ADDRESS

 \mathbb{C}^{r}

THE HONORABLE GRIFFIN B. BELL ATTOENEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

AT THE

DEDICATION

OP

LEWIS AND CLARK COLLEGE LAW RESEARCH CENTER

Portland, Cregon February 2, 1978

Pages 1 thru 18

Hoover Reporting Co., Inc. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 546-6666

nks 1	·
2	
3	ADDRESS
4	OF
5	THE HONORABLE GRIFFIN B. BELL
6	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
7	
8	AT THE
9	DEDICATION
10	OF
11	LEWIS AND CLARK COLLEGE LAW RESEARCH CENTER
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 1978 PORTLAND, OREGON
21	
22	
23	
24	
	II

PROCEEDINGS

ATTORNEY GENERAL BELL: Professor Howard, Dean Fagg, Chief Justice Dennihay, and Mr. Swindell, other distinguished quests, ladies and centlemen:

I want to thank Judge Roth for the generous introduction -- I want to get a copy of it and hand it out in Washington.

[Laughter.]

I'm not having too easy a time right now, and it might help me.

It's good to be in Oregon. I came here about three years ago and rode over the campus with Judge Roth. Judge Roth and I were on the American Bar commission on Standards of Judicial Administration for several years together.

And incidentally, Judge Wade McCree, who is the Solicitor General of the United States, was on the same Commission with us, so I was glad that at least he and I still work together, and glad to have the opportunity to come out at Judge Roth's request.

It's also good to see Sid Lezak again. He's a personification of the merit system. We had a U. S. Attorneys Conference in Washington in November, and he played a joke on me, that was pretty strenuous. And I announced then, were it not for the merit system, he'd be gone.

[Laughter.]

His term of office will soon run out. I've not heard any word from the two Senators. I talked to one of them, Senator Hatfield, the day before yesterday. I think there's a presumption that they want him reappointed, and I intend to do everything I can to see that he is reappointed.

[Applause.]

And to show that I'm a fair man, I'm going to find some Republican who's in office and pair him with Sid, so that it can't be said that I favored a Democrat over a Republican.

[Laughter.]

A lot of people ask me what I think about being Attorney General. I think you can tell from the media that it's a fairly hard job.

[Laughter.]

first elected President, what he thought about being

President, and he said, "Well, it was probably a good job when

Coolidge had it."

[Laughter.]

I have about the same view. My wife has an even dimmer view of the job than I do.

And I told her not long ago the story of the man who was about to be hanged, and they said to him, "Do you have any last words you'd like to utter?"

-

4

6

5

8

7

9

17

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And he said, "Yes, I'd like to say this: This is going to be a terrible lesson to me."

[Laughter.]

Washington is an unusual place. I never spent time much there until I went up to be Attorney General. I went to a dinner last month for Director Kelley, who is retiring as head of the FBI, and he had his Congressman there from Kansas City. And he was saying that he was a car dealer, that was his business. And he said he was proud of it. He said there were 27 lawyers involved in the Watergate and not one car dealer.

[Laughter.]

That gives you some idea of how lawyers are viewed in Washington.

I gave the President a copy of Murphy's Law. never had seen all the verses to Murphy's Law until three or four months ago. One verse is, "If things can go wrong, they will." And there are several others. And it's a very good set of principles for dealing in the government.

But I just heard last week that O'Brien's Commentary on Murphy's Law, and the commentary is that Murphy was too optimistic.

[Laughter.]

I was on a plane trying to get to Atlanta not long ago, we had a storm, and we finally landed in Chattanooga,

Tennessee, to refuel. And there was a woman on the plane who said she was from Alabama, and she had been to prison to see a former government official who was stationed in Alabama, and she was telling me how pitiful it was, and of course I was agreeing with her. As she departed, she said, "I certainly hope you won't have to go to the penitentiary."

[Laughter.]

That is a low point --

[Laughter.]

-- of my thirteen months in Washington.

[Laughter.]

Well, I'll get on a more serious vein -- although,

I must admit it's sort of a relief to be out here, to have
escaped what they call the Iron Ring, I-495 which circles
Washington. They say nothing can get in and nothing can get
out. And there's a lot to that.

I was honored to be invited by Judge Roth. We talked about coming out here last November or December, Phil, and we had to change the date. I had hoped to stay two or three days, and then it turned out I was supposed to go to Mexico tomorrow with a group to study the illegal alien problem, something that I'm charged with administering. It turned out yesterday that that trip was cancelled, so everything I've tried to do in the last two or three weeks has gone off some way or another.

I would've not gotten here as late as

I did today had I known that. I probably could have left yesterday even, and stayed two or three days in this beautiful country.

I'm very impressed with the Law School and what you've done here. I've seldom seen a law school with a 22-acre campus of four buildings. I read up on the --

[Laughter; applause.]

It's almost like a college by itself. But I read up on the history, and I heard the president, Mr. Swindell, recite it, how just since 1965 you've gone from approximately 200 students to over 700. You had \$70,000 in the law school, now you've got about seven million. You've got a day session and a night -- division and a night division. It's really a remarkable record.

I think a lot has to do with the Dean. Dean Fagg
has a wonderful reputation, and in the event you want to get
rid of him, I can use him in the Department of Justice, in the
Antitrust Division.

[Applause.]

We have a lot of trouble with antitrust cases, and we're looking for good lawyers.

I was astonished to learn that Mr. Swindell was not a lawyer. He's been a great benefactor of this law school, and of Lewis and Clark. It would be remarkable, what he's done, even for someone who was a member of the legal profession, but

Ţ

~

it's great to find someone, a lay person, who would take such an interest in a law school.

I want to mention the names of others who have given devoted service to the law and to this law school. These include Judge Roth, John Swarty, -- a friend I see sitting out there -- Paul Bowlin, of course, my colleague in the Justice Department, Sidney Lezak.

president Howard is to be commended for his untiring work over nearly two decades, whose efforts have led to the revitalization of this fine institution.

And I could not pass without saying something about Chief Justice Dennihay, who, I understand, is a long-time constant supporter of the law school.

functioning of law schools, and in turn the law school must have a deep commitment to make contributions to our society. It is vital that students be fully trained in all the technical skills that they will need as practicing lawyers, but it is equally important that law schools foster a sense of social responsibility. They must have a commitment that never flags, to see that the rule of law is upheld and that justice is always approached as closely as humanly possible. They must always be, in the most meaningful sense, in the service of the people.

Law must be for the benefit of all, not the private

domain of practitioners. The public perceptions of the legal profession and the justice system are not as high as they should be. If the justice system is to inspire confidence, there must be solid performance, not mere promise or good intentions.

At the Justice Department, in the slightly more than a year I have spent as Attorney General, we have begun a number of major reforms of the civil and criminal justice systems, both at the federal and state levels. Some of these things were mentioned by Judge Roth. But improvement or reform is not an easy task, in part because of the incredible turbulence to which the nation has been subjected over the past twenty years.

During that period our national life has been dominated by three great issues: the Civil Rights Revolution of the Sixties; the Vietnamese War; and Watergate. Each of these great issues left its mark on our nation, some good, some bad. Because Watergate was the most recent and in some ways the most traumatic for the federal government, I have been particularly aware of its effects during my time in Washington.

Some of these effects were unquestionably good.

The American people recognize that the tragedy occurred in part because of the secrecy in which important government decisions were made. The veil has been stripped from

government, and this is good. I think the government now is more open than it has ever been.

We at the Justice Department strive to be as open with the public and with the media as we possibly can. In fact, I spend about 25 percent of my time dealing with the media and making an occasional speech. Sometimes I wonder if it's good to spend that much time, but we're trying to project an image that the Justice Department is an open place, and it's a department that belongs to the people, and I don't know any other way to do it.

By being open, sometimes you create more publicity about something than would otherwise be true, but I think the best policy is to let all the facts come out on anything.

I think in this way the American people will be much better informed about our activities and, in turn, they will be in a much better position to work their will on the government, rather than having the government work its will on the people. And that's what the Founding Fathers had in mind when our country began.

Another good effect of Watergate is that the

American people now demand higher standards of behavior from

all government officials. This is evident everywhere in

Washington. Many departments and agencies have recently

set up offices of internal inspection to investigate any

allegations of wrongdoing by their employees. We have such an

REPORTING CO., INC. uchusetts Avenue, N.E. ton, D.C. 20002 6-6666 Attorney General Levi during the Ford Administration. It's called the Office of Professional Responsibility. In 1976 there were about 150 people investigated on charges of wrong-doing, either discovered in the Justice Department or based on what someone may have written in. That would include the U. S. Attorney's office anywhere in the country. FBI has their own Office of Professional Responsibility. In 1977, the number of investigations rose to about 200. I have been investigated by the Office of Professional Responsibility, for example.

The New York Times carried a story about a month ago that I and the Associate Attorney General had obstructed justice in Pittsburgh. We were trying to appoint a person who shouldn't have been appointed there. In fact, we were having the person investigated. And the investigation, to this day, has not been completed.

needs to investigate it, so the Office of Professional
Responsibility set in, went to Pittsburgh, interviewed everybody that had anything to do with it; took a statement from
me and from the Associate Attorney General. That put the
matter at rest. Sometimes they find
that it's true, what somebody says, and we have disciplinary
proceedings.

į

?

This is sort of mirrored in the whole government now, and this is a good thing. I think that we are aware that we've got to be on good behavior.

We also have a department now called -- a section called the Public Integrity Section. These are lawyers who are skilled in prosecuting people who are public officials, federal, state or local, who violate the law. This is an outgrowth of Watergate.

I think that the American people will never again permit their government servants -- and that's what we all are, servants of the people -- to abuse the powers with which they have been entrusted.

Now, these are good things from Watergate. I want to mention one or two things that I think are not good, that are unhealthy for our nation. One unhealthy effect was, and I think still is true, that some parts of the media and some persons are unduly suspicious of government officials. I observed this when I first got to Washington, and I was two weeks in the Senate, being asked about everything I had ever done in my life, and I call it the Watergate syndrome. I don't think it's all bad to go into -- I think you ought to go into a nominee thoroughly, carefully, but it can be overdone.

I don't know that I'd want to go through what I went through again, I mean, I don't need the job and --

[Laughter.]

-- once I got there and got into what was almost like being in a trap, I just stayed in it because I didn't want to embarrass the President by quitting, and being defeated; nor did I want to walk away from a fight. Once you get in a fight, not everybody wants to leave. So, people said that I shouldn't be Attorney General because I was a friend of the President. Can you imagine that?

George Washington's Attorney General was Edmund
Randolph, who was his own lawyer, friend, and chief of staff.
He couldn't serve today, because they'd say, "Well, he's a
friend." You have to have a stranger!

[Laughter.]

The President is not entitled to have a friend.

That was the sort of a thing that I faced.

Then I was a Southerner, and they said, "Well, he's not liberal enough, he's a Southerner, he's only moderate."

[Laughter.]

Well, I had never claimed to be a liberal. I always claimed to be a moderate. And I think my record made that out.

But that's the sort of a thing you have to put up with when you go into Washington now, and I don't know how many people will continue to want to do that.

Another bad effect of Watergate is, I think it caused a certain disaffection with government service on the part of young people. I know you all remember the young man

during the Watergate hearings in the Senate, who had been telling about working in the White House and how he finally compromised and wound up doing wrong, and I thought it was probably the most poignant thing that happened in the whole Watergate.

And one Senator hoped to end the testimony on a positive note, and he asked this young man what advice he had to offer young people who might be interested in entering government service. He said, "Yes, I've got some advice. My advice is to stay away. Stay away." I thought that was really a terrible thing. I strongly disagreed with what he said at the time.

I'll have to say I'm rethinking my position.
[Laughter.]

The young people around the Justice Department tell me, though, that that is a sort of prevailing view now on the campuses, that there is a feeling of people having turned inward, that they are thinking more about their own lives, and their own security, their own future. And they have very little interest in going in the government or confronting the great issues of the nation.

I hope this is not out of hand. I think certainly that law students have to be prepared to serve the country sometimes, and when you are needed; despite the droll remarks

I've made, I would serve again if I was asked to serve. I always

got him to agree to be head of the FBI. He gave up his pension as a federal judge, he would be paid for life. And if he serves as head of the FBI for ten years, he will get up to, by that time, 30 percent of the pension he already has. That's the sort of a sacrifice that some lawyers, judges will make; and I hope that that sort of a feeling is something that all law students understand. That you have to tithe your talent, your time, as well as your money. I think that we owe that to our nation.

country on earth. We have more liberty, and more opportunity than any people anywhere. And these great things are not free.

We've never had a system, as they have in England, of hereditary class of government officials, noblesse oblige, we have something that's a little different; we have education. We have educated people, and everybody in our country can serve their country; and everyone, I think, is obligated to serve the country.

The task of government is difficult. Personal sacrifices and pressures are great. Family life can suffer. Financial rewards are usually not as great for lawyers as they are outside the government. But you know that you're doing something for your country, and that's the satisfaction you get out of it.

I want to mention, in closing, some principles that

I have been emphasizing in the Justice Department since I got

there. I think these are very important.

The first one is restraint in the use of power.

I have long realized that the wisest use of power, more often than not, is not to use it at all. Sid Lezak has something he wrote me about not so long ago, which I think is great. He has in his office a quotation from Shakespeare's Measure for Measure. It bears right on the point of not abusing power.

And this is the quote: "Oh, it is excellent to have the strength of a giant, but tyrannous to use it like a giant."

Close quote:

That's what I'm trying to say, and that's what I'm trying to tell people at the Justice Department. And it would be a good thing if everyone in the government would follow this approach.

The second principle that I've been teaching is fundamental fairness. One adheres to this principle if he or she remembers that everyone is entitled to respect as a human being, and should be approached in a spirit of decency with a high degree of civility. We are not a very civil people, certainly compared, we'll say, with the people in England; we are not as civil. We ought to be more civil.

Certainly someone with the government, in dealing with the people, ought to be civil. This goes beyond due process.

That's what we are obligated to do, to give due process. But we ought to go beyond that, we ought to be a little more generous in spirit.

The third principle is integrity. This principle is uncommonly hard to explain. But I find its essence may be best captured by the simple phrase, "doing what's right."

We are each given by our Creator a still, quiet voice inside that says, from time to time, "you ought to do so-and-so".

President Lincoln knew the importance of listening to this voice. At one point in his Administration, some powerful political friends urged a particular course upon him, that he considered to be against his conscience. In refusing that course, he made the point this way: "I desire so to conduct the affairs of this Administration that if, at the end when I come to lay down the reins of power, I have lost every friend on earth, I shall at least have one friend left, and that friend shall be deep down inside me."

In closing, let me again turn to Lincoln, because I think some of the things said about Lincoln and by Lincoln represent qualities that everyone, and especially public servants, should strive to cultivate. If you look in the last volume of Sandburg's Life of Lincoln, and under the chapter, "A Tree is Best Measured when it's Down," you'll find a lot of tributes to Lincoln, after he was assassinated.

And one that particularly struck me was by the great

*

10 11 12

8

9

14 15

13

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

Russian philosopher, Tolstoy. It had never occurred to me that Tolstoy was a contemporary of Lincoln, but he was. He was traveling in the mountains of Russia shortly after Lincoln's death, and he was quest of a chief of a remote Russian tribe. The chief and his tribesmen requested that Tolstoy tell them of great statesmen and great generals. Tolstoy first told them of the Russian Czars, and about Napoleon.

Then the chief rose and begged Tolstoy to tell them about Lincoln. And he said, "We'll give you the best horse in our stock, if you can explain the greatness of Lincoln."

Tolstoy then told them about President Lincoln, said he was a great man, that he was greater than Frederick the Great, Napoleon or Washington. He explained that this was because Lincoln always operated on one motive, the benefit of mankind. He emphasized that Lincoln had wanted to be great through his very smallness -- and this is important -and he explained that all of Lincoln's actions were rooted in four principles: humanity, truth, justice, and pity.

According to Tolstoy, No man could be great except for these principles.

I have mentioned several rules of personal conduct that I prize in government servants and that I believe a legal education can cultivate: restraint, fairness, civility, and integrity.

For government lawyers, I would add: "and a high

PORTING CO., INC. usetts Avenue, N.E. , D.C. 20002 3666

degree of professionalism."

I would also add that no man and no country can be great except by following the principles which Lincoln embodied: humanity, truth, justice, and pity.

It's a great pleasure to be in Portland, to be in the State of Oregon, I thank you for asking me. And hope it won't be too long before I can come back again.

[Applause.]

[Whereupon, the address was concluded.]

_ _ _