

# Economics of Conditional Pricing Practices: A Marketing Perspective

Kusum L. Ailawadi

Charles Jordan 1911 TU'12 Professor of Marketing

Tuck School of Business

Dartmouth College

*FTC/DOJ Workshop on Conditional Pricing Practices*

*June 23, 2014*

# Conditional Promotions: Landscape

- Forward Buying, Scan-backs, Scam-backs
- Distribution of promotion pass-through rates, Cross-subsidization
- Limited ability to track and compute promotional costs and profit
- Light bulbs, Spices, Eye glasses, Athletic accessories
- Philip Morris, Cisco, Carrier, Arrow, NetApp

# Conditional Promotions: Goals

- Influence Channel Price (both high and low)
- Influence Channel “Effort”:
  - Shelf Space, Merchandising
  - Investment in Training, VA Services, Logistics
  - Demand Generation, Focus on Specific Customer Types and/or Product Lines
- Prevent Free-riding (other channel members and other suppliers)
- Match Supply and Demand
- Keep Smaller Dealers Competitive
- Build Economies of Scale and Scope (for both channel partners)
- Leverage Brand Equity
- Provide “Variety” to Consumers
- Match/Beat Competition
  - Work out a cooperative and sustainable agreement with channel  
(even more so in BtoB)

# Conditional Promotions: Costs

- Discount/Payment Amount
- Forward Buying
- Diverting
- Monitoring
- Supply Chain
- Forecasting
- Organizational Confusion (promotional cost accounting)

# Types of Funds

- Off-invoice discounts
- Customer-specific discounts
- Bill-backs, Scan-backs
- EOY or EOQ Rebates
- Cooperative Advertising Allowances
- Slotting Allowances
- Swell Allowances
- Market Development Funds
- Training, Certification, Sales Materials
- Manpower & Systems Support

# Types of Conditions

- RPM, MAP
- (Share of) Features, Displays, Shelf Space
- (Share of) Certified Personnel
- Evidence of sale/quote at desired price, for desired service, or to desired customer
- Purchase/Sales volume or growth
- Share of Purchases/Sales
- Bundled Purchases
- Brand Development Index

# Implications

- Promotional programs are not easy to design and implement
  - Struggle to balance goals against costs
  - Fine-tuned over time
  - Sometimes so complicated, parties lose track of rationale
- Many different types of funding and many non-funding costs
  - Difficult to assess pricing above or below cost
  - Distinction between all unit discounts and other variants not always clear
- Consumers value variety more than brands in some categories
- Suppliers can get “loyalty” without a market share discount
  - (Share of) features/displays/merchandising
  - (Share of) skills
  - (Share of) specific customer segments

# Empirical References

- Ailawadi, Kusum and Bari Harlam (2009), “Retailer Promotion Pass-Through: A Measure, Its Magnitude, and Its Determinants,” *Marketing Science*, Vol. 28, No. 4, 782-791.
- Allen, Brandt, Paul Farris, et al. (2011), “Vendor Incentives: Out of the Shadows and Into the Sunlight,” *CPA Journal*, June, p. 6-11.
- Drèze, Xavier and David Bell (2003) Creating Win-Win Trade Promotions: Theory and Empirical Analysis of Scan-Back Trade Deals, *Marketing Science*, Vol. 22 (Winter),16-39.
- Kalyanam, Kirthi, and Surinder Brar (2009). ‘From Volume to Value: Managing the Value-Add Reseller Channel at Cisco,” *California Management Review*, Vol. 52 (Fall), 94-119.
- Narayandas, Das (2007), “Arrow Electronics,” *Harvard Business School Publishing*, #598022-PDF-ENG.
- Narayandas, Das , and Liz Kind (2010), “NetApp,” *Harvard Business School Publishing*, #N9-511-058.
- Nijs, Vincent, Kanishka Misra, Eric T. Anderson, Karsten Hansen, and Lakshman Krishnamurthi (2010), “Channel Pass-Through of Trade Promotions,” *Marketing Science*, Vol. 29, no. 2 (Mar-Apr), 250-267.
- Rangan, V. Kasturi (2005), “Cisco Systems: Managing the Go-to-Market Evolution,” *Harvard Business School Publishing*, #505006-HCB-ENG.