

2

3 ...

ż

5

6

7 |

8

9

10

11 ---12

13

14

15

16

17

18 . 19

 $\underline{20}$

21

22

23

24

45

11

1

5

Department of Justice

PRESS CONFERENCE

of

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM B. SAXBE

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

with

MEMBERS OF THE PRESS

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S CONFERENCE ROOM

THURSDAY, APRIL 11, 1974

10:00 a.m.

1599-77862 880 6970 - 11 9-00 ms 100026 74 2 9-777 60 0

-

Attorney General William B. Saxbe responded to questions in the following areas:

TOPIC	PAGE
Civil Division-New Assistant : ** Attorney General	2,3,
Departmental Reorganization	4,5
FBI-New Left Program (COINTELPRO)	6,7
Sobell Suit-Rosenberg Evidence	7,8,9
Subversive Organization List	9,10,11,12,20
Jaworski-President's Taxes	12,13,14,15,16, 17,18,19
Presidential Contempt or Subpeona Study	19,21,21
Senator Weicker Documents	20
Libel Legislation	21,22
Presidential Prosecution Immunity	22,23
Wiretapping Authority & Approval	23,24,25,26,27, 28,29
Freedom of Information Act & FBI Release Under the Act	29,30,31,32,33, 34
Sperling Ground Rules-Other Reporters	34,35,36,37

51 0015

,

1 Good morning. ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I've asked you to come here this morning to give 3 you a release on recidivism. 4 But some of you have had the opportunity Meet Carla 5 Hills, and we're very proud to have her in this very important 6 job. 7 The Civil Division is the lawyer for the rest of 8 the government, and for some of the Justice Department 9 agencies as well. And they have 220 --? 10 MRS. HILLS: 237. 11 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: 237 lawyers over there. 12 And most of the major cases originate -- that go to the 13 Supreme Court -- originate in the Civil Division. 14 She has as clients every other government office. 15 And, as you know over the years, the empire building that 16 happens in any government, one of the first things that a 17 government department wants to do is to build their own legal 18 section and argue their own cases. . 19 We have to combat this, constantly, by providing 20 better service than they can provide for themselves, and to 21 have a client relationship that they not only accept but 22 appreciate. 23Carla Hills has the background of big firm law 24 practice, experience in big cases, handling subordinates, and 25

REPERHELDOLINO Hohuretti Avendi A.L. BRID J. 2002 House 2.

we think that the Civil Division should be very great.

Carla, do you have anything you want to say this 3 :: morning to these distinguished people of the press?

Delighted to be here, gentlemen. MRS. HILLS: ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Carla has four children, as you know, and we were just talking about her weekend job: she has to go home Friday night and start coloring Easter eggs.

[Laughter.]

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Just like everybody else! Are we supposed to call her General? QUESTION: ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Sure. She's an Assistant Attorney General.

14 It's like I ran into, at a diplomatic reception, Mrs. Shaw, the wife of the Ambassador 15 from Australia. And she was telling us about getting credit 1G cards at the department stores in Washington. He was 17 knighted recently and she's a Lady. 18

So she came in and was filling out this form, she 19 put in her name as Helen Shaw; and the girl said, "Well now, 20 is it Mrs. Helen Shaw?" She said, "No, it's Lady Helen Shaw", 21

Well, anyway, it wound up she gets her credit card, 20 and it's "Mrs. Lady Helen Shaw." 23 1

[Laughter.]

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: So she's known in Washington

Postika co. inc. wers Avenue, A.L 91 2001

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9,

10

11

12

1 as Mrs. Lady Helen Shaw.

2	So she will be known as Mrs. General Carla Hills;
3	[Laughter.]
4	QUESTION: Are you ready for questions?
5	ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: One other thing
6	[Laughter.]
7	I know you're not much interested in what goes
8	on in the Department, but we've had another reorganization,
9 *	which we think is permanent this time. We have an Associate
10	Attorney General who figured himself out of a job.
11 مغ	He finally came to the conclusion after making a
12	genuine effort to make this system work, that it was designed
13	for people who are no longer here. And the office of
14	Associate is not really necessary. It divides responsibility
15	and slows down the flow of important chain-of-command things.
16	We're going to a very simple the chart isn't here
17	but it's a very simple streamlined chain-of-command
18	responsibility. We hope that it's going to do away with
19	some of the dissension that we've found here. We discovered
20	that it was adopted without really the support of most of
21	the section chiefs.
50	While this chart is very firm, its not going to change
23	the relationship between the Attorney General and some of the
24	close support agencies, such as Office of Legal Counsel and the
25	governmental relationsthat's Legislative Affairs, and so on.
A 11-7	

EPCREME COLUMN LAUGUTS AVAILUE IN L LOUITS AVAILUE IN L

. •

. .

.

4

- 4

ţ

i

Nor reject relationship with the FBI.

5 ·

Probably the biggest impact is going to be in the administrative affairs downstairs. When this plan was 3.1 adopted last summer, they had a layering of administration put into the legal litigating sections that just seemed to be wasteful, a duplication of people.

We think that we can save a lot of money on this deal and also get more effective work done.

Now, do you have any questions?

QUESTION: Is there any estimate, General, on how much the reorganization cost in the first place, and how much the dismantling of the reorganization is costing?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I don't think it's cost a lot because we didn't implement the whole program. For instance, on the administration, we only had acting heads, we never made those permanent.

The Associate certainly earned his money because he's the man who performed the study. We would have had to have employed outside people to have done this. And there's no great expense.

I think there was a laying on of a lot of people in administration, but that was a build-up over a year or two, rather than anything that just happened.

These reorganization plans are not new, they go back to 1967.

27463.0011/2 أراها والعماسية

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

· 19

20

21

22

23

24

.25

6 OUESTION: General, the month is about up in which 1 Mr. Petersen was supposed to assemble a report for you on 2 COINTELPRO, the FBI COINTELPRO program. What did 31 4 he report to you? ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I haven't got it yet. 5 QUESTION: Is he -- has his deadline been extended 6 or when do you expect to get that report? 7 8 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No, it's in the works. 9 10 QUESTION: Obviously we don't expect to see it before 11 you do, but after you see it, will we be so privileged? 12 A VOICE: He's already on the record as saying that. 13 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I expect to do that. 14 QUESTION: Well, to whatever extent it can be 15 expedited. 16 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXEE: I'm anxious to see it too. 17 QUESTION: Was there any input from the White House 13 on this change? 19 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No, but we carefully 20cleared it with the OMB, which is the area of supervision in 21 these, and they agreed with us. $\overline{\Omega}$ QUESTION: Have you talked to the President about 2it? 24 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No. We didn't. Because 25 3978-A 20, 886. ----Pillametrie A.L.

S.

this is an area of responsibility of OMB over there, they're the ones who worked with it in setting it up, and they also were the ones who worked with us on the dismantling.

QUESTION: When was last --

4

12

13

14

15

·16

17

13

19

24

21

22

23

-1.4

25

. . .

P027549.03_1YD.

 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE:
 They were disillusioned

 6
 also.

7 QUESTION: When was the last time you talked to 8 the President?

9: ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I haven't talked with the 10 President since before he went to the funeral; it's been 11 almost two weeks.

QUESTION: I don't know if you're up on this, it's a question from my New York desk. They have been following the Sobell case there, and apparently Mr. Sobell's lawyers have claimed that some evidence in the Rosenberg trial many years ago was forged, and now the evidence has disappeared, and the question they wanted me to ask was: What's being done to find out what happened to the evidence, and what regulations are there with respect to keeping such evidence?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I'm interested in the same thing. I don't know.

I know that this is primarily a job for the prosecution, the evidence that is entered into a court case becomes a matter of record. The actual instrument, I don't know.

I notice that they said in that story that they just couldn't locate it. They don't know whether it was stolen. They didn't say it was stolen or missing or anything, if they just couldn't locate it.

I have also observed in my visit to a lot of courthouses, when you walk in these property rooms -- well, you've seen the same thing. My God, I don't know how they can find anything.

QUESTION: Well, what steps occur next? ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Well, I want to find out if there is any responsibility, and keep it.

QUESTION: Well, apparently they had been signed out to the U. S. Attorney's office in New York. That's what the people who are in charge of the records say.

15ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE:I don't know.I don't16know.

QUESTION: I mean mechanically, do you have someone whom you've asked in your office to take responsibility to ascertain the facts in this case, or what physically have you done?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: The only thing I've asked is: what is the responsibility for evidence? It has nothing to do with this particular evidence, or the circumstances surrounding it.

QUESTION: In other words, the Justice Department

EPOPTING COLLING Chesotte Averue, N.C 2010 - 20000

1

2

3 -

÷

5

6

7

8

9,

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

* 19

20

21

 2^{5}

23

24 1

9 itself is not pursuing an inquiry at this time as to what 1 happened to that particular --2 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I understand that the 3 11 U. S. Attorney there --4 QUESTION: In New York. 5 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: He's part of the Justice 6 Department. 7 QUESTION: Last week you told us that you were 8 working on a -- trying to make a decision on what to do about 9. the list of subversive organizations. Do you have any 10 decision on that yet? 11 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: We have a -- we have a 12 recommendation prepared. It's now being, circulated amongst 13 the departments or the sections of the Department of Justice, 14 and it will go to the White House. I can't tell you what it 15 is, because if I do then it removes any option the White 16 House might have. But we expect it to go to the White House, 17 who will make the final decision. 18 QUESTION: Well, can you characterize it in any way, 19 General? In terms of whether it reduces the list, or 20 weeds out --. 21 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No. 2° QUESTION: _- any organizations? 23 1 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No. 24 [] QUESTION: Does it expand it? :25 [

nations colland miclionalism A.C.

0.2511

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I can't give you any 1 information. As I say, if I would, why, I'm leaking to you 21 things that are basically a White House decision. I didn't 31 even realize that it was when I started talking about it, 4 but I'm glad it was raised. I'll send it over there, we 5 hope -- when -- in another week. And it will go to the White 6 House and I'm sure that we'll get quick action. 7 QUESTION: Have you determined whether or not you 8 have legal authority to establish and maintain such a list? 9 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I think that that was 10 _11 under Executive Order. QUESTION: The validity of that has been questioned. 12 I wondered if a decision within the Department had been made 13 on that question. 14 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Well, I think the question 15 you raise is even deeper than that. As you may have noticed, 16 the FBI is requesting from other agencies just exactly what 17 the role is, of information gathering on subversive 18 organizations, so-called subversive organizations. 19 This includes today's terrorists and others. And 20I think perhaps legislation is needed. The FBI feels that 21 way. 63-3 QUESTION: What kind of legislation? You mean just 23 setting out the guidelines for what kind of material should 24 be --.25 937090 201 MG

10

metts Alamue, A.I. B.C. Phone

<u>ل</u>:

11 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Exactly what they are 1 expected to do. ٠,-QUESTION: Is it fair to say that at least you're 3 changing the list from what it is now, or recommending 4 1 changing the list from what it is now? 5 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: It will be a week --6 I can't -- there's such a little bit of it left that anything 7 that I would say would whittle it away to nothing. 8 [Laughter.] 9 QUESTION: Well, is that what you did? 10 QUESTION: You said you hadn't talked to the 11 President since before the Pompidou funeral, have you 12 attempted to talk with the President at all? 13 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No. No. 14 QUESTION: Well, you said also that you learned, 15 after talking with us last week, that this was a White House 16 or presidential authority on the list, did someone at the 17 White House communicate that to you? 18 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No. 19 QUESTION: How was that determined? 20 The Office of Legal ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: <u>11</u> Counsel set out exactly the way it is operated, the way it 1367 was put together, and if it's going to be changed it has to <u>.</u>?. be changed by the White House. <u>24 li</u>

And if we make a recommendation, why, it has to go

<u>...</u>

over there for action. 11

QUESTION: Then you think that new legislation is 21 needed in this field? 3 -

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Yes, this is not connected 4 with the list that we're talking about. We're talking about 5 a continuation of the role. And I think everybody 6 expects the investigatory agent to keep track of terrorists, 7 subversives. We seem to have quite a few irresponsible 8 people in the world today, and I think the American people 9. expect some part of the government to have knowledge on it. 10 If it's going to be the FBI, which is the traditional 11 depository, the FBI in turn should be given exact guidelines 12 as to what they're to do. 13

QUESTION: Can you update us at all, General, on 14 the story today of Mr. Jaworski being asked to form a grand jury to look at a possible conspiracy in preparing the President's tax returns? You had mentioned the other day 17 that --18

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I don't know anything about it.

QUESTION: -- some of the stuff had gone over there. Did you not know that it was for that purpose, or for that request?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I read that, but I don't know anything about it.

DRUG COLERC Bett, Special and L. C.C.L.

15

16

19

20

21

.....

22

24

QUESTION: Well, which way did the President's 11 tax stuff go; did it come from a Jaworski request, like it 2 does in others you mentioned, or did it come from Mr. 31 Alexander to Jaworski, unsolicited? 41 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I can't tell you. I just 5 -- I don't recall. 6 QUESTION: You don't remember -7 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No. 8 QUESTION: -- which way. 9 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: The -- as I said the 10 other day -- you probably know more about these affairs than 11 I do, because I'm trying to concentrate on a day-to-day 12 routine of the Justice Department. 13 QUESTION: Right. 14 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: And I feel that the 15 Justice Department is adequately represented by Mr. Jaworski. 26 QUESTION: Right. But he --17 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: And now, as the House 18 Committee gets their steam up, it's going to be, I think, 19 shifting more and more over there. - 20 QUESTION: Who are some of the people that he asked 21 you to get tax records for? You said there were about a ທຸດ (dozen. 27 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Oh, if I said a dozen, 24 I meant this goes back to the time of the original, beginning 35

of the -- of Mr. Jaworski.

1

2

::

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.1

22 1

2

21

25

267351 (C. 140

QUESTION: You mean Jaworski himself or the Special Prosecutor? We're talking about Hunt, Liddy, that group as well? Haldeman, Ehrlichman, Colson?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I can't recall, but what I'm saying is this goes back to when he took over -- in November, whenever it was.

QUESTION: Oh, at the beginning of his investigation.

9' But you don't recall whether the tax return of the 10 President, which was sent to Jaworski, came at Mr. Alexander's 11 recommendation or --

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Oh, I have no information on the President's tax returns.

QUESTION: Wouldn't you remember that?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No.

Well, there's nothing that -- there's no presidential tax material that I know of. There is none that I know of.

QUESTION: That went to Jaworski?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: That went to Mr. Jaworski. That's what we're talking about, the piece in the paper. I know I have no information to either support or deny the piece that was in the New York Times or the Post this morning.

QUESTION: Okay. Well, you see, we're in another one of those little round-robins, where maybe we asked one too many questions.

	15	
1		
2	Because the other day you said to your	
3	knowledge it had gone over to Jaworski, the President's tax	
4	return, vis-a-vis the investigation of DeMarco. Is that	
5	right?	
6	At least that's what I recall.	
7	ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I read that, but I that	
8	wasn't my intention to say that any presidential tax returns	
9. [[had gone to Mr. Jaworski.	
10	QUESTION: But you said at the time that the	•
11	material with Mr. DeMarco's hand in it, of the President's	
12	taxes for the year 1969, or whatever it is Jaworski has.	
13	Is that what you did mean?	
14	ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No, I didn't.	
15	I read that, and we'll have to go back to the tape.	
16	But the gist of what we're talking about is that these are	
17	investigatory files and I think it's unfair to even comment	
13	on any kind of an investigatory file.	
19	Now, to my knowledge, Mr. Jaworski is not involved	
20	in the President's returns.	
21	QUESTION: You mean directed toward the President?	
2.2	ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: That's correct.	
	QUESTION: You made that clear, I thought, the other	
2-	day, but	
<u> 2</u> <	ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Yes, I did.	
Efformation end table Revise of the end table Elocation of the Elocation of the		

÷

•

.

.

QUESTION: -- but Mr. DeMarco's handling of it in 1!! 1969 was -- or in 1970 for the '69 return, was what we understood you to say was sent over,

2

З

4

5

6

7

20

21

2.7

42

. : :

<u>م</u>د

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Well, I can't even verify I haven't gone back and looked at it, and, frankly, that. I just don't want to comment on it.

Well, General, the Times story said OUESTION: that you had given Mr. Jaworski, as an Attorney General's 8 opinion, apparently to allow him to look into individuals 9, who were personal employees of the President. 10

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: This boils down to the 11 question of the extent of the powers of the Special Prosecutor. 12 And they are so broad that I don't think there's any room for 13 arguing that whether he should handle them or whether regular 14 Justice Department people should handle them on anything that 15 arises out of the years from '69 on, in regard to campaign 16 contributions; and anything connected with the Watergate. 17

I wish you'd go back and read that charter, it's 13 rather broad. 19

QUESTION: Well, I think what we're trying to get at is that there's a clause in the charter that says that he may investigate these specific things you've mentioned and anything else referred to him by the Attorney General.

The Times story this morning said that you had referred a matter, apparently not covered by the other parts of the charter. That's what we're trying to find out.

11

2

3

4

5

G

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

92

23

21

115

-168 A 🛴

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Yes.

Now, this was things that arose, as I said the other day, beginning clear back when I came on board. The dozen people that I mentioned were the total of this. I considered that a sizable group, and I said the other day a sizable group. Most of these people are under indictment. Some have been tried.

I felt it was just a part of a total investigation of individuals, and that it couldn't be held up.

QUESTION: Well, the Special Prosecutor doesn't have any real tax experts, you've got a considerable number of them in this building. Why should this matter, whether Mr. DeMarco or others who acted in a culpable manner, be referred to Mr. Jaworski's staff.

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Well, I just don't think that the Justice Department can -- I think that if you approach it from that, you'd have a proliferation, to say this little area and that little area.

I don't think that he's in any danger of being

short of people there to perform this function. 1 QUESTION: Can we pin this question of referral 2 || down again? As I understand, you're now saying that there's 3 1 been no recent referral of new authority to Mr. Jaworski. ŧ ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I don't consider that 5 there has been, no. 6 QUESTION: That's what the Times story said this 7 You're saying that that's not correct? morning. 8 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I thought that -- to me 9 that story just didn't make much sense, because it starts 10 off as a headline and says that the -- Alexander has stated, 11 or indicated that he sent all this stuff to Jaworski for 12 If he has, I don't know about it. prosecution. 13 QUESTION: Would you necessarily know? 14 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXEE: Not necessarily, but I 15 think I would. 16 QUESTION: Mr. Saxbe, what I was trying to get at 17 with my previous question is in the sense of the cutting edge, 13 in deciding what stuff goes to Jaworski and what stuff stays 19 here; not so much a matter of finding a Watergate connection. 20But now it is whether it has become something involving the 21 President or those close to the President, so as to insure 99 that there will be no public doubts about the independence 22 of the probe, but that kind of material; anything involving 2.1 the President and his fellows gets kicked over to Jaworski.

25 1

WR7042 00 LIVS. Lanna ti

Isn't that really about what it amounts to?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: You've got several things. You've got the timeframe, you've got the place of employment, 34 You've got three things that and you've got the nature. fit within that charter. And I don't think that the tax area is eliminated, simply because we may have more tax people than they have.

Your OLC did an enterprising job of QUESTION: putting a study together on the impeachment question. I was curious whether anyone has asked them to put together a similar study with respect to Congress' contempt authority or Congress' ability to subpoena materials from the President, 12 from a reluctant President?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No, that isn't likely, either, because they really weren't too enthusiastic about our impeachment study.

[Laughter.]

QUESTION: So nothing is being done along that line? ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Not now.

QUESTION: Who wasn't enthusiastic?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: The Congress. The Congress wasn't. We did this, it was under way when I came here, and I thought it was a good study. And when it was ready, we offered it to them, and they accepted it rather reluctantly, but indicated they felt they had better =- better sources.

1

2

4

5

6

ĩ

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

.15

And since that time we've had no request for another one. So we haven't.

1

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

n-?

24

25 80 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I have not.

9QUESTION:Have you obtained all the documents10yet which Senator Weicker released the other day? You said11on Tuesday morning that you would study them.

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I don't know. I haven't personally seen them. He said that he was sending them down.

Now, as I understand it, what he talked about was information that he had received as a member of the Watergate Committee, and I would guess that this would go to Mr. Jaworski.

QUESTION: What would you do if, down the road, Congress were to vote a contempt citation against the President, under the statutes 2 USC 192 et seq. The U. S. Attorney must present that-sort of matter to a grand jury, yet there are those who believe that the grand jury has no power to indict a sitting President, and therefore the whole thing is silly.

What would you do in that sort of situation?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: It is, it's a -- we've made no decision, and, to my knowledge, no study on it. It's a classic confrontation on separation of powers.

QUESTION: Have you read Jack Chester's brief on the subject of whether the Congress can subpoen athe President?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Yes.

7

8

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

:1

22

22 1

2.1

25 3

1. e 1.

QUESTION: Do you think that bears on this question? ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I read part of it. QUESTION: Do you think that bears on this question? ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Part of it bears on that. QUESTION: You said to your knowledge you didn't have any study on this. Have you got studies going on other things that we would be interested in?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Not -- not in this area. The Office of Legal Counsel, as you know, does these things. We also have an Office of Criminal Justice, which we hope to get carved up to do these kind of studies. They are not at the present time going into this kind of thing, they're working on the NCIC and computerized general history and conflict between NCIC and inlets, and this kind of thing.

There are no in-depth studies on these things going on.

QUESTION: Could you tell us what progress has been made on the libel legislation that I believe OLC is drafting?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: None to my knowledge. 1 That is, I have nothing new to report. $2 \oplus$

As I told you last week, the hang-up is trying to 3 1 interpret what malice is. And to my knowledge they've made no progress. It's a tough area.

QUESTION: Several weeks ago I asked, and while your Deputy seemed to have a judgment in the matter I didn't think that you did at that time; I asked whether you thought that Congress had the authority to pass a law which would, in essence, exempt the President from prosecution for alleged crimes if he resigned. And we talked about whether that would be sort of a bill of attainder in reverse, and so on.

Have you thought about that matter any further? Do you have any thoughts as to whether that's --

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I've thought about it, but I don't have any conclusions. It's a tough one -- again, it's confrontation on the separation of powers. And what you're talking about is what someone suggested -- who was it, Mills -- who said that if the President would resign, that they would give him an exemption from any prosecution.

One of the difficulties is that even on a commutation. of a sentence or a pardon, and so on, Congress doesn't have the authority to do this. It resides in the President.

Now, whether they could assume by statute something like this, I'm just not ready to answer. It doesn't seem

1**0 061** (NO. Section of the section of the 10.23:22

4

5

6

ī

8

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

39

20

21

22 1

23

24

25 !

readily possible to me. What do you think?

1

2

3 ...

DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL SILBERMAN: I didn't hear the question.

QUESTION: The same question I asked about four 4 weeks ago, and you were sitting behind Mr. Saxbe, and you 5 were kind of shaking your head no on that date, so I assumed 6 that you had studied the issue. The question being whether 7 Congress could exempt the President from prosecution --8 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Criminal prosecution. 3 QUESTION: -- in exchange for resignation. 10 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL SILBERMAN: I refuse -11 the question. 12 [Laughter.] 13 That's one way to get out of it! QUESTION: 14 Is anybody studying this question for QUESTION: 15 you, to help you make a decision? 16 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No. 17 QUESTION: Are you going to have anybody study it? 19 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXEE: I don't think it will come . 19 to me for a decision. 20 QUESTION: May I ask a question about wiretapping 21:About three years ago I asked here at the authority? 2° Department whether in fact Attorney General Mitchell was the 23 czar for all wiretaps, that is, any wiretapping conducted by 24 a federal agent against a citizen of the United States, no 25 🗄

2'3

matter what agency that federal agent worked for.

1

21

3

4

5

6

7

8

9.

121

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

-1-1

- 7-

21

25 .

Would that come through the Attorney General's desk? Would he -- is he in the central position? I was assured that was true, that no wiretaps would be installed without his permission.

And then a short time later I found out that, for example, DOD was doing wiretapping, and it never crossed their minds to bring that matter to the attention of the Attorney General.

10 What is the status of that? Are you the czar or 11 ain't you the czar?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Well, I think so.

But I know that under the <u>Keith</u> decision there are absolutely no Category III wiretaps without my authorization, and a judge. I don't believe that -- well, except the states, as you know, for the 10 states.

QUESTION: Well, I'm only talking about federal employees.

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: All right.

I don't believe there's any other federal agency that ever goes into court, although I think that Treasury could. I'm talking about Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms -- but I don't believe that they do.

QUESTION: Well, we see those showing up, though, in the report to the office of the Administrator of U.S.

25 Courts. For example, if the Secret Service was wiretapping 1 F. Donald Nixon's telephone at the request of the President, 2 ... I seem to recall that we've been told that somewhere along 3 : the line, I don't see that that ever showed up in the 7 5 report to the Office of the Administrator of the U. S. Courts. I don't understand how it didn't show up. 6 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: To my knowledge, the 7 Secret Service was not used for wiretap for this Administration. 8 Now, that's --3. QUESTION: Except for the Donald Nixon installation? 10 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXEE: Well, was that Secret 11 Service? 12 QUESTION: That's what he said, as I recall, that's 13 what the President said. 14 QUESTION: Well, I wasn't a witness, so I can't 15 swear to it first person, but that's what we had understood. 18 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Well, of course, under 17 the Keith decision, that wouldn't be possible, would it? 13 Unless you fall back on the national security, which we have 19 very narrowed. 20 QUESTION: Well, are you satisfied that no federal 21 agency is conducting wiretaps without your approval? 22 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I can't say that I'm 23 satisfied, but I have no knowledge, I have no knowledge, 24 and I do not believe that there are any domestic wiretaps 25 DATING CO., INC.

atta Avenue, N.E.

except those authorized by the Department of Justice.

QUESTION: Now, some of the Army wiretapping is overseas, and thus they claim some sort of an extraterritorid; iality about them. Yet a wiretap by the U. S. Government against a U. S. citizen, even if it takes place on the moon, I suppose is covered by the Fourth Amendment, wouldn't you? ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I'm not at all sure that

they are engaged in those services.

11

21

2

7

5

6

7

8

9^t

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

07

24

25

ORTEN: COLIN'S

QUESTION: Well, they reported it to Senator Ervin. ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I think that at one time there were widespread intelligence operations within the Army, and Fort Holabird and Fort Monroe and computers, and all of this. But I've been led to believe that this is no longer done.

QUESTION: Well, just as a matter of principle, would you expect that if DOD, if Military Intelligence in let's say, Europe wanted to run taps on certain American servicemen suspected of trafficking in drugs, would you anticipate that their requests for that tapping in Frankfurt, West Germany, would be approved by you?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: If they had need for it, their recourse would be to work through the authorities in the country. And I'm talking about drugs or any of this, and to work through the regularly constituted -- now --

QUESTION: Authorities in which country, sir?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: In France and Germany, 1 wherever they are. And I'm led to believe that this is 2 what happens. 3 As you know, we have 400 agents of the DEA, Drug 4 Enforcement Agency, around the world. They have no authority 5 to wiretap Americans, --G QUESTION: Well, even --7 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: -- but you are also 8 probably aware it's estimated there are 70,000 wiretaps in 9: France. 10 The procedure would be to go to the police of the 11 country where you're working, if you're worried about drugs 12 or whatever it is, it's their problem. 13 Now, as to whether it's American citizens, they're 14 being wiretapped in France by France; that's a question that 15 has just never come up. 16 Whether they are entitled to Fourth Amendment 17 protection while they are in France. 18 QUESTION: Well, can I leave that with you as a query, 19 then, for your judgment? My question being whether a tap 20 by U. S. agents overseas against U. S. citizens is covered 21by the Fourth Amendment? 20 1 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: All right. 23 QUESTION: It's a judgmental question. I don't 27 think it's ever been litigated. 515 - E CREAKE COLINE. the Context of C

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: And my initial answer is I don't believe there's any of it going on. As a theoretical situation, why, we'll try and do it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

_11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

21

087.2.2 001.147) 1810 - House A.C. 1810 - House A.C. As an American citizen, does he have any constitutional protection against being wiretapped by, say, in a Russian hotel?

QUESTION: Well, that wouldn't be covered by the Fourth Amendment if it's a Russian doing the tap; but the Fourth Amendment does seek to regulate the conduct of the U. S. Government and its agents, it seems to me, whether they are operating in Mexico or France or whatever.

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXEE: Well, if it's the military placing the wiretap in that hotel, then the question arises.

QUESTION: Now, in your answer to his question, you said that you didn't think there were any domestic wiretaps, Title III wiretaps conducted without your knowledge. Do you think there are any other kinds of wiretaps, any national security taps that do not flow through the Justice Department?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I don't believe so. QUESTION: Are there any orders or rules or instructions to everybody else, telling them to come to you first?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Yes. They're channeled.

QUESTION: And that -- and you do get requests from 1 | 2^{1} other agencies? ÷. ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Right. And they meet the 3 : 41 same standard as any other. . 4 QUESTION: The other day, testifying up on the Hill, . 5 Ramsey Clark said that there had been requests during his · 6 time here from the State Department to tap the phones of 7 visiting delegations with whom the State Department was going 8 to negotiate, sort of a mirror behind the other guy's 9: poker hand. ·10 Have you seen any of that kind of request? 11 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I can't comment on that, 12 but I have no knowledge -- but I wouldn't comment on it if 13 I did. 14 I mean, you're talking about the bug in the 15 chandelier and all this business in the meeting room and so 16 on. 17 QUESTION: Yes. 18 QUESTION: Is Mr. Saloschin working full time to 19 kill the FOI amendments on the [[ill? 20ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No, Mr. Saloschin is trying 21 to strengthen the bill. One of the problems we just can't under-22 stand is how in the hell are we going to get the money, the 2: various departments going to get the money to comply with 24 some of the things. Some of them are going to be very 25 TING COLLING. As mance in L

expensive.

1

2

З.

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

221

 $\underline{23}$

24

25

Telio du lavo Bana and A.C. Ú.,

QUESTION: You mean the indexing thing? ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: The -- and a guick recovery. Ten days is -- and I liken it to, if you're going to get criminal prosecution, if you make a mistake it's going to keep going down the line until you get down to a GS-5, who's going to make the decisions. And I think it's self-defeating.

9 Now, we support the bill. We just think that it can be a much more effective bill if it's put together in such a way, first, that there is money provided to handle the 11 additional cost. Some say that the additional cost runs as high as a billion dollars.

Their projections are very unrealistic. And the suggestions that he's making are being given careful consideration. We're not being summarily dismissed, as one would believe on this. The people of Mr. Kennedy's staff and others have given careful consideration to this recommendation. They want this bill to work, and we do, too.

QUESTION: What's your position -- you've probably stated it but I haven't caught up with it -- on the Exemption. One problem, the Patsy Mink problem, that they are attempting to cure, to give the courts authority to, shall we say, whether to review whether a national security classification is properly attached to sought-after documents?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: We're not hung up on that. We're living with that right now. It's not a great problem.

QUESTION: In other words, you don't believe that det the courts -- I'll be more specific. One of your predecessors, Attorney General Mitchell, in a speech, expressed his doubts about the ability of federal judges to look into such questions and resolve them, and thought they would be improper inasmuch as they didn't have Q-sigma, something or other, clearance.

Do you have any problem with that?

Now, our hang-up, if we get away from the financial, we don't think that you can urge citizen participation in law enforcement. Now, this is a big thrust right now to get people to cooperate with the police, and to call -- we post telephone numbers every place: Call the police.

We're afraid that if at any time -- and we're not saying this bill does it, but it's got to be explicit that it doesn't -- if at any time that a citizen is going to read about his testimony the next day in the paper, it's going to make it more difficult to get citizen participation in criminal law enforcement.

Right now the people just say, "I don't want to get involved." They see a burglary, they see a robbery, they

1

2

2

4

5

6

7

8

9:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

 $\underline{20}$

21

<u>()()</u>

23

24

see violence, and they say, "I don't want to get involved".

Unless you get people to call up the police and itell them about violations, well, you've lost all your if greatest sources of information for law enforcement. And I don't think the police can operate under a whole lot more handicaps.

Now, I think we've got to protect those persons, the citizens.

QUESTION: When Chief Kelley came in office down the hall -- this is another subject -- he indicated, in response to a question as to how he would handle requests from the White House, he said he felt he would do it just by operating through channels, that he would insist that such requests funnel through the AG's office and then to him and SO ON.

Have requests for FBI investigative files come from the White House through you to Director Kelley?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Have not. And those investigative files are strictly on a need-to-know basis in law enforcement. And we've gotten no such requests from the White House.

QUESTION: Some of the historians who have been trying to get FBI records under that order that Richardson signed last summer have said, have complained, about the slowness with which the FBI is processing the material.

THE CO. 100. Tr Avenue, N.C. 2002.2

1

2 1

3 0

4 1

5

6

7

8

₽.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

20

02

24

I think at least one has written you once or twice. Professor Weinstein from Smith College.

1

2

3

4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$

8

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

15

· 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BRTING COLUND. Bette Avenue, N.C. Are you satisfied with the progress that the FBI

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Generally I am. Some of these problems are personality problems that go back a long time, and if there's danger of people being involved in changing their life, of being disturbed, I think we have an obligation to them.

QUESTION: But is there any intention on your part to either amend that order or to -- well, just to scrap it in any way, or not?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No. We release things every day that can be released. There are a great many more released than are refused.

I think it's working. The people that they turn down are the people that they think would actually -- there are informants, there are people involved whose identity cannot be clothed if the information is released.

QUESTION: Is there any comparison between the FBI files and the Census records?

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXEE: And what?

QUESTION: And the Census records.

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: No.

QUESTION: You can't see the Census records past

33.

about 1900. They were just made available this year. 1 || The Census records, the door-to-door census. 2 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Well, that's covered by an 3 1 entirely different set of statutes. 4 OUESTION: Have there been any developments in the 5 Hearst case? 6 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: None that I know of. 7 QUESTION: Mr. Saxbe, can we talk for a minute on a 8 parochial matter that you reminded me of -- \mathfrak{g} ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: All right. 10 QUESTION: -- with your first reference. The other 11 day you went to Godfrey Sperling's breakfast press conference. 12 As you probably know, this is an invitation-only group. And 13 they very specifically exclude the wires and, I think, the 14 broadcast people. 15 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Unh-hunh. 16 QUESTION: At 5:00 p.m. on that day, my Bureau 17 called me and said, could I get the announcement that you had 18 made that day on the Hearst case. And I said, Well, he made 19 no announcement, I understood he went to a private gathering 20of reporters. 21 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Unh-hunh. $\underline{92}$ QUESTION: But I went to the Information Office and 23 asked if there was a tape. I was told there was a tape, and 01 I was told, however, that I could not hear the tape because -----DRAINS COL INC. in the state of the second s

1.2302

assurance had been given to Mr. Sperling that it would not be used for news release purposes.

Now, I don't really see why the Attorney General in that statement should be -- have these conditions imposed on him by one or more newsmen.

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: You've just got to have 6 your own breakfast, that's all! 7

Well, I think the rule of reason would QUESTION: be --9:

[Laughter.]

1

2 !

3

٦Ì

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

27

23

t.

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Jack, tell him. I asked Jack the same thing.

QUESTION: Well, my thinking, sir, is that once anybody at that breakfast has published what was said at the breakfast, there is no longer any reason for Jack to keep a tight sphincter on this thing. He can -- as far as I'm concerned, he ought to be able to let that tape go. And I think, in future, this would be a very sensible policy, and I would urge it on you strongly.

ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Well, you're talking about something that I know very little about, and that's press relations. So I -- and I depended on Jack for this, and Jack get up and defend yourself.

JACK HUSHEN: It was their affair, we were their guests. 24 QUESTION: Yes, but I mean, do they need you or do :25 you need them? I mean, I don't see why any reporter should IRTING COL INC setts Avenue INE.

tell a Cabinet member that: You gotta do it this way, Buddy: 1 or else we won't have you back. 2 Something like that. That's silly. I mean -- and 3 when the Attorney General says something --4 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I have not -- I had no 5 idea that any of these kind of restrictions were on when I 6 went there --7 QUESTION: Well, that's what I say; next time you 8 get into one of these, why don't you make sure that, you know, 9 sweep the field for mines first. 10 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Well, stand up and tell 11 him something. 12 [Laughter.] 13 A VOICE: Express yourself. 14 QUESTION: Can we find out what that bulletin is 15 on the table -- about the public lawyers --16 A VOICE: No tape for anyone. 17 QUESTION: No? Fair enough. 18 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: Herbert Porter was 19 sentenced to thirty days, in a federal corrections -- "sic" 20 institution --21 [Laughter.] 20 QUESTION: Which wire service is that? 23 ATTORNEY GENERAL SAXBE: I don't know, but they've 24 1 got to get a new printer. 25 forties co. INC Manth Avenue, & E. B.C 20023

