

**REPORT TO CONGRESS
ON THE 2009 ACTIVITIES OF GRANTEEES
RECEIVING FEDERAL FUNDS UNDER THE
GRANTS TO REDUCE VIOLENT CRIMES
AGAINST WOMEN ON CAMPUS PROGRAM**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-------|---|--------|
| I. | Introduction | Page 1 |
| II. | Award Process | Page 1 |
| III. | Statutory Purpose Areas Addressed by Campus Program Grantees | Page 2 |
| IV. | Campus Program Grantees' Activities | Page 2 |
| V. | Flagship Initiative and Higher Education Association Special Initiative | Page 5 |
| VI. | Technical Assistance | Page 6 |
| VII. | Additional Information | Page 6 |
| VIII. | Future Activities | Page 6 |

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus, Fiscal Year 2007 Awards

Appendix B - Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus, Fiscal Year 2008 Awards

Appendix C - Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus, Fiscal Year 2009 Awards

Appendix D -Summary of the Statutory Purpose Areas Addressed by Campus Program Grantees

Appendix E - Summary of Victim Characteristics of Victims Served (January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009)

Appendix F - Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus, Fiscal Year 2010 Awards

I. Introduction

The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) established the Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus Program (Campus Program) in accordance with the Higher Education Amendments of 1998. Under this grant program, institutions of higher education may use funds for enhancing victim services and developing programs to prevent violent crimes against women on campuses, including domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

The statutory provisions of Section 826(d) (3) of the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 require the Attorney General to submit an annual report to the committees of the House of Representatives and the Senate responsible for issues relating to higher education and crime. The report must address the activities of grantees receiving federal funds under the Campus Program, information about the effectiveness of grant-funded programs and include a summary of persons served. Specifically, the Attorney General must report to Congress on the number of grants and the amount of funds distributed; a summary of the purposes for which the grants were provided and an evaluation of the progress made under the grants; a statistical summary of the persons served, detailing the nature of victimization, and providing data on age, sex, race, ethnicity, language, disability, relationship to offender, geographic distribution, and type of campus; and an evaluation of the effectiveness of programs funded. Campus Program grantees whose activities are described in this report received funding under solicitations from FY2007-FY2009.

II. Award Process

The institutions of higher education that received awards in Federal Fiscal Year 2009 were geographically diverse and distributed between private and public institutions, as required by the Higher Education Amendments Act of 1998. Campuses receiving funds are located in rural, urban, and suburban communities with a broad range of student population size. These applications were reviewed and scored by external peer review panels comprised of campus-based experts, including campus law enforcement officers, victim advocates, faculty, researchers, and administrators with VAWA grant program expertise. The OVW Director made final funding decisions.

Congress appropriated \$9,500,000 for the Federal Fiscal Year 2009 Campus Program. OVW received 61 applications requesting \$18,275,820.66 in funds. OVW set aside \$722,000 for technical assistance, \$283,623.31 for peer review and reimbursable agreements, and added carry forward funds unspent from previous year's allocation in the amount of \$2,411,552.23 to the total amount available for grants. Of the 61 applications received, 32 were recommended for funding, totaling approximately \$10,015,297.00. This represented funding for 27 new grants and 5 continuation grants. The enclosed chart (Appendix C) lists each of the institutions that received awards and the award amounts for the Federal Fiscal Year 2009 grants.

III. Statutory Purpose Areas Addressed by Campus Program Grantees

The Higher Education Amendments Act of 1998 identifies specific statutory purpose areas for the Campus Program. Based on these purpose areas, OVW awarded grants to colleges and universities to establish coordinated campus and community-based responses to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking and to improve coordination between campus entities, local criminal justice agencies, and nonprofit, non-governmental victim services agencies. Grant funds also sustain programs designed to establish and enhance support services for victims on campus. Institutions received funds to create and revise policies and protocols regarding violence against women. For example, funds supported the establishment of formal procedures for responding to victims' reports of sexual assault. Grants were also awarded to campuses seeking to develop comprehensive education programs for the prevention of violent crimes against women and the development and expansion of student codes of conduct. Appendix D details the statutory purpose areas addressed by activities supported with Campus Program funds from July 1 through December 31, 2009.

IV. Campus Program Grantees' Activities

Working in collaboration with campus and community-based victim advocacy organizations, the Campus Program grantees have developed mandatory prevention and education programs about violence against women for incoming students. A reported 28,839 incoming students were educated with Campus Program funds from January 1, 2009 to June 30, 2009. A reported 77,578 incoming students were educated with Campus Program funds from July 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009. Campus Program funds supported a wide range of programs for incoming students including prevention and education program events addressing topics such as sexual assault prevention; dating violence prevention; domestic violence prevention; stalking prevention; and, overviews of, dynamics of, and services for dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking. Campuses used grant funds to create curricula for training programs, to hire education coordinators, and to train approximately 2,834 volunteer peer educators to implement the training. From January 1, 2009 through June 30, 2009, Campus Program funds supported 75 full-time employees including 26 program coordinators, 10 victim advocates, 10 administrators, and 18 trainers/educators. From July 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009, Campus Program funds supported 54 full-time employees including 14 program coordinators, 9 victim advocates, 9 administrators, and 12 trainers/educators.

Under the minimum requirements for the Campus Program, grantees must train campus law enforcement or public safety personnel to respond effectively in domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking cases. They are also required to strengthen training programs for members of campus disciplinary boards to respond effectively to charges of violence against women. Grantees are not required to use federal funds for this training and must fund from other sources. Grantees have been encouraged to include information about the following in their training curricula: investigating violent crimes against women, informing

victims about campus and community resources, conducting safety planning with victims, enforcing orders of protection, making primary aggressor determinations, understanding the dynamics of violence against women, and working with local law enforcement and criminal justice agencies. An estimated 93 campus law enforcement officials were trained with Campus Program funds and another 55 trained with funding from another source from January 1, 2009 to June 30, 2009. An estimated 43 campus judicial/disciplinary board members were trained with Campus Program funds and another 31 trained with funding from another source from January 1, 2009 to June 30, 2009. An estimated 37 campus law enforcement officials were trained with Campus Program funds and another 46 trained with funding from another source from July 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009. An estimated 22 campus judicial/disciplinary board members were trained with Campus Program funds and another 44 trained with funding from another source from July 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009. The most frequent training topics included: sexual assault overview, dynamics and services; dating violence overview, dynamics and services; stalking overview, dynamics, and services; campus police/security response; domestic violence overview, dynamics, and services; drug facilitated sexual assault; disciplinary/ judicial board response; coordinated community response; and confidentiality.

Campus Program funds have supported campus education projects including Sexual Assault Awareness Month, “tabling” at public events, “Take Back the Night” marches, media campaigns, and “Clothesline Projects.” Grantees also used Campus Program funds to develop, install, and expand data collection and communication systems to enhance victim safety.

From January 1, 2009 through June 30, 2009, 957 victims were provided services supported by Campus Program funds and 11 victims were partially served¹. From July 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009, 809 victims were served and 7 were partially served. Victims received victim advocacy services (actions designed to help the victim/survivor obtain needed support, resources, or services such as employment, health care, and victim compensation), crisis intervention, response to hotline calls, support group/counseling services, and legal advocacy/court accompaniment. Additional information on the victims served with Campus Program funds during 2009 is contained in Appendix E.

The number of victims served is far greater than the number of crimes reported by victims. Campuses reported that 504 offenses of domestic violence/dating violence, sexual assault and stalking were reported to campus security authorities during the time period of January 1, 2009 through June 30, 2009, with 152 offenses resulting in criminal charges being filed in the local jurisdiction and 116 offenses resulting in campus disciplinary or judicial board actions. From July 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009, campuses reported that 587 offenses of domestic violence/dating violence, sexual assault and stalking were reported, with 194 offenses

¹ Throughout this report, many aggregate numbers are reported in ranges because with certain categories such as victims served or not served, an individual victim may be reported in more than one semiannual grant reporting period. Grantees are asked to provide an unduplicated count of victims and services during each reporting period; however, because of confidentiality and other recordkeeping considerations, there is no way to determine if an individual is receiving services in more than one grant reporting period.

resulting in criminal charges being filed in the local jurisdiction and 154 offenses resulting in campus disciplinary or judicial board actions.

Grantees report that programs and services supported by funds from the Campus Program have had a positive impact on their campuses:

The Campus Program funding has allowed Jefferson College of Health Sciences to fund a full-time VAW program coordinator. . . . With this funding, the program coordinator chairs the Violence Prevention Task Force and coordinates programming and services with our partners. The program coordinator has developed and revised several program items, including the student handbook, new student orientation and the VAW web page. The funding will allow the program coordinator to continue to develop, implement and facilitate campus-wide projects to meet the goals of the comprehensive program and to provide further direct services to victims/survivors.

- Jefferson College of Health Sciences, Virginia

The Campus Program has created a diverse, specific, purposeful, and intentional program on our campus designed to promote and implement prevention of sexual violence from numerous perspectives. . . . Freshmen and incoming students are presented with valuable education, awareness, and training in various environments during their initial visits (pre-registration), freshmen orientation week, and during freshman orientation classes.

Norfolk State University, Virginia

Prior to the grant there were no centralized services for victims of interpersonal violence and no consistent tri-institutional efforts for education and awareness on the issue. The Phoenix Center at Auraria is able to act as a clearinghouse for information, programming, and victim services that never before existed. It is breaking new ground and is working to create an expectation for these services among the various campus constituents.

University of Colorado at Denver

IV. Flagship Initiative and Higher Education Association Special Initiative

The Flagship Initiative

In fiscal year 2007, OVW introduced a new component to the campus program called the “Flagship Initiative.” This new initiative was constructed to maximize the benefits of successful implementation of campus program grants and impact entire state university systems while further leveraging federal dollars. The Flagship Initiative consists of two or more institutions of higher education that share and are accountable to a common legislature, governing board, Board of Regents, or system with enforcement capabilities coming together to implement a project. One institution is designated as the principal flagship institution. The flagship institution must be able to demonstrate competence and effective implementation of prior Campus Program awards for at least two non-consecutive grant periods or four years. The principal institution will utilize key practices, policies, project activities, and products already in existence to lead other schools within their system to implement and incorporate promising practices onto their respective campuses. Four flagship institutions were awarded, collectively impacting 31 campuses, 374, 975 students and over 179,625 faculty and staff. The four Flagship projects are: The University of Northern Iowa, the University of Puerto Rico at Humacao, East Central University of Oklahoma, and the University of California at Davis.

The Flagship Initiative recipients coordinate a model three-year flagship program designed to improve and strengthen the response to campus-based domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking throughout the campuses. Each project must institute the four minimum requirements of the program across all the campuses. The flagship recipients must: 1) provide prevention education on violence against women for all incoming students, 2) train campus law enforcement or security staff on appropriate responses to violence against women, 3) train members of campus judicial or disciplinary boards on the unique dynamics of violence against women, and 4) create a coordinated community response to violence against women.

Higher Education Association Special Initiative

The Higher Education Association Special Initiative requires participants to develop effective practices for responding to and preventing violence against women on campus using the membership of the associations to develop, implement, and disseminate promising models. Objectives of the initiative include development of coordinated campus community responses, prevention and education programs for incoming students, and training programs for campus police and judicial disciplinary boards. Tougaloo College was selected to receive funds under this initiative. Tougaloo is a private Historically Black College which partnered with the United Negro College Fund Association Special Projects and its 39 member institutions to implement this initiative. Tougaloo College serves as the lead institution, and conducts the trainings for the member institutions.

VI. Technical Assistance

In December 1999, OVW entered into a cooperative agreement with the California Coalition

Against Sexual Assault (CALCASA) to provide technical assistance to recipients of grants under the Campus Program. As part of the technical assistance provided by CALCASA, the campus program coordinates and conducts semi-annual technical assistance institutes. These institutes are hosted by a campus grantee and attended by a mandatory multi-disciplinary team of four individuals from each campus grantee, including the project director, a campus law enforcement representative, a judicial affairs representative, and a fourth person representing an internal/external partner such as an administrator, evaluator, student, community-based victim services agency member, local law enforcement officer, or prosecutor. In 2009, CALCASA held two institutes with more than 400 participants at each institute.

The CALCASA institutes provided training from experts in the field on sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking. Topics included “social networking, cyberstalking, victim response and assessment, understanding dynamics of assaults, providing services for underserved communities, bystander intervention and false reporting mythology. Pre-institutes held prior to the two day institutes were focused on the topics of “Forensic Compliance” and “Understanding Title IX, Clery Act and Office of Civil Rights guidelines” for enhancing responses to violence against women on college campuses. CALCASA also provided on-going technical assistance to grantees utilizing listservs, blogs, sites visits, one-on-one support, expert trainings, and monthly webinars focused on issues campuses were facing. Topics for technical assistance were identified through webinar evaluations and site visits to campuses.

VII. Additional Information

Section 485(f) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 requires institutions of higher education receiving federal student financial aid funds to submit an annual report to the Department of Education on the number and types of crimes occurring on and near campuses. Section 826(d) (3) (D) of the Higher Education Amendments Act of 1998 requires information from the Department of Education crime reports to be included in the annual report to Congress on the Campus Program. Information concerning the campus crime statistics for the individual campuses receiving 2009 Campus Program grants can be found at the Department of Education website: <http://ope.ed.gov/security> (accessed December 7, 2011).

VIII. Future Activities

Campus Program grantees must create a coordinated community response to violence against women on campuses and should adopt policies and protocols that treat domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking as serious criminal offenses and to develop victim services and programs that make victim safety, offender accountability, and prevention of such crimes a high priority. Through their policies, protocols, and actions, colleges and universities can demonstrate to every student that violence against women in any form will not be tolerated and that sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking are crimes with serious consequences. The success of the Campus Program rests on the grantees’ ability to

address the issues that are of greatest concern on their own campuses. In FY2010, OVW made awards to 23 institutions of higher education under the statutory criteria of VAWA 2005. (See Appendix F). The activities of these grantees will be addressed in future reports to Congress.

APPENDIX A

Appendix A
Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus
Fiscal Year 2007 Awards²

| Grantee | State / Territory | Type | Amount |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| University of California-Davis | California | Public | \$999,369 |
| University of Guam | Guam | Public | \$300,000 |
| University of Northern Iowa | Iowa | Public | \$999,909 |
| St. Cloud State | Minnesota | Public | \$299,500 |
| University of Minnesota | Minnesota | Public | \$200,000 |
| The Curators of the University of Missouri | Missouri | Public | \$296,552 |
| University of Missouri, Kansas City | Missouri | Public | \$289,817 |
| Elizabeth City State University | North Carolina | Public | \$279,293 |
| United Tribes Technical College | North Dakota | Public | \$299,512 |
| St. Lawrence University | New York | Private | \$290,000 |
| The College of Saint Rose | New York | Private | \$448,781 |
| East Central University | Oklahoma | Public | \$999,999 |
| University of Puerto Rico Humacao | Puerto Rico | Public | \$1,000,000 |
| University of Sioux Falls | South Dakota | Private | \$300,000 |
| | | | |

²These grant award amounts were provided by the Office of Justice Programs' Grants Management System.

| | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------|-----------|
| University of South Dakota | South Dakota | Public | \$290,000 |
| Pacific Lutheran University | Washington | Private | \$289,993 |
| West Virginia State University | West Virginia | Public | \$282,886 |

APPENDIX B

Appendix B
Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus
Fiscal Year 2008 Awards

| Grantee | State / Territory | Type | Amount |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University | California | Private | \$299,096 |
| Cal Poly Pomona Foundation, Inc. | California | Private | \$300,000 |
| The CSU, Chico Research Foundation | California | Public | \$471,529 |
| University of Southern California | California | Public | \$499,999 |
| Regents of the Univ. of Colorado, Univ. of Colorado Denver | Colorado | Public | \$500,000 |
| University of Hawaii | Hawaii | Public | \$249,994 |
| University of Kentucky Research Foundation | Kentucky | Public | \$178,709 |
| Salisbury University | Maryland | Public | \$299,982 |
| Central Michigan University | Michigan | Public | \$298,159 |
| Michigan State University | Michigan | Public | \$224,987 |
| Regents of the University of Michigan | Michigan | Public | \$274,954 |
| Southeast Missouri State University | Missouri | Public | \$299,939 |
| Jackson State University | Mississippi | Public | \$300,000 |
| The Research Foundation of SUNY Albany | New York | Private | \$299,875 |
| John Carroll University | Ohio | Private | \$298,996 |

| | | | |
|--|--------------|---------|-----------|
| East Central University | Oklahoma | Public | \$274,968 |
| The Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania | Pennsylvania | Private | \$275,000 |
| Texas Women's University | Texas | Public | \$299,896 |
| Carilion Medical Center dba Jefferson College of Health Sciences | Virginia | Private | \$291,639 |
| Norfolk State University | Virginia | Public | \$300,000 |
| The Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System | Wisconsin | Public | \$300,000 |

APPENDIX C

Appendix C
Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus
Fiscal Year 2009 Awards

| Grantee | State / Territory | Type | Amount |
|---|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Arizona Western College | Arizona | Public | \$499,976 |
| Regents of the University of California | California | Public | \$299,756 |
| Regents of the University of California, U.C. San Diego | California | Public | \$299,911 |
| Howard University | District of Columbia | Private | \$434,223 |
| Augusta State University | Georgia | Public | \$300,000 |
| Loyola University Chicago | Illinois | Private | \$300,000 |
| University of Illinois at Chicago | Illinois | Public | \$275,000 |
| Saint Mary's College | Indiana | Private | \$299,893 |
| Eastern Kentucky University | Kentucky | Public | \$299,989 |
| University of Louisiana at Monroe | Louisiana | Public | \$299,995 |
| Massachusetts Institute of Technology | Massachusetts | Private | \$293,022 |
| Northeastern University | Massachusetts | Private | \$299,884 |
| Trustees of Clark University | Massachusetts | Private | \$296,988 |
| University of Maryland | Maryland | Public | \$500,000 |
| University of Southern Maine | Maine | Public | \$300,000 |
| University of Mississippi | Mississippi | Public | \$299,986 |

| | | | |
|--|----------------|---------|--------------|
| North Carolina Central University | North Carolina | Public | \$299,833.15 |
| North Carolina State University | North Carolina | Public | \$294,943 |
| University of North Carolina, Wilmington | North Carolina | Public | \$299,968 |
| Bergen Community College | New Jersey | Public | \$300,000 |
| New Mexico Highlands University | New Mexico | Public | \$299,192 |
| Board of Regents, NSHE, obo University of Nevada Las Vegas | Nevada | Public | \$299,960 |
| Research Foundation of SUNY | New York | Public | \$298,276 |
| Research Foundation of SUNY/Buffalo State College | New York | Public | \$300,000 |
| Ohio University | Ohio | Public | \$300,000 |
| IUP Research Institute | Pennsylvania | Public | \$274,886 |
| Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania | Pennsylvania | Public | \$299,545 |
| Millersville University of Pennsylvania | Pennsylvania | Public | \$299,371 |
| Slippery Rock University of Pennsylvania | Pennsylvania | Public | \$251,222 |
| Universidad del Este | Puerto Rico | Private | \$299,984 |
| The University of Tennessee Health Science Center | Tennessee | Public | \$299,495 |
| Washington State University | Washington | Public | \$299,998 |

APPENDIX D

Appendix D
Summary of the Statutory Purpose Areas Addressed by Campus Program Grantees
 (July 1, 2009 -December 31, 2009 Reporting Period)

| Statutory Purpose Areas | Number of Campus Program Grantees |
|--|--|
| To provide personnel, training, technical assistance, data collection, and other equipment with respect to the increased apprehension, investigation, and adjudication of people committing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking on campus | 45 |
| To develop and implement campus policies, protocols, and services that more effectively identify and respond to the crimes of domestic violence, dating, violence, sexual assault and stalking, and to train campus administrators, campus security personnel, and personnel serving on campus disciplinary boards on such policies, protocols, and services | 56 |
| To implement and operate education programs for the prevention of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking | 59 |
| To develop, enlarge, or strengthen victim services programs on campuses of institutions involved, including programs providing legal, medical, or psychological counseling, for victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and to improve delivery of victim assistance on campus | 55 |
| To create, disseminate, or otherwise provide assistance and information about victims' options on and off campus to bring disciplinary or other legal action, including assistance to victims in immigration matters | 47 |
| To develop, install, or expand data collection | |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <p>and communication systems, including computerized systems, linking campus security to local law enforcement for the purpose of identifying and tracking arrests, protection orders, violations of protection orders, prosecutions, and convictions with respect to the crimes of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking on campus</p> | <p>9</p> |
| <p>To provide capital improvements (including improved lighting and communications facilities, but not including the construction of buildings) on campuses to address the crimes of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking</p> | <p>7</p> |
| <p>To support improved coordination among campus administrators, campus security personnel and local law enforcement to reduce domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking on campus</p> | <p>61</p> |

APPENDIX E

Appendix E Summary of Victim Characteristics

Victims Served through Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus (January 1 through June 30, 2009 and July 1 through December 31, 2009)

Number of Victims Seeking Services (01/01/09-06/30/09): 970 victims

Total Number of Victims Served: 957 victims

Total Number of Victims Partially Served:³ 11 victims

Total Number of Victims Who Could Not Be Served: 2 victims

| Nature of Victimization | Domestic Violence/Dating Violence | Sexual Assault | Stalking |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| Number of Victims Served and Partially Served | 408 | 405 | 155 |

| Victims | Female | Male | Unknown |
|---|--------|------|---------|
| Number of Victims Served and Partially Served | 889 | 76 | 3 |

³ “Partially Served” victims are those victims who received some service(s), but not all of the services they needed, if those services were the types of services that were provided under the Campus Program grant.

| Age of Victims | 0-17 | 18-24 | 25-59 | 60+ | Unknown |
|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------------|
| Number of Victims Served and Partially Served | 5 | 506 | 212 | 7 | 238 |

| Relationship to Offender | Sexual Assault Victims | Domestic Violence Victims | Stalking Victims |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Current or Former Spouse or Intimate Partner | 83 | 250 | 54 |
| Other Family or Household Member (e.g., in-law, grandparent, etc.) | 35 | 45 | 5 |
| Acquaintance (e.g., friend, neighbor, coworker, schoolmate, professor, etc.) | 210 | 13 | 49 |
| Dating Relationship | 42 | 92 | 49 |
| Stranger | 36 | 5 | 18 |
| Relationship Unknown/Other | 26 | 16 | 7 |
| TOTAL | 432 | 421 | 182 |

Race/Ethnicity of Victims

(*some victims report more than one ethnicity)

| | |
|---|-----|
| Black or African American | 90 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | 9 |
| Asian | 49 |
| Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander | 9 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 67 |
| White | 459 |
| Unknown | 288 |

Other Demographics of Victims

| | |
|---|----|
| Victims with disabilities | 47 |
| Victims with limited English proficiency | 20 |
| Immigrants/refugees/asylum seekers | 20 |
| Victims in rural areas | 99 |

Number of Victims Reporting Crimes

| Where Crime Occurred | Campus police/Security | Community Law Enforcement |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| On-campus | 103 | 23 |
| Off-campus | 35 | 101 |

Number of Victims Seeking Services (07/1/09-12/31/09): 817 victims

Total Number of Victims served: 809 victims

Total Number of Victims partially served: 7 victims

Total Number of Victims who could not be served: 1 victim

| Nature of Victimization | Domestic Violence/ Dating Violence | Sexual Assault | Stalking |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| Number of Victims Served and Partially Served | 374 | 329 | 113 |

| Victims | Female | Male | Unknown |
|---|--------|------|---------|
| Number of Victims Served and Partially Served | 759 | 54 | 3 |

| Age of Victims | 0-17 | 18-24 | 25-59 | 60+ | Unknown |
|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------------|
| Number of Victims Served and Partially Served | 15 | 528 | 221 | 5 | 47 |

| Relationship to Offender | Sexual Assault Victims | Domestic Violence/ Dating Violence Victims | Stalking Victims |
|---|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Current or Former Spouse or Intimate Partner | 40 | 247 | 59 |
| Other Family or Household Member (e.g., in-law, grandparent, etc.) | 28 | 31 | 5 |
| Acquaintance (e.g., friend, neighbor, coworker, schoolmate, professor, etc.) | 185 | 11 | 36 |
| Current or Former Dating Relationship | 62 | 96 | 23 |
| Stranger | 16 | 0 | 10 |
| Relationship Unknown/Other | 28 | 4 | 9 |
| TOTAL | 359 | 389 | 142 |

Race/Ethnicity of Victims

(*some victims report more than one ethnicity)

| | |
|---|-----|
| Black or African American | 87 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | 17 |
| Asian | 51 |
| Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander | 0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 39 |
| White | 381 |
| Unknown | 245 |

Other Demographics of Victims

| | |
|---|----|
| Victims with disabilities | 38 |
| Victims with limited English proficiency | 18 |
| Immigrants/refugees/asylum seekers | 14 |
| Victims in rural areas | 35 |

Number of Victims Reporting Crimes

| Where Crime Occurred | Campus police/Security | Community Law Enforcement |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| On-campus | 67 | 23 |
| Off Campus | 23 | 104 |

(Please note that the information in this Appendix has been collected from Semi-annual Progress Reports covering the six-month periods of January 1, 2009 to June 30, 2009 and July 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009 submitted by Campus Program grantees; also the numbers in the columns do not always match as some progress reports do not contain complete information for each question about victims.)

APPENDIX F

Appendix F
Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus
Fiscal Year 2010 Awards

| Grantee | State / Territory | Type | Amount |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Regents of the University of Colorado/University of Colorado at Colorado Springs | CO | Public | \$499,645 |
| Howard University | DC | Private | \$300,000 |
| University of Guam | Guam | Public | \$300,000 |
| Board of Trustees of Western Illinois University | IL | Public | \$300,000 |
| Northern Illinois University | IL | Public | \$299,724 |
| DePauw University | IN | Private | \$299,006 |
| Fitchburg State University | MA | Public | \$300,000 |
| Grand Valley State University | MI | Public | \$265,129 |
| University of Missouri-Kansas City | MO | Public | \$449,975 |
| Mississippi State University | MS | Public | \$300,000 |
| Johnson C. Smith University | NC | Private | \$299,270 |
| United Tribes Technical College | ND | Tribal | \$245,000 |
| William Paterson University | NJ | Public | \$299,464 |
| Marietta College | OH | Private | \$300,000 |
| Western Oregon University | OR | Public | \$299,992 |
| Dickinson College | PA | Private | \$298,037 |
| Winthrop University | SC | Public | \$299,209 |
| Texas A&M | TX | Public | \$282,478 |

| | | | |
|--|----|---------|-----------|
| University-Commerce | | | |
| University of Vermont and State Agricultural College | VT | Public | \$108,955 |
| Pacific Lutheran University | WA | Private | \$249,677 |