OFIGINAL

ADDRESS

OF

HONORABLE BENJAMIN R. CIVILETT,

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

BEFORE THE

STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA CONVENTION
SPONSORED BY THE

ITALIAN-AMERICAN LAWYERS OF CALIFORNIA

11

13

17

Z2

SUNDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 1979

12:00 Noon

LOS ANGELES BONAVENTURE HOTEL

SAN DIEGO ROOM

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

(Applause)

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL: Thank you. Thank you, Thomas, for your warm introduction, Rev. Fr. Trickle, thank you for your prayer, eloquent and meaningful as it was. Chief Justice Byrd, I am privileged to meet you today and to be on the platform with you. Mr. President, thank you for inviting me here and having Tom Cacciatore make such warm arrangements as he has made, and it looks to me as if -- I am not familiar with your luncheons in California or in this organization, but it looks to me as if your work has paid off with the number in the audience as well as their appearance, as well as -- I think, unless I am mistaken -- as well as their ethnic association with you and me.

Judge Clinco, Vice President Lavello, and all other judges, dignitaries, both in the audience and on the dais, Judge Geroshe who visited me in Washington, I had the great pleasure to chat with him through an interpretor. My Italian is as limited as his English, unfortunately, and I hope to change that over the course of the next several years at least on my part. And ladies and gentlemen.

Judge Byrd, I will take care of the doggerel today. You did it perhaps one other time. I have a prepared text which I am going to address in a few minutes, but I might make some observations before I do that just to prove that I am humorless and business-like.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

(Laughter)

You know how anxious Americans are to be first in something, and we admire the first occasion, the first event, the first time in the history that this or that happened, and it is getting a little tougher now. We have been around for two-hundred years and a lot of the firsts are gone. I am no different than you are, and the Department of Justice is no different. And so here I am the 73rd Attorney General of the United States, and we wanted to fish around and see what I was first of, if anything.

So naturally we looked a little bit to see if I was the first Italo-American Attorney General, and that wasn't so. Charles J. Bonaparte -- some people say Bonaparte -- from whose origins were in Corsica, was Attorney General of the United States at the turn of the century under President Roosevelt. In fact, he was from Baltimore, and I knew of him as the auditorium in the Federal Bureau of Investigation is named the Bonaparte Auditorium and he is quite famous, of course, in our community as well as in Washington.

Then they looked a little bit, the people on the staff and others looked a little bit about, and said well, maybe this is the first time an Assistant U.S. Attorney had ever become Attorney General at a later point, and that wasn't true.

There have been three others who were Assistant Attorney

•

_

CO., INC.

Generals or Assistant U.S. Attorneys and became Attorney
General. And then they said, well, let's look and see if
anyone has ever been an Assistant Attorney General in charge
of one of the operating divisions, Deputy Attorney General
and then Attorney General, and maybe that is a first. And
so we looked at that, and, no, that wasn't a first, Ramsey
Clark did that and I think Tom Clark did it and maybe one or
two others.

Well, they finally did find a first with regard to me that I can call my own. The first gray-headed near-sighted ItalaAmerican Attorney General who was also an Assistant United States Attorney, Assistant Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General, and then Attorney General.

(Laughter, applause)

Somtimes it is a long run for a short jump.

(Laughter)

I am very pleased to be here today. I know that the concern of your particular association as well as the bar associations of California has been and is in the quality of the legal representation that we provide our clients. As Attorney General, I have those same concerns for the Department of Justice.

The California State Bar has a deserved reputation for providing continuing legal education to its members. For a number of years, your CEB program has led the profession and

THE CO., INC. M Avenue, N.E. C. 20002

has been a model for like programs around the country. So I thought that a good place to talk about the Department of Justice's efforts to provide the best possible legal representation to our clients, the citizens of the United States, would be here at this time.

The department's attorneys are the principal lawyers for the American people, directly and daily. The representation we offer therefore, in my view, must be of the highest quality and must be sensitive to the real needs of our clients. Traditionally, of course, some of the very best attorneys in the country have served in the Department of Justice. Nevertheless we must insure that the high quality that existed in those attorneys and from time to time continues and is uniformally applicable.

As part of the effort to maintain and improve the quality of the representation of the department, we have made and are making a number of improvements. One of these improvements includes a close look at the training of our lawyers and this is a subject that I would like to particularly address today.

During the past year, we have examined our training for litigation. We have decided to look at our needs, at the quality of the efforts being made within the department and how those efforts compare with what others in the private sector were doing.

Seco., MC. Avenue, N.E.

.16

In a recent speech to the Fourth Circuit Judicial Conference, that is my home circuit, the Chief Justice of the United States spoke of this. He said: "One of the highest obligations we owe to our profession and to the public is the duty to look at ourselves objectively, take note of our strong points and of our weak points and then by constructive efforts try to improve the service of our profession to the public."

We in the Department of Justice agree. We thought a good place to start was at home, there. Because of our size and the turnover among our lawyers as well as the importance of our work, the task is not an easy one.

In a sense, we are one of the largest law firms in the world. In the litigating divisions, the offices of the United States Attorneys, and one of the largest offices and one of the most well-run offices is here in Los Angeles under Andrea Ordin, the shining star and pride of the entire Department of Justice.

(Applause)

But in those offices there are just under 4,000 lawyers. These lawyers, especially the Assistant United States Attorneys, must be in court on a regular basis nearly daily and consequently a large percentage of department lawyers, certainly a much greater number than in the bar as a whole are really trial lawyers. A significant complication

Me CO., INC.

to our training efforts is not only those numbers but the turnover among our lawyers.

In 1978, we hired approximately 23 percent of all the lawyers in the Department of Justice, and this percentage is even higher in some of the offices with the largest amount of day-to-day litigation. Much of this turnover is understandable if you think about it for a moment. I need not tell you of the greater financial rewards -- just to say it makes me kind of reminiscent of the days in private practice.

(Laughter)

I need not tell you of the greater financial rewards available or of the desire of law firms to have the expertise of the lawyer who has been in the Department of Justice. My predecessor, Judge Griffin B. Bell, who is now luxuriating in those green fields, often said that in providing better training for lawyers in the department we were investing in the future of the legal profession as a whole. But as a result of this turnover in department lawyers, a significant percentage of our lawyers are young.

In 1978, for example, 32 percent of our attorneys had been in the department less than two years, and over 40 percent of the Assistant United States Attorneys had less than two years experience.

A second factor we had to consider in planning the training programs was that the department attorneys would

RTING CO., INC. BOX Avenue, N.E. D.C. 20002 1 ha
2 me
3 pr
4 pl
5 of
6 th
7 in
8 na
9 as
10 of

have a large workload very soon after coming into the department. We can't afford to have a train-in or a cruise-in program where they are a year and a half in harness or in place before they receive a substantial workload. Because of the workload and the promptness of the imposition of it, these attorneys carry substantial responsibility very early in their career, and while many attorneys come to us fortunately with some experience in state government enforcement as well as in private work, few have experienced the level of intensity or sophistication and responsibility expected of them in the Department of Justice.

As we look at the makeup of the department attorneys and the attendant heavy responsibility placed on them, we became concerned that we had to prepare our attorneys to exercise their responsibility quickly and capably. Our concern for adequate training was not new, but the intensity of the search was.

In 1974, under William Saxbe, then Attorney General, the Attorney General's Advocacy Institute was created. This office was established primarily to train newly appointed Assistant United States Attorneys in basic trial advocacy. It also sponsored some specialized seminars in conjunction with the legal divisions of the department.

While the institute and the seminars were an excellent beginning, its efforts were modest. It trained about 200

TING CO., INC. III Avenue, N.E IC. 20002 lawyers a year, had not expanded its course offerings and was not really a full-time operation with a director and a professional staff. Judge Bell and I wanted the institute to reflect the advances made in advocacy training in the past ten years and to help lead the way for constant improvement. To accomplish this, we examined the work of the best of the new trial advocacy programs such as the one at the Hastings Law School here in California and the National Institute for Trial Advocacy, the NITA program, and we asked the attorneys in the field what they needed and what was good and bad about what was being done.

A task force of Assistant U.S. Attorneys and Legal Division lawyers enthusiastically gave a great deal of their time to help create an entirely new program. When I was Deputy Attorney General, I took a personal interest and an active role in the new Advocacy Institute and for the first time engaged as consultants top educators and academicians in trial advocacy to examine the institute and assist in the development of these new courses and programs.

Through this review, suggestions for improvements were made and implemented. As a fundamental change, we expanded the institute and made it the focus for all lawyer training in the Department of Justice for those in the Legal Divisions as well as those in the United States Attorneys offices. We created completely new courses in both trial and

Avenue N.E.

14.

appellate advocacy. Our program includes two weeks of intensive civil and criminal trial advocacy work, a third week of training in problems related to special needs of advocacy such as grand jury work or motion practice or motion suppression practices of one kind or another, third, a five-day appellate advocacy program, and fourth, a series of specialized trial seminars for experienced attorneys such as in tax evasion, how to try tax evasion cases, a net worth case or many others.

Each day of the new courses is devoted to workshops in advocacy problems from simple direct and cross-examination to the use of demonstrative evidence and expert witnesses.

Each lawyer performs every day. The actual job of being a trial lawyer and the workshops are supplemented by lectures and demonstrations.

Moreover, the lawyers are trained by the most experienced and able trial lawyers in the department. They receive a critique of their live performances and they are videotaped for replay with one of the instructors for an indepth examination of the various stages of their performance.

The training is rigorous and it is intensive. In the appellate course, the oral arguments are subjected to the same sort of criticism with two of the three arguments videotaped for further critique in addition to the questioning by the presiding panel.

MG CO., INC. Avenue, N.E.

Each course utilizes a variety of legal problems as contrasted with, for example, one criminal or civil case in prior courses. In placing a much greater emphasis on the learn . by doing method of instruction, each participant performing a court room exercise and receiving critiques each day, the number of workshop hours has been significantly increased.

At the end of each course, there are two days of full trials before federal district court judges who volunteer their services from around the country. These judges, like others who aid the program by the giving of their time unselfishly are commended and should be so commended for their work. The institute and the entire department owe them a great debt of gratitude and 'thanks.

Furthermore, the seminars for experienced attorneys, such as the ones held on public corruption, fraud and abuse and civil rights, provide attorneys with an opportunity to learn about special trial programs, significant changes in the law and new methods and procedures, Many of these sessions involve the artire participation of FBI agents, drug enforcement administration agents or other law enforcement agents. This joint participation of investigators and prosecutors allows for an exchange of knowledge so that each can learn how to work better and more effectively and totally lawfully, one with the other.

Me Co., INC. Avenue, N.E. 2000)

Control of the contro

2

3

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

To complement these changes in the new course programs, we have not only revised the materials in the institute, we have borrowed from the successful and proven work of others and we created our own materials, fashioning them to the special needs of the federal government lawyer.

To further accommodate the government program, we have expanded the physical facilities in which the programs are given. For example, we have built four new mock court rooms in the main Justice Department to provide realistic settings for the courses and have purchased new equipment such as the video recording machines to provide the valuable training techniques which are necessary for the success of this and other similar efforts.

Even before all of these changes were made, we had increased the number of attorneys trained in 1977 to about 328. This year we will train over 600 attorneys compared to, as you remember earlier, 200 at initiation in just the basic advocacy courses of civil, criminal or appellate. The participation of litigating division attorneys will nearly double as they share equally in the courses with the Assistant United States Attorneys from around the country. And another thousand attorneys will participate in the forty or more advanced seminars which are usually at least two days and sometimes a full week.

Our goal which is now being realized is to allow

every attorney new to the department to attend either the criminal, civil or appellate advocacy courses promptly. In fact, these courses have become so popular that even experienced lawyers when changing specializations from criminal to civil or vice versa have asked to attend the appropriate advocacy course in the subject field in which they are entering.

Finally, in the full program we expect to have a substantial number of additional specialized seminars. Between, for example, just July and the end of November of this year, there will be twenty different events ranging from the new bankruptcy law to narcotics conspiracy cases as well as the regular menu of trial and appellate advocacy courses.

In the coming year, we plan to do even more. First, I would like to develop a program that includes some of the best litigators in the country from outside the department. We expect to ask some of the most experienced members of the private bar to come to the Advocacy Institute as senior fellows to assist in the teaching, the demonstrations and to work with us on even better new programs and materials.

Secondly, as part of President Carter's reorganization of the civil service, we have been able to gain special recognition for government litigators as well as managers.

As a part of the qualifications of the senior executive service which replaces the old GS system in the upper grades

CO., INC.

~ 22

or super grades, candidates will be given special training and opportunities for professional growth in a variety of litigation experiences under close supervision.

And finally in a somewhat related development,

President Carter has asked the Department of Justice for the

first time in a long time to provide the legal training for

lawyers in all departments of the Executive Branch of the

government in addition to our own lawyers. President Carter

is committed to providing the best possible legal service to

the public and supporting fully the Department of Justice's

efforts to do so.

I appreciate having the opportunity to share with you some of our efforts and our plans in training lawyers in the department. As you know well here in California, this is in an active and an exciting time in legal advocacy education. Better training for the department's lawyers is just one of the initiatives I hope to undertake as Attorney General.

A number of others, such as introducing management techniques to the department, designing efficient systems analyses, providing better informational flow procedures are also under way.

These programs share a characteristic: They all seek to enable the Department of Justice to serve the people of this country well and efficiently and to do equal justice and fair justice for all.

,

. .

2

Avenue, N.E.

I am delighted to be here in California. I was a little concerned about taking a swim for two reasons. I didn't bring a gas mask, and I don't swim well with one anyway.

(Laughter)

The weather back in Washington and Baltimore is sunny, as usual.

(Laughter)

The humidity was about less than ten percent.

(Laughter)

The temperature was about 75. You could see for fifty miles. So please, any of you who have any interest, you are welcome back in Washington or Baltimore and Philadelphia, if there are any difficulties out here with regard to climate.

I am particularly fond of California. I have been here many times, both in private practice and in my governmental capacity. But on no occasion, although this is a short visit, have I been welcomed more warmly, with greater grace and more affection, and I thank you all very much.

(Applause)

Me co., INC. MAvenue, N.E C. 20002