

AMOUNTS PAID FOR LEGAL SERVICES.

---

LETTER

FROM

THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY,

IN ANSWER TO

*A resolution of the House of 11th of February, transmitting statements of amounts paid during each year since 1860 for legal services, &c.*

---

MAY 14, 1868.—Referred to the Committee on the Judiciary and ordered to be printed.

---

TREASURY DEPARTMENT,  
May 14, 1868.

SIR: In response to resolution of the House of Representatives of the 11th of February, 1868, requesting the Secretary of the Treasury to furnish the House with a statement of the amounts paid by the Treasury Department, during each year since 1860, for legal services, as costs, fees, commissions, special allowances or travelling expenses, together with names of persons &c., &c., I have the honor to transmit herewith statements prepared in the office of the First Comptroller, Solicitor, and Commissioner of the Customs, also a copy of a letter from William T. Mellen, special attorney, &c., of the Treasury Department, addressed to the Solicitor, which is believed to include all the information on the subject which can be furnished by this department.

I am, very respectfully,

H. McCULLOCH,  
*Secretary of the Treasury.*

Hon. SCHUYLER COLFAX,  
*Speaker of the House of Representatives.*

*Statement of persons, other than salaried officers authorized by law, now employed as counsel by the Treasury Department, and for what compensation, and how paid or agreed to be paid, and under what authority such counsel have been retained and employed, and for what purposes.*

Date of employment.	Names.	Compensation paid or agreed to be paid.	Under what authority.	For what purposes.
April 16, 1864	P. O. Lowrey .....	Retainer of \$2,000, and \$50 per diem while actually engaged in preparation and trial.	Solicitor of the Treas., through L. F. Bailey, special agent at New York.	To assist the district attorney at New York in case of <i>Benkart &amp; Hutton vs. Schell</i> , ("Monsieune de Laine" case.)
	Webster & Craig .....	\$100 per day while engaged in the preparation and trial of the case.		To assist the district attorney at New York in the case of <i>Benkart &amp; Hutton vs. Schell</i> , late collector of customs, to recover an alleged excess of duties exacted on commissions, charges, &c.
Oct. 17, 1864	William M. Everts.....	Retainer of \$500 in each of the fourteen cases.....		United States vs 3,109 cases champagne, and 13 other "champagne" cases pending in the southern district of New York.
	O. P. Lowrey .....	Retainer of \$300 in each of the fourteen cases, and \$50 per day while engaged in the preparation and trial of each case. Additional fee of \$500 in each if successful, and such other compensation as may seem reasonable.		Same cases.
July 17, 1865	William P. Mellen.....	5 per cent. of amount actually collected, and necessary expenses incurred in collection.		For the collection of judgments in favor of the United States in which no proceedings were had for two years preceding date of contract, except in the southern district of New York, and for the examination of title and sale of lands taken by the United States in payment of debts, &c., as per contract with the Secretary of the Treasury dated July 17, 1865.
Dec. 31, 1865	Webster & Craig .....	\$100 per day while engaged in the preparation and trial of the cases.	Secretary of the Treasury.....	64 cases against H. L. Redfield, late collector of customs at New York, pending in the northern district of New York, to recover alleged excess of duties exacted by him as collector as aforesaid on imported merchandise.
Aug. 21, 1866	George L. Bright .....	\$2,500 paid as retainer August 16, 1866. Such additional compensation as may be reasonable and proper, to be paid when services have been rendered.		To assist the district attorney at New Orleans in prosecution of claims growing out of defalcation of May & Whitaker, late assistant treasurers at New Orleans.
Feb. 22, 1867	Knowles & Bicknell, Potsdam, N. Y.	\$500 to be paid when suit is prosecuted to judgment, with such additional compensation, not to exceed \$1,000 in all, as the district attorney shall certify to be reasonable and just.	By Secretary of the Treasury, under general authority of head of Department to employ counsel.—See 7th Opinions of Attorney General, 141, and statutes therein referred to.	To try case of the United States vs. S. B. Jewett, late marshal of the northern district of New York, and sureties.
Feb. —, 1867	William M. Everts.....	Retainer of \$1,500.....	Retained verbally by Mr. Assistant Secretary Chandler, together with the Solicitor.	27 silk cases (so called) pending at New York.

AMOUNTS PAID FOR LEGAL SERVICES.

Mar. 23, 1867	Lincoln, Smith & Warrick.	Reasonable compensation.....	Secretary of the Treasury.....	To assist in the collection of a judgment in favor of the United States against John C. S. Harrison <i>et al.</i> ; also a judgment in favor of the United States against Robert T. Lytle <i>et al.</i>
June 11, 1867	Allen & Vieho.....	do.....	do.....	To assist in the collection of a judgment in favor of the United States against Hall Neilson and the Bank of Vincennes.
July 13, 1867	Austin Adams.....	do.....	do.....	To collect a judgment in favor of the United States against A. K. Eaton, of Iowa.
Oct. 3, 1867	C. Tucker.....	Reasonable compensation, to be paid when services have been rendered; §10218 paid to apply on services and expenses.	.....	To investigate case of United States vs. Anthony Schoder, who was arrested for an alleged theft of \$30,000 in United States bonds from the Treasury Department, and his property attached in Michigan.
Oct. 11, 1867	B. F. Mudgett.....	25 per cent. of amount collected, to be deducted from gross amount collected, in full compensation of services and expenses.	.....	For the collection of claim against the estates of the late Samuel Swartwout, former collector at New York, and sureties.
Nov. 11, 1867	Moore & Doolittle.....	25 per cent. of amount collected in each case on first \$50,000 or less; 10 per cent. on excess of \$50,000 and less than \$75,000; and 5 per cent. on excess of \$75,000 and less than \$100,000; and 3 per cent. on excess of \$100,000—to be deducted from gross amount collected in full compensation of services and expenses.	.....	For the collection of judgments in the southern district of New York, obtained before January 1, 1864, as per contract with the Secretary of the Treasury November 11, 1867.
Dec. 5, 1867	Sterne Chittenden.....	Reasonable compensation.....	Secretary of the Treasury.....	15 cases against sherry wines seized at New York for fraudulent importation.
Dec. 12, 1867	William M. Everts.....	Retainer of \$1,500, and such additional compensation as may be reasonable.	do.....	Same cases.
Jan. 16, 1868	Randall Hunt.....	Retainer of \$10,000, paid January 17, 1868.....	do.....	Sundry suits pending at New Orleans against red wines seized for fraudulent importation.

AMOUNTS PAID FOR LEGAL SERVICES.

Abstract of special allowances made by the Secretary of the Treasury, through the First Comptroller's office, for legal services during each year since 1860.

Date	To whom paid	Amount	Cases or business in which the services were rendered.
Jan. 2, 1864	B. A. Root	\$800 00	Arguing constitutionality of legal tender act before court of appeals, New York.
June 23, 1864	D. D. Field	3,000 00	Do.
July 5, 1864	Bagley & Wright	100 24	White & Wilson vs. George A. Bagley, deputy collector 20th district of New York.
Nov. 7, 1864	G. L. Ford	75 00	Robertson et al vs. H. O. Bowen, collector 3d district of New York.
Dec. 1, 1864	S. A. Goodwin	500 00	Chicago, Burlington, and Quincy Railroad vs. Page and Schneider, assessor and collector, 1st district of Illinois.
Mar. 23, 1865	H. H. Hunter	300 00	Enforcing payment of duties on distilled spirits, 12th district of Ohio.
June 20, 1865	Lase Dayton	1,000 00	Dolan vs. Orton, collector 5th district of New York, and other internal revenue suits.
Oct. 31, 1865	J. H. Reynolds	1,000 00	Cutting et al vs. Gilbert & Shook, United States circuit court for the State of New York.
Dec. 11, 1865	S. G. Courtney	1,000 00	Do.
Oct. 31, 1865	William Dorschelmer	3,563 18	United States vs. Rhombert, Northern district of New York.
Dec. 11, 1865	S. G. Courtney	230 00	Drexel vs. Harding, assessor eastern district of Pennsylvania.
Nov. 13, 1865	J. D. Miller	223 00	United States vs. U. White & Co., southern district of New York.
Nov. 21, 1865	Lewis Clephane	32 15	Costs in case of Jackson vs. Clephane, collector District of Columbia.
Nov. 23, 1865	Leland & Blanchard	350 00	Suits against distillers, 5th district of Illinois.
Dec. 28, 1865	Noble & Beckwith	40 00	United States vs. Bowers, Black, Rowe & O'Brien, 3d district of Iowa.
Jan. 22, 1866	A. Russell	600 00	Six suits against Langford H. Berry, collector 1st district of Michigan.
Feb. 6, 1866	W. N. Grover	230 00	Murray, Stachbin and Fritz vs. Tausig, collector 1st district of Missouri.
Feb. 19, 1866	D. D. Field	2,500 00	Professional services in regard to questions involving the constitutionality of the national bank act.
Feb. 14, 1866	H. C. Bowen	155 72	Robertson vs. Bowen, collector 3d district of New York.
Feb. 19, 1866	A. F. Smith	1,330 00	Victor Duckwitz & Co. vs. Assistant Treasurer Cisen, New York.
Mar. 19, 1866	Ganvon & Smith	623 50	New York Central Railroad vs. Halsted, collector 2th district of New York.
Mar. 5, 1866	G. Eames	500 00	Mandamus case United States ex rel. Porter vs. Secretary of the Treasury, supreme court District of Columbia.
Mar. 17, 1866	William Dorschelmer	501 61	New York Central Railroad vs. Halsted, collector 2th district of New York.
Mar. 16, 1866	E. C. Larned	100 00	Nine suits vs. John H. Bryant. Bills to enjoin collector at Chicago, Illinois.
Mar. 17, 1866	Dorschelmer & Clinton	50 00	United States vs. 1,200 bushels of malt, and United States vs. two kegs of high-wines, 30th district of New York.
Mar. 17, 1866	Tremain & Peckham	300 00	The O'bornes vs. Halsey, collector, United States circuit court, northern district of New York.
Mar. 21, 1866	E. More	166 10	United States vs. W. C. Burney, B. Fabian and R. Chauncey, New York city.
May 8, 1866	S. F. Denton	213 80	Magee vs. Denton, collector 27th district of New York.
May 10, 1866	G. S. Dyer	230 00	Examining books of Marcus Child.
May 10, 1866	H. T. Chittenden	250 00	Do.
June 4, 1866	Thomas J. Emerson	406 24	Costs and expenses of taking care of property seized for taxes in the 1st district of Wisconsin.
June 4, 1866	R. H. Gillmore	60 00	United States vs. 100 empty barrels, United States vs. two barrels high-wines, and United States vs. Richard Holloway et al.
June 23, 1866	G. B. Kellogg	500 00	Murray vs. Tausig, collector 1st district of Missouri.
July 27, 1866	H. Wiley	100 00	Saunders et al. vs. Mark Hownal, collector district of Connecticut.
July 23, 1866	George H. Kellogg	3,000 00	Sundry seizures of liquor in 1st district of Missouri.
July 30, 1866	B. W. Poor	2,500 00	United States vs. Yeager & Co., United States vs. Rhombert & Co., and United States vs. Anton Hoel, district of Iowa.
Aug. 2, 1866	J. O. Miller	184 00	United States vs. P. Wagner, southern district of New York.
Aug. 16, 1866	W. N. Grover	250 00	Murray vs. Tausig, collector 1st district of Missouri.
Aug. 20, 1866	D. Lake	950 00	Sigmund, Morris & Cohen vs. Bloccum, collector, and Green vs. Ellason et al., northern district of California.
Aug. 22, 1866	J. E. Godfrey	60 00	United States vs. Madigan, and legal assistance to collector 4th district of Maine.
Nov. 21, 1866	F. A. Hoyne	63 90	United States vs. 49 barrels of high-wines, northern district of Illinois.
Oct. 30, 1866	Mitchel & Dolph	200 00	Tracy vs. Crawford and Frazer, collector and assessor of internal revenue, district of Oregon.
Oct. 30, 1866	Ira O. Miller	923 00	United States vs. Charles White & Co., for penalty of violation internal revenue law, southern district of New York.

AMOUNTS PAID FOR LEGAL SERVICES.

Nov. 21, 1866	P. A. Hogue	16 00	United States vs. 49 barrels high-wines, northern district of Illinois.
Nov. 20, 1866	R. H. Gillmore	10 00	United States vs. 49 barrels high-wines, district of Iowa.
Nov. 27, 1866	Emerson, Prichard & Smith	23 00	W. E. Jones vs. G. P. Putnam, late collector 8th district of New York, southern district of New York.
Nov. 27, 1866	Thomas H. Smith	200 00	Defence of R. Hough, col. lut. rev. 1st district of Tennessee, in 19 suits brought against him, criminal court, Memphis, Tenn.
Dec. 2, 1866	D. S. Dickinson	1,500 00	Several suits known as "the Rhombert cases," southern district of New York.
Dec. 7, 1866	Charles Gilpin	300 00	Drexel & Co. vs. J. B. Harding, collector of internal revenue, and others, eastern district of Pennsylvania.
Dec. 13, 1866	H. M. Corwin	500 00	G. W. Roback vs. H. M. W. Taylor, collector of internal revenue 2d district of Ohio, southern district of Ohio.
Dec. 28, 1866	George H. Kellogg	2,000 00	United States vs. George Rothwiler, and five seizure cases under internal revenue act, eastern district of Missouri.
Jan. 16, 1867	D. H. Starbuck	23 00	In causing P. Quince, acting collector of internal revenue, to deposit moneys collected by him, district of North Carolina.
Jan. 22, 1867	Charles Case	430 25	Expenses in assisting the United States district attorney for Louisiana in the prosecution of certain internal revenue cases.
Jan. 31, 1867	Henry S. Fitch	100 00	State of Georgia vs. James Atkins, collector of internal revenue, district of Georgia.
Feb. 28, 1867	Charles Case	208 75	Expenses in assisting the United States district attorney for Louisiana in the prosecution of certain internal revenue cases.
Mar. 4, 1867	Finory & Case	500 00	H. M. & A. Fenton vs. John Speer, collector of internal revenue, district of Kansas.
Mar. 22, 1867	Atwater & Flandran	100 00	United States vs. W. L. Wilson, 2d district of Minnesota.
Mar. 23, 1867	William Allen Butler	100 00	United States vs. Van Vorst et al., dealers in lottery tickets, southern district of New York.
Mar. 23, 1867	Charles Case	394 00	Expenses in assisting the United States district attorney for Louisiana in the prosecution of certain internal revenue cases.
April 3, 1867	W. J. Walker	170 00	Expenses incurred in New York distillery case at San Francisco, California.
April 3, 1867	William Price	480 00	Twenty-three suits vs. George W. Sanda, late collector of internal revenue 3d district of Maryland.
April 10, 1867	Webster & Craig	1,000 00	In the matter of the Dorman whiskey seizure, southern district of New York.
April 15, 1867	G. P. Putnam, (late collector)	181 00	Expenses of seizure in cases U. S. vs. H. M. Hoyer, J. Anthony, A. Schanh, P. Hogan, and Jno. Burns, southern dist. of N. Y.
May 7, 1867	J. J. Kain	300 00	Thoon & Co. vs. Weaver and Voiz, assessor and collector 2d district of Pennsylvania, western district of Pennsylvania.
May 10, 1867	P. P. Putnam, (late collector)	268 50	Expenses of seizure of distillery and liquor store of P. Gornley & C. Duffy, southern district of New York.
May 18, 1867	John Hanna	200 00	United States vs. Defaulting receiver of public moneys, district of Indiana.
May 28, 1867	David Derrickson	2,250 00	United States vs. G. V. Culver, western district of Pennsylvania.
June 24, 1867	W. F. Cogswell	74 00	United States vs. Belding & Gibson, (whiskey cases,) northern district of New York.
June 27, 1867	Charles Gilpin	500 00	City of Philadelphia vs. John H. Diehl, collector of internal revenue, eastern district of Pennsylvania.
July 8, 1867	W. A. Ellason	73 00	Green vs. Ellason and Smith, assessor and collector of internal revenue, district of California.
July 15, 1867	Bakewell & Parrish	20 00	Professional opinion rendered to Papa, assessor 1st district of Missouri.
July 17, 1867	R. M. Corwin	500 00	Hazen Kimball vs. Thomas Spooner, late collector of internal revenue, southern district of Ohio.
July 24, 1867	H. B. Harrison	400 00	H. G. Hubbard vs. O. Brainard, deputy collector of internal revenue, district of Connecticut.
July 24, 1867	Wingate Hayes	150 00	Providence Rubber Company vs. L. H. Fries, collector of internal revenue, and other cases, district of Rhode Island.
July 31, 1867	Hiram Willey	420 00	H. G. Hubbard vs. O. Brainard, deputy collector, district of Connecticut.
Aug. 16, 1867	G. J. Bright	2,500 00	United States vs. T. P. May, W. A. Whitaker, et al at New Orleans.
Aug. 7, 1867	D. H. McLae	500 00	Stamp cases, circuit court, western district of Tennessee.
Aug. 23, 1867	William Dorshelmer	50 00	Examining title to property at Suspension Bridge and making report thereon.
Sept. 23, 1867	Lewis Seymour	162 54	Professional services and expenses in seizure of property of George & H. Hulls by collector 2d dist. N. Y., northern dist. N. Y.
Nov. 15, 1867	D. S. Goodlett	100 00	United States vs. William Hunter, Jr., South Carolina, violation of internal revenue laws, district of South Carolina.
Nov. 19, 1867	Perkins Bass	500 00	Van Eiler, Friedman & Co. vs. George Schneider, collector of internal revenue 1st district of Illinois, northern dist. of Illinois.
Nov. 20, 1867	William E. Earle	250 00	United States vs. George R. Crump et al., violation of internal revenue laws, district of South Carolina.
Nov. 20, 1867	J. P. Reed	750 00	do.
Nov. 26, 1867	George H. Kellogg	2,000 00	United States vs. Frederick Bram, and other cases tried or pending before United States court at Bellville, Illinois.
Nov. 26, 1867	R. H. Dana Jr.	2,400 00	In twelve cases against officers of internal revenue, district of Massachusetts.
Nov. 26, 1867	A. Q. Krasley	1,467 00	In six cases against officers of internal revenue, district of New Jersey.
Dec. 6, 1867	George S. Hillard	50 00	Addison Childs vs. Amos Noyce, late assessor of internal revenue, district of Massachusetts.
Dec. 21, 1867	James Atkins	62 00	Costs in case State of Georgia vs. James Atkins, collector of internal revenue, district of Georgia.
Dec. 30, 1867	Perkins Bass	600 00	Professional services and expenses in Rhombert case at Dubuque, Iowa.
Jan. 18, 1868	Charles N. Emerson	23 00	Expenses while employed by Special Commissioner D. A. Wells in 1865, Massachusetts.
Jan. 18, 1868	W. F. Cogswell	2,083 00	United States vs. Belding, and United States vs. Administrator of John Gibson, deceased, northern district of New York.
Jan. 17, 1868	E. M. Shreve	2,239 10	As counsel for collector 2d district of New Jersey, recovering sums due on assessments.
Jan. 21, 1868	Delos Lake	750 00	As counsel for collector of internal revenue officers in six cases vs. said officers, district of California.
Jan. 31, 1868	J. M. Humphrey	1,000 00	United States vs. a quantity of tobacco.
Feb. 8, 1868	L. Q. & C. A. De Braler	250 00	Collecting \$2,500 from John A. Birch, district of Indiana.

AMOUNTS PAID FOR LEGAL SERVICES.

*Abstract of special allowances made by the Secretary of the Treasury through the First Comptroller's office, &c.—Continued.*

Date.	To whom paid.	Amount.	Cases or business in which the services were rendered.
Feb. 13, 1868	Frank F. Taylor .....	\$1,214 58	Legal assistance in the collection of internal revenue at Sacramento, California, from May 29, 1865, to October 29, 1867. Report in case of H. D. Chaffee & Co. United States vs. John M. Avery, assessor of internal revenue, 4th district of Pennsylvania. James How, Jr., vs. United States, and other suits in eastern district of New York. Thomas Cadwallader vs. Collector and assessor 2d district of New York.
Feb. 17, 1868	Benn Pitman .....	336 50	
Feb. 19, 1868	J. P. Hoge .....	1,000 00	
Feb. 19, 1868	H. F. Tracy .....	250 00	
Feb. 19, 1868	A. Q. Kessbey .....	300 00	
		64,086 86	

There are no claims awaiting adjustment or presented to this office at this time.

TREASURER'S DEPARTMENT, *Comptroller's Office, February 19, 1868.*

AMOUNTS PAID FOR LEGAL SERVICES.

AMOUNTS PAID FOR LEGAL SERVICES.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT,  
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS.

February 21, 1868.

SIR: I have the honor herewith to transmit a statement of the accounts which have been adjusted at this office for legal services, which statement furnishes all the information which can be derived from the records of this office, called for by the resolution of the House of Representatives, on motion of Hon. Mr. Jenckes, a copy of which resolution was transmitted to me.

N. SARGENT, *Commissioner.*

Hon. H. McCULLOCH,  
*Secretary of the Treasury.*

Date.	To whom paid.	Nature of services.	Amount.
1860.			
May 4	Benjamin F. Hallett.....	In suit <i>versus</i> Converse on collector's bond .....	\$434 97
April 27	P. J. Joachimson.....	Services in case United States <i>vs.</i> Towle .....	100 00
1862.			
May 10	William A. Butler.....	Services in case Fiedler <i>vs.</i> Curtis, collector .....	370 73
May 10	Benjamin F. Butler.....	Services in the same case .....	500 00
April 27	R. H. Gillett.....	Services in case <i>vs.</i> Peaslee, collector .....	300 00
1863.			
Jan. 30	William A. Butler.....	Services in case Fiedler <i>vs.</i> Curtis.....	250 00
Aug. 9	John P. Healey.....	Services in relation to custom-house, Boston.....	43 00
Sept. 24	Heman J. Redfield.....	Services in suits <i>vs.</i> collector, New York .....	95 59
1864.			
April 14	David T. Linegar.....	Services and counsel in commercial intercourse.....	200 00
April 6	G. P. Lowrey.....	Services in suits <i>vs.</i> Barney, collector, New York.....	350 00
May 25	.....do.....	Services in Mantzinger cases .....	450 00
June 20	David Rohrer.....	Services as to title of marine hospital, Burlington, Iowa .....	250 00
June 21	William Tracy.....	Services as to forged checks, New York.....	300 00
June 21	William C. Noyes.....	Services in same cases .....	200 00
June 29	William Price.....	Opinion as to attachment of goods in bonded warehouses.....	25 00
July 5	William M. Everts.....	Services in case <i>vs.</i> Scheil, collector; invoices in Buenos Ayres currency.....	500 00
July 15	G. P. Lowrey.....	Services in trial of suit <i>vs.</i> steamer Bavaria, &c .....	1,500 00
Aug. 27	.....do.....	Services in wine cases.....	200 00
Dec. 21	.....do.....	Services in champagne cases.....	4,200 00
1865.			
Feb. 20	William M. Everts.....	Services retainer in case as to 7.30 notes as tender for duties.....	500 00
Mar. 15	.....do.....	Services in like cases and other revenue cases .....	1,000 00
June 17	Samuel Blatchford.....	Services in suits <i>vs.</i> Scheil, collector, New York .....	760 40
July 13	D. T. Linegar.....	Services in revenue cases and counsel, 1864 and part 1865.....	250 00
April 23	G. P. Lowrey.....	Services in suits for duties on tea and coffee.....	500 00
June 12	Webster & Craig.....	Services in suits in relation to importation of hides.....	2,400 00
June 12	J. J. McGilvra.....	Services in suit Wright <i>vs.</i> Gunn, collector, and Aaber.....	250 00
June 29	William M. Everts.....	Services and retainer in champagne cases .....	7,000 00
Oct. 5	G. P. Lowrey.....	Services and expenses examination title, &c., New York custom-house.....	6,638 61
Oct. 14	B. D. Stillman.....	Services in revenue cases .....	450 00
Nov. 16	G. P. Lowrey.....	Services in case <i>vs.</i> Schooner Niagara.....	300 00
Nov. 23	Webster & Craig.....	Services and expenses in hide cases.....	1,010 53
Dec. 5	G. P. Lowrey.....	Services in case <i>vs.</i> Schooner Cha. Anna.....	600 00
Dec. 5	E. C. Larned.....	Services in relation to title and purchase marine hospital lot, Chicago.....	425 00
1866.			
Jan. 12	Heman J. Redfield.....	Services and expenses in suit <i>vs.</i> collector, New York.....	456 29
Mar. 10	Webster & Craig.....	Services in defence of sixty-four suits <i>vs.</i> Redfield, collector..	2,405 31
April 5	Daniel S. Dickinson.....	Services in revenue cases .....	530 00
April 11	D. T. Linegar.....	Services and counsel in revenue and internal intercourse cases.....	150 00
April 16	G. P. Lowrey.....	Services in defence in case Amsinck <i>vs.</i> Draper, collector .....	350 00
Mar. 5	T. K. Lothrop.....	Services in wool and sherry wine cases.....	1,000 00
Mar. 24	G. P. Lowrey.....	Services in cases as to invoices in Macquina currency.....	500 00
July 20	H. C. Miller.....	Services in case Mississippi Central Railroad.....	1,045 50
Nov. 23	John C. Churchill.....	Services in smuggling case <i>vs.</i> Warren .....	20 00
Nov. 23	A. B. Getty.....	Services in revenue case .....	30 00
Dec. 4	D. Magone, Jr.....	Services in smuggling case .....	143 33
1867.			
Mar. 6	Heman J. Redfield.....	Services in defence of suits <i>vs.</i> collector, New York.....	282 05
May 18	William G. Choate.....	Services and retainer in champagne cases.....	2,000 00
July 16	Milton Andros.....	Services in wine cases, San Francisco .....	4,675 00
June 26	Hinton R. Helper.....	Services and expenses in revenue case .....	294 00
July 17	Lemuel G. Downes.....	Services taking testimony in smuggling case.....	12 00
Sept. 21	William M. Everts.....	Services in champagne cases, New York .....	2,500 00
Sept. 21	G. P. Lowrey.....	Services in champagne cases .....	850 00
Sept. 23	William M. Everts.....	Services in silk cases.....	1,500 00
Nov. 2	Samuel Blatchford.....	Services in mousseline de laine and sole leather cases .....	750 00
Nov. 20	Bowen & Rogers.....	Services in revenue cases .....	435 20
Nov. 29	Allan Pinkerton.....	Services and expenses in wine cases .....	317 90
Dec. 18	William M. Everts.....	Services in wine cases, New York .....	1,500 00
1867.			
Jan. 16	Perkins Bass.....	Services in relation to title, &c., marine hospital, Chicago...	600 00
Jan. 16	William M. Everts.....	Services and argument in relation to penal duties.....	500 00

TREASURY DEPARTMENT,  
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS,  
February 24, 1868.

SIR: I have the honor to transmit a statement of the requisitions which have been drawn in this office on F. E. Spinner, special agent, for amounts payable for legal services out of the proceeds of captured and abandoned property, which statement furnishes all the information which can be derived from the records of this office in the division of captured and abandoned property called for by the resolution of the House of Representatives, on motion of Hon. Mr. Jenckes, a copy of which resolution was transmitted to me.

Very respectfully, your obedient servant,

N. SARGENT,  
*Commissioner of Customs.*

Hon. H. McCULLOCH,  
*Secretary of the Treasury.*

Date.	To whom paid.	Nature of service.	Amount.
1865.			
Sept. 4	James Hughes.....	For services in connection with captured and abandoned property.	\$500 00
Oct. 30	Caleb Cushing.....	For services in suit United States vs. Priolian and others, and United States vs. The Beatrice, The Gibraltar, and the Comelian.	1,000 00
Nov. 11	Charles Eames.....	For services in connection with captured and abandoned property.	1,050 00
Dec. 2	S. G. Clark.....	For services in connection with confederate cotton.....	300 00
Dec. 4	Eben F. Stone.....	For services in connection with captured property.....	500 00
1866.			
Feb. 26	William N. Grover....	For services in the case of Miles Sells, administrator of the estate of J. K. Elgee, vs. O. Lovell.	750 00
April 2	G. G. Fogg.....	For expenses in connection with captured and abandoned property.	1,000 00
April 3	Charles Eames.....	For services in settlement of the cotton claims of Gill & Co., and J. M. Callins.	500 00
May 14	Caleb Cushing.....	For services and opinion in captured property libelled as prize	1,000 00
April 5	S. G. Clark.....	For services as special counsel.....	350 29
April 9	Eben F. Stone.....	For services in cases of captured and abandoned property.....	299 60
May 22	Smith & Hopkins.....	For services rendered to the department.....	1,714 00
June 5	Hughes, Denver & Peck	For services rendered and expenses incurred.....	8,450 00
June 30	Eben F. Stone.....	do.....	435 00
Aug. 2	George H. Chandler....	For services and mileage.....	707 52
Aug. 10	Hughes, Denver & Peck	For services in connection with the Dennistown cotton.....	6,000 00
Sept. 3	A. Roane.....	For services in connection with Hughes, Denver & Peck.....	1,000 00
Oct. 18	Caleb Cushing.....	For services in the prosecution and recovery of certain ships of war and other property of the so-called confederate government in England and Cuba.	5,000 00
Nov. 7	George H. Chandler....	For expenses in relation to captured and abandoned property	7 50
Nov. 19	Charles Eames.....	For services rendered in certain cotton cases.....	2,500 00
Nov. 30	do.....	For services rendered in the case of 3,100 bales of cotton claimed by Messrs. Dwight & Gill.	500 00
Dec. 1	J. S. Whitaker.....	For services in the suit United States vs. Steamer Rob Roy and cargo.	5,000 00
Dec. 10	Charles Eames.....	For services in the case of Benjamin Jolley.....	250 00
Dec. 12	do.....	For services in the case of A. K. Shepard and Messrs. Parkman, Brooks & Co.	500 00
Dec. 18	do.....	For services in the case of John Duncans.....	500 00
1867.			
Jan. 10	John R. Goodloe.....	For services rendered in defending government officers in suit relating to captured and abandoned property.	2,815 00
Jan. 23	Albert G. Browne, jr..	For legal and other services rendered in the collection of 2,152 bales of cotton in south and east Georgia.	5,000 00
Jan. 25	Charles Eames.....	For services in the case of A. H. Lazare.....	250 00
Feb. 12	Hughes, Denver & Peck	For services rendered in the recovery of \$9,000.....	2,350 00
March 21	Caleb Cushing.....	For services in relation to captured and abandoned property.....	2,500 00
April 11	Charles D. Drake.....	For services in the case of Elgee vs. Lovell.....	5,000 00
April 16	Hughes, Denver & Peck	For services for United States in relation to gold and silver bull on claimed by Bank of Richmond, Virginia.	10,000 00
May 24	do.....	For three-eighths proceeds of cotton awarded for services and information furnished in securing said cotton.	12,808 00
May 28	L. H. Chandler.....	For services in relation to tobacco claimed by Rothschild....	1,000 00
May 29	Charles Eames.....	For services with certain claims before this department.....	2,500 00
June 18	D. T. Corbin.....	For services and expenses in the case United States vs. Trenchholm & Co.	281 00
Aug. 1	William M. Everts....	For services in the case of Alexander M. Douglass vs. Schooner L. S. Davis and 600 bales of cotton.	1,500 00



AMOUNTS PAID FOR LEGAL SERVICES

Date.	To whom paid.	Nature of services.	Amount.
1867.			
Aug. 12	Harris, Harris & Davis.	For services as counsel for C. A. Monroe & Co.	\$625 00
Sept. 9	James Simons	For services United States vs. John Frazer & Co.	1,000 00
Sept. 27	Isaac T. Redfield	For expense attending his mission to Europe.	500 00
Sept. 28	James Simons	For services with United States district attorney in suit United States vs. John Frazer & Co.	1,000 00
Oct. 4	D. T. Corbin	For services United States vs. John Frazer & Co.	500 00
Oct. 5	Caleb Cushing	For services in relation to captured and abandoned property.	1,050 00
Oct. 18	do	For services in relation to recovery of property in hands of agents in the so-called Confederate States.	5,000 00
Oct. 31	Edgar Cowan	For services in relation to captured and abandoned property.	1,000 00
Nov. 13	Caleb Cushing	For miscellaneous expenses in cases pending against Draper and others.	2,250 00
Nov. 11	D. T. Corbin	For services in certain cotton cases	1,000 00
Nov. 12	Samuel G. Courtney	For services in Dennistoun cotton cases and other suits.	2,000 00
Nov. 18	George S. Hillard	For expenses in relation to steamer <i>Couquette</i> .	4,500 26
Dec. 19	D. T. Corbin	For services in Charleston cotton cases.	150 00
Dec. 26	Messrs. Mann & Parsons	For services in connection with Charles Eames.	1,000 00
Dec. 28	Hughes, Denver & Peck	For services in relation to cotton claimed by Dennistoun & Co.	5,000 00

TREASURY DEPARTMENT, SOLICITOR'S OFFICE,

March 27, 1868.

SIR: In reply to your letter of this date, enclosing a resolution of Congress passed February 11, 1868, and requesting me to furnish the information desired, so far as transactions under my contract of July 17, 1865, are embraced by the inquiries, I have the honor to state, that since the date of my contract the expenses incurred by me have been \$1,989 88. That during that time I have collected out of cases covered by my contract, \$53,697 97, and have received as commissions thereon \$2,684 89. That John Hanna, late United States district attorney of Indiana, was employed by the Secretary of the Treasury, on the 5th day of January, 1867, to assist in collection of the claim of the United States against James T. Pollock *et al.*, and was paid \$200 for his services therein. That Austin Adams, at Dubuque, Iowa, was employed by the Secretary of the Treasury, July 15, 1867, to assist in collection of judgment in favor of the United States vs. A. K. Eaton *et al.*, of Iowa, for such fee as shall be considered reasonable by the Secretary. That Allen and Viehe, of Vincennes, Indiana, were employed by the Secretary of the Treasury, June 11, 1867, to assist in collection of a judgment in favor of the United States vs. Hall Neilson and the Bank of Vincennes for such fee as the Secretary shall consider reasonable, after the work is completed. That Lincoln, Smith and Warnock, of Cincinnati, Ohio, were employed by the Secretary of the Treasury, March 23, 1867, to assist in the collection of judgments in favor of the United States vs. John C. S. Harrison *et al.*, and vs. Robert T. Lytle *et al.*, for such fees as the Secretary shall consider reasonable, after the services shall be performed.

I am, very respectfully, your obedient servant,

WILLIAM P. MELLETT,

Special Attorney, &c.

Hon. EDWARD JORDAN,  
Solicitor of the Treasury.