

## Reference List of Articles Provided to Commissioners at NCFS 2<sup>nd</sup> Meeting

Due to copyright laws, we cannot post copies of the articles on the National Commission on Forensic Science website. Articles are listed in the order that they were provided to Commissioners in their printed binders. Most of the articles were suggested and provided by speakers addressing the Commission.

Saul M. Kassin, Itiel E. Dror, Jeff Kukucka, *The Forensic Confirmation Bias: Problems, Perspectives, and Proposed Solutions*. 2 Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition 42, 52 (2013).

Itiel E. Dror, Saul M. Kassin, Jeff Kukucka, *New Application of Psychology to Law: Improving Forensic Evidence and Expert Witness Contributions*. 2 Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition 78, 81 (2013).

William C. Thompson, *Interpretation: Observer Effects*. In Wiley Encyclopedia of Forensic Science (editors: Alan Jamieson and A. Moenseens). 1575, 1579 (2009).

William C. Thompson, *What Role Should Investigative Facts Play in the Evaluation of Scientific Evidence*. 43 Australian J. Forensic Sci. 123, 134 (2011).

Christophe Champod, *Editorial: Research Focused Mainly on Bias will Paralyse Forensic Science*. 54 Sci. Justice 107, 109 (2014).

D. Michael Risinger, et al. *Letter to the Editor: Regarding Champod, editorial: "Research Focused Mainly on Bias will Paralyse Forensic Science"*. Sci. Justice (submitted).

Itiel Dror, *Editorial: The Ambition to Be Scientific: Human Expert Performance and Objectivity*. 53 Sci. Justice 81, 82 (2013).

Itiel E. Dror, *Practical Solutions to Cognitive and Human Factor Challenges in Forensic Science*. 4 Forensic Science Policy & Management 1, 9 (2013).

David H. Kaye (editor), *Latent Print Examination and Human Factors: Improving the Practice through a Systems Approach: The Report of the Expert Working Group on Human Factors in Latent Print Analysis*. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology (2012).

Dan E. Krane, et al. *Letter to the Editor: Sequential Unmasking: A Means of Minimizing Observer Effects in Forensic DNA Interpretation*. 53 J. Forensic Sci. 1006, 1007 (2008).

Jeffrey D. Wells, *Commentary on: Krane DE, ... Sequential Unmasking: A Means of Minimizing Observer Effects in Forensic DNA Interpretation*. J Forensic Sci 2008;53(4):1006-7. 54 J. Forensic Sci. 500 (2009).

Dan E. Krane, et al. *Authors' Response*. 54 J. Forensic Sci. 501 (2009).

Brent Ostrum, *Commentary on: Authors' Response [J Forensic Sci 2009;54(2):501] to Wells' comments [J Forensic Sci 2009;54(2):500] Regarding Krane DE, ...* 54 J. Forensic Sci. 1498, 1499 (2009).

Dan E. Krane, et al. *Authors' Response*. 54 J. Forensic Sci. 1500, 1501 (2009).

Dan E. Krane, et al. *Commentary on: Budowle B, ... A Perspective on Errors, Bias, and Interpretation in the Forensic Sciences and Direction for Continuing Advancement*. J Forensic Sci 2009;54(4):798-809. 55 J. Forensic Sci. 273, 274 (2010).

Bruce Budowle, *Authors' Response*. 55 J. Forensic Sci. 275, 276 (2010).

John L. Thornton, *Letter to the Editor—A Rejection of "Working Blind" as a Cure for Contextual Bias*. 55 J. Forensic Sci. 1663 (2010).

William C. Thompson, et al. *Commentary on: Thornton JL Letter to the Editor—A Rejection of "Working Blind" as a Cure for Contextual Bias*. 56 J. Forensic Sci. 562, 563 (2011).

D. Michael Risinger, *The NAS/NRC Report on Forensic Science: A Glass Nine-Tenths Full (This is about the Other Tenth)*. 50 Jurimetrics 21, 34 (2009).

John M. Collins & Jay Jarvis, *When We Talk about Bias, Let's Be Honest: Bias and Other Human Factors are Relevant, but Leave No Stone Unturned*. Crime Lab Report. March 2014. Available at [www.crimelabreport.com](http://www.crimelabreport.com).

## Reference List of Articles Provided to Commissioners at NCFS 2<sup>nd</sup> Meeting

Aric W. Dutelle, *Ethics and Forensic Science*. Evidence Technology Magazine. March-April 2011. Available at [www.EvidenceMagazine.com](http://www.EvidenceMagazine.com).

Jon J. Nordby, *Can We Believe What We See, If We See What We Believe? – Expert Disagreement*. 37 J. Forensic Sci. 1115, 1124 (1992).