1 CAROL C. LAM United States Attorney 2 ERIC J. BESTE Assistant U.S. Attorney California State Bar No. 226089 STEVEN E. STONE Assistant U.S. Attorney California State Bar. No. 186533 Federal Office Building 880 Front Street, Room 6293 San Diego, California 92101-8893 Telephone: (619) 557-5104 7

FLED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSHUA R. HOCHBERG Chief, Fraud Section MARK F. MENDELSOHN Acting Deputy Chief, Fraud Section United States Department of Justice Criminal Division 10th & Constitution Ave. NW (Bond 4000) Washington, D.C. 20530 11 1 Telephone: (202) 514-7023 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff

United States of America 13 H

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

Case No.

PLEA AGREEMENT

05CR 0314-BEN

v.

TITAN CORPORATION,

Defendant.

22

23

24

25

26

28 l

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

IT IS HEREBY AGREED between the plaintiff, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, through its counsel, Carol C. Lam, United States Attorney, and Eric J. Beste, Assistant United States Attorney, and Steven E. Stone, Assistant United States Attorney, and Joshua R. Hochberg, Chief, Fraud Section, U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal Division, and Mark F. Mendelsohn, Acting Deputy Chief,

Fraud Section, U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal Division, and defendant, TITAN CORPORATION, with the advice and consent of Roger M. Witten and Martin J. Weinstein, counsel for defendant, as follows:

I

THE PLEA

Defendant agrees to waive Indictment and plead guilty to an Information charging defendant with:

Count 1: Making use of interstate and foreign instrumentalities corruptly in furtherance of unlawful payments to a foreign official for the purpose of influencing his acts and decisions to assist TITAN CORPORATION in obtaining and retaining business, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-1;

Count 2: Falsifying the books and records of TITAN CORPORATION in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78m(b)(2)(A) and 78m(b)(5); and

Count 3: Wilfully aiding and assisting in the preparation or presentation of a false or fraudulent tax return for TITAN CORPORATION in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).

Defendant agrees that this Plea Agreement will be executed by an authorized corporate representative and counsel, and that the certifications contained at Exhibits 1 and 2 of this Plea Agreement will be executed prior to the filing of this Plea Agreement with the Court. Defendant further agrees that a Resolution duly adopted by the defendant's Board of Directors, in

2324252627

28

19

20

21

22

the form attached to this Plea Agreement as Exhibit 3, or in a substantially similar form, represents that the signatures on this Plea Agreement by TITAN CORPORATION and its counsel are authorized by the Board of Directors of TITAN CORPORATION.

The Government agrees not to initiate any additional criminal charges against the defendant or its subsidiaries or affiliates under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA"), under any other federal criminal statutes that are the basis for an alternative charge to the FCPA (including 18 U.S.C. sections 2, 3, 4, 371, 1341, 1343, 1952, 1956 and 1957), or under any criminal provisions of Title 26 of the United States Code, for the conduct charged in the Information and set forth in the Factual Basis in section II.B herein or any other foreign transactions or events disclosed in writing (including in documents produced) by or on behalf of the defendant to the United States Department of Justice on or before the date of this Plea Agreement, except for any crimes of violence which may have been committed by the defendant. This Plea Agreement applies to the defendant only and does not prevent the United States Department of Justice from investigating or prosecuting any other individuals or entities.

Nothing in this Plea Agreement shields the defendant from prosecution for perjury, the giving of a false statement to a federal agent, or obstruction of justice in the event that it commits such an offense after the date of this Plea Agreement. Should the defendant commit perjury, give a false statement to a federal agent, or obstruct an investigation, then the United States will be free to prosecute TITAN CORPORATION for that

3

4

5 6

8 9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 offense and will be free to withdraw from this Plea Agreement or be relieved of its obligations, if any, under this Plea Agreement.

II

NATURE OF THE OFFENSES

ELEMENTS EXPLAINED Α.

Defendant understands that the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty have the following elements:

Count 1: Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (15 U.S.C. § 78dd-1)

- That the defendant acted corruptly; 1.
- 2. That the defendant made use of the mails or any means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce in furtherance of an unlawful act under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act;
- That the defendant offered, paid, promised to 3. pay, or authorized the payment of money or anything of value;
- That the payment was to a person knowing that such money would be offered, given, promised, directly or indirectly, to foreign public official;
- 5. That the payment was to influence any act or decision of the foreign public official, to induce the foreign public official to do or omit to do any act in violation of his lawful duty, to induce the foreign public official to use his or its influence with a foreign government or instrumentality thereof to affect or influence any act or decision of

- such government or instrumentality; or to obtain any improper advantage; and
- 6. That the payment was made to assist the defendant in obtaining or retaining business for or with, or directing business to, any person.

Count 2: Falsifying Books & Records (15 U.S.C. §§ 78m(b)(2)(A) and 78m(b)(5))

- That the defendant was an "issuer" under the federal securities laws and therefore required to make and keep books, records, and accounts which, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflected the transactions and disposition of its assets;
- That the defendant knowingly falsified its books, records, and accounts; and
- 3. That the defendant acted willfully.

Count 3: Aid or Assist in Filing of False Return (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))

- That the defendant willfully aided, assisted, procured or advised in the preparation of an income tax return that was false; and
- 2. That the return was false as to any material matter that is, a matter that was necessary to a determination of whether income tax was owed.

26 ///

25

27 ///

28 ///

_

24 <u>B</u>

B. <u>ELEMENTS UNDERSTOOD AND ADMITTED - FACTUAL BASIS</u>

Defendant has fully discussed the facts of this case with defense counsel. Defendant has committed each of the elements of the crime, and admits that there is a factual basis for this guilty plea. The following facts are true and undisputed:

- 1. Defendant TITAN CORPORATION maintained and continues to maintain its headquarters and principal place of business in San Diego, California. TITAN CORPORATION and its subsidiaries, including Titan Wireless, Inc., Titan Africa, Inc., and Titan Africa, S.A. (hereinafter collectively referred to as "TITAN"), were engaged in, among other things, the business of developing and constructing wireless telephone systems for, among others, certain developing nations.
- 2. TITAN CORPORATION was an "issuer" of securities within the meaning of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, and, as such, was subject to the provisions of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78dd-1 and 78m(b).
- 3. Titan Wireless, Titan Africa, Inc., and Titan Africa, S.A., although separately incorporated, (a) shared employees, officers, and personnel with TITAN CORPORATION; and (b) undertook the acts set forth herein and alleged in the Information with the authorization and subject to the control of TITAN CORPORATION.

TITAN ACTIVITIES IN BENIN

Background

4. In 1998, TITAN embarked on a project to develop a telephone system in the African nation of the Republic of Benin and to generate revenue from operating the system for a number of years. TITAN generally understood that this project, and related

10 11

12 13

14

16

17

22 |

23

24

26

27

contracts and legal agreements, were subject to governmental approval in Benin, including approval by the Council of Ministers, which included the President of Benin.

- In November 1998, certain TITAN personnel, including a TITAN CORPORATION officer, went to Benin and discussed their proposal with the Benin Minister of Telecommunications and the Director General of the Postal and Telecommunications Office of the Republic of Benin ("OPT"), an office under the Benin Ministry of Telecommunications. During this visit, the TITAN personnel and a consultant were introduced to a Beninese national ("The Benin Agent") and told that he had access to the President of Benin.
- On or about July 28, 1999, with the consent of the OPT, TITAN acquired from an African company named Afronetwork, Ltd. all of Afronetwork's rights and obligations under various prior agreements with the OPT to develop and operate a wireless telephone system in Benin.
- On or about July 28, 1999, the same Afronetwork's assignment of its rights to TITAN, TITAN entered into a Consulting Agreement with the Benin Agent making him TITAN's agent in Benin. Under the Consulting Agreement, the Benin Agent purportedly was to assist TITAN in marketing, to identify potential business, and to advise TITAN on financing requirements TITAN did not conduct any formal due diligence in Benin. regarding the Benin Agent's background, qualifications, other employment, or relationships with foreign government officials before or after engaging him.
- Prior to engaging the Benin Agent and no later than April 1999 - TITAN employees were aware that the Benin Agent was

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 |

26 |

28

the "Head of State's business advisor." In fact, at all relevant times, the Benin Agent was an advisor to the President of Benin. While working with the Benin Agent, at least one officer of a TITAN subsidiary believed that the Benin Agent traveled on a diplomatic Benin passport.

- 9. The Consulting Agreement stated that the Benin Agent would be paid a percentage of the price of equipment installed. On August 3, 1999, before virtually any equipment was installed, and only six days after signing the consulting agreement, the Benin Agent submitted an invoice to TITAN for \$399,919, which invoice detailed extensive services purportedly performed by the Benin Agent and various sub-agents and consultants.
- 10. One week later, on August 10, 1999, with written approval from a then-senior TITAN CORPORATION officer, TITAN CORPORATION paid the Benin Agent's invoice by sending a wire transfer in the amount of \$400,000 from a TITAN bank account in San Diego, California, to a bank account in Contonou, Benin held in the name of a relative of the Benin Agent. TITAN made the payment without any evidence that the purported services actually were performed or expenses actually incurred by the Benin Agent.
- On August 17, 1999, TITAN entered an agreement with the OPT, known as the "BCT Contract," under which TITAN would build a wireless telephone network that would be transferred to the Benin government after TITAN was paid in full for equipment and services provided by TITAN. Under the BCT contract, the OPT had to obtain sites for telecommunications facilities, to secure authorization for use of specific frequencies, and to assist in

- 12. On November 18, 1999, TITAN assigned its rights under the BCT Contract to Titan Africa, Inc.
- 13. For the BCT Contract, the parties established a supervisory group known as the BCT Steering Committee, which was comprised of several senior officers of TITAN CORPORATION and its subsidiaries, the Benin Agent, and the Director General of the OPT. The Steering Committee met either in the United States or in Paris, France, approximately every three months between February 2000 and March 2001.

Payments to Benin Presidential Campaign

- 14. Afronetwork's 1996 agreement to build a telecommunications network in Benin obligated Afronetwork (and TITAN upon assignment of the contract) to pay "part of its profits as subsidies for development" of certain "sectors" in Benin, such as health, education, and agriculture. TITAN was to determine the practical methods of carrying out these subsidies in consultation with the Benin cabinet departments responsible for those sectors. A then-officer of TITAN CORPORATION and certain TITAN employees were aware that these subsidies, which they referred to as "social payments," were required under the agreement assigned to TITAN.
- 15. On or around December 19-20, 2000, at a BCT Steering Committee meeting in Paris, France, the Benin Agent and the Director General of the OPT demanded that TITAN accelerate the "social payments" and insisted that they be paid before the next election in March 2001. Under the terms of the 1996 agreement, the social payments were not yet due, nor had there been any

coordination or consultation with Benin cabinet departments, as required under the 1996 agreement.

- 16. In or about December 2000, the BCT Steering Committee, including a then-senior officer and employees of TITAN, agreed to pay to the Benin Agent some \$2 million in expedited "social payments." This payment was to be made in exchange for, and contingent upon, the agreement of the OPT that TITAN's management fee under the BCT Contract be increased from 5% to 20% of the value of the equipment that TITAN provided under the contract.
- 17. In or about December 2000, TITAN had reason to believe that the accelerated "social payments" demanded by the Benin Agent and the Director General of OPT would not be used for the purposes identified in the BCT Contract. Nevertheless, a then-senior officer of TITAN caused the requested payments to be made to the Benin Agent, caused the payments to be made incrementally (rather than in one lump sum), and caused the payments to be supported by false invoices from the Benin Agent.
- 18. In late January 2001, the Benin Agent submitted two invoices totaling \$2,381,551. Neither invoice mentioned "social payments" or "subsidies" but instead falsely identified the purpose of the payments as customs exoneration and other services. Neither invoice reflected the true purpose of the requested payments to provide funds for the benefit of the Benin President's re-election campaign.
- 19. Between January 2001 and May 2001, TITAN made seven payments to the Benin Agent totaling approximately \$2.1 million, during which period TITAN knew that the "social payments" in fact would be used to support the Benin President's re-election effort.

22 II

- 20. At the direction of a then-senior TITAN CORPORATION officer, on or around March 6, 2001 and April 10, 2001, TITAN wired two payments of \$500,000 each to the Benin Agent's offshore account in the Principality of Monaco from a TITAN bank account in San Diego, California.
- 21. TITAN made the remaining five payments, totaling approximately \$1.1 million, to the Benin Agent in cash in Benin. This was accomplished by the issuance of checks, drawn on a bank account of Titan Africa, S.A., made payable to employees of either Titan Africa, Inc., or Titan Africa S.A. TITAN issued these checks knowing that most of the cash proceeds from these checks would be given to the Benin Agent to support the re-election of the President of Benin, in the following approximate amounts (in U.S. dollars) and on the following dates:
 - A. \$400,000 on or around January 24, 2001;
 - B. \$500,000 on or around February 2, 2001;
 - C. \$107,500 on or around March 6, 2001;
 - D. \$107,500 on or around March 7, 2001; and
 - E. \$70,000 on or around May 29, 2001 (which funds were drawn from petty cash rather than by check).
- 22. At least a portion of the "social payments" that TITAN made through the Benin Agent were funneled to the re-election efforts of the Benin President. For example, these funds were used to purchase T-shirts bearing a picture of the President of Benin and instructing Beninese citizens to vote for him. Those T-Shirts with voting instructions were distributed to the electorate just prior to the Benin presidential election.

- 7
- 10 11
- 12
- 13 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18 19 l
- 20 l
- 21 22 |
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27

- 23. The use of most of the purported "social payments" to support the re-election of the Benin President was known by thenemployees of TITAN prior to the completion of the payments. Additionally, in November 2001, an officer of Titan Africa, Inc., stated in an "aide memoire" that the approximately \$2 million in "social payments" had been made to purchase T-shirts and related items.
- In or about March 2001, TITAN demanded that the OPT approve an increase of TITAN's management fee under the BCT Contract as a condition to its continuing to make "social payments."
- 25. On March 25, 2001, the incumbent President of Benin was announced as the winner of the Benin presidential election.
- On or around March 29, 2001, a then-senior officer of TITAN CORPORATION and employees of TITAN CORPORATION and its subsidiaries met with the Benin Agent and representatives of the OPT in Paris, France at a BCT Steering Committee meeting. During the meeting, the Director General of the OPT reaffirmed that TITAN's management fee for operating the wireless telephone system in Benin would be increased from 5% to 20%.
- 27. On or around March 29, 2001, the Director General of OPT signed a letter to a then-senior TITAN CORPORATION officer increasing TITAN's project management fees from 5% to 20%. Thereafter, as detailed above, TITAN made two additional "social payments" to the Benin Agent totaling \$570,000.
- On or around June 25, 2001, TITAN and the OPT signed an agreement that, among other things, falsely stated that TITAN had made "substantial contributions to social programs in Benin" when

in fact most of such payments had been to assist in the reelection campaign of Benin's President. The agreement also confirmed the retroactive increase in TITAN's management fee from 5% to 20%.

- 29. On January 23, 2003, TITAN submitted a Request for Arbitration under the BCT Contract and claimed that the entire 20% management fee was worth "not less than \$9,100,000." Based on this claim, the increase in TITAN's management fee from 5% to 20% was worth approximately \$6,825,000.
- 30. Beginning in 2001, TITAN CORPORATION falsely characterized the payments to the Benin Agent as "social payments" under the BCT Contract and, despite knowing that most of the payments to the Benin Agent would be and were used to support the re-election of the President of Benin, maintained those false books through at least January 1, 2004.

TITAN'S INTERNAL CONTROLS

FCPA Compliance

5

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 |

20

21

22

23 l

24

25 II

26

28

31. In its 23 years of existence prior to 2004, TITAN has never had a FCPA compliance program or procedures. TITAN's only related "policy" is a statement in TITAN CORPORATION's Code of Ethics, which all TITAN employees were required to sign annually, stating "employees must be fully familiar with and strictly adhere to such provisions as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act that prohibit payments or gifts to foreign government officials for the purpose of influencing official government acts or assistance in obtaining business." TITAN did not enforce that policy nor did it provide its employees with any information concerning the FCPA or its purposes.

 32. TITAN never conducted any FCPA compliance training. Moreover, although Titan Wireless employees were required to sign the TITAN CORPORATION Code of Ethics when hired, employees of wholly-owned subsidiaries Titan Africa, Inc., and Titan Africa, S.A., were not so required.

33. From 1999 to February 2004, TITAN did not maintain any due diligence files on its foreign agents. Prior to making any of the millions of dollars of payments to the Benin Agent, TITAN failed to perform adequate due diligence on the Benin Agent. In fact, there is no evidence that TITAN conducted any due diligence prior to or after retaining foreign agents and consultants.

Internal Controls in Benin

- 34. TITAN had knowledge of a serious lack of internal controls in certain of its African subsidiaries. Such notice came from, among other things:
 - A. A Management Letter from TITAN's external auditor for fiscal year 2000 stated that there was a "need to establish standard policies and procedures to be followed by the entities reporting to Titan Wireless;"
 - B. Written allegations sent to certain TITAN officers in 2001 and 2002 claiming that a Titan Wireless employee in Benin had forged invoices and bills and paid bribes in Benin; and
 - C. Written notification in 2002 from the external auditor of Titan Africa, S.A., that it was unable to issue an opinion on the financial statements for either of fiscal years 2000 and 2001 because it was unable to substantiate payments made by Titan Africa, S.A.,

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21 22

25

23

26

27 28 citing \$1.8 million in "missing cash" and highlighting the lack of internal controls within Titan Africa, S.A.

D. A draft "process review" report on "Titan Africa" issued by TITAN CORPORATION's external auditor on or about August 29, 2001, which stated that "there is no accounting system set up in the company," that the system used to compute accounting data reliable," and that there were risks at "Titan Africa" such as "intentional mistake: loss of cash," "fraud," and "loss of data."

TITAN failed to properly investigate these warnings, corrective action, or report these issues to TITAN CORPORATION's audit committee.

Additional Internal Controls and Books & Records Issues

- In 2001, TITAN CORPORATION acquired Datron Systems Inc. ("Datron") and thereafter operated it as a subsidiary. CORPORATION did not perform any FCPA due diligence on Datron's foreign agents prior to or subsequent to the acquisition.
- 36. Prior to its acquisition by TITAN CORPORATION, Datron did have a written FCPA policy, which required that all payments to its foreign agents be made in the name of the recipient and generally should be made in-country. The Datron FCPA policy also required Datron to compile and keep a diligence file on each of its foreign agents. Datron and its employees, both before and after its acquisition by TITAN CORPORATION, ignored this policy.

Improper Deduction of Payments to the Benin Agent

The United States Internal Revenue Code ("the Code") typically allows taxpayers to deduct from income all ordinary and 1 necessary expenses incurred in the operation of any trade or business. However, the Code specifically prohibits taxpayers from deducting any direct or indirect payment made to an official or employee of any government, or of any agency or instrumentality of any government, if the payment constitutes an illegal bribe or kickback or is unlawful under the FCPA. 26 U.S.C. § 162(c).

5

7

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 l

38. As described more fully above, TITAN recorded on its books and records approximately \$2.1 million in improper payments made to the Benin Agent, and falsely characterized these payments as customs exonerations and other apparently legitimate business expenses. Because of this improper characterization, the \$2.1 million in "social payments" were recorded on the books and records of Titan Wireless as an account receivable entitled "Reimbursable Operating Expenses." In or about July 2002, the amount of the total accounts receivable related to the BCT Contract stood at approximately \$50 million, a portion of which was the remaining balance of the "Reimbursable Operating Expenses."

39. In or about July 2002, in conjunction with TITAN CORPORATION's decision exit of to all its worldwide telecommunications business, TITAN CORPORATION agreed to settle its outstanding accounts receivable with the OPT of Benin for approximately \$30 million. Also during 2002, TITAN CORPORATION wrote-off the remaining accounts receivable related to the BCT Contract valued at approximately \$20 million, a portion of which contained the remaining balance of the "Reimbursable Operating Expenses." This bad debt expense write-off included some portion of the Benin payments made by TITAN CORPORATION in violation of

the FCPA. TITAN CORPORATION deducted on the company's tax returns the entire \$20 million write-off of accounts receivable related to the BCT Contract, including the remaining balance of the "Reimbursable Operating Expenses."

40. In or about September 2003, TITAN CORPORATION willfully caused to be filed with the U.S. Internal Revenue Service a consolidated Form 1120, U.S. Corporate Income Tax Return, for tax year 2002, that included on Line 15, Bad Debts, the amount of \$76,214,512.00, knowing that it included a portion of the improper Benin payments which could not be claimed as a deduction on TITAN CORPORATION's income tax return.

III

PENALTIES

Defendant understands that the crimes to which defendant is pleading guilty carry the following penalties:

Count 1: Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (15 U.S.C. § 78dd-1)

- A. a maximum fine of the greater of \$2,000,000 (15 U.S.C. § 78ff(c)(1)(A)) or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss suffered by a person other than the defendant as a result of the offense (18 U.S.C. § 3571);
- B. a maximum term of probation of up to five years (18 U.S.C. § 3561(a), (c)); and
- C. a mandatory special assessment of \$400 per count (18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(B)).

<u>Count 2: False Books & Records (15 U.S.C. §§ 78m(b)(2)(A) and 78m(b)(5))</u>

- A. a maximum fine of the greater of \$25,000,000 (15 U.S.C. § 78ff(a)) or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss suffered by a person other than the defendant as a result of the offense (18 U.S.C. § 3571);
- B. a maximum term of probation of up to five years (18 U.S.C. § 3561(a), (c)); and
- C. a mandatory special assessment of \$400 per count (18 U.S.C. § 3013 (a)(2)(B)).

Count 3: Aid or Assist in Filing of False Return (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))

- A. a maximum fine of \$500,000 and the costs of prosecution (26 U.S.C. § 7206);
- B. a maximum term of probation of up to five years (18 U.S.C. § 3561(a),(c)); and
- C. a mandatory special assessment of \$400 per count (18 U.S.C. § 3013 (a)(2)(B)).

IV

DEFENDANT'S WAIVER OF TRIAL RIGHTS

Defendant understands that this guilty plea waives the right to:

- A. continue to plead not guilty and require the Government to prove the elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt;
- B. a speedy and public trial by jury;
- C. the assistance of counsel at all stages of trial;

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

18

20

22

23

26 1//

27

28

confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses; D.

present evidence and to have witnesses testify on E. behalf of defendant; and

not testify or have any adverse inferences drawn from the failure to testify, to the extent authorized by law.

DEFENDANT ACKNOWLEDGES NO PRETRIAL RIGHT TO BE PROVIDED WITH IMPEACHMENT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE INFORMATION

The Government represents that any information establishing the factual innocence of defendant known to the undersigned prosecutor in this case has been turned over to defendant. Government will continue to provide such information establishing the factual innocence of defendant.

Defendant understands that if this case proceeded to trial, the Government would be required to provide impeachment information relating to any informants or other witnesses. addition, if defendant raised an affirmative defense, Government would be required to provide information in its possession that supports such a defense. Defendant acknowledges, however, that by pleading guilty defendant will not be provided this information, if any, and defendant also waives the right to this information. Finally, defendant agrees not to attempt to 24 withdraw the guilty plea or to file a collateral attack based on 25 the existence of this information.

111

_

Τſ

DEFENDANT'S REPRESENTATION THAT GUILTY PLEA IS KNOWING AND VOLUNTARY

Defendant represents that:

- A. Defendant has had a full opportunity to discuss all the facts and circumstances of this case with defense counsel, and has a clear understanding of the charges and the consequences of this plea;
- B. No one has made any promises or offered any rewards in return for this guilty plea, other than those contained in this Plea Agreement or otherwise disclosed to the court;
- C. No one has threatened defendant to induce this guilty plea; and
- D. Defendant is pleading guilty because in truth and in fact defendant is guilty and for no other reason.

VII

AGREEMENT LIMITED TO U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, THE FRAUD SECTION, AND THE TAX DIVISION

This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of California; the Fraud Section of the United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division; and the Criminal Enforcement Sections of the United States Department of Justice, Tax Division. This Plea Agreement cannot bind any other federal, state, or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authorities, although the Government will bring this Plea Agreement to the attention of other authorities if requested by defendant.

VIII

APPLICABILITY OF SENTENCING GUIDELINES

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 I

27

28

Defendant understands the sentence imposed will be based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). understands further that in imposing the sentence, the sentencing judge must consult the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("Guidelines") and take them into account. Defendant has discussed the Guidelines with defense counsel and understands that the Guidelines are only advisory, not mandatory, and the court may impose a sentence more severe or less severe than otherwise applicable under the Guidelines, up to the maximum in the statute of conviction. Defendant understands further that the sentence cannot be determined until a presentence report has been prepared by the U.S. Probation Office and defense counsel and the Government have had an opportunity to review and challenge the presentence report. Nothing in this Plea Agreement shall be construed as limiting the Government's duty to provide complete and accurate facts to the district court and the U.S. Probation Office.

IX

SENTENCE IS WITHIN SOLE DISCRETION OF JUDGE

This Plea Agreement is made pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(B). Defendant understands that the sentence is within the sole discretion of the sentencing judge. The Government has not made and will not make any representation as to what sentence defendant will receive. Defendant understands that the sentencing judge may impose the maximum sentence provided by statute, and is also aware that any estimate of the probable

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

sentence by defense counsel is a prediction, not a promise, and is not binding on the Court. Likewise, the recommendation made by the Government is not binding on the Court, and it is uncertain at this time what defendant's sentence will be. Defendant also has been advised and understands that if the sentencing judge does not follow any of the parties' sentencing recommendations, defendant nevertheless has no right to withdraw the plea.

Х

PARTIES' SENTENCING RECOMMENDATIONS

SENTENCING GUIDELINE CALCULATIONS Α.

Although the parties understand that the Guidelines are only advisory and just one of the factors the court will consider under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) in imposing a sentence, the parties will jointly recommend the following Base Offense Level and Adjustments under the Guidelines effective as of November 1, 2002:

Calculation of Offense Level:

Count 1: Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (15

18 19

20

21

22

Base Offense Level (U.S.S.G. § 2C1.1(a)):

10

Benefit received or to be received of more than \$2,500,000 but less than \$7,000,000 (U.S.S.G. §§ 2C1.1(b)(2)(A), 2B1.1(b)(1)(J))

+18

TOTAL OFFENSE LEVEL:

28

23 ll 111

24 111

25 II 111

26 | 111

///

28 | 111

1	b. <u>Count 2: False Books & Records (15 U.S.C.</u> <u>§§ 78m(b)(2)(A) and 78m(b)(5))</u>					
2	Base Offense Level (U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(a)(2)): 6					
3	Loss of more than \$2,500,000 but less than \$7,000,000 (U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(b)(1)(J)) +18					
5	TOTAL OFFENSE LEVEL: 24					
6	c. <u>Count 3: Aid or Assist in Filing of False</u>					
7	Return (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))					
8	Base Offense Level (U.S.S.G. § 2T1.4(a)(2)):					
9	TOTAL OFFENSE LEVEL: 6					
10	d. <u>Application of Multiple Count Grouping Rules</u>					
11	Because the offense levels of all three counts are largely					
12	determined based on the total amount of harm or loss, the counts					
13	are grouped together under U.S.S.G. § 3D1.2(d). Accordingly,					
14	pursuant to U.S.S.G. 3D1.3(b), the TOTAL OFFENSE LEVEL is 28.					
15	There are no additional levels to be added under U.S.S.G. § 3D1.4.					
16	2. <u>Calculation of Culpability Score</u> :					
17	Base Score (U.S.S.G. § 8C2.5(a)) 5					
18	Involvement in or tolerance of criminal activity					
19	in an organization of 5,000 or more employees and an individual within high level personnel of the organization participated in, condoned, or was					
20	willfully ignorant of the offense (U.S.S.G. § 8C.2.5(b)(1)(A))					
21	Self-reporting, cooperation, acceptance					
22	of responsibility (U.S.S.G. § 8C2.5(g)(1))					
23	TOTAL CULPABILITY SCORE: 5					
24	3. Calculation of Fine Range:					
25						
26	Base Fine Based on Pecuniary Gain to Defendant from Offense (U.S.S.G. § 8C2.4(a)(2)): \$6,825,000					
27						
28	Multipliers (U.S.S.G. § 8C2.6): 1.00 / 2.00					
	Fine Range (U.S.S.G. § 8C2.7): \$6,825,000-\$13,650,000					

B. <u>ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 l

24

25

26

27

28 l

Notwithstanding paragraph A above, the Government will <u>not</u> recommend any adjustment for <u>Self Reporting</u>, <u>Cooperation</u>, <u>Acceptance of Responsibility</u> if defendant:

- Fails to admit a complete factual basis for the plea at the time it is entered, or
- 2. Denies involvement in the offense, gives conflicting statements about that involvement, or is untruthful with the Government, the court or probation officer, or
- 3. Fails to appear in court, or
- 4. Engages in additional criminal conduct, or
- 5. Attempts to withdraw the plea, or
- 6. Refuses to abide by any lawful court order.

C. NO OTHER ADJUSTMENTS ARE RECOMMENDED

The parties agree not to recommend any upward or downward adjustments other than those listed above.

D. NO DEPARTURES ARE RECOMMENDED

The parties agree not to recommend any upward or downward departures.

E. <u>"FACTUAL BASIS" AND "RELEVANT CONDUCT" INFORMATION</u>

Defendant agrees that the facts in the "factual basis" paragraph of this Plea Agreement are true, and may be considered as "relevant conduct" under U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3 and as the nature and circumstances of the offense under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1).

F. SPECIAL ASSESSMENT/FINE

Special Assessment. The parties will jointly recommend that defendant pay a special assessment in the amount of \$1200 to be

paid forthwith at time of sentencing. The special assessment shall be paid through the office of the Clerk of the District Court by bank or cashier's check or money order made payable to the "Clerk, United States District Court."

Fine. The parties will jointly recommend that defendant pay a fine in the amount of \$13,000,000 to be paid forthwith at the time of sentencing. This fine is within the recommended Guidelines ranges set forth in paragraph A above. The parties believe this fine appropriately recognizes the defendant's conduct and cooperation. The fine shall be paid through the Office of the Clerk of the District Court by bank or cashier's check or money order made payable to the "Clerk, United States District Court."

G. ORGANIZATIONAL PROBATION

The parties agree that organizational probation is appropriate in this case and shall include, as a condition of probation, the implementation of a compliance program as set forth below. The parties recommend a term of probation of 3 years.

H. COMMUNITY SERVICE

The parties agree that community service need not be ordered in this case.

I. FORFEITURE

3

5

12

13

14

15

16 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The parties agree that forfeiture need not be ordered in this case.

XI

COOPERATION & REMEDIATION

A. <u>COOPERATION</u>

Defendant agrees to cooperate fully with the Fraud Section and the United States Attorney's Office and, as directed by the

Fraud Section and the United States Attorney's Office, with any other federal, state, or local or foreign law enforcement agency. This cooperation requires defendant to:

- Provide full disclosure of all information known to defendant or its outside counsel as of the date of this Plea Agreement of foreign payments and the accounting thereof;
- 2. Produce voluntarily all documents, records, or other tangible evidence relating to such payments about which the Fraud Section or the United States Attorney's Office, or their designee, inquires;
- 3. Recommend orally and in writing that all TITAN CORPORATION officers, directors, employees, agents, and consultants cooperate fully with any investigation or prosecution conducted by the Fraud Section or the United States Attorney's Office relating to such payments, including appearing for interviews and testimony in the United States;
- 4. Provide access to copies of original documents and records relating to such payments;
- 5. Provide access to defendant's outside accounting consultants as well as the records, reports, and documents of those outside accounting consultants relating to such payments disclosed to the Fraud Section or the United States Attorney's Office as of the date of this Plea Agreement; and

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

6. Provide all memoranda of interviews compiled and prepared by TITAN CORPORATION's counsel, outside counsel, consultants, accountants or other agents of interviews with individuals relating to such payments disclosed to the Fraud Section or the United States Attorney's Office as of the date of this Plea Agreement.

B. REMEDIATION

Defendant TITAN CORPORATION agrees to implement and maintain a compliance and ethics program that includes, at a minimum, the basic components set forth in Exhibit 4, which are hereby incorporated herein. TITAN CORPORATION's program must be designed to detect and deter violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and other anti-bribery statutes, both domestic and foreign, and to ensure that its books, records, and accounts, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of its assets, and that it has a system of internal accounting controls sufficient to provide reasonable assurances that (i) transactions are executed in accordance with management's general or specific authorization, (ii) transactions are recorded as necessary (I) to permit preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or any other criteria applicable to such statements, and (II) to maintain accountability for assets, (iii) access to assets is permitted only in accordance with management's general or specific authorization, and (iv) the recorded accountability for assets is compared with the existing assets at reasonable intervals and appropriate action is taken with respect to any differences.

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

TITAN CORPORATION agrees to file a correct and accurate amended U.S. Corporate Tax Return for the 2002 tax year. the course of preparing the amended return, TITAN CORPORATION discovers other amounts that were improperly deducted, and it correctly accounts for such deductions on its amended return, the Government will not initiate criminal charges against TITAN CORPORATION for those amounts. TITAN CORPORATION further agrees to cooperate fully with any future Internal Revenue Service audit.

XII

DEFENDANT WAIVES APPEAL AND COLLATERAL ATTACK

In exchange for the Government's concessions in this Plea Agreement, defendant waives, to the full extent of the law, any right to appeal or to collaterally attack the conviction and sentence. If defendant believes the Government's recommendation is not in accord with this Plea Agreement, defendant will object at the time of sentencing; otherwise the objection will be deemed waived.

IIIX

BREACH OF THE PLEA AGREEMENT WILL PERMIT THE GOVERNMENT TO RECOMMEND A HIGHER SENTENCE OR SET ASIDE THE PLEA

This Plea Agreement is based on the understanding that, prior to defendant's sentencing in this case, defendant has not committed any offense not known to the Government prior to defendant's sentencing. This Plea Agreement is further based on the understanding that defendant will commit no additional criminal conduct before sentencing. If defendant has engaged in or engages in additional criminal conduct during this period, or breaches any of the terms of any agreement with the Government,

the Government will not be bound by the recommendations in this Plea Agreement, and may recommend any lawful sentence. addition, at its option, the Government may move to set aside the 4 plea. 5 VIX 6 ENTIRE AGREEMENT This Plea Agreement embodies the entire Plea Agreement between the parties and supersedes any other Plea Agreement, written or oral. 10 XV MODIFICATION OF PLEA AGREEMENT MUST BE IN WRITING 11 No modification of this Plea Agreement shall be effective 12 unless in writing signed by all parties. 13 XVI 14 15 DEFENDANT AND COUNSEL FULLY UNDERSTAND PLEA AGREEMENT 16 By signing this Plea Agreement, defendant certifies that 17 defendant has read it. Defendant has discussed the terms of this Plea Agreement with defense counsel and fully understands its

meaning and effect.

18

19 II

23 ///

24 111

25 111

26 1///

27 111

28 ///

IIVX 1 DEFENDANT SATISFIED WITH COUNSEL 2 Defendant has consulted with counsel and is satisfied with 3 counsel's representation. 5 CAROL C. LAM United States Attorney 6 ERIC J. BESTE 8 Assistant U.S. Attorney STEVEN E. STONE 9 Assistant U.S. Attorney 10 JOSHUA R. HOCHBERG 11 Chief, Fraud Section U.S. Department of Justice 12 Criminal Division 13 14 15 Acting Deputy Chief 16 17 18 DATED ROGÉR M. WITTEN MARTIN J. WEINSTEIN 19 Attorneys for Defendant TITAN CORPORATION 20 21 IN ADDITION TO THE FOREGOING PROVISIONS TO WHICH TITAN CORPORATION AGREES, I SWEAR UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY ON BEHALF OF TITAN CORPORATION THAT THE FACTS IN THE "FACTUAL BASIS" PARAGRAPH ABOVE 23 ARE TRUE. 24 25 26 DAVID W. DANJCZEK Vice President

Def. Initials/W

TITAN CORPORATION

Defendant

27

EXHIBIT 1

REPRESENTATIVE'S CERTIFICATE

I have read this Plea Agreement and carefully reviewed every part of it with counsel for TITAN CORPORATION. I understand the terms of this Plea Agreement and voluntarily agree, on behalf of TITAN CORPORATION, to each of the terms. Before signing this Plea Agreement, I consulted with the attorneys for TITAN CORPORATION. The attorneys fully advised me of TITAN CORPORATION's rights, of possible defenses, of the Sentencing Guidelines' provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this Plea Agreement. No promises or inducements have been made to TITAN CORPORATION other than those contained in this Plea Agreement. Furthermore, no one has threatened or forced me, or to my knowledge any person authorizing this Plea Agreement on behalf of TITAN CORPORATION, in any way to enter into this Plea Agreement. TITAN CORPORATION is also satisfied with counsel's representation in advising the company regarding this Plea Agreement. I certify that I am an officer of TITAN CORPORATION, and that I have been duly authorized by TITAN CORPORATION to execute this Plea Agreement on behalf of TITAN CORPORATION.

21	Szul U. S	micel
22	DAVID W. DANJCZEK Vice President,	

Feb. 22, 2005 DATE

23 Corporate Compliance & Ethics

TITAN CORPORATION

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13 |

14

15

16

17 |

18

19

25

26

27

EXHIBIT 2

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

I am counsel for TITAN CORPORATION. In connection with such representation, I have examined relevant TITAN CORPORATION documents, and have discussed this Plea Agreement with the authorized representative of TITAN CORPORATION. Based on my review of the foregoing materials and discussions, I am of the opinion that:

- 1. David W. Danjczek is duly authorized to enter into this Plea Agreement on behalf of TITAN CORPORATION.
- 2. This Plea Agreement has been duly and validly authorized, executed and delivered on behalf of TITAN CORPORATION, and is a valid and binding obligation of TITAN CORPORATION.

Further, I have carefully reviewed every part of this Plea Agreement with directors of TITAN CORPORATION. I have fully advised these directors of the company's rights, of possible defenses, of the Sentencing Guidelines' provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this Plea Agreement. To my knowledge, TITAN CORPORATION's decision to enter into this Plea Agreement is an informed and voluntary one.

ROGER M. WITTEN DATED DATED

Attorneys for Defendant
TITAN CORPORATION

EXHIBIT 3

CERTIFIED COPY OF RESOLUTION

Upon motion duly made by Director ______, seconded by Director ______, and unanimously carried by the affirmative vote of all the Directors present, the following resolutions were adopted:

RESOLVED, that TITAN CORPORATION, which has been the subject of an investigation by the United States Department of Justice, consents to a settlement of the investigation and will, in the Southern District of California, enter a plea of guilty to a three-count Information charging TITAN CORPORATION with violating the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-1; Falsifying Books and Records, Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78m(b)(2)(A) and 78m(b)(5); and Aiding or Assisting in the Filing of a False Tax Return, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2); and RESOLVED FURTHER, that DAVID W. DANJCZEK, the Vice President, Corporate Compliance & Ethics, of this Corporation be, and hereby is, authorized to waive indictment and enter a plea of guilty to the Information substantially in such form as reviewed by this Board of Directors at this meeting; and RESOLVED FURTHER, that DAVID W. DANJCZEK, the Vice President, Corporate Compliance & Ethics, of this Corporation be, and hereby is, authorized to execute the Plea Agreement on behalf of the Corporation substantially in such form as reviewed by this Board of Directors at this meeting.

28

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

____, hereby certify that I am the duly elected Secretary of TITAN CORPORATION; that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of resolutions duly adopted by the Board of Directors of said Corporation, at a meeting thereof duly held at the office of the Corporation, in _____, and have not been rescinded or revoked; and that the foregoing resolutions are not contrary to any provisions in the Articles of Incorporation or By-Laws of TITAN CORPORATION. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto signed my name as Secretary and affixed the Seal of said Corporation this the ____ day of February 2005. Secretary of the Board of Directors TITAN CORPORATION

CERTIFIED COPY OF RESOLUTIONS

The following resolutions were adopted by the affirmative vote of all of the members of the Board of Directors of The Titan Corporation present at a meeting on February 15, 2005 (with one Director abstaining):

RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors (the "Board") of The Titan Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Titan"), has determined that, subject to the determination by the executive officers of Titan that such executive officers are reasonably satisfied that the Department of Defense of the United States government will not use the matters contemplated by either the Consent of Defendant Titan Corporation To Entry Of Judgment relating to the pending investigation by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Consent Decree") or the Plea Agreement relating to the pending investigation of the Department of Justice of the United States government (the "Plea Agreement") to disbar Titan or its subsidiaries from continuing to do business with the Department of Defense, it is desirable and in the best interests of Titan and its stockholders, that, in connection with the investigations conducted by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Department of Justice, Titan negotiate, approve, accept, execute and deliver the Consent Decree substantially in the form presented to the Board and discussed at this meeting and the Plea Agreement substantially in the form presented to the Board and discussed at this meeting; and be it further

RESOLVED, that David W. Danjczek, the Corporate Vice President of Compliance & Ethics of Titan (the "Authorized Officer"), be, and hereby is, authorized to act on behalf of Titan and in his sole discretion, to negotiate, approve, accept, execute and deliver on behalf of Titan the Consent Decree, in connection with the investigation conducted by the Securities and Exchange Commission; in this connection, the Authorized Officer be, and hereby is, authorized to undertake such actions as the Authorized Officer may deem necessary and advisable, including, without limitation, the execution of such documentation and the payment of such fines, penalties or disgorgement of profits, in each case as may be required to the Securities and Exchange Commission in order to carry out the foregoing; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Authorized Officer be, and hereby is, authorized to act on behalf of Titan and in his sole discretion, to negotiate, approve, accept, execute and deliver on behalf of Titan the Plea Agreement, in connection with the investigation conducted by the Department of Justice; in this connection, the Authorized Officer be, and hereby is, authorized to undertake such actions as the Authorized Officer may deem necessary and advisable, including, without limitation, the execution of such documentation and the payment of such fines, penalties or disgorgement of profits, in each case as may be required to the Department of Justice in order to carry out the foregoing; and be it further

RESOLVED, that, upon the execution and delivery of the Consent Decree and the Plea Agreement, the Authorized Officer be, and hereby is, authorized to, in the Southern District of California, enter a plea of guilty to a three-count Information charging Titan with violating the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-1; falsifying books and records in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78m(b)(2)(A) and 78m(b)(5); and willfully aiding and assisting in the preparation or presentation of a false or fraudulent tax return in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2); and be it further

RESOLVED, that, upon the execution and delivery of the Consent Decree and the Plea Agreement, the Authorized Officer be, and hereby is, authorized to waive indictment and enter a plea of guilty to the Information substantially in such form as reviewed and discussed by the Board at this meeting; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the execution and delivery by the Authorized Officer of the Consent Decree and the Plea Agreement shall, without any further action by the Board, be satisfactory evidence to the Board that the condition set forth in the first resolution above has been satisfied; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Authorized Officer be, and hereby is, authorized to act on behalf of Titan and in his sole discretion, to negotiate, approve, accept, execute and deliver on behalf of Titan the Administrative Settlement Agreement with the Department of Defense of the United States government substantially in the form presented to the Board and discussed at this meeting; in this connection, the Authorized Officer be, and hereby is, authorized to undertake such actions as the Authorized Officer may deem necessary and advisable as may be required in order to carry out the foregoing; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Authorized Officer be, and hereby is, authorized to negotiate, approve, accept, execute and deliver any and all certificates, agreements and other documents, take any and all steps and do any and all things which the Authorized Officer may deem necessary or advisable in order to effectuate the purposes of each and all of the foregoing resolutions.

I, Nicholas J. Costanza, hereby certify that I am the duly elected Secretary of The Titan Corporation; that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the resolutions duly adopted by the Board of Directors of The Titan Corporation (with one Director abstaining), at a meeting thereof duly held on February 15, 2005, and have not been rescinded or revoked; and that the foregoing resolutions are not contrary to any provisions in the Certificate of Incorporation or By-Laws of The Titan Corporation.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto signed my name as Secretary and affixed the Seal of The Titan Corporation this 24th day of February, 2005.

Nicholas J. Costanza

Secretary of the Board of Directors

The Titan Corporation

8

10 11

12

13 14

1516

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27 28

COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

The remedial compliance program that the defendant is required to implement pursuant to Section XI.B of the Plea Agreement shall include, at a minimum, the following components:

- clearly articulated corporate policy against a. violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and other applicable anti-bribery laws and the establishment of compliance standards and procedures to be followed by its officers, directors, employees, consultants, joint ventures, agents, and subcontractors that are reasonably capable of reducing the prospect of violative conduct;
- The assignment to one or more senior b. officials of the responsibility for oversight such policies, standards, compliance with Such officials shall have the authority procedures. and responsibility to implement and utilize monitoring and auditing systems reasonably designed to detect criminal conduct by the company's employees and other agents, including, where appropriate, the retention of outside counsel and independent auditors to conduct investigations and audits. In addition, such officials shall be charged with making any necessary modifications to the compliance program to respond to

8

12 13

11

15

14

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

detected violations and to prevent further similar violations;

c. The establishment and maintenance of a committee to review (i) the retention of any agent, consultant, or representative of business for purposes development or lobbying in a foreign jurisdiction, (ii) the retention of any sub-contractor for a project in which a foreign government or public international organization, or instrumentalities thereof, is the ultimate customer or beneficiary, and (iii) contracts related thereto. The committee also will review the suitability of all prospective joint venture partners for purposes of compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, as well as the adequacy of the diligence performed in connection with selection of the joint venture partner, any subsequent due diligence relating to the continued suitability of such joint venture partner, and any due diligence in connection with approvals of the retention of subagents, sub-contractors, and consultants by the joint venture for purpose of business development in a jurisdiction other than the United States. The majority of the committee shall be comprised of persons who are not subordinate to the most senior officer of the department or unit responsible for the relevant transaction;

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

d. Clearly articulated corporate procedures to ensure that TITAN CORPORATION exercises due care to assure that substantial discretionary authority is not delegated to individuals whom the defendant knows, or should know through the exercise of due diligence, have a propensity to engage in illegal activities;

- Clearly articulated corporate procedures to assure that all necessary and prudent precautions are taken to ensure that TITAN CORPORATION has formed business relationships with reputable and qualified agents, consultants and other representatives for purposes of business development and lobbying foreign in jurisdictions and with reputable and qualified subcontractors for projects in which foreign governments public international organizations, instrumentalities thereof, are the ultimate customers or beneficiaries. Such policy shall require that evidence of such "due diligence" inquiry maintained in TITAN CORPORATION's files;
- f. The effective communication to all officers, employees, agents, consultants, and other representatives, and to sub-contractors, of corporate policies, standards, and procedures regarding the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act by requiring regular training concerning the requirements of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and of other applicable foreign bribery laws on a periodic

27

28

basis to its officers and employees involved in foreign projects. With respect to the training of agents, consultants, or other representatives retained in connection with foreign business, as well as subcontractors for projects in which foreign governments public international organizations, or orinstrumentalities thereof, are the ultimate customers or beneficiaries, such training shall be given as soon practicable following their as retention and periodically thereafter;

- g. The implementation of appropriate disciplinary mechanisms, including as appropriate, discipline of individuals responsible for the failure to detect a violation of the law or of compliance policies, standards, and procedures;
- h. The establishment of a reporting system by which officers, employees, agents, consultants, and other representatives, as well as sub-contractors, may report suspected criminal conduct without fear of retribution or going through the chain of command or reporting the same to the employee's, agent's, representative's, or sub-contractor's immediate managers;
- i. The inclusion in all contracts and contract renewals entered into subsequent to the date of this Plea Agreement with agents, consultants, and other representatives for purposes of business development in

foreign jurisdiction, and sub-contractors projects in which foreign governments or public international organizations, or instrumentalities thereof, are the ultimate customers or beneficiaries, and undertaking by each prospective agent, consultant, representative, and sub-contractor that no payments of money or anything of value will be offered, promised or paid, directly or indirectly, to any foreign officials, foreign political parties, party officials, candidates for foreign public or political party office to influence the acts of such officials, political parties, party officials, or candidates in their official capacity, to induce them to use their foreign influence with а government instrumentality thereof, or to obtain an improper advantage in connection with any business venture or contract in which TITAN CORPORATION is a participant. In addition, all such contracts shall contain an agreement by each prospective agent, consultant, and representative for business development in a foreign jurisdiction, and by sub-contractors for projects in which foreign governments or public international organizations, or instrumentalities thereof, are the ultimate customers or beneficiaries, providing TITAN CORPORATION with audit rights and an undertaking that it shall not retain any sub-agent, sub-contractor, or

28

representative without the prior written consent of a senior officer of TITAN CORPORATION. All such contracts shall further provide for termination of said contract as a result of any breach of such undertakings, representations, and agreements;

j. The inclusion in all joint venture agreements entered into or modified hereafter a representation undertaking by each joint venture partner, with periodic certifications made to TITAN CORPORATION, that no payments of money or anything of value will be or has been offered, promised or paid, directly or indirectly, to any foreign officials, foreign political parties, party officials, or candidates for foreign public or political party office to influence the acts of such officials, political parties, party officials, or candidates in their official capacity, to induce them to use their influence with a foreign government or an instrumentality thereof, or to obtain an improper advantage in connection with any business venture or contract in which TITAN CORPORATION is a participant. In addition, all such agreements shall contain an agreement by each prospective joint venture partner providing TITAN CORPORATION with audit rights and an undertaking that it shall not retain any sub-agent, sub-contractor, or representative without the prior written consent, after the exercise of due diligence,

of a senior officer of TITAN CORPORATION. All such contracts shall further provide for termination of said contract as a result of any breach of such undertakings, representations, and agreements;

- k. TITAN CORPORATION will conduct periodic reviews, not less than once every five years, of its corporate policies and compliance programs regarding the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the anti-bribery provisions of each foreign jurisdiction to which the defendant, its officers, employees, agents, sub-contractors, affiliates, and subsidiaries may be subject. Such periodic reviews will be conducted by independent legal and auditing firms retained for such purpose by the Board of Directors of TITAN CORPORATION or its successors; and
- 1. TITAN CORPORATION will, using objective measures, determine the regions or countries in which it operates that pose higher risks of corruption. It will, on a periodic basis, conduct rigorous FCPA audits of its operations in such regions or countries, which audits shall include:
 - i. detailed audits of the operating unit's books and records, with specific attention to payments and commissions to agents, consultants, and subcontractors and contributions to joint ventures;

ii.	audits o	f selected	agents,	consulta	nts, sub-
	contracto	ers, and join	t ventures	s, where	authorized
	by the go	verning cont	ract or re	etention a	agreement;
	and				

iii.	interview	s with	relevant	employe	ees,	con	sultant,
	agents,	sub-co	ntractors,	and	joi	nt	venture
	partners.						