

**Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary**  
**Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)**

**Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)**

1. Date of Submission: February 8, 2012
2. Agency: Department of Justice
3. Bureau: Bureau of Prisons (BOP)
4. Name of the Investment: Secure Female FCI, Aliceville, AL
5. Justification for Investment

Construction of the Aliceville Secure Female Federal Correctional Institution (FCI) will greatly reduce crowding levels in female correctional facilities, as well as the BOP's overall crowding rate. As of January 12, 2012, crowding in secure female facilities was 53% over rated capacity. Secure Female FCI Aliceville is designed as a 1,536 bed prison with a 256 bed work camp (minimum security). The project was awarded as a design/build project using a negotiated procurement which allows contractors input on all aspects of the project. The project received funding in 2006, 2007 and 2008. Phase 2 solicitation was issued on December 21, 2007. Offerors received solicitation packages on December 26, 2007. A pre-proposal meeting was held January 24, 2008 in Aliceville. The Record of Decision was signed on April 3, 2008. The Design-Build contract was awarded on 7/31/08. The notice to proceed for design was issued on September 8, 2008. Construction of the Secure Female FCI is expected to be completed by end of January 2012.

This investment will provide a Federal correctional facility which directly supports the BOP's mission to protect society by confining offenders in the controlled environments of prisons and community-based facilities that are safe, humane, cost-efficient, and appropriately secure, and that provide work and other self-improvement opportunities to assist offenders in becoming law-abiding citizens. It supports the Department of Justice Strategic Goal 3: Ensure and Support the Fair, Impartial, Efficient, and Transparent Administration of Justice at the Federal, State, Local, Tribal and International Levels.

6. Accountability

Enter the names and contact information for the following officials.

a. Business Sponsor

The Business Sponsor is the individual with the authority to allocate resources and make Personnel decisions.

i. Name	<u>W. Scott Higgins</u>
ii. Title	<u>Chief of Design &amp; Construction</u>
iii. Telephone	*
iv. Email	*

b. Program/Project Manager

i. Name	<u>W. Scott Higgins</u>
ii. Telephone	*

- iii. E-mail \*
- iv. Qualifications 2

The Project Manager has over 40 years in the design and construction of correctional facilities. As Chief of Design and Construction, Federal Bureau of Prisons, he is responsible for the largest federal prison building program in history. He is a licensed architect and is currently applying for the FAC-P/PM. Certification of his qualifications is estimated to be received by March 2012 at the Expert/Advanced level.

7. Summary of Funding

<b>Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)</b> (Estimates for BY +1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	<b>PY-1 and Earlier</b>	<b>PY 2011</b>	<b>CY 2012</b>	<b>BY 2013</b>	<b>BY+1 2014</b>	<b>BY+2 2015</b>	<b>BY+3 2016</b>	<b>BY+4 And Beyond</b>	<b>Total</b>
Planning:	1.4								1.4
Acquisition:	213.3	4.5	3.8	1.74					223.34
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition	214.7	4.5	3.8	1.74					224.74
Operations & Maintenance:									
Residual Value/Disposal Cost:									
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>214.7</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>1.74</b>					<b>224.74</b>

8. Acquisition Plan

- a. Has an Acquisition Plan been developed? Yes
- b. If an Acquisition Plan has been developed, answer the following questions.
  - i. Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1? Yes
  - ii. Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements? Yes
  - iii. If the Plan was approved, enter the date of approval. November 2005
  - iv. Is the Acquisition Plan consistent with agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan? Yes
  - v. Does the Acquisition Plan meet the requirement of EO 13423? Yes
  - vi. Does the Acquisition Plan meet the requirement of EO 13514? Yes
- c. If an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation. N/A
- d. Enter all (including non-Federal) current and planned contracts and task orders in Table 2. Completed contracts and task orders do not need to be listed. Total Value should include option years. If a contract has not been awarded, estimates of dates, dollar values and any other information should be provided. Data definitions can be found at [www.usaspending.gov/learn?tab=FAQ#2](http://www.usaspending.gov/learn?tab=FAQ#2).
- e. Do all Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID) and Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) PIID entries match [www.USAspending.gov](http://www.USAspending.gov)? Yes
- f. Do all Solicitation IDs match Fed BizOpps at [www.fbo.gov](http://www.fbo.gov)? Yes

g. If Earned Value Management is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders, provide a brief explanation.

Earned Value Management is considered a major system acquisition and is not utilized in BOP construction contracts.

<b>Table 2: Contracts Table</b>				
<b>Field</b>	<b>Data Description</b>	<b>Contract 1</b>	<b>Contract 2</b>	<b>Contract X</b>
<b>Contract Status</b>	Awarded			
<b>Contracting Agency ID</b>	15-8-1003			
<b>Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)</b>				
<b>Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID</b>	Delivery Order Purchase Order	DJBCCSHA310091 DJBCCSTA310153A	DJBCCSIA310176 DJBCCSIA310098	
<b>Solicitation ID</b>				
<b>Alternative Financing</b>	N			
<b>EVM Required</b>	N			
<b>Ultimate Contract Value</b>		\$200,519,506.80		
<b>Type of Contract/Task Order(Pricing)</b>				
<b>Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?</b>	N			
<b>Effective date</b>		7/31/08		
<b>Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order</b>		07/23/2012		
<b>Extent Competed</b>	Full & Open Competition			

9. Alternatives Analysis

An Alternatives Analysis must evaluate the costs and the benefits of at least three alternatives and the status quo. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request.

- a. Was an Alternatives Analysis conducted? Yes
- b. If an Alternatives Analysis was conducted, answer the following questions.
  - i. What is the date of the analysis? November 2005
  - ii. How many alternatives were evaluated? 4
  - iii. Did the analysis evaluate the costs and the benefits of each alternative? Yes
  - iv. Briefly summarize the rationale for selected alternative.

Constructing a new facility was the alternative determined to provide the greatest benefit to taxpayers and ultimately be more cost effective than the other alternatives.

c. If an Alternatives Analysis was not conducted, provide a brief explanation. N/A

10. Risk Management

Risk must be actively managed throughout the lifecycle of the investment. The Risk Management Plan must be available to OMB upon request.

a. Has a Risk Management Plan been developed? Yes

b. If a Risk Management Plan has been developed, answer the following questions:

i. What is the date of the plan? April 2011

ii. Does the plan include a list of risks? Yes

iii. Does the plan include the probability of occurrence for each risk? Yes

iv. Does the plan include the impact of each risk? Yes

v. Does the plan include a mitigation strategy for each risk? Yes

vi. Does the plan include activity managing risk throughout the lifecycle? Yes

c. If a Risk Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation. N/A

11. Performance Information

The investment must support the agency’s strategic goals. The performance goals must be clearly measurable and quantifiable.

<b>Table 3: Performance Information</b>					
<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Strategic Goal(s) Supported</b>	<b>Measurement Area</b>	<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Actual Results</b>
2010	3.3	Crowding by security level	42%	49%	49%
2011	3.3	Crowding by security level	49%	55%	54%
2012	3.3	Crowding by security level	54%	58%	N/A
2013	3.3	Crowding by security level	(Actual FY 2012 )	29%	N/A
2014	3.3	Crowding by security level	(Actual FY 2013)	19%	N/A

b. Explanations:

The table represents inmate crowding by project’s security level, by Fiscal Year.