have urged House acceptance of the Senate amendment; and why bipartisan members of the House Judiciary Committee and its Monopolies and Commercial Law Subcommittee have urged House acceptance of the Senate amendment has been expressed by the members of the House Judiciary Committee and its Monopolies and Commercial Law Subcommittee.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. Under the present law, as I understand it, in an antitrust case, the losing party in the lower court may file an appeal directly to the Supreme Court.

Mr. RODINO. That is correct.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. Under the bill as passed by the House, it was intended that the Attorney General could determine whether or not an appeal should go directly to the Supreme Court. In all other cases an appeal would lie with the circuit court of appeals. Now, as I understand it, the Senate amendment provides that the circuit judge who hears the case will determine whether an appeal shall lie directly to the Supreme Court or whether the appeal will lie with the circuit court of appeals; is that correct?

Mr. RODINO. That is correct.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. With that explanation, Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection, and I have no objection to the Members of the House concurring with the Senate amendment.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Jersey?

There was no objection.

The Senate amendment to the House amendment was concurred in.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CALL OF THE HOUSE

Mr. OROSS. Mr. Speaker, I make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER. Evidently a quorum is not present.

Mr. ROGERS. Mr. Speaker, I move a call of the House.

A call of the House was ordered.

The call was taken by electronic device, and the following Members failed to respond:

Alexander
Ashley
Barrett
Beard
Biatnik
Bresco
Breau
Brown, Ohio
Buchanan
Burke, Calif.
Burton, John
Carey, N.Y.
Chisholm
Clark
Collier
Conoley
Davis, Ga.
Dew
Diggie
Dillen
du Pont
Ech
Emerson
Fisher
Ford
Gifford
Gotby
Glenn
Gilmore
Gibbons

[Roll No. 668]

The SPEAKER. On this rollecall 354 Members have recorded their presence by electronic device, a quorum.

By unanimous consent, further proceedings under the call were dispensed with.

PERMISSION FOR COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS TO FILE CERTAIN REPORTS

Mr. ROE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Public Works have until midnight tonight, December 11, 1974, to file reports on the following bills:

S. 3934, the Federal-Aid Highway Amendments of 1974;

H.R. 17556, to amend the act of May 13, 1954, relating to the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation to provide for a 7-year term of office for the Administrator, and for other purposes;

S. 4073, to extend certain authorizations under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, and for other purposes; and

H.R. 17589, to designate the new Poe lock on the Saint Marys River at Sault Sainte Marie, Mich., as the "John A. Blatchick lock."

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Jersey?

There was no objection.

REAL ESTATE SETTLEMENT COSTS

Mr. PATMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the immediate consideration of the conference report on the Senate bill (S. 3164) to provide for greater disclosure of the nature and costs of real estate settlement services, to eliminate the payment of kickbacks and unearned fees in connection with settlement services provided in federally related mortgage transactions, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. PATMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the further reading of the statement of the managers be read in lieu of the further reading of the statement.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the statement.

The conference report and statement, see proceedings of the House of December 9, 1974.

Mr. PATMAN (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the further reading of the statement of the managers be dispensed with.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. PATMAN).