Dear [Redacted]

This letter sets forth the terms and conditions of an agreement between the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice and [Redacted] (as defined below), in connection with possible [Redacted] or other conduct violative of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, in the [Redacted]. This Agreement is conditional and depends upon satisfying the conditions set forth below. After all of these conditions are met, the Division will notify [Redacted] in writing that the application has been granted. It is further agreed that disclosures made by counsel for [Redacted] in furtherance of the amnesty application will not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the work-product privilege.

AGREEMENT

1. Representations: [Redacted] desires to report to the Antitrust Division possible [Redacted] or other conduct violative of the Sherman Act in the [Redacted] ("the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported"). [Redacted] represents to the Antitrust Division that, in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported, it:

   (a) took prompt and effective action to terminate its part in the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported upon discovery of the activity; and

   (b) did not coerce any other party to participate in the activity and was not the leader in, or the originator of, the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported.

2. Cooperation: [Redacted] agrees to provide full, continuing and complete cooperation to the Antitrust Division in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported, including, but not limited to, the following:

   (a) providing a full exposition of all facts known to [Redacted] relating to the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported;

   (b) providing promptly, and without requirement of subpoena, all documents or other items in its possession, custody or control, wherever located, requested by the Antitrust Division, to the extent not already produced;
(c) using its best efforts to secure the ongoing, full and truthful cooperation of the current and former directors, officers and employees of [redacted] (collectively “covered employees”), and encouraging such persons voluntarily to provide the Antitrust Division with any information they may have relevant to the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported;

(d) facilitating the ability of covered employees to appear for such interviews or testimony in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported as the Antitrust Division may require at the times and places designated by the Antitrust Division;

(e) using its best efforts to ensure that covered employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported respond completely, candidly and truthfully to all questions asked in interviews and grand jury appearances and at trial;

(f) using its best efforts to ensure that covered employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported make no attempt either falsely to protect or falsely to implicate any person or entity; and

(g) making all reasonable efforts, to the satisfaction of the Antitrust Division, to pay restitution to any person or entity injured as a result of the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported, in which [redacted] was a participant.

3. Corporate Leniency: Subject to verification of [redacted] representations in paragraph 1 above, and subject to its full, continuing and complete cooperation, as described in paragraph 2 above, the Antitrust Division agrees conditionally to accept [redacted] into Part A of the Corporate Leniency Program, as explained in an Antitrust Division policy statement dated August 10, 1993 (attached). Pursuant to that policy, the Antitrust Division agrees not to bring any criminal prosecution against [redacted] for any act or offense it may have committed in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported. The commitments in this paragraph do not apply to any acts or offenses occurring after [redacted]. The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon request of [redacted] the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. If the Antitrust Division at any time determines that [redacted] has violated this Agreement, this Agreement shall be void, and the Antitrust Division may revoke the conditional acceptance of [redacted] into the Corporate Leniency Program. Should the Antitrust Division revoke the conditional acceptance of [redacted] into the Corporate Leniency Program, the Antitrust Division may thereafter initiate a criminal prosecution against [redacted] in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported, without limitation. Should such a prosecution be initiated, any documentary or other information provided by [redacted] as well as any
statements or other information provided by any current or former director, officer or employee of [redacted] to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement, may be used against [redacted] in any such prosecution.

4. Non-Prosecution Protection For Corporate Directors, Officers And Employees:
Subject to [redacted], full, continuing and complete cooperation, the Antitrust Division agrees that covered employees who admit their knowledge of, or participation in, and fully and truthfully cooperate with the Antitrust Division in its investigation of the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported, shall not be prosecuted criminally by the Antitrust Division for any act or offense committed during their period of employment at [redacted] in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported. The commitments in this paragraph do not apply to any acts or offenses occurring after [redacted]. Such full and truthful cooperation shall include, but not be limited to:

(a) producing in the United States all documents and records, including personal documents and records, and other materials requested by attorneys and agents of the United States in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported;

(b) making himself or herself available for interviews in the United States upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported;

(c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information;

(d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any materials or information, not requested in (a) - (c) of this paragraph, that he or she may have relevant to the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported; and

(e) when called upon to do so by the United States, testifying in trial and grand jury or other proceedings in the United States, fully, truthfully and under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401-402) and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503), in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported.

The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon the request of [redacted] the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. In the event a covered employee fails to comply fully with his/her obligations hereunder, this Agreement as it pertains to such individual shall be
void, and any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted to such individual under this Agreement may be revoked by the Antitrust Division. Should any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted be revoked, the Antitrust Division may thereafter prosecute such person criminally in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported, and any statements or other information provided by such person to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement may be used against him/her in such prosecution.

5. **[Redacted]** acknowledges that it is separate investigations into conduct under, or other conduct violative of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 and related statutes, in the [Redacted] (as used in this paragraph, [Redacted] excludes conduct relating to the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported but does not exclude conduct relating to any other acts or practices not contrary to law) and that some current and former directors, officers, or employees are, or may become, subjects, targets, or defendants in those separate investigations. Nothing in this Agreement limits the United States from initiating a criminal prosecution against [Redacted] or any of its current or former directors, officers, or employees in connection with the conduct described in this paragraph. The status of [Redacted] or any of its current or former directors, officers, or employees as a subject, target, or defendant in the investigation does not abrogate or affect cooperation obligations under paragraph 2 above, including its obligation to use its best efforts to secure the ongoing, full, and truthful cooperation of covered employees, or the cooperation obligations of covered employees under paragraph 4 above. A failure of a covered employee to comply fully with his or her obligations described in paragraph 4 above includes, but is not limited to, regardless of any past or proposed cooperation, making himself or herself available in the United States for interviews and testimony in trials and grand jury or other proceedings upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported because he or she has been, or anticipates being, charged, indicted, or arrested in the United States for violations of federal antitrust and related statutes involving the [Redacted]. Such failure also includes, but is not limited to, not responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported because his or her responses may also relate to, or tend to incriminate him or her in, the [Redacted]. Failure to comply fully with his or her cooperation obligations further includes, but is not limited to, not producing in the United States all documents, including personal documents and records, and other materials requested by attorneys and agents of the United States in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported because those documents may also relate to, or tend to incriminate him or her in, the [Redacted]. The cooperation obligations of Paragraph 4 above do not apply to requests by attorneys and agents of the United States directed at [Redacted], if such requests are not, in whole or in part, made in connection with the Anticompetitive Activity Being Reported. The Antitrust Division may use any documents, statements, or other information provided by [Redacted] or by any of its current or former directors, officers, or employees to the Antitrust Division pursuant to
this Agreement against [*] or any of its current or former directors, officers, or employees, directly or indirectly, in any prosecution arising out of the [*].

6. [*] this Agreement shall continue to be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of [*]. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, any determination by the Antitrust Division that [*] has violated this Agreement subsequent to any [*] shall not operate to deprive [*] of the benefits and protections of this Agreement if [*] has not violated the Agreement and vice-versa.

7. Entire Agreement: This letter constitutes the entire agreement between the Antitrust Division and [*] and supersedes all prior understandings, if any, whether oral or written, relating to the subject matter herein.
8. Authority And Capacity: The Antitrust Division and [redacted] represent and warrant each to the other that the signatories to this Agreement on behalf of each party hereto have all the authority and capacity necessary to execute this Agreement and to bind the respective parties hereto.

The signatories below acknowledge acceptance of the foregoing terms and conditions.

Sincerely yours,
This letter sets forth the terms and conditions of an agreement between the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice and [redacted] in connection with possible [redacted] or other conduct violative of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, in the [redacted] satisfied the conditions set forth below. After all of these conditions are met, the Division will notify [redacted] in writing that the application has been granted. It is further agreed that disclosures made by counsel for [redacted] in furtherance of the amnesty application will not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the work-product privilege.

AGREEMENT

1. Representations: [redacted] desires to report to the Antitrust Division possible [redacted] or other conduct violative of the Sherman Act in the [redacted] ("the anticompetitive activity being reported"). [redacted] represents to the Antitrust Division that, in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, it:

   (a) took prompt and effective action to terminate its part in the anticompetitive activity being reported upon discovery of the activity; and

   (b) did not coerce any other party to participate in the activity and was not the leader in, or the originator of, the anticompetitive activity being reported.

2. Cooperation: [redacted] agrees to provide full, continuing and complete cooperation to the Antitrust Division in connection with the activity being reported, including, but not limited to, the following:

   (a) providing a full exposition of all facts known to [redacted] relating to the anticompetitive activity being reported;
(b) providing promptly, and without requirement of subpoena, all documents or other items in its possession, custody or control, wherever located, requested by the Antitrust Division, to the extent not already produced;

(c) using its best efforts to secure the ongoing, full and truthful cooperation of the current and former directors, officers and employees of [redacted] and encouraging such persons voluntarily to provide the Antitrust Division with any information they may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(d) facilitating the ability of current and former directors, officers and employees to appear for such interviews or testimony in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported as the Antitrust Division may require at the times and places designated by the Antitrust Division;

(e) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported respond completely, candidly and truthfully to all questions asked in interviews and grand jury appearances and at trial;

(f) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported make no attempt either falsely to protect or falsely to implicate any person or entity; and

(g) making all reasonable efforts, to the satisfaction of the Antitrust Division, to pay restitution to any person or entity injured as a result of the anticompetitive activity being reported in which [redacted] was a participant.

3. Corporate Leniency: Subject to verification of [redacted] representations in paragraph 1 above, and subject to its full, continuing and complete cooperation, as described in paragraph 2 above, the Antitrust Division agrees conditionally to accept [redacted] into Part B of the Corporate Leniency Program, as explained in an Antitrust Division policy statement dated August 10, 1993 (attached). Pursuant to that policy, the Antitrust Division agrees not to bring any criminal prosecution against [redacted] for any act or offense it may have committed prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon request of [redacted]
the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other
prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. If the Antitrust Division at any time determines
that [redacted] has violated this Agreement, this Agreement shall be void, and
the Antitrust Division may revoke the conditional acceptance of [redacted]
into the Corporate Leniency Program. Should the Antitrust Division revoke the conditional
acceptance of [redacted] into the Corporate Leniency Program, the Antitrust
Division may thereafter initiate a criminal prosecution against [redacted]
without limitation. Should such a prosecution be initiated, any documentary or other information
provided by [redacted], as well as any statements or other information
provided by any current or former director, officer or employee of [redacted]
to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement, may be used against
[redacted] in any such prosecution.

4. Non-Prosecution Protection For Corporate Directors, Officers And Employees:
Subject to [redacted] full, continuing and complete cooperation, the
Antitrust Division agrees that current and former directors, officers and employees of [redacted]
who admit their knowledge of, or participation in, and fully and truthfully cooperate with the Antitrust Division in its investigation of the anticompetitive activity being
reported, shall not be prosecuted criminally by the Antitrust Division for any act or offense
committed prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being
reported. Such full and truthful cooperation shall include, but not be limited to:

(a) producing in the United States all documents and records,
including personal documents and records, and other materials
requested by attorneys and agents of the United States;

(b) making himself or herself available for interviews in the United
States upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United
States;

(c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United
States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being
reported, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally
withholding any information;

(d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any
materials or information, not requested in (a)-(c) of this
paragraph, that he or she may have relevant to the
anticompetitive activity being reported; and

(e) when called upon to do so by the United States, testifying in
trial and grand jury or other proceedings in the United States,
fully truthfully and under oath, subject to the penalties of
perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making false statements or
declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. §
16231 and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503), in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported.

The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon the request of [redacted], the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. In the event a current or former director, officer or employee of [redacted] fails to comply fully with his/her obligations hereunder, this Agreement as it pertains to such individual shall be void, and any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted to such individual under this Agreement may be revoked by the Antitrust Division. Should any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted be revoked, the Antitrust Division may thereafter prosecute such person criminally, and any statements or other information provided by such person to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement may be used against him/her in such prosecution.

5. Entire Agreement: This letter constitutes the entire agreement between the Antitrust Division and [redacted] and supersedes all prior understandings, if any, whether oral or written, relating to the subject matter herein.

6. Authority And Capacity: The Antitrust Division and [redacted] represent and warrant each to the other that the signatories to this Agreement on behalf of each party hereto have all the authority and capacity necessary to execute this Agreement and to bind the respective parties hereto.

The signatories below acknowledge acceptance of the foregoing terms and conditions.

Sincerely yours,
Dear [Recipient],

This letter sets forth the terms and conditions of an agreement between the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice and [Recipient] in connection with possible conduct violative of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, or other conduct violative of Section 2 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2. This Agreement is conditional and depends upon satisfying the conditions set forth below. After all of these conditions are met, the Division will notify [Recipient] in writing that the application has been granted. It is further agreed that disclosures made by counsel for [Recipient] in furtherance of the amnesty application will not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the work-product privilege.

AGREEMENT

1. Representations: [Recipient] desires to report to the Antitrust Division possible conduct violative of the Sherman Act in the [specific activity] (“the anticompetitive activity being reported”), represents to the Antitrust Division that, in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, it:

   (a) took prompt and effective action to terminate its part in the anticompetitive activity being reported upon discovery of the activity; and

   (b) did not coerce any other party to participate in the activity and was not the leader in, or the originator of, the anticompetitive activity being reported.

2. Cooperation: [Recipient] agrees to provide full, continuing and complete cooperation to the Antitrust Division in connection with the activity being reported, including, but not limited to, the following:

   (a) providing a full exposition of all facts known to [Recipient] relating to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

   (b) providing promptly, and without requirement of subpoenas, all documents or other items in its possession, custody or control, wherever located, requested by the Antitrust Division, to the extent not already produced;
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(c) using its best efforts to secure the ongoing, full and truthful cooperation of the current and former directors, officers and employees of [redacted], and encouraging such persons voluntarily to provide the Antitrust Division with any information they may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(d) facilitating the ability of current and former directors, officers and employees to appear for such interviews or testimony in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported as the Antitrust Division may require at the times and places designated by the Antitrust Division;

(e) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported respond completely, candidly and truthfully to all questions asked in interviews and grand jury appearances and at trial;

(f) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported make no attempt either falsely to protect or falsely to implicate any person or entity; and

(g) making all reasonable efforts, to the satisfaction of the Antitrust Division, to pay restitution to any person or entity injured as a result of the anticompetitive activity being reported, in which [redacted] was a participant.

3. Corporate Leniency: Subject to verification of [redacted] representations in paragraph 1 above, and subject to its full, continuing and complete cooperation, as described in paragraph 2 above, the Antitrust Division agrees conditionally to accept [redacted] into Part B of the Corporate Leniency Program, as explained in an Antitrust Division policy statement dated August 10, 1993 (attached). Pursuant to that policy, the Antitrust Division agrees not to bring any criminal prosecution against [redacted] for any act or offense it may have committed prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon request of [redacted], the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. If the Antitrust Division at any time determines that [redacted] has violated this Agreement, this Agreement shall be void, and the Antitrust Division may revoke the conditional acceptance of [redacted] into the Corporate Leniency Program. Should the Antitrust Division revoke the conditional acceptance of [redacted] into the Corporate Leniency Program, the Antitrust Division may thereafter initiate a criminal prosecution against [redacted] without limitation. Should such a prosecution be initiated, any documentary or
other information provided by [redacted] as well as any statements or other information provided by any current or former director, officer or employee of [redacted] to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement, may be used against [redacted] in any such prosecution.

4. Non-Prosecution Protection For Corporate Directors, Officers And Employees:
Subject to [redacted] full, continuing and complete cooperation, the Antitrust Division agrees that current and former directors, officers and employees of [redacted] who admit their knowledge of, or participation in, and fully and truthfully cooperate with the Antitrust Division in its investigation of the anticompetitive activity being reported, shall not be prosecuted criminally by the Antitrust Division for any act or offense committed during their period of employment at [redacted] prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. Such full and truthful cooperation shall include, but not be limited to:

(a) producing in the United States all documents and records, including personal documents and records, and other materials requested by attorneys and agents of the United States;

(b) making himself or herself available for interviews in the United States upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States;

(c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information;

(d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any materials or information, not requested in (a) - (c) of this paragraph, that he or she may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported; and

(e) when called upon to do so by the United States, testifying in trial and grand jury or other proceedings in the United States, fully, truthfully and under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401-402) and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503), in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported.

The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon the request of [redacted] the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. In the event a current or former director, officer or employee of [redacted] fails to comply fully with his/her obligations hereunder, this Agreement as it pertains to such individual shall be void, and any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted to such individual under this Agreement may be revoked by the Antitrust Division. Should any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted be revoked, the Antitrust Division may thereafter
prosecute such person criminally, and any statements or other information provided by such person to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement may be used against him/her in such prosecution.

5. Entire Agreement: This letter constitutes the entire agreement between the Antitrust Division and [REDACTED] and supersedes all prior understandings, if any, whether oral or written, relating to the subject matter herein.

6. Authority And Capacity: The Antitrust Division and [REDACTED] represent and warrant each to the other that the signatories to this Agreement on behalf of each party hereto have all the authority and capacity necessary to execute this Agreement and to bind the respective parties hereto.

The signatories below acknowledge acceptance of the foregoing terms and conditions.

Sincerely yours,
This letter sets forth the terms and conditions of an agreement between the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice and [redacted] in connection with possible [redacted] or other conduct violative of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, in the [redacted].

This agreement is conditional and depends upon [redacted] satisfying the conditions set forth below. After all of these conditions are met, the Division will notify [redacted] in writing that the application has been granted. It is further agreed that disclosures made by counsel for [redacted] in furtherance of the amnesty application will not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the work-product privilege, except to the extent set forth in paragraph 2 (h) & (i) below.

AGREEMENT

1. Representations: [redacted] desires to report to the Antitrust Division possible [redacted] or other conduct violative of the Sherman Act in the [redacted] ("the anticompetitive activity being reported"). [redacted] represents to the Antitrust Division that, in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, it:

   (a) took prompt and effective action to terminate its part in the anticompetitive activity being reported upon discovery of the activity; and

   (b) did not coerce any other party to participate in the activity and was not the leader in, or the originator of, the anticompetitive activity being reported.

2. Cooperation: [redacted] agrees to provide full, continuing and complete cooperation to the Antitrust Division in connection with the activity being reported, including, but not limited to, the following:

   (a) providing a full exposition of all facts known to [redacted] relating to the anticompetitive activity being reported;
(b) providing promptly, and without requirement of subpoena, all documents or other items in its possession, custody or control, wherever located, requested by the Antitrust Division, to the extent not already produced;

(c) using its best efforts to secure the ongoing, full, and truthful cooperation of the current directors, officers and employees of [redacted] and encouraging such persons voluntarily to provide the Antitrust Division with any information they may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(d) facilitating the ability of current directors, officers and employees to appear for interviews or testimony in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported as the Antitrust Division may require at the times and places designated by the Antitrust Division;

(e) using its best efforts to ensure that current directors, officers and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported respond completely, candidly and truthfully to all questions asked in interviews, grand jury appearances, and at trial;

(f) using its best efforts to ensure that current directors, officers and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported make no attempt either falsely to protect or falsely to implicate any person or entity;

(g) making all reasonable efforts, to the satisfaction of the Antitrust Division, to pay restitution to any person or entity injured as a result of the anticompetitive activity being reported, in which [redacted] was a participant;

(h) waiving any attorney-client privilege and attorney work product privilege that may exist for contemporaneous legal advice or services that [redacted] may have received with regard to any communications or agreements between [redacted] and

(i) using its best efforts to ensure that any attorneys retained or employed by [redacted] to provide legal advice or services in connection with the communications or agreements described in paragraph 2(h) above, cooperate fully and provide all requested information to the Antitrust Division.

3. Corporate Leniency: Subject to verification of [redacted] representations in paragraph 1 above, and subject to its full, continuing and complete cooperation, as described in paragraph 2 above, the Antitrust Division agrees conditionally to accept [redacted] into Part A of the Corporate
Leniency Program, as explained in an Antitrust Division policy statement dated August 10, 1993 (attached). Pursuant to that policy, the Antitrust Division agrees not to bring any criminal prosecution against [redacted] for any act or offense it may have committed prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon request of [redacted], the Antitrust Division will bring to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. If [redacted] determines that [redacted] has violated this Agreement, this Agreement shall be void, and the Antitrust Division may revoke the conditional acceptance of [redacted] into the Corporate Leniency Program. Should the Antitrust Division revoke the conditional acceptance of [redacted] into the Corporate Leniency Program, the Antitrust Division may thereafter initiate a criminal prosecution against [redacted] without limitation. Should such a prosecution be initiated, any documentary or other information provided by [redacted] as well as any statements or other information provided by any current director, officer or employee of [redacted] to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement, may be used against [redacted] in any such prosecution.

4. Non-Prosecution Protection For Corporate Directors, Officers And Employees: Subject to [redacted] full, continuing and complete cooperation, the Antitrust Division agrees that current directors, officers and employees of [redacted] who admit their knowledge of, or participation in, and fully and truthfully cooperate with the Antitrust Division in its investigation of the anticompetitive activity being reported, shall not be prosecuted criminally by the Antitrust Division for any act or offense committed prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. Such full and truthful cooperation shall include, but not be limited to:

(a) producing in the United States all documents and records, including personal documents and records, and other materials requested by attorneys and agents of the United States;

(b) making himself or herself available for interviews in the United States upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States;

(c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information;

(d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any materials or information, not requested in (a) - (c) of this paragraph, that he or she may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported; and

(e) when called upon to do so by the United States, testifying in trial and grand jury or other proceedings in the United States, fully, truthfully, and under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621) and making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported.
The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon
the request of [redacted], the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other
prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. In the event a current director, officer or employee
of [redacted] fails to comply fully with his/her obligations hereunder, this Agreement as it pertains to
such individual shall be void, and any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted to such
individual under this Agreement may be revoked by the Antitrust Division. Should any leniency,
immunity or non-prosecution granted be revoked, the Antitrust Division may thereafter prosecute
such person criminally, and any statements or other information provided by such person to the
Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement may be used against him/her in such prosecution.

5. Entire Agreement: This letter constitutes the entire agreement between the Antitrust
Division and [redacted] and supersedes all prior understandings, if any, whether oral or written, relating
to the subject matter herein.

6. Authority And Capacity: The Antitrust Division and [redacted] represent and warrant each
to the other that the signatories to this Agreement on behalf of each party hereto have all the
authority and capacity necessary to execute this Agreement and to bind the respective parties hereto.

The signatories below acknowledge acceptance of the foregoing terms and conditions.

Sincerely yours,
This letter sets forth the terms and conditions of an agreement between the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice and [redacted] subsidiaries [redacted] in connection with possible [redacted] of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, in the [redacted] of [redacted].

This agreement is conditional and depends upon [redacted] satisfying the conditions set forth below. After all of these conditions are met, the Division will notify [redacted] in writing that the application has been granted. It is further agreed that disclosures made by counsel for [redacted] in furtherance of the amnesty application will not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the work-product privilege. Moreover, consistent with Division policy, the Division agrees that it will not disclose to [redacted] any information obtained from [redacted].

AGREEMENT

1. [redacted] desires to report to the Antitrust Division possible [redacted] of Section 1 of the Sherman Act in the [redacted] of [redacted] ("the anticompetitive activity being reported"). [redacted] represents to the Antitrust Division that, in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, it:

(a) took prompt and effective action to terminate its part in the anticompetitive activity being reported upon discovery of the activity; and
(b) did not coerce any other party to participate in the activity and was not the leader in, or the originator of, the anticompetitive activity being reported.

2. Cooperation: [REDACTED] agrees to provide full, continuing and complete cooperation to the Antitrust Division in connection with the activity being reported, including, but not limited to, the following:

(a) providing a full exposition of all facts known to [REDACTED] relating to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(b) providing promptly, and without requirement of subpoena, all documents or other items in its possession, custody or control, wherever located, requested by the Antitrust Division, to the extent not already produced;

(c) using its best efforts to secure the ongoing, full, and truthful cooperation of the current and former directors, officers and employees of [REDACTED] and encouraging such persons voluntarily to provide the Antitrust Division with any information they may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(d) facilitating the ability of current and former directors, officers and employees to appear for such interviews or testimony in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported as the Antitrust Division may require at the times and places designated by the Antitrust Division at [REDACTED] expense;

(e) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported respond completely, candidly and truthfully to all questions asked in interviews and grand jury appearances and at trial;

(f) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported make no attempt either falsely to protect or falsely to implicate any person or entity; and

(g) making all reasonable efforts, to the satisfaction of the Antitrust Division, to pay restitution to any person or entity injured as a result of the anticompetitive activity being reported, in which [REDACTED] was a participant.
3. Corporate Leniency: Subject to verification of representations in paragraph 1 above, and subject to its full, continuing and complete cooperation, as described in paragraph 2 above, the Antitrust Division agrees conditionally to accept the party into Part A of the Corporate Leniency Program, as explained in an Antitrust Division policy statement dated August 10, 1993 (attached). Pursuant to that policy, the Antitrust Division agrees not to bring any criminal prosecution against the party for any act or offense it may have committed prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon request of the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. If the Antitrust Division at any time determines that the party has violated this Agreement, this Agreement shall be void, and the Antitrust Division may revoke the conditional acceptance of the party into the Corporate Leniency Program. Should the Antitrust Division revoke the conditional acceptance of the party into the Corporate Leniency Program, the Antitrust Division may thereafter initiate a criminal prosecution against the party without limitation. Should such a prosecution be initiated, any documentary or other information provided by the party as well as any statements or other information provided by any current and former director, officer or employee of the party to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement, may be used against the party in any such prosecution.

4. Non-Prosecution Protection For Corporate Directors, Officers And Employees: Subject to the party’s full, continuing and complete cooperation, the Antitrust Division agrees that current and former directors, officers and employees of the party who admit their knowledge of, or participation in, and fully and truthfully cooperate with the Antitrust Division in its investigation of the anticompetitive activity being reported, shall not be prosecuted criminally by the Antitrust Division for any act or offense committed prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. Such full and truthful cooperation shall include, but not be limited to:

(a) producing in the United States all documents and records, including personal documents and records, and other materials requested by attorneys and agents of the United States;

(b) making himself or herself available for interviews in the United States upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States;

(c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information;

(d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any materials or information, not requested in (a) - (c) of this paragraph, that he
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or she may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported; and

(e) when called upon to do so by the United States, testifying in trial and grand jury or other proceedings in the United States, fully, truthfully, and under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621) and making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported.

The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon the request of the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. In the event a current or former director, officer or employee of fails to comply fully with his/her obligations hereunder, this Agreement as it pertains to such individual shall be void, and any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted to such individual under this Agreement may be revoked by the Antitrust Division. Should any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted be revoked, the Antitrust Division may thereafter prosecute such person criminally, and any statements or other information provided by such person to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement may be used against him/her in such prosecution.

5. Entire Agreement: This letter constitutes the entire agreement between the Antitrust Division and and supersedes all prior understandings, if any, whether oral or written, relating to the subject matter herein.

6. Authority And Capacity: The Antitrust Division and and represent and warrant each to the other that the signatories to this Agreement on behalf of each party hereto have all the authority and capacity necessary to execute this Agreement and to bind the respective parties hereto.
The signatories below acknowledge acceptance of the foregoing terms and conditions.

Sincerely yours,
U.S. Department of Justice

Antitrust Division

Dear Sirs:

This letter sets forth the terms and conditions of an agreement between the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice and

in connection with possible [redacted] of conduct violative of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, with respect to

This agreement is conditional and depends upon [redacted] of the conditions set forth below. After all of these conditions are met, the Division will notify [redacted] writing that the application has been granted. It is further agreed that disclosure made by counsel for... of the antitrust application will not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the work-product privilege.

AGREEMENT

1. [redacted] desires to report to the Antitrust Division possible [redacted] of conduct violative of the Sherman Act with respect to [redacted] ("the anticompetitive activity being reported").

2. [redacted] represents to the Antitrust Division that, in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, it

   (a) will promptly and effective action to terminate its part in the anticompetitive activity being reported upon discovery of the activity; and
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2. Cooperation agrees to provide full, continuing and complete cooperation to the Antitrust Division in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, including, but not limited to, the following:

(a) providing a full exposition of all facts known to it relating to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(b) providing promptly, and without requirement of subpoena, all documents or other items in its possession, custody, or control, wherever located, requested by the Antitrust Division, to the extent not already produced;

(c) using its best efforts to secure the ongoing, full and truthful cooperation of the directors, officers, and employees of and encouraging such persons voluntarily to provide the Antitrust Division with any information they may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(d) facilitating the ability of the directors, officers, and employees to appear for such interviews or testimony in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported as the Antitrust Division may require at the times and places designated by the Antitrust Division;

(e) using its best efforts to ensure that the directors, officers, and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported, respond completely, candidly, and truthfully to all questions asked in interviews and grand jury appearances and at trial;

(f) using its best efforts to ensure that the directors, officers, and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported make no attempt either falsely or falsely to implicate any person or entity; and

(g) making all reasonable efforts, to the satisfaction of the Antitrust Division, to pay restitution to any person or entity injured as a result of the anticompetitive activity being reported, in which it was a participant.

3. Corporate Leniency: Subject to verification of the representations in paragraph 2 above, and subject to its full, continuing and complete cooperation, as described in paragraph 2 above, the Antitrust Division agrees conditionally to accept it into Part II of the Corporate Leniency Program, as explained in an Antitrust Division policy statement dated August 10, 1993.
(attached). Pursuant to that policy, the Antitrust Division agrees not to bring any criminal prosecution against the corporate entity or any of its managers, officers, directors, or employees for any act or offense it may have committed prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. The commitments in this paragraph are binding upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon request of the Antitrust Division, this Agreement will be made available to the Antitrust Division and to the Antitrust Division’s counsel or administrative agencies. If the Antitrust Division at any time determines that the entity has violated this Agreement, this Agreement shall be void, and the Antitrust Division may revoke the conditional acceptance of the entity into the Corporate Leniency Program. Should the Antitrust Division revoke the conditional acceptance of the entity into the Corporate Leniency Program, the Antitrust Division may thereafter institute a criminal prosecution against the entity without limitation. Should such a prosecution be initiated, any documentary or other information, provided by the entity as well as any statements or other information provided by any director, officer, or employee of the entity to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement, may be used against the entity in any such prosecution.

4. Non-Prosecution Protection for Corporate Directors, Officers, and Employees: Subject to full, continuing, and complete cooperation, the Antitrust Division agrees that directors, officers, and employees of the entity who admit their knowledge of, or participation in, and fully and truthfully cooperate with the Antitrust Division in its investigation of the anticompetitive activity being reported, shall not be prosecuted criminally by the Antitrust Division for any act or offense committed during their period of employment at the entity prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. Such full and truthful cooperation shall include, but not be limited to:

(a) producing all documents and records, including personal documents and records, and other material requested by attorneys and agents of the United States;

(b) making themselves available for interviews in the United States upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States;

(c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information;

(d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any materials or information, not requested in (a)-(c) of this paragraph, that he or she may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported; and
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(c) When called upon to do so by the United States, testifying in trial and
grand jury or other proceedings in the United States, fully, truthfully, and
under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making
false statements of declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18
U.S.C. § 1623), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401-402) and obstruction of
justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503), in connection with the antitrust activities
being reported.

The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon
the request of the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other
prosecution offices or administrative agencies. In the event a director, officer or employee of
fails to comply with his/her obligations hereunder, this Agreement as it pertains to
such individual shall be void, and any testimonies, immunity or non-prosecution granted to such
individual under this Agreement may be revoked by the Antitrust Division. Should any license,
immunity or non-prosecution granted be revoked, the Antitrust Division may thereafter prosecute
such person criminally, and any statement or other information provided by such person to the
Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement may be used against him/her in such proceeding.

5. Entire Agreement: This letter constitutes the entire agreement between the Antitrust
Division and and supersedes all prior understandings, if any, whether oral or written,
relating to the subject matter hereof.

6. Authority And Capacity: The Antitrust Division and represent and warrant
each to the other that the signatories to this Agreement on behalf of such party hereto have all the
authority and capacity necessary to execute this Agreement and to bind the respective parties
hereinto.

The signatories below acknowledge acceptance of the foregoing terms and conditions.

Sincerely yours,
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Dear [Redacted],

This letter sets forth the terms and conditions of an agreement between the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice and [Redacted], a [Redacted], in connection with possible [Redacted] or other conduct violative of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, in the [Redacted]. This Agreement is conditional and depends upon [Redacted] satisfying the conditions set forth below. After all of these conditions are met, the Division will notify [Redacted] in writing that the application has been granted. It is further agreed that disclosures made by counsel for [Redacted] in furtherance of the leniency application will not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the work-product privilege.

AGREEMENT

1. Representatives [Redacted] desires to report to the Antitrust Division possible [Redacted] or other conduct violative of the Sherman Act in the [Redacted] ("the anticompetitive activity being reported"). [Redacted] represents to the Antitrust Division that, in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, if:

(a) took prompt and effective action to terminate its part in the anticompetitive activity being reported upon discovery of the activity; and

(b) did not coerce any other party to participate in the activity and was not the leader in, or the originator of, the anticompetitive activity being reported.

2. [Redacted], [Redacted] agrees to provide full, continuing and complete cooperation to the Antitrust Division in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, including, but not limited to, the following:

(a) providing a full exposition of all facts known to [Redacted] relating to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(b) providing promptly, and without requirement of subpoena, all documents or other items in its possession, custody, or control, wherever located,
requested by the Antitrust Division in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, to the extent not already produced;

(c) using its best efforts to secure the ongoing, full, and truthful cooperation of the current and former directors, officers, and employees of

and encouraging such persons voluntarily to provide the Antitrust Division with any information they may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(d) facilitating the ability of current and former directors, officers, and employees to appear for such interviews or testimony in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported as the Antitrust Division may require at the times and places designated by the Antitrust Division;

(e) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers, and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported respond completely, candidly, and truthfully to all questions asked in interviews and grand jury appearances and at trial;

(f) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers, and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported make no attempt either falsely to protect or falsely to implicate any person or entity; and

(g) making all reasonable efforts, to the satisfaction of the Antitrust Division, to pay restitution to any person or entity injured as a result of the anticompetitive activity being reported, in which

3. Corporate Leniency: Subject to verification of representations in paragraph 1 above, and subject to its full, continuing, and complete cooperation, as described in paragraph 2 above, the Antitrust Division agrees conditionally to accept the information into Part A of the Corporate Leniency Program, as explained in an Antitrust Division policy statement dated August 10, 1993 (attached). Pursuant to that policy, the Antitrust Division agrees not to bring any criminal prosecution against for any act or offense it may have committed prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon request of the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. If the Antitrust Division at any time determines that

has violated this Agreement, this Agreement shall be void, and the Antitrust Division may revoke the conditional acceptance of

into the Corporate Leniency Program. Should the Antitrust Division revoke the conditional acceptance of
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the Corporate Leniency Program, the Antitrust Division may thereafter initiate a criminal prosecution against [REDACTED] in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, without limitation. Should such a prosecution be initiated, any documentary or other information provided by [REDACTED] as well as any statements or other information provided by any current or former director, officer, or employee of [REDACTED] to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement, may be used against [REDACTED] in any such prosecution.

4. Non-Prosecution Protection For Corporate Directors, Officers, And Employees: Subject to the full, continuing, and complete cooperation, the Antitrust Division agrees that current and former directors, officers and employees of [REDACTED] who admit their knowledge of, or participation in, and fully and truthfully cooperate with the Antitrust Division in its investigation of the anticompetitive activity being reported, shall not be prosecuted criminally by the Antitrust Division for any act or offense committed during their period of employment at [REDACTED] prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. Such full and truthful cooperation shall include, but not be limited to:

(a) producing in the United States all documents and records, including personal documents and records, and other materials requested by attorneys and agents of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(b) making himself or herself available for interviews in the United States upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information;

(d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any materials or information, not requested in (a) - (c) of this paragraph, that he or she may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported; and

(e) when called upon to do so by the United States, testifying in trial and grand jury or other proceedings in the United States, fully, truthfully, and under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401–402), and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503), in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported.

The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon the request of [REDACTED] the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of

-3-
other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. In the event a current or former director, officer or employee of [redacted] fails to comply fully with his/her obligations hereunder, this Agreement as it pertains to such individual shall be void, and any immunity, immunity, or non-prosecution granted to such individual under this Agreement may be revoked by the Antitrust Division. Should any immunity, immunity, or non-prosecution granted be revoked, the Antitrust Division may thereafter prosecute such person criminally in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, and any statements or other information provided by such person to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement may be used against him/her in such prosecution.

5. [redacted] acknowledges that it is a separate investigation into [redacted] for conduct violating Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1, and related statutes, in that some of the current and former directors, officers, or employees are, or may become subjects, targets, or defendants in that separate investigation. Nothing in this Agreement limits the United States from instituting a criminal prosecution against [redacted] or any of its current or former directors, officers, or employees in connection with The status of [redacted] or any of its current or former directors, officers, or employees as a subject, target, or defendant in the [] does not preclude or affect [redacted] cooperation obligations under paragraph 2 above, including its obligation to use its best efforts to secure the ongoing, full, and truthful cooperation of covered employees, or the cooperation obligations of covered employees under paragraph 4 above. A failure of a covered employee to comply fully with his or her obligations described in paragraph 4 above includes, but is not limited to, regardless of any past or proposed cooperation, not making himself or herself available in the United States for interviews and testimony in trials and grand jury or other proceedings upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported because he or she has been, or anticipates being, charged, indicted, or convicted in the United States for violations of federal antitrust and related statutes involving. Such failure also includes, but is not limited to, not responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported because his or her responses may also relate to, or tend to incriminate him or her in, failure to comply fully with his or her cooperation obligations further includes, but is not limited to, not producing in the United States all documents, including personal documents and records, and other materials requested by attorneys and agents of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported because those documents may also relate to, or lead to incriminate him or her in, the cooperation obligations of Paragraph 4 above do not apply to requests by attorneys and agents of the United States directed as if such requests are not, in whole or in part, made in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. The Antitrust Division may use any documents, statements, or other information provided by [redacted] or by any of its current or former directors, officers, or employees to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement against [redacted] or any of its current or former directors, officers, or
employees, directly or indirectly, in any prosecution arising out of [REDACTED]

6. Entire Agreement: This letter constitutes the entire agreement between the Antitrust Division and [REDACTED] and supersedes all prior understandings, if any, whether oral or written, relating to the subject matter herein.

7. Authority And Capacity: The Antitrust Division and [REDACTED] represent and warrant each to the other that the signatories to this Agreement on behalf of each party hereto have all the authority and capacity necessary to execute this Agreement and to bind the respective parties hereto.

The signatories below acknowledge acceptance of the foregoing terms and conditions.
Dear Sirs:

This letter sets forth the terms and conditions of an agreement between the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice and the following:

[Redacted]

This agreement is conditional and depends upon satisfying the conditions set forth below. After all of these conditions are met, the Division will notify [redacted] in writing that the application has been granted. It is further agreed that disclosures made by [redacted] (including counsel) in furtherance of the amnesty application will not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the work-product privilege. It is understood that the amnesty application process began [redacted].

AGREEMENT

1. Representations: [Redacted] desires to report to the Antitrust Division possible [redacted] or other conduct violative of the Sherman Act in the [redacted] ("the anticompetitive activity being reported"). [Redacted] represents to the Antitrust Division that, in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, it:

   (a) took prompt and effective action to terminate its part in the anticompetitive activity being reported upon discovery of the activity; and

   (b) did not coerce any other party to participate in the activity and was not the leader in, or the originator of, the anticompetitive activity being reported.
2. Cooperation: [Redacted] agrees to provide full, continuing and complete cooperation to the Antitrust Division in connection with the activity being reported, including, but not limited to, the following:

(a) providing a full exposition of all facts known to [Redacted] relating to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(b) providing promptly, and without requirement of subpoena, all documents or other items in its possession, custody or control, wherever located, requested by the Antitrust Division, to the extent not already produced;

(c) using its best efforts to secure the ongoing, full and truthful cooperation of the current and former directors, officers and employees of [Redacted] and encouraging such persons voluntarily to provide the Antitrust Division with any information they may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(d) facilitating the ability of current and former directors, officers and employees to appear for such interviews or testimony in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported as the Antitrust Division may require at the times and places designated by the Antitrust Division;

(e) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported respond completely, candidly and truthfully to all questions asked in interviews and grand jury appearances and at trial;

(f) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers and employees who provide information to the Antitrust Division relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported make no attempt either falsely to protect or falsely to implicate any person or entity; and

(g) making all reasonable efforts, to the satisfaction of the Antitrust Division, to pay restitution to any person or entity injured as a result of the anticompetitive activity being reported, in which [Redacted] was a participant.
3. Corporate Leniency: Subject to verification of representations in paragraph 1 above, and subject to its full, continuing and complete cooperation, as described in paragraph 2 above, the Antitrust Division agrees conditionally to accept [redacted] into Part B of the Corporate Leniency Program, as explained in an Antitrust Division policy statement dated August 10, 1993 (attached). Pursuant to that policy, the Antitrust Division agrees not to bring any criminal prosecution against [redacted] for any act or offense it may have committed prior to [redacted] in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon request of [redacted] the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. If the Antitrust Division at any time determines that [redacted] has violated this Agreement, this Agreement shall be void, and the Antitrust Division may revoke the conditional acceptance of [redacted] into the Corporate Leniency Program. Should the Antitrust Division revoke the conditional acceptance of [redacted] into the Corporate Leniency Program, the Antitrust Division may thereafter initiate a criminal prosecution against [redacted] without limitation. Should such a prosecution be initiated, any documentary or other information provided by [redacted] as well as any statements or other information provided by any current or former director, officer or employee of [redacted] to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement, may be used against [redacted] in any such prosecution.

4. Non-Prosecution Protection For Corporate Directors, Officers And Employees: Subject to full, continuing and complete cooperation, the Antitrust Division agrees that current and former directors, officers and employees of [redacted] who admit their knowledge of, or participation in, and fully and truthfully cooperate with the Antitrust Division in its investigation of the anticompetitive activity being reported, shall not be prosecuted criminally by the Antitrust Division for any act or offense committed during their period of employment at [redacted] prior to [redacted] in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. Such full and truthful cooperation shall include, but not be limited to:

(a) producing in the United States all documents and records, including personal documents and records, and other materials requested by attorneys and agents of the United States;

(b) making himself or herself available for interviews in the United States upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States;
(c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information;

(d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any materials or information, not requested in (a) - (c) of this paragraph, that he or she may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported; and

(e) when called upon to do so by the United States, testifying in trial and grand jury or other proceedings in the United States, fully, truthfully and under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623) and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503), in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported.

The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon the request of [redacted], the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. In the event a current or former director, officer or employee of [redacted] fails to comply fully with his/her obligations hereunder, this Agreement as it pertains to such individual shall be void, and any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted to such individual during the amnesty application process or under this Agreement may be revoked by the Antitrust Division. Should any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted be revoked, the Antitrust Division may thereafter prosecute such person criminally, and any statements or other information provided by such person to the Antitrust Division during the amnesty application process or pursuant to this Agreement may be used against him/her in any such prosecution.

5. Entire Agreement: This letter constitutes the entire agreement between the Antitrust Division and [redacted] and supersedes all prior understandings, if any, whether oral or written, relating to the subject matter herein, including the letter of [redacted] to [redacted] and [redacted] dated [redacted].

6. Authority And Capacity: The Antitrust Division and [redacted] represent and warrant each to the other that the signatories to this Agreement on
behalf of each party hereto have all the authority and capacity necessary to execute this Agreement and to bind the respective parties hereto.

The signatories below acknowledge acceptance of the foregoing terms and conditions.

Sincerely yours,
This letter sets forth the terms and conditions of an agreement between the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice and [name redacted] in connection with possible [name redacted] or other conduct violative of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, in the [name redacted]. This agreement is conditional and depends upon [name redacted] satisfying the conditions set forth below. After all of these conditions are met, the division will notify [name redacted] in writing that the application has been granted. It is further agreed that disclosures made by counsel for [name redacted] in furtherance of the amnesty application will not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the work-product privilege.

AGREEMENT

1. [name redacted] desires to report to the Antitrust Division possible [name redacted] or other conduct violative of the Sherman Act in the [name redacted] ("the anticompetitive activity being reported"). [name redacted] represents to the Antitrust Division that, in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, it:

   (a) took prompt and effective action to terminate its part in the anticompetitive activity being reported upon discovery of the activity; and

   (b) did not coerce any other party to participate in the anticompetitive activity being reported and was not the leader in, or originator of, the anticompetitive activity being reported.

2. [name redacted], a subsidiary of [name redacted] ("subsidiary"), agrees to provide full, continuing and complete
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cooperation to the Antitrust Division in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, including, but not limited to, the following:

(a) providing a full exposition of all facts known to the subsidiary relating to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(b) providing promptly, and without requirement of a subpoena, all documents not privileged under U.S. law or other items in the possession, custody, or control of the subsidiary requested by the Antitrust Division, to the extent not already produced;

(c) securing the complete, candid, and truthful cooperation of the subsidiary, which shall include, but not be limited to:

(i) the voluntary provision to the Antitrust Division by the subsidiary of any information that may have relevance to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(ii) the appearance of the subsidiary for such interviews or testimony relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported as the Antitrust Division may require at times and places designated by the Antitrust Division; and

(iii) the provision of testimony by the subsidiary when requested by the Antitrust Division, in grand jury, trial, or other proceedings in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(d) using its best efforts to secure the complete, candid, and truthful cooperation of the current and former directors, officers, and employees of the subsidiary and encouraging such persons voluntarily to provide the Antitrust Division with any information relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported;

(e) facilitating the ability of current and former directors, officers, and employees of the subsidiary to appear for such interviews or testimony relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported as the Antitrust Division may require at the times and places designated by the Antitrust Division;

(f) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers, and employees of the subsidiary, who provide information to the Antitrust Division respond completely,
candidly, and truthfully to all questions asked in interviews, grand jury appearances, and at trial;

(g) using its best efforts to ensure that current and former directors, officers, and employees of [redacted] subsidiary, who provide information to the Antitrust Division make no attempt either falsely to protect or falsely to implicate any person or entity; and

(h) making all reasonable efforts, to the satisfaction of the Antitrust Division, to pay restitution to any person or entity injured as a result of the anticompetitive activity being reported, in which [redacted] subsidiary, was a participant.

3. Corporate Leniency: Subject to verification of representations in paragraph 1 above, and subject to its full, continuing and complete cooperation, as described in paragraph 2 above, the Antitrust Division agrees to accept [redacted] into Part A of the Corporate Leniency Program, as explained in an Antitrust Division policy statement dated August 10, 1993 (attached). Pursuant to that policy, the Antitrust Division agrees not to bring any criminal prosecution against [redacted] subsidiary, for any act or offense they may have committed prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon request of [redacted] the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. If the Antitrust Division at any time determines that [redacted] subsidiary has violated this Agreement, this Agreement shall be void, and the Antitrust Division may revoke the conditional acceptance of [redacted] into the Corporate Leniency Program. Should the Antitrust Division revoke the conditional acceptance of [redacted] into the Corporate Leniency Program, the Antitrust Division may thereafter initiate a criminal prosecution against [redacted] subsidiary, without limitation. Should such a prosecution be initiated, any documentary or other information provided by [redacted] subsidiary, as well as any statements or other information provided by any current or former director, officer, or employee of [redacted] subsidiary, to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement, may be used against [redacted] subsidiary in any such prosecution.

4. Non-Prosecution Protection for Corporate Directors, Officers, and Employees: Subject to [redacted] subsidiary’s, continuing and complete cooperation, the Antitrust Division agrees that current and former directors, officers, and employees of [redacted] subsidiary, who admit their knowledge of, or participation in, and fully and truthfully cooperate with the Antitrust Division in its investigation of the anticompetitive activity being reported, shall not be prosecuted criminally by the Antitrust Division for any act or offense committed during their period of employment at [redacted] subsidiary, prior to the date of this letter in
connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. Such full and truthful cooperation shall include, but not be limited to:

(a) making his relevant personal documents and records available in the United States to attorneys and agents of the United States;

(b) making himself available in the United States to attorneys and agents of the United States for interviews;

(c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information;

(d) otherwise giving the United States access to knowledge or information he may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported; and

(e) when called upon to do so by the United States, testifying in trial, grand jury, or other proceedings in the United States, fully, truthfully, and under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621) and making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported.

The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division, although, upon the request of the Antitrust Division the Antitrust Division will bring this Agreement to the attention of other prosecuting offices or administrative agencies. In the event a current or former director, officer, or employee of any subsidiary, fails to comply fully with his/her obligations hereunder, this Agreement as it pertains to such individual shall be void, and any leniency, immunity or non-prosecution granted to such individual under this Agreement may be revoked by the Antitrust Division. Should any leniency, immunity, or non-prosecution granted be revoked, the Antitrust Division may thereafter prosecute such person criminally, and any statements or other information provided by such person to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement may be used against him/her in such prosecution.

5. Entire Agreement: This letter constitutes the entire agreement between the Antitrust Division and [redacted] and supersedes all prior understandings, if any, whether oral or written, relating to the subject matter herein.
6. Authority and Capacity: The Antitrust Division and represent and warrant each to the other that the signatories to this Agreement on behalf of each party hereto have all the authority and capacity necessary to execute this Agreement and to bind the respective parties hereto.
The signatories below acknowledge acceptance of the foregoing terms and conditions.

Sincerely yours,

Attachment
This letter sets forth the terms and conditions of an agreement between the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice and [“Applicant”] in connection with possible [ ] or other conduct violative of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, in the [ ] This Agreement is conditional and depends upon Applicant satisfying the conditions set forth below. After all of these conditions are met, the Division will notify Applicant in writing that the application has been granted. It is further agreed that disclosures made by counsel for Applicant in furtherance of the amnesty application will not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the work-product privilege.

AGREEMENT

1. Representations: Applicant desires to report to the Antitrust Division possible [ ] or other conduct violative of the Sherman Act in the [ ] ("the anticompetitive activity being reported"). Applicant represents to the Antitrust Division that, in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, [ ] did not coerce any other party to participate in the activity and was not the leader in, or the originator of, the activity.

2. Cooperation: Applicant agrees to provide full, continuing and complete cooperation to the Antitrust Division in connection with the activity being reported, including, but not limited to, the following:

(a) producing in the United States all documents and records, including personal documents and records, and other materials requested by attorneys and agents of the United States;

(b) making [ ] available for interviews in the United States upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States;

(c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported, without
falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information;

(d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any materials or information, not requested in (a) - (c) of this paragraph, that may have relevant to the anticompetitive activity being reported; and

(e) when called upon to do so by the United States, testifying in trial and grand jury or other proceedings in the United States, fully, truthfully and under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401-402) and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503), in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported.

3. Individual Leniency: Subject to verification of Applicant’s representations in paragraph 1 above, and subject to Applicant’s full, continuing and complete cooperation, as described in paragraph 2 above, the Antitrust Division agrees conditionally to accept Applicant into the Individual Leniency Program, as explained in an Antitrust Division policy statement dated August 10, 1994 (attached). Pursuant to that policy, the Antitrust Division agrees not to bring any criminal prosecution against Applicant for any act or offense prior to the date of this letter in connection with the anticompetitive activity being reported. If the Antitrust Division at any time determines that Applicant has violated this Agreement, this Agreement shall be void, and the Antitrust Division may revoke the conditional acceptance of Applicant into the Individual Leniency Program. Should the Antitrust Division revoke the conditional acceptance of Applicant into the Individual Leniency Program, the Antitrust Division may thereafter initiate a criminal prosecution against Applicant, without limitation. Should such a prosecution be initiated, any documentary information, statements or other information provided by Applicant to the Antitrust Division pursuant to this Agreement may be used against Applicant in any such prosecution.

4. Entire Agreement: This letter constitutes the entire agreement between the Antitrust Division and Applicant, and supersedes all prior understandings, if any, whether oral or written, relating to the subject matter herein. The commitments in this paragraph are binding only upon the Antitrust Division.
The signatories below acknowledge acceptance of the foregoing terms and conditions.

Sincerely,