

**REPORT TO CONGRESS  
ON THE 2008 ACTIVITIES OF GRANTEEES  
RECEIVING FEDERAL FUNDS UNDER THE  
GRANTS TO REDUCE VIOLENT CRIMES  
AGAINST WOMEN ON CAMPUS PROGRAM**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	Introduction	Page 1
II.	Award Process	Page 1
III.	Statutory Purpose Areas Addressed by Campus Program Grantees	Page 2
IV.	Campus Program Grantees' Activities	Page 2
V.	Flagship Initiative	Page 6
VI.	Technical Assistance	Page 6
VII.	Additional Information	Page 7
VIII.	Future Activities	Page 7

## **APPENDICES**

Appendix A - Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus, Fiscal Year 2006 Awards

Appendix B - Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus, Fiscal Year 2007 Awards

Appendix C - Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus, Fiscal Year 2008 Awards

Appendix D -Summary of the Statutory Purpose Areas Addressed by Campus Program Grantees

Appendix E - Summary of Victim Characteristics of Victims Served (January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008)

Appendix F - Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus, Fiscal Year 2009 Awards

## **I. Introduction**

The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) established the Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus Program (Campus Program) in accordance with the Higher Education Amendments of 1998. Under this grant program, institutions of higher education may use funds for enhancing victim services and developing programs to prevent violent crimes against women on campuses, including domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

The statutory provisions of Section 826(d) (3) of the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 require the Attorney General to submit an annual report to the committees of the House of Representatives and the Senate responsible for issues relating to higher education and crime. See, 42 U.S.C. 14045b(d)(3). The report must address the activities of grantees receiving federal funds under the Campus Program, information about the effectiveness of grant-funded programs and include a summary of persons served. Specifically, the Attorney General must report to Congress on the number of grants and the amount of funds distributed; a summary of the purposes for which the grants were provided and an evaluation of the progress made under the grants; a statistical summary of the persons served, detailing the nature of victimization, and providing data on age, sex, race, ethnicity, language, disability, relationship to offender, geographic distribution, and type of campus; and an evaluation of the effectiveness of programs funded.

## **II. Award Process**

The institutions of higher education that received awards in Federal Fiscal Year 2008 were geographically diverse and distributed between private and public institutions, as required by the Higher Education Amendments Act of 1998. Campuses receiving funds are located in rural, urban, and suburban communities with a broad range of student population size. These applications were reviewed and scored by external peer review panels comprised of campus-based experts, including campus law enforcement officers, victim advocates, faculty, researchers, and administrators with VAWA grant program expertise. The OVW Director made final funding decisions.

Congress appropriated \$8,938,000 for the Federal Fiscal Year 2006 Campus Program. OVW received approximately 105 applications requesting \$20,983,635 in funds. \$250,000 was set aside for technical assistance, \$270,000 for peer review and \$268,140 for management and administration. Of the 105 applications received, 39 were recommended for funding, totaling approximately \$8,668,156. This represented funding for 19 new grants and 20 continuation grants. The enclosed chart (Appendix A) lists each of the institutions that received awards and the award amounts for the Federal Fiscal Year 2006 grants.

Congress appropriated \$8,962,000 for the Federal Fiscal Year 2007 Campus Program. OVW received approximately 117 applications requesting \$41,799,860 in funds. \$448,100 was

set aside for technical assistance, \$100,000 for peer review and \$ 291,265 for management and administration. Of the 117 applications received, 17 were recommended for funding, totaling approximately \$8,157,975. This represented funding for 11 new grants and 6 continuation grants. The enclosed chart (Appendix B) lists each of the institutions that received awards and the award amounts for the Federal Fiscal Year 2007 grants.

Congress appropriated \$ 9,400,000 for the Federal Fiscal Year 2008 Campus Program. OVW received approximately 131 applications requesting \$ 41,770,379 in funds. \$ 1,833,000 was set aside for technical assistance, \$341,297 for peer review and \$235,000 for management and administration. Of the 131 applications received, 21 were recommended for funding, totaling approximately \$ 6,537,802. This represented funding for 11 new grants and 10 continuation grants. The enclosed chart (Appendix C) lists each of the institutions that received awards and the award amounts for the Federal Fiscal Year 2008 grants.

### **III. Statutory Purpose Areas Addressed by Campus Program Grantees**

The Higher Education Amendments Act of 1998 identifies specific statutory purpose areas for the Campus Program. Based on these purpose areas, OVW awarded grants to colleges and universities to establish coordinated campus and community-based responses to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking and to improve coordination between campus entities, local criminal justice agencies, nonprofit, non-governmental victim services agencies. Grant funds also supported programs designed to establish and enhance support services for victims on campus. Institutions received funds to create and revise policies and protocols regarding violence against women. For example, funds supported the establishment of formal procedures for responding to victims' reports of sexual assault. Grants were also awarded to campuses seeking to develop comprehensive education programs for the prevention of violent crimes against women and the development and expansion of student codes of conduct. Appendix D details the statutory purpose areas addressed by activities supported with Campus Program funds from July 1 through December 31, 2008.

### **IV. Campus Program Grantees' Activities**

Working in collaboration with campus and community-based victim advocacy organizations, the Campus Program grantees have developed mandatory prevention and education programs about violence against women for incoming students. An estimated 48,347 incoming students were educated with Campus Program funds from January 1, 2008 to June 30, 2008. An estimated 84,075 incoming students were educated with Campus Program funds from July 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008. Campus Program funds supported a wide range of programs for incoming students. Topics of prevention and education program events included: sexual assault prevention; dating violence prevention; domestic violence prevention; stalking prevention; and, overviews of, dynamics of, and services for dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking. Campuses used grant funds to create curricula for training programs, to hire education coordinators, and to train volunteer peer educators to implement the

training. From January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008, Campus Program funds supported 111 full-time employees including 40 program coordinators, 12 victim advocates, 14 administrators, and 22 trainers/educators. From July 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008, Campus Program funds supported 95 full-time employees including 32 program coordinators, 11 victim advocates, 10 administrators, 22 trainers/educators and 11 support staff members.

Under the minimum requirements for the Campus Program, grantees are required to train campus law enforcement or public safety personnel to respond effectively in domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking cases. They are also required to strengthen programs to train members of campus disciplinary boards to respond effectively to charges of violence against women. Grantees have been encouraged to include information about the following in their training curricula: investigating violent crimes against women, informing victims about campus and community resources, conducting safety planning with victims, enforcing orders of protection, making primary aggressor determinations, understanding the dynamics of violence against women, and working with local law enforcement and criminal justice agencies. An estimated 613 campus law enforcement officials have been trained with Campus Program funds and another 734 trained with funding from another source from January 1, 2008 to June 30, 2008. An estimated 232 campus judicial/disciplinary board members have been trained with Campus Program funds and another 102 trained with funding from another source from January 1, 2008 to June 30, 2008. An estimated 649 campus law enforcement officials have been trained with Campus Program funds and another 517 trained with funding from another source from July 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008. An estimated 291 campus judicial/disciplinary board members have been trained with Campus Program funds and another 119 trained with funding from another source from July 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008. In all of these trainings, the most frequent topics of training include: sexual assault overview, dynamics and services; dating violence overview, dynamics and services; stalking overview, dynamics, and services; campus police/security response; domestic violence overview, dynamics, and services; drug facilitated sexual assault; disciplinary/ judicial board response; coordinated community response; and confidentiality.

Campus Program funds have supported campus education projects including Sexual Assault Awareness Month, “tabling” at public events, “Take Back the Night” marches, media campaigns, and “Clothesline Projects”. Grantees have also used Campus Program funds to develop, install, and expand data collection and communication systems to enhance victim safety.

From January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008, approximately 1,421 victims were provided services supported by Campus Program funds and 27 victims were partially served. From July 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008, 982 victims were served and 17 were partially served. Victims received victim advocacy services (actions designed to help the victim/survivor obtain needed support, resources, or services such as employment, health care, and victim compensation), crisis intervention, and response to hotline calls, support group/counseling services, and legal advocacy/court accompaniment. Additional information on the victims

served with Campus Program funds during 2008 is contained in Appendix E.

The number of victims served is far greater than the number of crimes reported by victims. Campuses reported 523 offenses of domestic violence, sexual assault and stalking were reported during the time period of January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008, with 170 offenses resulting in criminal charges being filed in the local jurisdiction and 112 offenses resulting in campus disciplinary or judicial board actions. From July 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008, campuses report that 579 offenses of domestic violence/dating violence, sexual assault and stalking were reported, with 150 offenses resulting in criminal charges being filed in the local jurisdiction and 169 offenses resulting in campus disciplinary or judicial board actions.

Grantees report that programs and services supported by funds from the Campus Program have had a tremendous impact on their campuses:

Campus Program funding has allowed us to do MANY things we could not do prior to receiving this funding. Primarily, funding has allowed us to raise the conversation of promoting victim safety and addressing domestic/sexual violence on campus. With OVW support, we have been able to establish these issues as legitimate problems affecting our student population and community. Additionally, we have been able to show the return on investment and the value the project adds to the campus community. For example, prior to funding, the university did not employ a victim advocate to coordinate services and victim response. Victims felt lost and ended up telling their story multiple times and often became totally disempowered by the system. The funding has enabled us to establish a Coordinated Community Response (CCR) and discuss how to best serve victims as a community. The project has also enabled us to raise policy concerns and change basic practices that were not immediately recognizable as unfriendly to victims. Having resources devoted to addressing sexual assault and domestic violence has made our community more aware, more equipped to deal with these issues and ultimately a safer place.

- *Pacific Lutheran University, Washington*

Campus Program funding has given a level of credibility and visibility to the issue of violence against women on the Purchase College campus that was not previously present, and an invaluable "framework" for creating genuine partnerships with community agencies. This grant enabled us to be a priority with our collaborating partners in terms of services and education/training that otherwise might be cut or scaled back without the monetary support we are able to provide them. A strong internal coordinated response has permitted us to maximize the effectiveness of each of our efforts.

- *State University of New York, Purchase*

-

The OVW Campus Grant has led to outreach and victim-service program staff, trained in sexual assault, stalking, and relationship violence, to have an active presence on campus. This alone has led to many outcomes. Thanks to the OVW Campus Grant, Michigan State University now offers ongoing training to campus police and judicial board staff. This training has helped campus police and judicial board members better identify and assess abuse and safety issues for those victimized, and improve accountability for those who are abusive to MSU students. These training initiatives did not take place prior to the OVW Campus Grant. Because of the relationship-building that has occurred from these efforts, it is anticipated that ongoing training will continue even after grant funds end.

*- Michigan State University*

A final testament to the effectiveness of this grant lies in the fact that many of the students who seek our services are able to get their lives back together, heal, and graduate from college despite all of the barriers that experiencing interpersonal violence has placed in their lives. Past evaluation research on the effectiveness of our services has shown that students experience a sense of empowerment as a result of advocacy.

*- University of Illinois at Chicago*

We do a lot of academic advocacy for our clients who are victimized. More coaches and faculty/staff colleagues do call on The Counseling Center than in previous years due in part to the increased awareness of services for victims. Anecdotally it definitely seems that more students stay in college than in the past, when it seemed most all victims I was aware of left campus and went home -- not sure if or when they ever returned to get their college degree. Now more victims stay in school and have an outlet to volunteer with us as well. We counselors have learned of many more students who have been victimized recently or in the past (because we now ask very specifically about not just abuse or any crime victimization -- but specifically about sexual assault, domestic violence, and stalking) and so many victims are coming forward now for services. Our improved data collection and specification about the crimes has led to awareness that it is OK to talk about these crimes, and also greater numbers.

*- State University of New York, Buffalo State College*

## **V. Flagship Initiative**

The 2007 Campus Program Solicitation announced a Flagship Initiative Project for the Campus Program. These projects would consist of two or more institutions of higher education that share and are accountable to a common legislature, Board of Regents, governing board. The principal institution, a past OVW grantee, provides leadership to other schools within their system, sharing key practices, policies, project activities, and products. The four Flagship projects from California, Puerto Rico, Iowa and Oklahoma, have maximized the benefits of successful implementation of campus program grants by representing 30 colleges and universities, and further leveraging federal dollars.

## **VI. Technical Assistance**

In December 1999, OVW entered into a cooperative agreement with the California Coalition Against Sexual Assault (CALCASA) to provide technical assistance to recipients of grants under the Campus Program. As part of the technical assistance provided by CALCASA, the campus program coordinates and conducts semi-annual technical assistance institutes. These institutes are hosted by a grantee campus and attended by a mandatory multi-disciplinary team of four individuals from each grantee campus, including the project director, a campus law enforcement representative, a judicial affairs representative, and a fourth representative from internal/external partners that can include administrators, evaluators, students, representatives from community-based victim services partner agencies, and local law enforcement officers or prosecutors. In 2008, CALCASA held a total of two institutes with over 400 participants at each institute.

The institutes provide an opportunity for the each multi-disciplinary team from each campus community to receive training on violence against women, including prevention, intervention and ways to formulate strategies, policies, and protocols that are tailored to the unique needs of their own campuses. In addition to the two day institutes, an additional day of “pre-Institute” is included to address a more specific audience that rotates between the representatives (i.e. Law Enforcement training, Judicial Affairs training, etc.). During the 2008 Winter Institute, a training on Law Enforcement response to victims of sexual assault was added; as well as a training on Title IX and the Clery Act for the 2008 Summer Institute.

CALCASA’s Campus Program has also provided on-going technical assistance, which includes an on-site visit to each new grantee campus in order to help assess the status of their goals and objectives. The campus program continues to provide two dedicated listservs and monthly webinars on topics such as false reporting, training disciplinary hearing boards, stalking, and dating violence.

## **VII. Additional Information**

Section 485(f) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 requires institutions of higher education receiving federal student financial aid funds to submit an annual report to the Department of Education on the number and types of crimes occurring on and near campuses. Section 826(d) (3) (D) of the Higher Education Amendments Act of 1998 requires information from the Department of Education crime reports to be included in the annual report to Congress on the Campus Program. Information concerning the campus crime statistics for the individual campuses receiving 2007 Campus Program grants can be found at the Department of Education website: <http://ope.ed.gov/security> (accessed July 12, 2011).

## **VIII. Future Activities**

The Campus Program is enabling campus communities to treat violent crimes against women as serious offenses and to develop programs that make victim safety, offender accountability, and the prevention of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking a high priority. The success of the Campus Program rests on the grantees' ability to address the issues that are of greatest concern on their own campuses.

In 2005, Congress recognized the importance of this grant program when it reauthorized funding for the program through 2011. The statute specified that grants would be three years long and in amounts of up to \$500,000 for individual campuses and up to one million dollars for consortia of institutions of higher education. The Violence Against Women Act of 2005 (VAWA 2005) also required that up to 20% of funds in each grant be used for victim services and mandated that applicants include proof of collaboration with victim service programs. In 2009, OVW awarded grants to 32 institutions of higher education under the statutory criteria of VAWA 2005. (See Appendix F). The activities of these grantees will be addressed in future reports to Congress.

# **APPENDIX A**

**Appendix A**  
**Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus**  
**Fiscal Year 2006 Awards<sup>1</sup>**

<b>Grantee</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Arizona Western College	Arizona	Public	\$399,714
Cal Poly Pomona	California	Public	\$199,922
California State University –Long Beach	California	Public	\$200,000
University of California	California	Public	\$199,690
Stanford University	California	Private	\$213,814
University of Southern California	California	Private	\$397,641
University of Hawaii	Hawaii	Public	\$199,999
University of Idaho	Idaho	Public	\$236,474
University of Illinois	Illinois	Public	\$200,799
University of Iowa	Iowa	Public	\$199,241
University of Kentucky	Kentucky	Public	\$214,848
Northeastern University	Massachusetts	Private	\$200,000
University of Michigan	Michigan	Public	\$199,733
Michigan State University	Michigan	Public	\$200,000
University of Minnesota	Minnesota	Public	\$129,765
Southeast Missouri State	Missouri	Public	\$199,820

---

<sup>1</sup>These grant award amounts were provided by the Office of Justice Programs' Grants Management System.

Montana State University	Montana	Public	\$165,063
State University of New York/ Buffalo State College	New York	Public	\$195,719
State University of New York- Old Westbury	New York	Public	\$199,871
State University of New York/ Research Foundation- Fredonia	New York	Public	\$199,996
Research Foundation of State University of New York at Purchase College	New York	Public	\$189,935
Vassar College	New York	Private	\$194,309
Warren Wilson College	North Carolina	Private	\$191,151
East Central University	Oklahoma	Public	\$229,959
Eastern Oklahoma State College	Oklahoma	Public	\$200,000
California University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Public	\$199,756
Indiana University of Pennsylvania Research Institute	Pennsylvania	Public	\$201,267
Slippery Rock University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Public	\$228,953
Crichton College	Tennessee	Private	\$399,889
Collin County Community College	Texas	Public	\$206,247
Texas Woman's University	Texas	Public	\$199,479
University of Vermont and State Agricultural College	Vermont	Public	\$199,912
Virginia Commonwealth	Virginia	Public	\$200,000

University			
Gonzaga University	Washington	Private-Faith Based	\$189,648
Eastern Washington University	Washington	Public	\$200,000
University of Wisconsin- Stout	Wisconsin	Public	\$199,997
University of Wisconsin- LaCrosse	Wisconsin	Public	\$402,153

# **APPENDIX B**

**Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus  
Fiscal Year 2007 Awards<sup>2</sup>**

<b>Grantee</b>	<b>State / Territory</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Amount</b>
University of California-Davis	California	Public	\$999,369
University of Guam	Guam	Public	\$300,000
University of Northern Iowa	Iowa	Public	\$999,909
St. Cloud State	Minnesota	Public	\$299,500
University of Minnesota	Minnesota	Public	\$200,000
The Curators of the University of Missouri	Missouri	Public	\$296,552
University of Missouri, Kansas City	Missouri	Public	\$289,817
Elizabeth City State University	North Carolina	Public	\$279,293
United Tribes Technical College	North Dakota	Public	\$299,512
St. Lawrence University	New York	Private	\$290,000
The College of Saint Rose	New York	Private	\$448,781
East Central University	Oklahoma	Public	\$999,999
University of Puerto Rico Humacao	Puerto Rico	Public	\$1,000,000
University of Sioux Falls	South Dakota	Private	\$300,00
University of South	South Dakota	Public	\$290,000

---

<sup>2</sup>These grant award amounts were provided by the Office of Justice Programs' Grants Management System

Dakota			
Pacific Lutheran University	Washington	Private	\$289,993
West Virginia State University	West Virginia	Public	\$282,886

# APPENDIX C

**Appendix C**  
**Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus**  
**Fiscal Year 2008 Awards**

<b>Grantee</b>	<b>State / Territory</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University	California	Private	\$299,096
Cal Poly Pomona Foundation, Inc.	California	Private	\$300,000
The CSU, Chico Research Foundation	California	Public	\$471,529
University of Southern California	California	Public	\$499,999
Regents of the Univ. of Colorado, Univ. of Colorado Denver	Colorado	Public	\$500,000
University of Hawaii	Hawaii	Public	\$249,994
University of Kentucky Research Foundation	Kentucky	Public	\$178,709
Salisbury University	Maryland	Public	\$299,982
Central Michigan University	Michigan	Public	\$298,159
Michigan State University	Michigan	Public	\$224,987
Regents of the University of Michigan	Michigan	Public	\$274,954
Southeast Missouri State University	Missouri	Public	\$299,939
Jackson State University	Mississippi	Public	\$300,000
The Research Foundation of SUNY Albany	New York	Private	\$299,875
John Carroll University	Ohio	Private	\$298,996

East Central University	Oklahoma	Public	\$274,968
The Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Private	\$275,000
Texas Women's University	Texas	Public	\$299,896
Carilion Medical Center dba Jefferson College of Health Sciences	Virginia	Private	\$291,639
Norfolk State University	Virginia	Public	\$300,000
The Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System	Wisconsin	Public	\$300,000

# **APPENDIX D**

**Appendix D**  
**Summary of the Statutory Purpose Areas Addressed by Campus Program Grantees**  
 (July 1, 2008 -December 31, 2008 Reporting Period)

<b>Statutory Purpose Areas</b>	<b>Number of Campus Program Grantees</b>
To provide personnel, training, technical assistance, data collection, and other equipment with respect to the increased apprehension, investigation, and adjudication of people committing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking on campus	41
To develop and implement campus policies, protocols, and services that more effectively identify and respond to the crimes of domestic violence, dating, violence, sexual assault and staling, and to train campus administrators, campus security personnel, and personnel serving on campus disciplinary boards on such policies, protocols, and services	58
To implement and operate education programs for the prevention of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking	63
To develop, enlarge, or strengthen victim services programs on campuses of institutions involved, including programs providing legal, medical, or psychological counseling, for victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and to improve delivery of victim assistance on campus	58
To create, disseminate, or otherwise provide assistance and information about victims' options on and off campus to bring disciplinary or other legal action, including assistance to victims in immigration matters	50
To develop, install, or expand data collection	

and communication systems, including computerized systems, linking campus security to local law enforcement for the purpose of identifying and tracking arrests, protection orders, violations of protection orders, prosecutions, and convictions with respect to the crimes of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking on campus	13
To provide capital improvements (including improved lighting and communications facilities, but not including the construction of buildings) on campuses to address the crimes of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking	5
To support improved coordination among campus administrators, campus security personnel and local law enforcement to reduce domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking on campus	63

## **APPENDIX E**



## Appendix E Summary of Victim Characteristics

### Victims Served through Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus (January 1 through June 30, 2008 and July 1 through December 31, 2008)

**Number of Victims Seeking Services (01/01/08-06/30/08): 1,448 victims**

**Total Number of Victims Served: 1,421 victims**

**Total Number of Victims Partially Served:<sup>3</sup> 27 victims**

**Total Number of Victims Who Could Not Be Served: 0 victims**

Nature of Victimization	Domestic Violence	Sexual Assault	Stalking
Number of Victims Served and Partially Served	536	691	221

Victims	Female	Male	Unknown
Number of Victims Served and Partially Served	1,363	79	6

---

<sup>3</sup> “Partially Served” victims are those victims who received some service(s), but not all of the services they needed, if those services were the types of services that were provided under the Campus Program grant.

<b>Age of Victims</b>	<b>0-17</b>	<b>18-24</b>	<b>25-59</b>	<b>60+</b>	<b>Unknown</b>
<b>Number of Victims Served and Partially Served</b>	16	1,032	230	6	164

<b>Relationship to Offender</b>	<b>Sexual Assault Victims</b>	<b>Domestic Violence Victims</b>	<b>Stalking Victims</b>
<b>Current or Former Spouse or Intimate Partner</b>	89	367	101
<b>Other Family or Household Member ( e.g., in-law, grandparent, etc.)</b>	38	40	9
<b>Acquaintance (e.g., friend, neighbor, coworker, schoolmate, professor, etc.)</b>	285	24	85
<b>Dating Relationship</b>	129	107	22
<b>Stranger</b>	46		17
<b>Relationship Unknown/Other</b>	123	25	32

<b>TOTAL</b>	710	563	266
--------------	-----	-----	-----

### **Race/Ethnicity of Victims**

(\*some victims report more than one ethnicity)

<b>Black or African American Victims</b>	86
<b>American Indian and Alaska Native Victims</b>	23
<b>Asian Victims</b>	127
<b>Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander Victims</b>	14
<b>Hispanic or Latino Victims</b>	84
<b>White Victims</b>	827
<b>Victims of Unknown Race/Ethnicity</b>	323

### **Other Demographics of Victims**

<b>Victims who are people with disabilities</b>	73
<b>Victims with limited English proficiency</b>	27
<b>Victims who are immigrants/refugees/asylum seekers</b>	26
<b>Victims who live in rural areas</b>	178

**Number of Victims Reporting Crimes**

<b>Where Crime Occurred</b>	<b>Campus police/Security</b>	<b>Community Law Enforcement</b>
<b>On-campus</b>	140	21
<b>Off-campus</b>	62	154

**Number of Victims Seeking Services (07/1/08-12/31/08): 1,002 victims**

**Total Number of Victims served: 982 victims**

**Total Number of Victims partially served: 17 victims**

**Total Number of Victims who could not be served: 3 victims**

<b>Nature of Victimization</b>	<b>Domestic Violence/ Dating Violence</b>	<b>Sexual Assault</b>	<b>Stalking</b>
<b>Number of Victims Served and Partially Served</b>	475	368	156

<b>Victims</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Unknown</b>
<b>Number of Victims Served and Partially Served</b>	919	80	0



<b>Age of Victims</b>	<b>0-17</b>	<b>18-24</b>	<b>25-59</b>	<b>60+</b>	<b>Unknown</b>
<b>Number of Victims Served and Partially Served</b>	39	668	212	4	76

<b>Relationship to Offender</b>	<b>Sexual Assault Victims</b>	<b>Domestic Violence/ Dating Violence Victims</b>	<b>Stalking Victims</b>
<b>Current or Former Spouse or Intimate Partner</b>	28	273	54
<b>Other Family or Household Member ( e.g., in-law, grandparent, etc.)</b>	28	51	5
<b>Acquaintance (e.g., friend, neighbor, coworker, schoolmate, professor, etc.)</b>	229	12	62
<b>Current or Former Dating Relationship</b>	35	131	47
<b>Stranger</b>	28	2	5
<b>Relationship Unknown/Other</b>	41	13	12
<b>TOTAL</b>	389	493	185

### **Race/Ethnicity of Victims**

(\*some victims report more than one ethnicity)

<b>Black or African American Victims</b>	89
<b>American Indian and Alaska Native Victims</b>	36
<b>Asian Victims</b>	49
<b>Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander Victims</b>	5
<b>Hispanic or Latino Victims</b>	69
<b>White Victims</b>	595
<b>Victims of Unknown Race/Ethnicity</b>	159

### **Other Demographics of Victims**

<b>Victims who are people with disabilities</b>	40
<b>Victims with limited English proficiency</b>	22
<b>Victims who are immigrants/refugees/asylum seekers</b>	22
<b>Victims who live in rural areas</b>	265

### **Number of Victims Reporting Crimes**

<b>Where Crime Occurred</b>	<b>Campus police/Security</b>	<b>Community Law Enforcement</b>
<b>On-campus</b>	129	36
<b>Off-campus</b>	49	137

(Please note that the information in this Appendix has been collected from Semi-annual Progress Reports covering a six-month period of January 1, 2008 to June 30, 2008 and July 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008 submitted by Campus Program grantees; also the numbers in the columns do not always match as some progress reports do not contain complete information for each question about victims)

## **APPENDIX F**

**Appendix F**  
**Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus**  
**Fiscal Year 2009 Awards**

<b>Grantee</b>	<b>State / Territory</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Arizona Western College	Arizona	Public	\$499,976
Regents of the University of California	California	Public	\$299,756
Regents of the University of California, U.C. San Diego	California	Public	\$299,911
Howard University	District of Columbia	Private	\$434,223
Augusta State University	Georgia	Public	\$300,000
Loyola University Chicago	Illinois	Private	\$300,000
University of Illinois at Chicago	Illinois	Public	\$275,000
Saint Mary's College	Indiana	Private	\$299,893
Eastern Kentucky University	Kentucky	Public	\$299,989
University of Louisiana at Monroe	Louisiana	Public	\$299,995
Massachusetts Institute of Technology	Massachusetts	Private	\$293,022
Northeastern University	Massachusetts	Private	\$299,884
Trustees of Clark University	Massachusetts	Private	\$296,988
University of Maryland	Maryland	Public	\$500,000
University of Southern Maine	Maine	Public	\$300,000
University of Mississippi	Mississippi	Public	\$299,986
North Carolina Central University	North Carolina	Public	\$299,833.15

North Carolina State University	North Carolina	Public	\$294,943
University of North Carolina, Wilmington	North Carolina	Public	\$299,968
Bergen Community College	New Jersey	Public	\$300,000
New Mexico Highlands University	New Mexico	Public	\$299,192
Board of Regents, NSHE, obo University of Nevada Las Vegas	Nevada	Public	\$299,960
Research Foundation of SUNY	New York	Public	\$298,276
Research Foundation of SUNY/Buffalo State College	New York	Public	\$300,000
Ohio University	Ohio	Public	\$300,000
IUP Research Institute	Pennsylvania	Public	\$274,886
Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Public	\$299,545
Millersville University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Public	\$299,371
Slippery Rock University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Public	\$251,222
Universidad del Este	Puerto Rico	Private	\$299,984
The University of Tennessee Health Science Center	Tennessee	Public	\$299,495
Washington State University	Washington	Public	\$299,998