devices with malware, these devices sit on the perimeter of office or home networks and can
 connect multiple computers to the wider Internet. Thus, each infected bot could risk exposing a larger
 number of computers to Sandworm's malicious activities.

Communication with and provide instructions to the bots in the client layer.

FBI agents, analysts, and computer scientists (collectively "FBI personnel") have
 previously identified certain IP addresses of victim devices worldwide, including U.S.-based devices,
 infected with malware and being used as part of the C2 layer to send instructions to the rest of the
 botnet. FBI personnel recently obtained physical access to some of the devices in the C2 layer ("C2
 devices") through consent of those devices' owners and have developed the capability, detailed herein,
 to leverage that physical access to a few of the devices into remote access to all of the C2 devices.

4. 16 FBI personnel also recently obtained, from the U.S. District Court for the Western 17 District of Pennsylvania, authorization to electronically connect to the malware on previously-identified 18 C2 devices and issue commands through the malware to: (1) retrieve data from the malware; (2) remove the malware from those devices; and (3) block (at least until reversed, if desired, by the device owner) 19 20 remote access to the devices' management panel. See In re Application for Warrants to Search Certain 21 Servers Controlling Cyclops Blink Botnet, Magistrate Nos. 22-437 and 22-438 (W.D. Pa. Mar. 18, 22 2022). Through these actions, as well as the search and seizure sought through this application, the FBI 23 intends to fully neutralize the Sandworm actors' ability to further access the devices or otherwise reconstitute the botnet through technical means described in further detail below. 24

5. The search out of the Western District of Pennsylvania was authorized pursuant to Fed.
R. Crim. P. 41(b)(6)(B), which allows a judge in any jurisdiction where activities related to a crime have
occurred to issue a warrant for remote, electronic access to protected computers located in five or more
districts. In the course of executing the search authorized by that warrant, FBI personnel identified an

additional C2 device not covered by the scope of that warrant. The additional C2 device is located
 within the Eastern District of California.

6. Therefore, I make this affidavit in support of an application for a warrant under Federal
Rule of Criminal Procedure 41(b)(1) to use remote access techniques to search a computer located in
this judicial district, further identified in Attachment A, and to seize and copy electronically stored
information that constitutes evidence and/or instrumentalities of unauthorized access and damage,
further described in Attachment B.

7. The facts in this affidavit come from my personal observations, my training and
experience, and information obtained from other witnesses and agents. This affidavit is intended to
show merely that there is sufficient probable cause for the requested warrant and does not set forth all of
my knowledge about this matter.

8. Based on my training and experience and the facts as set forth in this affidavit, there is
 probable cause to believe that violations of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1030(a)(2) (theft from
 a protected computer), 1030(a)(5)(A) (damage to a protected computer) and 371 (conspiracy) ("Subject
 Offenses") have been committed in the Western District of Pennsylvania and elsewhere. There also is
 probable cause to search the information described in Attachment A for evidence, contraband, fruits,
 and/or instrumentalities of the Subject Offenses, further described in Attachment B.

18 19

# II. AGENT BACKGROUND

9. I am a Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") assigned to FBI 20 Pittsburgh. I have been a Special Agent with the FBI since I was previously employed as a 21 network and software engineer for approximately fifteen years, including for the FBI. As a Special 22 Agent, I have conducted national security investigations relating to foreign intelligence and 23 cybersecurity. I have participated in investigations of criminal offenses involving computer fraud and 24 conspiracy, and I am familiar with the means and methods used to commit such offenses. In addition, I have received training in computer security and investigations involving computers and the Internet. 25 For example, I have several certifications in computer forensics and advanced computer training. I am 26 an "investigative or law enforcement officer" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 2510; that is, an officer 27 of the United States of America who is empowered to investigate and make arrests for offenses alleged 28

1 in this warrant.

#### III. **STATUTORY AUTHORITY**

10. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41(b)(1) provides that "a magistrate judge with authority in the district . . . has authority to issue a warrant to search for and seize a person or property located within the district."

11. Although not required to establish this court's jurisdiction, in order to provide context for 7 the potential crimes being investigated, I note that Title 18, United States Code, Section 1030(a)(5)(A) provides that whoever "knowingly causes the transmission of a program, information, code, or command, and as a result of such conduct, intentionally causes damage without authorization, to a protected computer . . . shall be punished as provided in subsection (c) of this section." Section 1030(e)(2)(B) defines a "protected computer" as a computer "which is used in or affecting interstate or 11 12 foreign commerce or communication, including a computer located outside the United States that is used 13 in a manner that affects interstate or foreign commerce or communication of the United States[.]" Section 1030(e)(8) defines "damage" as "any impairment to the integrity or availability of data, a 14 15 program, a system, or information[.]"

#### IV. **PROBABLE CAUSE**

16 17

#### A. <u>"VPNFilter" Malware Used to Compromise Victim Network Devices</u>

18 12. In 2018, the FBI learned of numerous foreign and U.S. victims of malware associated 19 with Sandworm in various U.S. judicial districts. These victims' computer networks had been infected 20 with a specific type of malware targeting SOHO routers and network access storage ("NAS") devices, 21 thereby forming a Sandworm botnet. Other victims included network devices in South Korea, which 22 were infected ahead of the 2018 Winter Olympics, likely as part of the Sandworm's later effort to 23 disrupt the Olympics, as alleged in the October 2020 Indictment. The FBI and some private sector 24 researchers named this botnet "VPNFilter."

25 13. On May 22, 2018, the United States District Court for the Western District of 26 Pennsylvania issued an order, Magistrate No. 18-665, authorizing the seizure of the toknowall.com 27 domain, which at the time was known to be under the control of the Sandworm actors and used as one of 28 the C2 communication channels to control the VPNFilter botnet (the "May 2018 Seizure Order").

#### Case 2:22-sw-00217 Document 4 Filed 04/05/22 Page 6 of 19

14. Pursuant to the May 2018 Seizure Order, the government seized the toknowall.com
 2 domain, redirecting all traffic to an FBI server configured to collect the source, but not the contents, of
 3 the communications. Analysis of this communications data by FBI and private sector cybersecurity
 4 researchers revealed over 500,000 infected SOHO and NAS network devices in over 50 countries.

5 15. On May 23, 2018, the U.S. Department of Justice publicly announced the operation
6 against VPNFilter, along with information that would allow owners of infected devices to remediate
7 their devices.<sup>1</sup> Private sector cybersecurity researchers have since assessed that the VPNFilter botnet
8 was mostly neutralized following that operation.

#### B. <u>New "Cyclops Blink" Malware Used to Compromise Victim Network Devices</u>

10 16. On February 23, 2022, the FBI joined the United Kingdom's National Cyber Security
11 Centre ("NCSC"), the Department of Homeland Security's Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security
12 Agency ("CISA"), and the National Security Agency ("NSA") in releasing a joint advisory regarding
13 new malware that the agencies named "Cyclops Blink."<sup>2</sup> As explained in that advisory, the FBI's
14 analysis of Cyclops Blink identified it as Sandworm's replacement for VPNFilter. Sandworm actors
15 began deploying Cyclops Blink as early as June 2019, thirteen months after the Department of Justice's
16 disruption of the VPNFilter botnet.

17 17. As with VPNFilter, Sandworm actors have deployed Cyclops Blink on network devices
18 worldwide in a manner that appears to be indiscriminate; *i.e.*, the Sandworm actors' infection of any
19 particular device appears to have been driven by that device's vulnerability to the malware, rather than a
20 concerted effort to target that particular device or its owner for other reasons. The Sandworm actors
21 have done so through the exploitation of software vulnerabilities in various network devices, primarily
22 WatchGuard firewall appliances. In particular, the WatchGuard devices are vulnerable to an exploit that
23 allows unauthorized remote access to the management panels on those devices.

24 18. On or about February 23, 2022, in coordination with FBI, DHS, NSA, and NCSC,
25 WatchGuard released a patch for one of the vulnerabilities that the Sandworm actors are believed to

- 27 See <u>https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-announces-actions-disrupt-advanced-persistent-threat-28-botnet-infected</u>.
  - <sup>2</sup> See <u>https://www.ncsc.gov.uk/news/joint-advisory-shows-new-sandworm-malware-cyclops-blink-replaces-vpnfilter</u> (published February 23, 2022).

26

28

#### Case 2:22-sw-00217 Document 4 Filed 04/05/22 Page 7 of 19

have exploited to infect the WatchGuard devices, and instructions for removing the Cyclops Blink
 malware. However, a fully successful remediation through such patches requires device owners to
 affirmatively undertake manual updates to their devices.

4 19. Despite the NCSC, FBI, CISA, NSA, and WatchGuard's February 23, 2022 public
5 awareness campaign to inform owners of WatchGuard devices of the steps they should take to remediate
6 infections or vulnerabilities, the FBI's investigation (*e.g.*,

7

8

9

10

11

12

(bots worldwide as of March 18, 2022. Based on my training and experience, many victims likely lack the technical ability to independently remediate their devices, or do not regularly monitor industry reporting that would contain articles about the Cyclops Blink malware. These factors are likely responsible for the low patch adoption rate among compromised network devices.

13

#### C. FBI's Ability to Disrupt the Botnet

14 20. Prior to the release of the advisory, the FBI identified hundreds of victim bots in the 15 United States. On or about September 10, 2021, FBI agents in Pittsburgh interviewed representatives of 16 one victim company headquartered in the Western District of Pennsylvania. The company 17 representatives advised that the company owned a WatchGuard firewall appliance identified by the FBI 18 and confirmed that the company had not provided authorization for any third parties to access or deploy 19 malware onto this device. The company provided consent for the FBI to make a forensic image of the 20 device's filesystem and to prospectively observe the network traffic associated with the firewall 21 appliance.

21. FBI analysis of the filesystem image of this WatchGuard firewall appliance confirmed
that a malicious executable file named "CPD", the Cyclops Blink malware, was present on the device.
The CPD file also contained a list of membedded IP addresses, which, based on FBI's analysis of the
network traffic, is a list of IP addresses for some of the other C2 devices that form a part of the Cyclops
Blink botnet's C2 communication mechanism. Based on this analysis and other sources, each of the C2
devices includes varying lists of between mand mother C2 devices (the "C2 IP Addresses"). All of
the lists of IP addresses on the C2 devices that the FBI has analyzed to date include at least one U.S.-

based IP address, with many containing a roughly equal split between U.S. and foreign-based IP 1 2 addresses.

| 3        | 22. Based on my training and experience, the typical botnet C2 layer is itself controlled by  |
|----------|---|
| 4        | one or more command servers or computers, commonly referred to as a "panel." In this case, FBI  |
| 5        | analysis of the network traffic from infected C2 devices and other sources revealed that the C2 devices   |
| 6        | appeared to be doing just that: communicating with one or more command servers or computers (here,  |
| 7        | the "Panel"). <sup>3</sup> These communications were occurring via Tor. <sup>4</sup> The Panel is controlled by Sandworm  |
| 8        | actors,   |
| 9        | The C2 devices, in  |
| 10       | turn, communicate with and pass commands from the Panel to additional individual bots in the client   |
| 11       | layer that do not themselves communicate directly with the Panel. As of March 17, 2022, based on the  |
| 12       | FBI's limited visibility through  |
| 13       |   |
| 14       | the FBI identified 26 IP addresses associated with C2 devices: 13   |
| 15       | in the United States, and 13 overseas. <sup>5</sup>   |
| 16       | 23. In January 2022, the FBI identified a U.Sbased Cyclops Blink C2 device. With the  |
| 17       | device owner's consent, the FBI analyzed the malware and developed a means of impersonating the   |
| 18       | Sandworm actors' Panel and sending commands to malware on the other C2 devices in the United  |
| 19       | States. The above-described search authorized by the U.S. District Court for the Western District of  |
| 20       | Pennsylvania on March 18, 2022, gave the FBI authority to remotely access and search the 13 IP  |
| 21       | addresses located in the United States, through the following commands sent to the C2 devices hosting   |
| 22       |   |
| 23       | 3   |
| 24       | This affidavit uses the term "Panel" to refer collectively to all of the separate servers or computers being used to communicate with the C2 devices.   |
| 25       | <sup>4</sup> "Tor" is an acronym for "The Onion Router," a way of routing internet traffic through encrypted methods and relays that conceals the original source of the traffic. The FBI assesses that the Sandworm  |
| 26       | actors are utilizing Tor in this manner to conceal the ultimate source of their communications with the botnet.   |
| 27<br>28 | <sup>5</sup> This affidavit describes the IP addresses as "associated with" C2 devices because it is possible that multiple devices are associated with the same IP address, and it is also possible that multiple IP addresses resolve to the same device. |
|          | AFFIDAVIT 7   |

#### Case 2:22-sw-00217 Document 4 Filed 04/05/22 Page 9 of 19

those IP addresses: 1 2 i. confirm the presence of the CPD malware file on the device; 3 ii. remotely log the serial number of the device if infected with CPD malware;6 4 iii. retrieve files containing the lists of the C2 IP Addresses stored 5 on the device; 6 iv. remove the CPD malware from the device; and 7 v. change the firewall rules on the device to block remote access 8 to the management interface, thereby preventing the Sandworm actors from re-establishing unauthorized access to 9 the device.<sup>7</sup> 10 Executing the commands described in paragraph 23 does not allow the FBI to search, 24. 11 view, or retrieve a victim device owner's content or data. 12 25. On March 23, 2022, while the Western District of Pennsylvania search and seizure 13 operation was ongoing, the FBI identified another U.S-based C2 server located in the Eastern District of 14 California (the "Target Device"), which is listed in Attachment A. The FBI identified the Target Device 15 as a Cyclops Blink C2 server based on unique characteristics of the certificate the Sandworm actors used 16 to facilitate communications between the Panel and the C2 devices. An open source scan of the public 17 Internet can identify devices that publicly "advertise" to other computers on the Internet that the former 18 devices possess this unique certificate. The FBI has confirmed the accuracy of this scanning method 19 through subsequent searches of C2 devices as part of the Western District of Pennsylvania search and 20 seizure operation. The FBI's March 23 scan revealed that the unique Sandworm certificate was present 21 on the Target Device. 22 23 <sup>6</sup> Inventorying the serial numbers of C2 devices infected with CPD malware will aid the FBI in 24 engaging with victims, because in some instances, victims have more than one WatchGuard device on the same IP address. Because a serial number is unique to each device, however, the serial numbers can be 25 used to determine precisely which devices had been compromised by the malware and which will have

- been, therefore, impacted by this operation.
- <sup>7</sup> In notifying the victim of the execution of this search and seizure, FBI will explain this change, and if any of the device owners wish to change their devices back to permit remote access to the management panel, they will be able to do so. As described below, changing the configuration of the devices to prevent remote access will not interfere with their underlying ability to route traffic to and from the network or otherwise to perform as a firewall.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

## D. <u>Remote Access, Searches, and Seizures</u>

26. As described above, FBI personnel have identified an IP address associated with the Target C2 Device in this district and have developed the capability of impersonating Sandworm actors to communicate with that device. FBI personnel seek authorization to search the Target C2 Device and, through interactions with the CPD malware, to copy the malware (including the malware file's list of C2 IP Addresses), remove the CPD malware, and change firewall rules to block remote access to the device's management panel. By removing the malware file and changing the firewall rules, the FBI will prevent, or at least make it difficult for, the Sandworm actors to have further interaction with the C2 device through the Cyclops Blink botnet.<sup>8</sup> In turn, without access to the device—combined with the FBI's previous execution of the search authorized by the Western District of Pennsylvania—the Sandworm actors will be unable to communicate with the bots in the client layer.

12 27. The FBI has worked with WatchGuard and other federal government partners to test, using WatchGuard appliances obtained by the FBI, its technical ability to remove the CPD file by using 13 14 commands sent to the malware. When conducted through the testing process, this command 15 successfully copied and deleted the CPD file from an FBI-controlled WatchGuard device and did not impact other files or functionality on the device. Further, to ensure that the operation is conducted as 16 17 intended, the FBI commands will cause the CPD malware on the Target C2 Device to relay a 18 confirmation that it has received the commands back to the FBI-controlled server. This will ensure that 19 the search described herein is being carried out, and that the commands operate, as intended. The FBIcontrolled server will not maintain a communications channel with the Target C2 Device after this 20 21 procedure is concluded. Additionally, the technical procedure described herein has already been 22 executed against a number of C2 devices in other judicial districts pursuant to the search authorized by 23 the Western District of Pennsylvania, without unintended adverse consequences.

24 25 28. Similarly, the FBI has confirmed with Watchguard and through its own testing that the

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> As described earlier, the Sandworm actors never regained control of the VPNFilter botnet after
 its 2018 disruption. However, in light of the current geopolitical climate surrounding Russia's invasion
 of Ukraine, the FBI believes it is reasonable to conclude that the Sandworm actors' risk calculus has
 changed and that these additional steps are necessary in order to better protect the networks of the C2
 device owner and the networks of the underlying bots from again falling under Sandworm's control.

#### Case 2:22-sw-00217 Document 4 Filed 04/05/22 Page 11 of 19

1 contemplated change to the firewall rules to prevent remote access to the management panel will not
2 otherwise affect the functionality of the infected C2 devices. Additionally, this change to the firewall
3 rules will be "non-persistent," meaning that any C2 device owner can delete or change the rules, or can
4 restart the device to restore the previous configuration permitting remote management access.
5 Watchguard customer support is aware, and the FBI intends to explain publicly in connection with the
6 announcement of the execution of this search warrant, that a customer can change these rules to their
7 preferred configuration.

8

10

#### TIME AND MANNER OF EXECUTION

29. I request that the Court authorize the government to access the relevant victim computers located in the United States for a period of fourteen days, beginning on or about March 23, 2022.

V.

30. Because accessing such computers at all times will allow the government to minimize the
likelihood of the actors' detection and deployment of countermeasures that could frustrate the authorized
search, good cause exists to permit the execution of the requested warrant at any time in the day or
night.

15

#### VI. <u>REQUEST FOR SEALING AND DELAYED NOTICE</u>

31. Based on my training and experience and my investigation of this matter, I believe that 16 17 reasonable cause exists to seal this application and warrant, as well as the return to the warrant, and to 18 delay the service of the warrant as normally required for up to thirty days after execution of the warrant. 19 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b) and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41(f)(3), delayed notice of the 20 execution of a search warrant is permitted if three requirements are satisfied: (1) the Court finds 21 reasonable cause to believe that providing immediate notification may have an adverse result, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2705; (2) the warrant does not allow the seizure of tangible property, wire or electronic 22 23 communication, or stored wire or electronic information (unless the Court finds reasonable necessity for 24 the seizure); and (3) the warrant provides for the giving of such notice within a reasonable period after 25 execution, not to exceed 30 days unless the facts of the case justify a longer period. 18 U.S.C. § 26 3103a(b)(1)-(3). An "adverse result" includes endangering the life or physical safety of an individual, flight from prosecution, destruction of or tampering with evidence, witness intimidation, or "otherwise 27 28 seriously jeopardizing an investigation." 18 U.S.C. § 2705(a)(2)(A)-(E).

AFFIDAVIT

#### Case 2:22-sw-00217 Document 4 Filed 04/05/22 Page 12 of 19

1 32. The requirements of Rule 41(f)(3) and § 3103a(b) are met in this case, specifically with 2 regard to destruction or tampering of evidence and otherwise seriously jeopardizing the investigation, 3 until the FBI has completed its operation. 18 U.S.C. § 2705(a)(2)(C), (E). Thus, reasonable cause exists 4 to seal this application and warrant, as well as the return to the warrant, and to delay the service of the 5 warrant as normally required until up to thirty days after execution of the warrant.

6 33. Based upon the information provided in this Affidavit, my training and experience, and 7 discussions with other Special Agents of the FBI, allowing premature disclosure to the public at large or to individual infected device owners would likely seriously jeopardize the ongoing investigation and 8 9 effort to ensure a comprehensive remediation of the botnet. Such a disclosure, for example, may give the subjects of this investigation an opportunity to destroy or tamper with evidence or change patterns of 10 behavior. Disclosure also could prompt the subjects to make changes to the malware or C2 devices 11 before FBI personnel can act pursuant to the requested warrant, which would enable persistent access, 12 further exploitation of the victims, and defeat the efforts of FBI personnel to identify further victims and 13 disrupt the botnet. 14

34. As this warrant seeks delayed notice pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section
3103a, it does not seek authorization to seize any tangible property. In addition to delaying notice,
pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3103a(b)(2), reasonable necessity exists to seize stored
electronic information (i.e., malware, lists of other C2 devices, and basic victim information) found on
the C2 device identified in Attachment A.

35. Accordingly, the United States requests approval from the Court to delay notification
until April 22, 2022, 30 days from the first possible date of execution on March 23, 2022, or until the
FBI determines that there is no longer need for delayed notice, whichever is sooner. See 18 U.S.C. §
3013a(b)(3) (limiting initial delayed notice to a "reasonable period not to exceed 30 days after the date
of its execution," absent a later date certain).

36. While the United States seeks authorization to delay notice, during the period of delayed
notice the United States may still seek to notify the victim or to disclose information obtained as a result
of the requested warrant to the victim or to private entities or foreign authorities for purposes of
mitigating the effects of any computer intrusion or assisting in maintaining the security of computers or

networks during the authorized period of delayed notice.

37. When notice is no longer delayed, the United States intends, pursuant to Rule 41(f)(1)(C), to provide notice both directly and through publication. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41(f)(1)(C) provides the following regarding the means of providing notice of the warrant and receipt:

For a warrant to use remote access to search electronic storage media and seize or copy electronically stored information, the officer must make reasonable efforts to serve a copy of the warrant and receipt on the person whose property was searched or who possessed the information that was seized or copied. Service may be accomplished by any means, including electronic means, reasonably calculated to reach that person.

38. If the victim's publicly available Whois records contain contact information, FBI personnel will notify the victim of the search. If the victim uses a domain registration privacy service or if its contact information is not otherwise publicly available, the FBI will contact the privacy service or to the provider hosting the victim's domain asking them to provide notice to the client. If none of the above options are available, the FBI will provide notice to the Internet Service Provider (ISP) that hosts the IP address for the victim asking it to provide notice to the client. For all such notifications, the FBI will provide a copy of the requested warrant and receipt. Finally, the FBI will issue a public notice on its official website (www.fbi.gov) that the FBI conducted the operation to further alert the victim. The Department will issue a similar notice on its official website (www.justice.gov). I believe that this combination of methods is reasonably calculated to reach those persons entitled to service of a copy of the warrant and receipts.

111 × Whois is a term to describe publically available databases that identity IP addresses to internet service providers. '// '// - in series selephonically AFFIDAVIT

|          | Case 2:22-sw-00217 Document 4 Filed 04/05/22 Page 14 of 19   |
|----------|--|
|          |  |
| 1        | VII. <u>CONCLUSION</u>   |
| 2        | 39. I submit that this affidavit supports probable cause for warrants to use remote access to        |
| 3        | search electronic storage media described in Attachment A and to seize or copy electronically stored |
| 4        | information described in Attachment B.   |
| 5        | 40. The above information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and          |
| 6        | belief.  |
| 7        |  |
| 8        | Respectfully submitted,  |
| 9        |  |
| 10       | Special Agent  |
| 11<br>12 | Federal Bureau of Investigation  |
| 12       |  |
| 14       | Subscribed and sworn before me telephonically:   |
| 15       |  |
| 16/      | Th<br>UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE   |
| 17       |  |
| 18       |  |
| 19       |  |
| 20       | Approved as to form by AUSA  |
| 21       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 23       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       |  |
| 26       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
| 27       |  |
| 28       |  |
|          | Affidavit 13   |

#### ATTACHMENT A

#### Property to Be Searched

This warrant applies to victim network devices located in the Eastern District of California onto which malicious cyber actors have installed, without authorization, a malicious executable file named "CPD", the Cyclops Blink malware, associated with the internet protocol ("IP") address listed below (the "Target C2 Device"):



.

#### ATTACHMENT B

#### Particular Things to be Seized

This warrant authorizes the use of remote access techniques to search the Target C2 Device identified in Attachment A and to seize and copy from it the list of C2 IP Addresses and a malicious executable file named "CPD", used by malicious actors to control, without authorization, other compromised network devices, as evidence and/or instrumentalities of the computer fraud and conspiracy in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1030(a)(2) (theft from a protected computer), 1030(a)(5)(A) (damage to a protected computer) and 371 (conspiracy). This authorization includes the use of remote access techniques to access the Target C2 Device and issue commands to (1) copy and delete the malicious executable file named "CPD"; and (2) modify the Target C2 Device's firewall rules to block remote access to the management panel. This warrant does not authorize the seizure of any tangible property. Except as provided above, this warrant does not authorize the seizure or copying of any content from the Target C2 Device or the alteration of the functionality of those network devices.

Case 2:22-sw-00217 Document 4 Filed 04/05/22 Page 17 of 19

AO 93 (Rev. 11/13) Search and Seizure Warrant

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of California

In the Matter of the Search of

DEVICE AT IP ADDRESS ASSOCIATED WITH CYCLOPS BLINK BOTNET

## SEARCH AND SEIZURE WARRANT

#### To: Any authorized law enforcement officer

An application by a federal law enforcement officer or an attorney for the government requests the search of the following person or property located in the Eastern District of California (identify the person or describe the property to be searched and give its location):

#### SEE ATTACHMENT A, attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

I find that the affidavit(s), or any recorded testimony, establish probable cause to search and seize the person or property described above, and that such search will reveal (identify the person or describe the property to be seized):

#### SEE ATTACHMENT B, attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

YOU ARE COMMANDED to execute this warrant on or before April 6, 2022 (not to exceed 14 days)  $\Box$  in the daytime 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.  $\square$  at any time in the day or night because good cause has been established.

Unless delayed notice is authorized below, you must give a copy of the warrant and a receipt for the property taken to the person from whom, or from whose premises, the property was taken, or leave the copy and receipt at the place where the property was taken.

The officer executing this warrant, or an officer present during the execution of the warrant, must prepare an inventory as required by law and promptly return this warrant and inventory to: any authorized U.S. Magistrate Judge in the Eastern District of California.

Deursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b). I find that immediate notification may have an adverse result listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2705 (except for delay of trial), and authorize the officer executing this warrant to delay notice to the person who, or whose property, will be searched or seized (check the appropriate box)

☑ for 30 days (not to exceed 30) □ until, the facts justifying, the later specific date of

| Date and time issued: | 3-23-22 @5:2706             |   |           |                  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------|------------------|
|                       | $\overline{\varphi}_{\tau}$ |   | 's signat | ure              |
|                       |                             |   | •         |                  |
| City and state:       | Sacramento, California      | - | U.S. N    | lagistrate Judge |
|                       |                             |   | D + . 1   | 1                |

Printed name and title

2:22-5W-0217Case No.



#### ATTACHMENT A

#### Property to Be Searched

This warrant applies to victim network devices located in the Eastern District of California onto which malicious cyber actors have installed, without authorization, a malicious executable file named "CPD", the Cyclops Blink malware, associated with the internet protocol ("IP") address listed below (the "Target C2 Device"):

|  | <br> | <br> |
|--|------|------|
|  |      |      |
|  |      |      |

#### ATTACHMENT B

#### Particular Things to be Seized

This warrant authorizes the use of remote access techniques to search the Target C2 Device identified in Attachment A and to seize and copy from it the list of C2 IP Addresses and a malicious executable file named "CPD", used by malicious actors to control, without authorization, other compromised network devices, as evidence and/or instrumentalities of the computer fraud and conspiracy in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1030(a)(2) (theft from a protected computer), 1030(a)(5)(A) (damage to a protected computer) and 371 (conspiracy). This authorization includes the use of remote access techniques to access the Target C2 Device and issue commands to (1) copy and delete the malicious executable file named "CPD"; and (2) modify the Target C2 Device's firewall rules to block remote access to the management panel. This warrant does not authorize the seizure of any tangible property. Except as provided above, this warrant does not authorize the seizure or copying of any content from the Target C2 Device or the alteration of the functionality of those network devices.