

# Office of the Attorney General Washington, D. C. 20530

July 27, 2011

# MEMORANDUM TO ALL FEDERAL PROSECUTORS

FROM: Fric H. Holder, Jr. Attorney General

SUBJECT: Changes to Death Penalty Protocol

Department policy requires that all potential federal capital cases be submitted for review by the Department and a decision by the Attorney General regarding whether to seek the death penalty. The procedures for submission are set forth in the United States Attorneys' Manual and are commonly referred to as the Department's Death Penalty Protocol (DPP). See USAM §§ 9-10.010 to 9-10.190. Over the years, a variety of suggestions have been made about clarifying and amending the DPP. In response, I created the Capital Issue Team (CIT) of the Sentencing and Corrections Working Group and charged the CIT with reviewing and proposing revisions to the DPP. The CIT produced a comprehensive report on the Department's death penalty practices and set out a variety of options on how to improve the DPP. As a result of that comprehensive review, effective immediately, I am directing that the USAM's DPP provisions be revised as set forth here. The revised USAM provisions are attached.

#### **Expansion of Standards of Determination**

As currently drafted, the DPP's "Standards of Determination" provision, USAM § 9-10.130, sets forth in very broad terms the analysis that must be undertaken in determining whether the Department should seek the death penalty in a particular case. Although they are not specifically listed in the Standards of Determination, certain factors are commonly considered during this process, including the strength of the evidence, the role of the defendant in the capital offense, the defendant's willingness to plead guilty to a life or near-life term of imprisonment, and a variety of other factors probative of the jury's likelihood to impose a death sentence. The Standards of Determination are now modified to expressly recognize these factors and to clarify victim-related factors that appropriately may be considered. USAM § 9-10.130 (amended). Although a defendant's willingness to plead guilty will now expressly be recognized as a factor in the DPP analysis, all Department attorneys are reminded that they should not inform the defendant, court, or public of whether they recommend authorization of the plea agreement and that the death penalty may not be sought, and no attorney for the Government may threaten to seek it, solely for the purpose of obtaining a more desirable negotiating position. USAM § 9-10.110.

#### Pre-Indictment Case Review

The current DPP recommends pre-indictment Departmental review in appropriate cases. Pre-indictment determinations often avoid unnecessary expense for the government, the defense, and the courts. Accordingly, USAM § 9-10.050 is now amended to place even greater emphasis on pre-indictment review of potential capital cases. First, the caption of the section has been changed to more accurately reflect its purpose. Second, the DPP will now provide that, when a case is sufficiently developed for a pre-indictment determination not to seek the death penalty, a pre-indictment request should be submitted to the Department absent extenuating circumstances. Extenuating circumstances include, but are not limited to, the need for presentment of capital eligible charges to comply with the Speedy Trial Act, to effect arrest more swiftly, to address public safety concerns, or to address other specific law enforcement objectives. If a case is not sufficiently developed prior to indictment to make a determination about whether to seek the death penalty or if extenuating circumstances preclude pre-indictment review, Department attorneys nevertheless will now be encouraged to tender the case for review prior to obtaining submissions from defense counsel if they have determined they will recommend not seeking capital punishment. Finally, I direct the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division and the Attorney General's Advisory Committee to report to me in one year regarding the effectiveness of this early review procedure.

#### Term Limits for Review Committee Members

Pursuant to USAM § 9-10.120, all potential federal capital cases are reviewed by the Attorney General's Review Committee on Capital Cases, also known as the Capital Review Committee (CRC). The CRC is composed of attorneys from the Office of the Deputy Attorney General and the Office of the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division (AAG CRM), and at-large prosecutors from the United States Attorneys' Offices (USAOs) and the Department's Criminal Division (CRM). In the past, the specific tenure of the at-large members has not been designated. I am now requesting that the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division review the list of at-large CRC members and fill these positions for renewable terms of two years. These renewable, limited terms will allow CRC members from a wider variety of USAOs to develop expertise and have input in the review of capital cases.

#### **Expedited Review Process**

The DPP provides for expedited review for cases that fall within the following categories: (1) where the evidence fails to establish a threshold intent factor or statutory aggravating factor, (2) where the case requires extradition of a defendant or witness from a country that requires assurances that the death penalty will not be sought, (3) where proof of the defendant's guilt depends on proffer-protected statements by the defendant, and (4) where the defendant's cooperation is needed to pursue charges against other participants. Although the current version of USAM § 9-10.100 provides for submission of an "abbreviated memorandum" in such cases, the CIT found that the submissions seeking such expedited review are not, in fact, always

abbreviated. Effective immediately, I am directing that the submissions for all cases that qualify for expedited review be abbreviated to facilitate an expedited review. The required contents of these abbreviated submissions are now set forth in USAM § 9-10,100. Consistent with the preindictment review process described above, Department attorneys are not required to obtain mitigation or other information from defense counsel prior to submitting cases for expedited review unless otherwise directed by the AAG CRM. I also hereby request that the AAG CRM develop and utilize an abbreviated recommendation memorandum for cases in these categories.

#### Improved Record Keeping, Publication and Further Study

In past years, the Department has received requests from various individuals and entities seeking statistical and related information pertaining to the DPP process. Although the Department has complied with these requests as appropriate, the nature of the information requested often makes the compilation and production of the information both time consuming and labor intensive. CRM's Capital Case Unit (CCU) has begun the process of improving and automating the DPP data collection and retrieval process. In order to place the Department in the best position to comply with similar future requests, I am directing the CCU to complete its ongoing data collection and retrieval project. This project will allow the Department to respond to appropriate requests in a timely and efficient manner.

### **Reconsideration Process**

Under the former DPP, upon a request from a United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General for reconsideration of a prior decision to seek the death penalty, the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division, after consultation with the CCU, made a recommendation to the Attorney General through the Deputy Attorney General whether to authorize withdrawal of a death penalty notice. Under the revised DPP, the CRC will now make the recommendation to the Attorney General through the Deputy Attorney General upon a request for reconsideration. This revised procedure ensures that when a United States Attorney or an Assistant Attorney General asks the Attorney General to alter the initial decision based on changed circumstances, that request will be accorded review consistent with the process used for the original submission.

#### **Capital Case Coordinators**

Finally, USAOs and Department components are reminded that each office is required by USAM § 9-10.170 to designate a point of contact who is responsible for the required case-related reporting set forth in this section. In order to ensure full compliance with this provision, each office should designate such a point of contact and provide the name and contact information to Kevin Carwile, Chief of CCU, within 30 days of the date of this memorandum. USAOs should also provide this information to Gretchen Shappert at the Executive Office for United States Attorneys.

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS' MANUAL TITLE 9 - CRIMINAL DIVISION CHAPTER 9-10.000 CAPITAL CRIMES

### 9-10.010 Federal Prosecutions in Which the Death Penalty May be Sought

This Chapter sets forth the policies and procedures for all Federal cases in which a defendant is charged, or could be charged, with an offense subject to the death penalty. The provisions of this Chapter apply regardless of whether the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General intends to charge the offense subject to the death penalty or to request authorization to seek the death penalty for such an offense. The provisions in this Chapter are effective July 27, 2011, and they apply to any case currently under indictment.

### 9-10.020 Relevant Statutory Provisions

Federal death penalty procedure is based on the Federal Death Penalty Act of 1994, codified at 18 U.S.C. §§ 3591 to 3599.

The death penalty procedures introduced by the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, codified in Title 21, were repealed on March 6, 2006, when President Bush signed the USA PATRIOT Improvement and Reauthorization Act of 2005. A district indicting a Title 21 capital offense, *see* 21 U.S.C. § 848, that occurred before March 6, 2006, should consult with the Capital Case Unit of the Criminal Division regarding indictment and procedure.

#### 9-10.030 Purposes of the Capital Case Review Process

The review of cases under this Chapter culminates in a decision to seek, or not to seek, the death penalty against an individual defendant. Each such decision must be based upon the facts and law applicable to the case and be set within a framework of consistent and even-handed national application of Federal capital sentencing laws. Arbitrary or impermissible factors--such as a defendant's race, ethnicity, or religion--will not inform any stage of the decision-making process. The overriding goal of the review process is to allow proper individualized consideration of the appropriate factors relevant to each case.

#### 9-10.040 General Process Leading to the Attorney General's Determination

Except as otherwise provided herein (see §§ 9-10.100(D) and 9-10.150(B)), the Attorney General will make the final decision about whether to seek the death penalty. The Attorney General will convey the final decision to the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General in a letter authorizing him or her to seek or not to seek the death penalty.

The decision-making process preliminary to the Attorney General's final decision is confidential. Information concerning the deliberative process may only be disclosed within the Department and its investigative agencies as necessary to assist the review and decision-making. This confidentiality requirement does not extend to the disclosure of scheduling matters or the level at which the decision is pending within the Department during the review process. The scope of confidentiality includes, but is not limited to: (1) the recommendations of the United States Attorney's Office or Department component, the Attorney General's Review Committee on Capital Cases (hereinafter the "Capital Review Committee"), the Deputy Attorney General, and any other individual or office involved in reviewing the case; (2) a request by a United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General that the Attorney General authorize withdrawal of a previously filed notice of intent to seek the death penalty; (3) a request by a United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General that the Attorney General authorize not seeking the death penalty pursuant to the terms of a proposed plea agreement; and (4) the views held by anyone at any level of review within the Department.

In no event may the information identified in this paragraph be disclosed outside the Department and its investigative agencies without prior approval of the Attorney General. The United States Attorneys may exercise their discretion, however, to place additional limits on the scope of confidentiality in capital cases prosecuted in their Districts.

# 9-10.050 Requesting Authorization Not to Seek the Death Penalty Prior to Charging a Capital Offense or Prior to Receipt of Defense Mitigation Submission

Prior to seeking an indictment for an offense subject to the death penalty, the United States Attorney is strongly advised, but not required, to consult with the Capital Case Unit.

If possible, before obtaining an indictment charging a capital offense, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should make a preliminary determination of whether he or she will recommend that the death penalty be sought. If the case is sufficiently developed to allow the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General to make a pre-indictment determination that he or she will not recommend seeking the death penalty, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should, absent extenuating circumstances, submit the case expeditiously for review under the provisions of this Chapter prior to obtaining an indictment charging a capital-eligible offense. Extenuating circumstances include, but are not limited to, the need for presentment of capital eligible charges to comply with the Speedy Trial Act, to effect arrest more swiftly, to address public safety concerns, or to address other specific law enforcement objectives.

No decision to seek the death penalty will be made prior to the filing of a capital indictment. If after review of a pre-charge request not to seek the death penalty, the Attorney General determines that the case should be further developed prior to making a determination whether to seek the death penalty, then the Attorney General will deny the request for authorization not to seek the death penalty. The United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should then follow the procedures set forth elsewhere in this Chapter. In some cases the United States Attorney or the Assistant Attorney General may be unable to present a case for review prior to charging a capital offense but the case may be sufficiently developed to allow the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General to make a determination prior to receiving any submission from the defense that he or she will not recommend seeking the death penalty. In such case, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should, as soon as possible after charging a capital offense, submit the case for review under the provisions of this Chapter. If after reviewing a request that does not include input from counsel for the defendant(s), the Attorney General determines that the case should be further developed prior to making a determination not to seek the death penalty. The United States Attorney General will deny the request for authorization not to seek the death penalty. The United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney or Assistant Attorney General will deny the request for authorization not to seek the death penalty. The United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should then follow the procedures set forth elsewhere in this Chapter.

# 9-10.055 Requirement to Notify Capital Case Unit When a Capital Offense is Charged

In all cases, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General must immediately notify the Capital Case Unit when a capital offense is charged and provide the Unit with a copy of the indictment and cause number, even if the materials described in § 9-10.080, *infra*, are not yet ready for submission.

# 9-10.060 Special Findings in Indictments

For all charged offenses subject to the provisions of this Chapter, regardless of whether the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General ultimately recommends that the Attorney General authorize seeking the death penalty for the charged offense, the indictment shall allege as special findings: (1) that the defendant is over the age of 18; (2) the existence of the threshold intent factors specified in 18 U.S.C. § 3591(a)(2); and (3) the existence of the statutory aggravating factors specified in, as relevant, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3592(b), (c), or (d).

The indictment shall allege threshold intent and statutory aggravating factors that meet the criteria for commencing prosecution as set forth in USAM §§ 9-27.200, 9-27.220. Prosecuting Assistant United States Attorneys or Department trial attorneys are encouraged to consult with the Capital Case Unit regarding the inclusion of special findings in the indictment.

#### 9-10.070 Consultation with the Family of the Victim

Unless extenuating circumstances exist, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should consult with the family of the victim, if reasonably available, concerning the decision on whether to seek the death penalty. The United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should include the views of the victim's family concerning the death penalty in any submission made to the Department. The United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should notify

the family of the victim of all final decisions regarding the death penalty. This consultation should occur in addition to notifying victims of their rights under 18 U.S.C. § 3771.

## 9-10.080 Submissions from the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General

The United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General must submit to the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division every case in which an indictment has been or will be obtained that charges an offense punishable by death or alleges conduct that could be charged as an offense punishable by death. In any case in which the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General is considering whether to request approval to seek the death penalty, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General shall give counsel for the defendant a reasonable opportunity to present any facts, including any mitigating factors, for the consideration of the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General.

The United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General must make submissions to the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division as expeditiously as possible, but no fewer than 90 days before the Government is required, by an order of the court, to file a notice that it intends to seek the death penalty. In the absence of a court established deadline for the Attorney General's death penalty decision, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General must make the submission sufficiently in advance of trial to allow for both the 90 day time period encompassed by the review process plus any additional time necessary to ensure that a notice of intent to seek the death penalty is timely filed under 18 U.S.C. § 3593(a). If a case is not submitted 90 days in advance of a deadline for the Attorney General's decision or 150 days in advance of a scheduled trial date, the prosecution memorandum should include an explanation of why the submission is untimely.

The prosecution memoranda, death penalty evaluation forms, non-decisional information forms and any other internal memoranda informing the review process and the Attorney General's decision are not subject to discovery by the defendant or the defendant's attorney.

Submissions to the Capital Case Unit should note plainly whether the case fits any of the following unusual circumstances:

- A. The case is submitted for "expedited review," as described in Section 9-10.100, infra.
- B. The case presents a significant law enforcement reason for not seeking the death penalty (such as the defendant's willingness to cooperate in an important but difficult prosecution).
- C. The case has been submitted for pre-indictment review as provided in Section 9-10.050, *supra*.
- D. The case has been submitted prior to receipt of any submission from the defense as provided in Section 9-10.050, *supra*.

Except in cases submitted pursuant to Section 9-10.100, the submission should include:

A. <u>Prosecution memorandum</u>. This should be sufficiently detailed to fully inform reviewers of

the basis for the United States Attorney's or Assistant Attorney General's recommendation. The prosecution memorandum should include:

(1) Deadlines. Any deadline established by the Court for the filing of a notice of intent to seek the death penalty, trial dates, or other time considerations that could affect the timing of the review process should be noted on the first page of the memorandum.

(2) A narrative delineation of the facts and separate delineation of the supporting evidence. Where necessary for accuracy, a chart of the evidence by offense and offender should be appended.

(3) Discussion of relevant prosecutorial considerations.

(4) Death penalty analysis. The analysis must identify applicable threshold intent factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3591, applicable statutory aggravating factors under the subsections of 18 U.S.C. §§ 3592(b)-(d), and applicable mitigating factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3592(a). In addition, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should include his or her conclusion on whether all the aggravating factor(s) found to exist sufficiently outweigh all the mitigating factor(s) found to exist to justify a sentence of death, or in the absence of mitigating factors, whether the aggravating factor(s) alone are sufficient to justify a sentence of death. The analysis should also include a discussion of the standards for determination as set forth in Section 9-10.130.

(5) Background and criminal record of the capital defendants.

(6) Background and criminal record of the victim.

(7) Victim impact. Views of the victim's family on seeking the death penalty and other victim impact evidence should be provided.

(8) Discussion of the federal interest in prosecuting the case.

(9) Foreign citizenship. The memorandum should include a discussion on whether

the defendant(s) are citizens of foreign countries, and if so, whether the requirements of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations have been satisfied.

(10) Recommendation of the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General on whether the death penalty should be sought.

- B. <u>Death-penalty evaluation form</u>. The Department will specify a standardized death-penalty evaluation form, which should be completed by the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General for each capital-eligible offense charged against each defendant.
- C. <u>Non-decisional information form</u>. This form should be submitted in a sealed envelope clearly labeled as containing the non-decisional information.
- D. <u>Indictment</u>. Copies of all existing and proposed superseding indictments should be attached. As described in Section 9-10.060, *supra*, the indictments should include the special findings necessary for the death penalty to be authorized by statute.
- E. <u>Draft notice of intention to seek the death penalty</u>. This document is to be included in the submission only if the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General recommends seeking the death penalty.
- F. <u>Materials provided by defense counsel</u>. Any documents or materials provided by defense counsel to the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General in the course of the United States Attorney's Office or Department component's death penalty review process should be provided. These materials need not be solicited or submitted in cases presented pursuant to Sections 9-10.050 or 9-10.100.

- G. <u>Point-of-contact</u>. The name of the assigned attorney in the United States Attorney's Office or Department component who is responsible for communicating with the Capital Case Unit about the case should be provided.
- H. <u>Relevant court decisions</u>. The first page of the memorandum should highlight court orders and deadlines. The point-of-contact in the United States Attorney's Office or Department component is under a continuing obligation to update the Capital Case Unit about developments or changes in court scheduling or any other material aspect of the case.

### 9-10.090 Substantial Federal Interest

When concurrent jurisdiction exists with a State or local government, a Federal indictment for an offense subject to the death penalty generally should be obtained only when the Federal interest in the prosecution is more substantial than the interests of the State or local authorities. See Principles of Federal Prosecution, USAM Chapter 9-27.000. The judgment as to whether there is a more substantial interest in Federal, as opposed to State, prosecution may take into account any factor that reasonably bears on the relative interests of the State and the Federal Governments, including but not limited to the following:

- A. The relative strength of the State's interest in prosecution as indicated by the Federal and State characteristics of the criminal conduct. One jurisdiction may have a particularly strong interest because of the nature of the offense, the identity of the offender or victim, the fact that the investigation was conducted primarily by its investigators or through its informants or cooperators, or the possibility that prosecution will lead to disclosure of violations that are peculiarly within the jurisdiction of either Federal or State authorities or will assist an ongoing investigation being conducted by one of them.
- B. The extent to which the criminal activity reached beyond the boundaries of a single local prosecutorial jurisdiction. Relevant to this analysis are the nature, extent, and impact of the criminal activity upon the jurisdictions, the number and location of any murders, and the need to procure evidence from other jurisdictions, in particular other States or foreign countries.
- C. The relative ability and willingness of the State to prosecute effectively and obtain an appropriate punishment upon conviction. Relevant to this analysis are the ability and willingness of the authorities in each jurisdiction, the prosecutorial and judicial resources necessary to undertake prosecution promptly and effectively, legal or evidentiary problems that might attend prosecution, conditions, attitudes, relationships, and other circumstances that enhance the ability to prosecute effectively or, alternatively, that cast doubt on the likelihood of a thorough and successful prosecution.

# 9-10.100 Expedited Review Procedures for Certain Recommendations Not to Seek the Death Penalty

A. Certain defendants and categories of cases are appropriate for summary disposition on an

expedited basis. These include: (1) cases in which the defendant is ineligible for the death penalty because the evidence is insufficient to establish the requisite intent under 18 U.S.C. § 3591 or an applicable statutory aggravating factor under 18 U.S.C. § 3592(b)-(d); (2) cases that involve the extradition of a defendant or crucial witness from a country that, as a precondition to extradition, requires assurances that the death penalty will not be sought for the defendant or the evidence obtained from the witness will not be used to seek the death penalty; (3) cases in which, but for proffer protected evidence, the evidence is insufficient to convict the defendant of the capital offense to which he will plead guilty; and (4) cases that involve a potential cooperator whose testimony is necessary to indict the remaining offenders.

- B. The cover of the submission should indicate in bold lettering that the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General is seeking expedited review, and it should also indicate the basis on which the case qualifies for expedited review. The submission memorandum need not include all of the information set forth in Section 9-10.080, but should provide a description of the facts of the case and should be sufficiently thorough to make clear the basis upon which the case qualifies for expedited review. In all cases eligible for expedited review, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General need not solicit or submit mitigation information from the defendant unless otherwise directed by the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division.
- C. The Capital Case Unit will screen all cases in which the United States Attorney's Office or Department component seeks expedited review to ensure that such review is appropriate. The Unit will then give priority to cases so designated. If the Capital Case Unit finds that the case does not qualify for expedited review, it will be scheduled for review on a non-expedited basis or returned to the United States Attorney's Office or Department component for later submission.
- D. In cases in which the Government intends to accept a guilty plea to a capital offense when, but for the defendant's protected proffer, there would be insufficient evidence to charge the offense, the Capital Case Unit may authorize the United States Attorney to proceed with such pleas without submitting the cases to the review process.

#### 9-10.110 Plea Agreements

The death penalty may not be sought, and no attorney for the Government may threaten to seek it, solely for the purpose of obtaining a more desirable negotiating position. Absent the authorization of the Attorney General, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General may not enter into a binding plea agreement that precludes the United States from seeking the death penalty with respect to any defendant falling within the scope of this Chapter.

The United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General, however, may agree to submit for the Attorney General's review and possible approval, a plea agreement relating to a capital eligible offense or conduct that could be charged as a capital eligible offense. At all times, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General must make clear to all parties that the conditional plea does not represent a binding agreement, but is conditioned on the authorization of the Attorney General. The United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should not inform

the defendant, court, or public of whether he or she recommends authorization of the plea agreement.

For proposed plea agreements that precede a decision by the Attorney General to seek or not to seek the death penalty, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should send a request for approval to the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division as early as possible. Absent unavoidable circumstances, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General must send the request no later than 90 days prior to the date on which the Government would be required, by an order of the court or by the requirements of 18 U.S.C. § 3593(a), to file a notice that it intends to seek the death penalty. (Proposed plea agreements that would require withdrawing a previously filed notice of intent to seek the death penalty should follow the procedures described in Section 9-10.150, *infra*.)

Unless a potential capital defendant's testimony is necessary to indict the remaining offenders or other circumstances compel separate consideration, review of the case against the prospective cooperator will occur simultaneously with the review of the cases against the remaining offenders who would be indicted for the offenses at issue. In submissions in support of requests for approval of plea agreements under this section, the prosecution memorandum must include an explanation of why the plea agreement is an appropriate disposition of the charges, a death penalty evaluation form for each capital eligible offense that has been or could be charged against the prospective cooperator, and a non-decisional information form. The Capital Review Committee will review requests for authorization to enter into a plea agreement under this subsection and, if a submission from defense counsel is not included with the submission, may request such a submission and schedule the case for a Committee conference.

See USAM Chapter 9-16.000 for more information on the topic of pleas and plea agreements.

# 9-10.120 Department of Justice Review

Upon receipt of the materials submitted by the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General, the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division will forward the materials to the Criminal Division's Capital Case Unit.

In any case in which (1) the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General recommends that the Attorney General authorize seeking the death penalty, or (2) a member of the Capital Review Committee requests a Committee conference, a Capital Case Unit attorney will confer with representatives of the United States Attorney's Office or Department component to establish a date and time for the Capital Review Committee to meet with defense counsel and representatives of the United States Attorney's Office or Department component to consider the case. No final decision to seek the death penalty shall be made if defense counsel has not been afforded an opportunity to present evidence and argument in mitigation.

The Capital Review Committee shall review the materials submitted by the United States Attorney

or Assistant Attorney General and any materials submitted by defense counsel. The Capital Review Committee will consider all information presented to it, including any allegation of individual or systemic racial bias in the Federal administration of the death penalty. After considering all information submitted to it, the Committee shall make a recommendation to the Attorney General through the Deputy Attorney General.

If the Committee's recommendation differs from that of the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General shall be provided with a copy of the Committee's recommendation memorandum when it is transmitted to the Deputy Attorney General. The United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General may respond to the Committee's analysis in a memorandum directed to the Deputy Attorney General. The Deputy Attorney General will then make a recommendation to the Attorney General. The Attorney General will make the final decision whether the Government should file a notice of intent to seek the death penalty.

# 9-10.130 Standards for Determination

The standards governing the determination to be reached in cases under this Chapter include fairness, national consistency, adherence to statutory requirements, and law-enforcement objectives.

- A. Fairness requires all reviewers to evaluate each case on its own merits and on its own terms. As with all other actions taken in the course of Federal prosecutions, bias for or against an individual based upon characteristics such as race or ethnic origin play no role in any recommendation or decision as to whether to seek the death penalty.
- B. National consistency requires treating similar cases similarly, when the only material difference is the location of the crime. Reviewers in each district are understandably most familiar with local norms or practice in their district and State, but reviewers must also take care to contextualize a given case within national norms or practice. For this reason, the multi-tier process used to make determinations in this Chapter is carefully designed to provide reviewers with access to the national decision-making context, and thereby, to reduce disparities across districts.
- C. In determining whether it is appropriate to seek the death penalty, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General, the Capital Review Committee, the Deputy Attorney General, and the Attorney General will determine whether the applicable statutory aggravating factors and any non-statutory aggravating factors sufficiently outweigh the applicable mitigating factors to justify a sentence of death or, in the absence of any mitigating factors, whether the aggravating factors themselves are sufficient to justify a sentence of death. Reviewers are to resolve ambiguity as to the presence or strength of aggravating or mitigating factors in favor of the defendant. The analysis employed in weighing the aggravating and mitigating factors should be qualitative, not quantitative: a sufficiently strong aggravating factor may outweigh several mitigating factors, and a sufficiently strong mitigating factor may outweigh several aggravating factors. Reviewers may accord weak aggravating or mitigating factors little or no weight.

Finally, there must be substantial, admissible, and reliable evidence of the aggravating factors.

- D. In deciding whether it is appropriate to seek the death penalty, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General, the Capital Review Committee, the Deputy Attorney General, and the Attorney General may consider any legitimate law-enforcement or prosecutorial reason that weighs for or against seeking the death penalty. Those considerations may include, but are not limited to:
  - (1) The strength and nature of the evidence;
  - (2) The relative roles in the offense of defendants in jointly undertaken criminal activity;
  - (3) Whether the offense was intended to obstruct justice or was otherwise motivated by the victim's cooperation with law enforcement or the belief that the victim was cooperating with law enforcement;
  - (4) Whether the offense was committed to retaliate against a third-party for cooperating with law enforcement or against a third party believed to be cooperating with law enforcement;
  - (5) Whether the victim engaged in criminal activity which was a relevant circumstance of the offense;
  - (6) Whether a defendant without serious prior convictions had nonetheless engaged in criminal activity for which he had not been held accountable;
  - (7) Whether the defendant is already serving a substantial sentence such that an additional sentence of incarceration would have little punitive impact;
  - (8) Whether the defendant has a history of infractions or offenses while incarcerated; and
  - (9) Whether the defendant has accepted responsibility for his conduct as demonstrated by his willingness to plead guilty and accept a life or near-life sentence without the possibility of release.

# 9-10.140 Post-Decision Actions

In any case in which the Attorney General has authorized the filing of a notice of intention to seek the death penalty, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General shall not file or amend the notice until the Capital Case Unit of the Criminal Division has approved the notice or the proposed amendment. The notice of intention to seek the death penalty shall be filed as soon as possible after transmission of the Attorney General's decision to seek the death penalty.

The United States Attomey or Assistant Attorney General should promptly inform the district court and counsel for the defendant once the Attorney General has made the final decision. Expeditious communication is necessary so that the court is aware, in cases in which the Attorney General authorizes the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General not to seek the death penalty, that appointment of counsel under 18 U.S.C. § 3005 is not required or is no longer required. In cases in which the Attorney General authorizes the United States Attorney General authorizes the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General to seek the death penalty, the district court and defense counsel should be given as much opportunity as possible to make proper scheduling decisions.

### 9-10.150 Withdrawal of the Notice of Intention to Seek the Death Penalty

Once the Attorney General has authorized a United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General to seek the death penalty, the United States Attorney may not withdraw a notice of intention to seek the death penalty filed with the district court unless authorized by the Attorney General.

A. Request by a United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General

If a United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General wishes to withdraw the notice, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General shall advise the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division of the reasons for that request. The United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should base the withdrawal request on material changes in the facts and circumstances of the case from those that existed at the time of the initial determination.

Upon receipt of such a request, the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division shall submit the request to the Capital Case Unit, which will seek review of the request by the Capital Review Committee. To the extent possible, the Capital Review Committee should include the members who originally considered the case. Reviewers should evaluate the withdrawal request under the principles used to make an initial determination, and limit the evaluation to determining if the changed facts and circumstances, had they been known at the time of the initial determination, would have resulted in a decision not to seek the death penalty. For this reason, information or arguments that had been advanced initially are not normally appropriate bases for withdrawal requests. In all cases, however, reviewers should consider all necessary information to ensure every defendant is given the individualized consideration needed for full review and appropriate decision-making.

The Capital Review Committee will make a recommendation to the Attorney General through the Deputy Attorney General on whether the notice of intent to seek the death penalty should be withdrawn.

B. Request by a defendant

Any request by a defendant for withdrawal of a notice of intention to seek the death penalty should be submitted in the first instance to the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General responsible for the prosecution. If the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General concurs in the request, then he or she should follow the procedures in Section A above. Otherwise, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should submit the defendant's request along with a brief memorandum outlining the reasons why the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division. Absent extraordinary circumstances, the Department will not consider successive defense requests to withdraw the notice of intention to seek the death penalty.

Upon receipt of such a request, the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division shall submit the request to the Capital Case Unit, which will seek review of the request by the Capital Review Committee. To the extent possible, the Capital Review Committee should include the members who originally considered the case. Reviewers should evaluate the withdrawal request under the principles used to make an initial determination, and limit the evaluation to determining if the changed facts and circumstances, had they been known at the time of the initial determination, would have resulted in a decision not to seek the death penalty. For this reason, information or arguments that had been advanced initially are not normally appropriate bases for withdrawal requests. In all cases, however, reviewers should consider all necessary information to ensure every defendant is given the individualized consideration needed for full review and appropriate decision-making.

Unless the Capital Review Committee unanimously agrees with the defendant's request to withdraw the notice of intention to seek the death penalty, the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division will inform the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General that the request has been denied. If the Capital Review Committee unanimously recommends withdrawing the notice of intention to seek the death penalty, then the Capital Review Committee will make that recommendation to the Attorney General through the Deputy Attorney General.

The Attorney General shall make the final decision on whether to authorize the withdrawal of a notice of intention to seek the death penalty upon any request made or endorsed by the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General. Until such a decision is made, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General should proceed with the case as initially directed by the Attorney General. The fact that a withdrawal request has been made is confidential and may not be disclosed to any party outside the Department of Justice and its investigative agencies.

# 9-10.160 Approval Required For Judicial Sentencing Determination

In cases in which the Attorney General has authorized seeking the death penalty, the United States Attorney or Assistant Attorney General must obtain the approval of the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division before agreeing to a request by the defendant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3593(b)(3) for the sentence to be determined by the trial court rather than a jury.

#### 9-10.170 Reporting Requirements

Each United States Attorney's Office or Department component must identify a point-of-contact who will be responsible for ensuring compliance with the following reporting requirements.

The Capital Case Unit must be immediately notified when:

- A. A capital offense is charged or when an indictment is obtained pertaining to conduct that could be, but has not been, charged as a capital offense. The point-of-contact should provide the Unit with a copy of the indictment and cause number.
- B. A deadline for filing a notice of intent to seek the death penalty or a trial date is established or modified.
- C. There are any developments that could affect the ability to file a notice of intent to seek the death penalty sufficiently in advance of trial to allow the defense and prosecution to prepare for a capital punishment hearing.
- D. A verdict and sentence are reached in a case in which the Attorney General authorized seeking the death penalty.

The victim's family must be notified of all final decisions regarding the death penalty.

# 9-10.180 Forms and Procedures

The Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division, the Deputy Attorney General, and the Attorney General may promulgate forms and procedures to implement the provisions of this Chapter. The United States Attorney should contact the Capital Case Unit to discuss the applicable procedures and obtain the appropriate forms.

# 9-10.190 Exceptions for the Proper Administration of Justice

To ensure the proper administration of justice in an appropriate case, the Attorney General may authorize exceptions to the provisions of this Chapter.