Yet, with this fact staring us in the face, we continue to fool ourselves with a civil defense program that is not only unplanned for defense in a nuclear age, but incompetent in its implementation.

More important, the civil defense program may even jeopardize American lives. Furthermore, it hampers our military effort for defense in a nuclear age. The more realistic approach would be the complete integration of our civil and military defense programs.

The only potential enemy this Nation faces is the Soviet Union. Regardless of the attack and mass retaliation, the facts are, according to the most recent information, that in the recent years there has not been even one air-raid drill. As far as American observers know, there is not an air-raid shelter in the Soviet Union, other than shelters that have been taken over from the World War II period. Nor are there any slogans or signs where to find them. Except for a probability that at the top of a civil defense program, is largely a myth.

The public is becoming indignant, as it should be in view of the evident ineptness of paid civil defense officials.

Citizens, who have very patriotically offered their services without any expectation of whatever or salaries and without receiving any compensation, have worked hard and in many instances have made tremendous sacrifices. I pay tribute to these worthy men and women.

If civil defense functions are taken over by local defense forces, as has been the situation in most, if not all, of our allied nations, then these good people will, no doubt, continue to serve their communities as auxiliary aide to law enforcement officials.

Citizens have been utterly confused by conflicting evacuation plans which should never have been promulgated in the first place.

When one observes traffic jams in rush hours, it does not take much intelligence to visualize what would happen in event of an attack on atomic bombs and missiles.

The Committee on Government Operations of the House of Representatives has reported that civil defense plans for evacuation of cities, if there is enough warning, simply will not work. This committee has reported that shelters to be effective against radioactive fallout, would cost from $12 billion to $20 billion. Frankly, in the event of a sudden attack and the resultant catastrophe within 20 or 30 minutes of the time missiles were fired from the Soviet Union, or within 10 or 15 minutes of the time missiles with atomic warheads were fired from submarines off our coasts, of what good would inanimate and expensive civil defense shelters be except for the comparatively few able to get to them in a matter of minutes?

Also, 3 years would be required, even if there were adequate appropriations, to complete the job of building such atomic shelters.

In Canada the at first similar facts crystallized the belief that the national guard of Canada was not only capable of defense in that Nation, but could be a real force to consider in other countries, including the United States.

In England and other countries, the guard, or similar organizations, have taken over functions of civil defense.

The taxpayers have borne the cost of more than a quarter of a billion copies of civil defense publications. Most of the money spent has been utterly wasted.

All are confused by the conflicting evacuation plans, which have worked hard and in many instances, met with frustrations and disappointment because of the ineptness of paid bureaucrats in the Federal civil defense and various State and city civil defense setups.

I question the value of the tremendous papery's money for civil defense in terms of what it has done and what it will do for the defense of the Nation.

Mr. President, the entire civil defense program on which vast sums of money have been expended could well stand thorough investigation.

INTERNATIONAL PUBLIC HEALTH AND MEDICAL RESEARCH YEAR—ADDITIONAL COSPONSORSHIP OF RESOLUTION

Mr. CASE of New Jersey. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the name be added as a cosponsor of the resolution (S. Res. 129) favoring continued cooperation in health and research activities submitted by Senator from Minnesota [Mr. Humphrey] on June 5, 1959.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE IN CERTAIN CASES—PRINTING OF MINORITY VIEWS AS PART 2 OF SENATE REPORT NO. 451

Mr. DIKSEN. Mr. President, on July 2, I asked unanimous consent that the individual views of the junior Senator from Illinois on S. 716, a bill to authorize the Attorney General to compel the production of documentary evidence required in civil investigations for the enforcement of the antitrust laws and for other purposes, be filed and printed as part 2 of Senate Report No. 451. That unanimous-consent request was granted by the Senate.

The senior Senator from North Carolina [Mr. Evans] has joined with me in these minority views, and I now ask unanimous consent to file these minority views on behalf of the senior Senator from North Carolina and myself.

I ask unanimous consent that these minority views be printed as part 2 of Senate Report No. 451.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the minority views will be printed, as requested by the Senator from Illinois.