docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. The guidance and received comments are available for public examination in the Division of Dockets Management between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

III. Electronic Access

Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the document at either http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm or http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/default.htm.

Dated: October 18, 2005.

Jeffrey Shuren,
Assistant Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 05–21347 Filed 10–25–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–S

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Transportation Security Administration

[Docket No. TSA–2005–21866]


AGENCY: Transportation Security Administration (TSA), DHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that TSA has forwarded the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval of an extension of the currently approved collection under the Paperwork Reduction Act. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. TSA published a Federal Register notice, with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments, of the following collection of information on August 26, 2005, 70 FR 50391.

DATES: Send your comments by November 25, 2005. A comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: DHS–TSA Desk Officer, at (202) 395–5806.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Katrina Wueker, Information Collection Specialist, Office of Transportation Security Policy, TSA–9, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 22202–4220.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information, unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Therefore, in preparation for OMB review and approval of the following information collection, TSA is soliciting comments to—

1. Evaluate whether the proposed information requirement is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden;
3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including using appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Information Collection Requirement

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

OMB Control Number: 1632–0035.


Affected Public: General aviation aircraft operators, crewmembers, and passengers.

Abstract: On July 19, 2005, TSA published an interim final rule (IFR) to restore access to Ronald Reagan National Airport (DCA) for certain aircraft operations while maintaining the security of critical Federal Government and other assets in the Washington, DC metropolitan area. The IFR amended 49 CFR part 1562 by adding a new subpart B entitled Ronald Reagan-Washington National Airport: Enhanced Security Procedures for Certain Operations. The IFR and this information collection apply to all passenger aircraft operations into or out of DCA, except U.S. air carrier operations operating under a full security program required by 49 CFR part 1544 and foreign air carrier operations under 49 CFR 1546.101(a) or (b). TSA requires individuals designated as security coordinators by Fixed Based Operators (FBOs) and general aviation aircraft, flight crewmembers who operate general aviation aircraft into and out of DCA in accordance with the IFR, and armed security officers (ASOs) approved in accordance with the IFR to submit fingerprints and other identifying information. In addition, general aviation aircraft operators are required to provide TSA with identifying information for all individuals onboard each aircraft that operates into and out of DCA. General aviation aircraft operators also are required to provide TSA with the flight plan and registration number of their aircraft that will operate to or from DCA. Finally, aircraft operators and FBOs are required to nominate the individuals they would like to be qualified as ASOs by submitting an ASO Nomination Form to TSA. Once nominated, the ASOs are required to submit identifying information, as well as employment history information, by completing an on-line application.

Number of Respondents: 2,025.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: An estimated 13,298 hours annually.

Issued in Arlington, Virginia, on October 19, 2005.

Lisa S. Dean,
Privacy Officer.

[FR Doc. 05–21364 Filed 10–25–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[CIS No. 2352–05]

RIN 1615–ZA23

Adjustment of the Immigration Benefit Application Fee Schedule; Correction


ACTION: Notice of correction.

SUMMARY: U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) published a notice in the Federal Register on September 26, 2005 at 70 FR 56182 to increase the fees for immigration benefit applications and petitions to account for cost increases due to inflation. In the fee table of the September 26, 2005 notice, USCIS added a footnote “6” next to the new fee for the Form N–600K. Footnote “6” shows an increase in fee from $200 to $215 for an application filed on behalf of an adopted child (as compared to the base fee increase from $240 to $255). The placement of this footnote and its lack of specificity regarding which “application” it was meant to
refer to have created some ambiguity regarding the adopted child fee for the Form N–600, which similarly was adjusted from $200 to $215 (as compared to the new base fee of $255 referenced in the Notice). Accordingly, this notice clarifies the prior notice by amending footnote “6” to state specifically that it refers to both the Form N–600 and the Form N–600K.

DATES: This correction is effective October 26, 2005.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Need for Correction

As published in the Federal Register on September 26, 2005 (70 FR 56182), the Notice contains an error that is in need of correction for the purpose of clarifying the Notice.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication on September 26, 2005 (70 FR 56182), of the Notice that was the subject of FR Doc. 05–19226 is corrected as follows: 1. On page 56184, immediately after the table, amend footnote “6” by striking “an application” and inserting “a Form N–600 or Form N–600K”.

Dated: October 24, 2005.

Stephen Tarragon,
Acting Director, Regulatory Management Division.

[FR Doc. 05–21483 Filed 10–24–05; 1:57 pm]

BILLING CODE 4410–10–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–4971–N–54]

Notice of Submission of Proposed Information Collection ToOMB;
Consumer Perceptions of Factory-Built Housing

AGENCY: Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

This request is for the clearance of a survey instrument that would gauge current consumer perceptions of factory-built housing technologies with as primary focus on panelized and modular housing. One of the strategic goals outlined in HUD’s Partnership for Advancing Technology in Housing is the increased focus on attitudes, behaviors, and motives surrounding innovation. Measuring consumer perceptions of factory-build housing provides such insight, and will also provide otherwise unavailable information essential to measuring the effectiveness of PATH’s outreach and promotional campaigns.

DATES: Comments Due Date: November 25, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB approval Number (2528—Pending) and should be sent to: HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202–395–6974.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Eddins, Reports Management Officer, AYO, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410; e-mail Wayne.Eddins@HUD.gov; or Lillian Deitzer at Lillian.L.Deitzer@HUD.gov or telephone (202) 708–2374. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Mr. Eddins or Ms Deitzer.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that the Department of Housing and Urban Development has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the information collection described below. This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affecting agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice Also Lists the Following Information

Title of Proposal: Consumer Perceptions of Factory-Built Housing. OMB Approval Number: 2528—Pending.

Form Numbers: None.

Description of the Need for the Information and Its Proposed Use

This request is for the clearance of a survey instrument that would gauge current consumer perceptions of factory-built housing technologies with as primary focus on panelized and modular housing. One of the strategic goals outlined in HUD’s Partnership for Advancing Technology in Housing is the increased focus on attitudes, behaviors, and motives surrounding innovation. Measuring consumer perceptions of factory-build housing provides such insight, and will also provide otherwise unavailable information essential to measuring the effectiveness of PATH’s outreach and promotional campaigns.

Frequency of Submission: Once Only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Annual responses</th>
<th>×</th>
<th>Hours per response</th>
<th>=</th>
<th>Burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>690</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>