

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

---

File: D2001-017

Date:

In re: DAN P. DANILOV, ATTORNEY

JAN 13 2010

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Jennifer J. Barnes, Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Eileen M. Connolly, Appellate Counsel

The respondent will be indefinitely suspended from practice before the Board, Immigration Courts, and Department of Homeland Security (the "DHS").

On December 12, 2002, the Supreme Court of the State of Washington suspended the respondent from the practice of law, pending the conclusion of disciplinary proceedings. Consequently, on September 2, 2003, the Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals and the Immigration Courts. The DHS then asked that the respondent be similarly suspended from practice before that agency. Therefore, on September 25, 2003, the Board suspended the respondent from practicing before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS pending final disposition of this proceeding.

On October 7, 2005, the Disciplinary Board of the Washington State Bar Association issued an order stating that the respondent had transferred to disability inactive status.

The government filed a Notice of Intent to Discipline on November 6, 2009. The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(c)(1). The respondent's failure to file a response within the time period prescribed in the Notice constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(1), (2).

The Notice proposes that the respondent be indefinitely suspended from practicing before the Board and the Immigration Courts. The DHS asks that the Board extend that discipline to practice before it as well. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct the Board to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the Notice, unless there are considerations that compel us to digress from that proposal. 8 C.F.R. §§ 1003.102(e); 1003.105(d)(2).

Since the proposed sanction is appropriate, in light of the respondent resigning from the Washington State bar while a state disciplinary investigation or proceeding was pending, the Board will honor that proposal. As the respondent is currently under our September 25, 2003, order of suspension, we will deem the respondent's suspension to have commenced on that date.

D2001-017

ORDER: The Board hereby indefinitely suspends the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent is instructed to maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent is also instructed to notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, Immigration Courts, and DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107(b).

FURTHER ORDER: As the Board earlier imposed an immediate suspension order in this case, today's order of the Board becomes effective immediately. See 73 Fed. Reg. 76914, 76925 (December 18, 2008)(to be codified at 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2)).



---

FOR THE BOARD