

From: [Broos Campbell](#) [bro[REDACTED]]
To: [Read, John](#) [John.Read@ATR.USDOJ.gov]
Subject: United States v. Apple, Inc., et al., 12-cv-2826 (DLC) (SDNY)
Date: Monday, June 04, 2012 6:21:46 PM

John R. Read, Esq.
Chief, Litigation III
Antitrust Division, United States Department of Justice
450 5th Street, NW, Suite 4000
Washington, D.C. 20530

john.read@usdoj.gov

Dear John R. Read, Esq.:

This is regarding United States v. Apple, Inc., et al., 12-cv-2826 (DLC) (SDNY). Comments on Proposed Final Judgment as to Defendants Hachette, HarperCollins and Simon & Schuster.

I believe Amazon's business practices are predatory and are aimed at entrenching a de facto monopoly on e-book sales. I also believe if Amazon is allowed to continue its business practices, book and mortar bookstores may well cease to exist. Amazon's business practices have already had a significant negative impact on the marketplace, and should be curbed.

I write both as an eager consumer of new books, which I find primarily by browsing at bookstores—which will be denied me if Amazon is allowed to prevail—and as an author. Amazon removed all mention of the e-books sold my publisher, McBooks Press of Ithaca, N.Y., until McBooks agreed to acquiesce to Amazon's pricing policy. The notion that Amazon is the victim here is bizarre at best.

Sincerely,

Broos Campbell

No Quarter
The War of Knives
Peter Wicked

brd[REDACTED]
<http://www.broos-campbell.com> (portfolio)
<http://www.brooscampbell.com> (fiction)