

**Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary**  
**Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)**

**Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)**

1. Date of Submission: 2/5/2010
2. Agency: Department of Justice
3. Bureau: Justice Management Division
4. Name of this Investment: JMD Law Enforcement Wireless Communication (LEWC)
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53.9. For all other, use agency ID system.) 011-03-01-03-01-1640-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2011? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY 2011, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY 2011 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) Mixed Life Cycle
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2001 or earlier

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap; this description may include links to relevant information which should include relevant GAO reports, and links to relevant findings of independent audits.

The Integrated Wireless Network (IWN), allows the Department to rapidly establish and implement a minimum operational baseline to achieve its strategic goals, while allowing for increased functionality when funding permits. IWN supports the replacement and modernization of failing radio systems, correction of security deficiencies, addresses mandated technical standards (for which the Department is currently in violation), and achieves communications standards that directly support Agent Safety for the DOJ's four law enforcement agencies (ATF, DEA, FBI, USMS). The consolidated IWN approach leverages existing Agency radio sites wherever practical, while focusing on providing efficient, comparable coverage for ATF, DEA, FBI, and the USMS. All four Law Enforcement components will share a unified radio network, thus eliminating redundant coverage and duplicative radio sites.

To address the increasing sophistication of criminals and terrorists, the DOJ's law enforcement missions require wireless communications with the following capabilities:

Coverage- flexible communications services available wherever agents need to operate.

Security- voice communications must be encrypted.

Reliability- communications services must always be available.

Interoperability- DOJ agents must be able to communicate with agents and officers from other federal, state and local law enforcement agencies. The interoperability must be dynamic and achieved rapidly in order to account for changing environments and degree of needs of officer safety.

Flexibility- communication services type depends on mission (surveillance, arrest, protective detail, task force coordination, incident response).

These capabilities will support the wide range of DOJ agent missions including, but not limited to: investigative or counterintelligence surveillance operations; protective details for VIPs; fugitive or other task force arrest operations; and coordination with state and local law enforcement during an emergency scenario.

In addition to IWN, the LEWC account provides the resources for DOJ Legacy Operations and Maintenance. Special Projects represent a third line-of-business supported by the LEWC account. This includes support for national events such as the Super Bowl, the Democratic and Republican National Conventions, Olympic Trials, 25 Cities Project, and unforeseen natural disasters.

**\*\*Current Cost Model is being revised\*\*.**

- a. Provide here the date of any approved rebaselining within the past year, the date for the most recent (or planned) alternatives analysis for this investment, and whether this investment has a risk management plan and risk register.
- Rebaselining: N/A  
 Alternatives Analysis: 4/28/2008  
 Risk Management: Yes

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? Yes

- a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 5/12/2006

10. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?

Name

Phone Number

Email

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per FAC-P/PM)?

12. If this investment is a financial management system, then please fill out the following as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory (FMSI):			
OMB ID	Financial management system name(s)	System Acronym	Unique Project Identifier (UPI) number

a) If this investment is a financial management system AND the investment is part of the core financial system then select the primary FFMI compliance area that this investment addresses (choose only one):

**Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)**

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. Funding for all costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report. Funding levels should be shown for budget authority by year consistent with funding levels in Exhibit 53. The Summary of Funding table shall include the amounts allocated to the investment from, and should be directly tied to, the Fiscal Year Budget. This includes direct appropriations (discretionary or mandatory accounts), user fees, and approved self-funding activities and will provide the actual annual "budget" for the investment. This "budget" will be a subset of the congressionally approved budget for each fiscal year. This will provide Departments/Agencies and OMB useful information on the actual Fiscal Year dollars being asked for and spent on an investment.

SUMMARY OF FUNDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)									
(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2009	CY 2010	BY 2011	BY+1 2012	BY+2 2013	BY+3 2014	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:									
Acquisition:									
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:									
Operations & Maintenance:									
Disposition Costs (optional):									
SUBTOTAL:									
<b>Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.</b>									
Government FTE Costs									
Number of FTE represented by Costs:									
TOTAL (incl. FTE costs)									

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

Note 2: The two sub-total rows and total row will be calculated – not for data entry.

2. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY2010 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

**Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)**

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Exhibit 300: JMD Law Enforcement Wireless Communication (LEWC) (Revision 13)

Contract/Task Orders Table											* Costs in millions
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)
DJJ06-C-1410	FFP, T&M, CPFF, CPIF, CPAF	Yes	6/8/2006	6/8/2006	5/31/2021	10000	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes
GS23F0005K	T&M	Yes	11/9/2004	11/9/2004	9/30/2010	33.479	No	No	Yes	NA	No
DJJ410-0002	CPFF	Yes	8/30/2007	8/30/2007	10/10/2010	18.542	No	No	No	NA	Yes
DJJ410-0003	CPFF	Yes	8/7/2009	8/7/2009	6/6/2010	2.793	No	No	No	NA	Yes
DJJ1410-0004	CPFF	Yes	9/29/2009	9/29/2009	4/27/2010	23.58	No	No	No	NA	Yes

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:  
The following contract does not require EVM for the following reasons:

Contract 2) This contract/task order expires September 09, all EVM for this contract is managed by the PMO.

All future DME contracts/task orders will be requiring the contractor to provide ANSI/EIA 748 compliant EVM data and reports.

3. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? Yes

a. If "yes," what is the date? 8/13/2004

**NOTE: Data structure to be used to identify contract numbers in FPDS.**

To assist in the linkage of Contract/Task Order Numbers from the Acquisition Strategy table to FPDS, agencies should provide the following information for "Contract/Task Order Numbers" based on the FPDS-NG data requirements (as specified in the FPDS-NG Data Element Dictionary- <http://www.fpdsg.com/downloads/FPDS-Data-Dictionary-Version1.3.pdf>):

Part of Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV)?	Procurement Instrument Identifier	Example
Yes	Data Element 1A (NTE 50 characters)	"00063200203DNBCHC020042"
No	Data Element 1A, and the Referenced PIID, Data Element 1C (NTE 100 characters)	"GS09Q08DN0165-IDV-GS10F0216N"

**Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)**

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan and the relevant Agency Segment Architecture. The investment must discuss its performance measures in support of the agency's mission and strategic goals as outlined in the corresponding Segment Architecture. Performance measures (indicators) must be provided. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at [www.whitehouse.gov/omb/e-gov](http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/e-gov). The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Performance Information Table											
OMB ID	Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results	Rating	Include In OMB Dashboard
17168	2007	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Number of Top 25 Cities with Interoperable Solution Deployed	23	24	24		Synchronize
17169	2007	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, and Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	N/A	\$1.3 million	\$1.331 million		Synchronize
17170	2007	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice	Processes and Activities	Quality	Complaints	% USMS districts Consolidated onto FBI systems	90%	95%	93%		Synchronize

Exhibit 300: JMD Law Enforcement Wireless Communication (LEWC) (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table											
OMB ID	Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results	Rating	Include In OMB Dashboard
17171	2007	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Justice units converted to IWN Narrowband compliant units	48% portable; 62% mobile	52% portable; 64% mobile	52% portable; 64% mobile		Synchronize
17172	2008	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Number of Top 25 Cities with Interoperable Solution Deployed	24	25	26		Synchronize
17173	2008	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, and Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	10%	10% discount of total contract purchase price	3% discount of total contract purchase price		Synchronize
17178	2008	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Processes and Activities	Quality	Complaints	% USMS Districts consolidated onto FBI systems	95%	100%	95%		Synchronize
17179	2008	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Justice units converted to IWN Narrowband compliant units	52% portable; 64% mobile	56% portable; 68% mobile	56% portable; 68% mobile		Synchronize
17180	2009	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Number of Top 25 Cities with Interoperable Solution Deployed	26	26	26		Synchronize
17174	2009	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, and Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	10%	10% discount of total contract purchase price	7%		Synchronize
17181	2009	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Processes and Activities	Quality	Complaints	% USMS Districts consolidated onto FBI system	95%	97%	96%		Synchronize
17182	2009	Prevent Crime,	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution	% Justice units	56%portable; 68%	65% portable;	78% portable;		Synchronize

Exhibit 300: JMD Law Enforcement Wireless Communication (LEWC) (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table											
OMB ID	Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results	Rating	Include In OMB Dashboard
		Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People			to Process, Customer, or Mission	converted to IWN Narrowband compliant units	mobile	77% mobile	77% mobile		
17183	2010	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Number of Top 25 Cities iwth Interoperable Solution Deployed	26	26	TBD		Synchronize
17175	2010	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, and Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	10%	10% discount of total contract purchase price	TBD		Synchronize
17184	2010	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	% USMS Districts consolidated onto FBI system	100%	100%	TBD		Synchronize
17185	2010	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Narrow Band Conversion	30%	31%	TBD		Synchronize
17186	2010	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% AES Compliant	20%	21%	TBD		Synchronize
17191	2010	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Justice units converted to Narrowband compliant units	65% portable; 77% mobile	70% portable; 82% mobile	TBD		Synchronize
17176	2011	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, and Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	10%	10% discount of total contract purchase price	TBD		Synchronize
17187	2011	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or	% Narrowband Conversion	31%	37%	TBD		Synchronize

Exhibit 300: JMD Law Enforcement Wireless Communication (LEWC) (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table											
OMB ID	Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results	Rating	Include In OMB Dashboard
		Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People			Mission						
17188	2011	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% AES Compliant	21%	27%	TBD		Synchronize
17192	2011	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Justice Units converted to Narrowband compliant units	70% portable; 82% mobile	90% portable; 100% mobile	TBD		Synchronize
17177	2012	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, and Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	10%	10% discount of total contract purchase price	TBD		Synchronize
17189	2012	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Narrowband conversion	37%	66%	TBD		Synchronize
17190	2012	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% AES compliant	27%	56%	TBD		Synchronize
17193	2012	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Justice Units converted to Narrowband compliant units	90% portable; 100% modbile	95% portable; 100% mobile	TBD		Synchronize

**Section E: Security (IT Capital Assets only)**

For IT investments, agencies should maintain up-to-date tracking of which systems in the FISMA inventory support any IT investment. Linking major IT investments to FISMA systems will be addressed outside the context of the A-11 budget submission of the Exhibit 300.

**Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)**

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

Have the requisite investment-level architecture documentation requirements (e.g., reference model mappings, FTF mappings, etc.) for this investment been documented in the corresponding Segment Architecture? For detailed guidance regarding segment architecture requirements, please refer to [www.whitehouse.gov/omb/e-gov](http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/e-gov). See this guidance also regarding the reporting of six digit codes corresponding to agency segment architectures in Exhibit 53, and, for limited cases determined by the Chief Architect, reporting an investment alignment with multiple segments. Yes

**Exhibit 300: Part II: Planning, Acquisition and Performance Information**

Part II should be completed only for investments identified as "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

**Section A: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)**

Agencies should be measuring the performance of operational assets against the baseline established during the planning or full acquisition phase (i.e., operational analysis), or, where approved, the current baseline, and be properly operating and maintaining the asset to maximize its useful life. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements.

EVM is required only on Planning or Acquisitions portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included in the cost and schedule performance table. This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, or approved current baseline.

For investments including Planning or Acquisitions spending, complete the following table on milestones used to measure cost and schedule performance, representing only one level of the investment's Work Breakdown Structure. This should generally show Level 3 of the Work Breakdown Structure. For activities related to Operations and Maintenance included in Mixed Life Cycle investments, provide milestones used to track cost and schedule performance in the same format used for development activities milestones.

**1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:**

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004"), baseline and actual start dates, and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Completed'-both Planned and Actual-fields are required.

Description of Milestone	Total Cost		Baseline (mm/dd/yyyy)				Percentages Complete	
	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Start Date		Completion Date		Planned	Actual
			Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual		
IWN Program			10/1/2008	10/1/2008	3/31/2015		0.95%	0.95%
IWN O&M			4/1/2003	3/1/2005	6/14/2011		28.69%	12.8%
Wireless Management PMO			10/1/2007	10/1/2007	9/29/2010		30%	6%
<b>Project Totals</b>			<b>4/1/2003</b>	<b>4/1/2003</b>	<b>3/31/2015</b>		<b>15.26%</b>	<b>15.00%</b>